Air quality, health, and climate implications of China’s synthetic natural gas development
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Facing severe air pollution and growing dependence on natural gas imports, the Chinese government plans to increase coal-based synthetic natural gas (SNG) production. Although displacement of coal with SNG benefits air quality, it increases CO₂ emissions. Due to variations in air pollutant and CO₂ emission factors and energy efficiencies across sectors, coal replacement with SNG results in varying degrees of air quality benefits and climate penalties. We estimate air quality, human health, and climate impacts of SNG substitution strategies in 2020. Using all production of SNG in the residential sector results in an annual decrease of ~32,000 (20,000 to 41,000) outdoor-air-pollution-associated premature deaths, with ranges determined by the low and high estimates of the health risks. If changes in indoor/household air pollution were also included, the decrease would be far larger. SNG deployment in the residential sector results in nearly 10 and 60 times greater reduction in premature mortality than if it is deployed in the industrial or power sectors, respectively. Due to inefficiencies in current household coal use, utilization of SNG in the residential sector results in only 20 to 30% of the carbon penalty compared with using it in the industrial or power sectors. Even if carbon capture and storage is used in SNG production with today’s technology, SNG emits 22 to 40% more CO₂ than the same amount of conventional gas. Among the SNG deployment strategies we evaluate, allocating currently planned SNG to households provides the largest air quality and health benefits with the smallest carbon penalties.

Significance

China’s coal-based synthetic natural gas (SNG) projects can reduce air pollution and associated premature mortality by substituting for direct coal use in power, industry, and households. These benefits, however, come with increased CO₂ emissions unless carbon capture and storage (CCS) is applied in SNG production. Even with CCS, SNG has higher CO₂ emissions than conventional natural gas. In the United States, increases in natural gas supplies have been primarily deployed to the power sector. In China, however, due to inefficient and uncontrolled coal combustion in households, we find that allocating currently available SNG to the residential sector provides the largest air quality and health benefits and smallest climate penalties compared with allocation to the power or industrial sectors.
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increasing use of SNG are projected to dramatically increase CO₂ emissions.

An integrated analysis of the impacts of China’s SNG plans on national air quality and associated health benefits as well as on global carbon emissions is needed to provide guidance to the Chinese government on SNG development. Moreover, as multiple SNG projects are already in place or under construction, it is important to determine production technologies and end-use applications that will bring as large air quality and health benefits as possible while keeping carbon and energy penalties as small as possible.

This paper quantifies the air quality, human health, and CO₂ emission impacts of China’s SNG strategy using an integrated assessment approach. We use the ECLIPSE V5a_CLE scenario (evaluating the climate and air quality impacts of short-lived pollutants) for 2020 as our base case as it reflects the air pollution policies and regulations in place for China’s 12th Five-Year Plan (FY) (21–24). Approximately 85% of natural gas in China is consumed in the power, industrial, and residential sectors (25). Thus, we construct three SNG sectoral allocation scenarios (SNG_Power, SNG_Industrial, and SNG_Residential) by deploying all potentially available SNG (57 bcm) in 2020 into each key demand sector in turn. We substitute SNG for coal in each sector we analyze in proportion to the gas required to displace that quantity of coal in the subsector under the base case across provinces targeted to receive SNG (Table S1). We then simulate the resulting changes in PM₂.₅ (particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 µm or smaller) concentrations, associated health impacts, and resulting changes in CO₂ emissions. The industrial sector also has a large fraction of low-emitting coal boilers, due to efficient control technologies, particularly for SO₂ and particulate matter. In addition, thermal efficiency improvements mean that gas is already a cleaner end-use application (Fig. 2). These reductions occur because natural gas has higher energy efficiencies and lower air pollutant emission factors (EFs) than coal per unit energy input.

Use of SNG in the residential sector results in the largest reductions in the emissions of all air pollutants considered here (Fig. 2). For instance, allocating all planned SNG to the residential sector reduces SO₂ emissions more than twice as much as allocating all SNG to the industrial sector, and 15 times more than allocating it to the power sector. SNG allocation to the power sector reduces air pollutant emissions the least; this is primarily because the power sector has the most stringent emission controls on coal combustion among the three sectors, whereas the residential sector coal emissions are generally uncontrolled. This results in the lowest average abated air pollutant EFs in the power sector (SI Materials and Methods, Estimating Air Pollutant and Carbon Dioxide Emission Changes and Fig. S1). The industrial sector also has a large fraction of low-emitting coal boilers, due to efficient control technologies, particularly for SO₂ and particulate matter. In addition, thermal efficiency improvements mean that gas is already a cleaner end-use application (Fig. 2).

Across scenarios, however, we observe energy (7 MJ/m³ to 28 MJ/m³) and CO₂ (0.5 kg CO₂/m³ to 2.5 kg CO₂/m³) penalties; this is because the higher energy content of coal per carbon atom is offset by the larger quantity of coal used to produce SNG. Although energy and CO₂ penalties cannot be avoided completely, we find that SNG allocation to the residential sector, in addition to providing the largest reductions in air pollutant emissions, results in the least energy loss and the smallest increases in CO₂ emissions. These results occur because the largest thermal efficiency improvement of switching from coal to gas occurs in the residential sector (SI Materials and Methods, Estimating Air Pollutant and Carbon Dioxide Emission Changes and Table S2) (26).

**Fig. 1.** Map of provinces planning to produce and consume SNG in mainland China in 2020 based on government plans and pipeline infrastructure (7, 8, 12, 26).

**Results**

**Impacts of SNG Substitution on Pollutant and CO₂ Emissions.** For all scenarios, substituting SNG for coal results in net reductions in air pollutant emissions: −40 g SO₂/m³ to −0.7 g SO₂/m³ SNG, −5 g NOₓ/m³ to −0.5 g NOₓ/m³ SNG, −21 g PM₁₀/m³ to −0.7 g PM₁₀/m³ SNG, and −19 g PM₂.₅/m³ to −0.4 g PM₂.₅/m³ SNG, varying primarily on the end-use application (Fig. 2). These reductions occur because natural gas has higher energy efficiencies and lower air pollutant emission factors (EFs) than coal per unit energy input.

We substitute SNG for coal in each sector we analyze in proportion to the gas required to displace that quantity of coal in the subsector under the base case across provinces targeted to receive SNG (Table S1). We then simulate the resulting changes in PM₂.₅ (particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 µm or smaller) concentrations, associated health impacts, and resulting changes in CO₂ emissions. The industrial sector also has a large fraction of low-emitting coal boilers, due to efficient control technologies, particularly for SO₂ and particulate matter. In addition, thermal efficiency improvements mean that gas is already a cleaner end-use application (Fig. 2). These reductions occur because natural gas has higher energy efficiencies and lower air pollutant emission factors (EFs) than coal per unit energy input.

Across scenarios, however, we observe energy (7 MJ/m³ to 28 MJ/m³) and CO₂ (0.5 kg CO₂/m³ to 2.5 kg CO₂/m³) penalties; this is because the higher energy content of coal per carbon atom is offset by the larger quantity of coal used to produce SNG. Although energy and CO₂ penalties cannot be avoided completely, we find that SNG allocation to the residential sector, in addition to providing the largest reductions in air pollutant emissions, results in the least energy loss and the smallest increases in CO₂ emissions. These results occur because the largest thermal efficiency improvement of switching from coal to gas occurs in the residential sector (SI Materials and Methods, Estimating Air Pollutant and Carbon Dioxide Emission Changes and Table S2) (26).

**Impacts of SNG Substitution on PM₂.₅ Surface Concentration.** We simulate China’s 2020 baseline monthly mean PM₂.₅ surface concentrations for January, April, July, and October (Fig. S3A). Model evaluation is shown in SI Model Evaluation (Fig. S4 and Table S3). PM₂.₅ concentrations are significantly higher in January and October than in April or July; this is due to higher emissions resulting from residential heating in winter, and more stagnant meteorological conditions and less precipitation in January and October. In addition, relatively low simulated PM₂.₅ concentrations occur in April partly because dust emissions are not included in our simulations. We find the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei (BTH) region has extremely high area-wide PM₂.₅ concentrations, with maximum monthly mean PM₂.₅ levels reaching 170 µg/m³ at the grid level in January. Even in April and July, the
maximum monthly mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in BTH can be more than 80 μg/m$^3$.

Monthly mean PM$_{2.5}$ surface concentrations are reduced in all SNG scenarios, but by far the largest decrease occurs when all planned SNG is allocated to the residential sector (Fig. 3). This allocation maximizes reductions in both primary PM$_{2.5}$ emissions and the formation of secondary PM$_{2.5}$ due to reduction in emissions of major precursors (i.e., SO$_2$ and NO$_x$). Grid-level reductions are generally more than 5 μg/m$^3$ across all seasons in the BTH region, and can reach 60 μg/m$^3$ (∼30% reduction) in the dirtiest season (winter). In comparison, the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration reductions are less than 2 μg/m$^3$ in the BTH region year-round when all available SNG is allocated to the power sector, and less than 5 μg/m$^3$ when it is allocated to the industrial sector (Fig. S3 B and C).

China’s base case annual average P-W PM$_{2.5}$ surface concentrations at the provincial level in 2020 are shown in Fig. 4. Annual average P-W PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are projected to be ∼70 μg/m$^3$ in BTH. This amount is twice China’s annual average PM$_{2.5}$ national standards (35 μg/m$^3$) (GB3095-2012) and 7 times that of the World Health Organization (WHO) standards (10 μg/m$^3$) (29, 30).

Fig. 4 B–D shows changes in annual average P-W PM$_{2.5}$ surface concentrations across SNG sectoral scenarios. Using SNG in the residential sector to replace coal leads to the largest provincial-level P-W PM$_{2.5}$ concentration reductions. For instance, PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are reduced by 19 μg/m$^3$ (26%), 12 μg/m$^3$ (17%), and 13 μg/m$^3$ (18%) in Beijing, Tianjin, and Hebei provinces, respectively. In addition to the BTH region, several other SNG producing and consuming provinces, such as Henan, Shandong, Jilin, Shanxi, and Inner Mongolia, also exhibit ∼10% PM$_{2.5}$ concentration reductions because of substantial reductions in SO$_2$ and PM$_{2.5}$ emissions. In contrast, provincial-level PM$_{2.5}$ concentration reductions are virtually small when all planned SNG is allocated to the industrial or power sectors [generally less than 0.6 μg/m$^3$ (<1.5%) and 0.2 μg/m$^3$ (< 0.5%), respectively].

**Impacts of SNG Substitution on Premature Mortality.** National total avoided premature deaths under our SNG scenarios are shown in Fig. 5, with stroke and IHD contributing roughly 60% of total reductions in premature mortality. Across scenarios, use of SNG in the residential sector results in the largest decreases in total adult premature deaths of ∼32,000 (20,000 to 40,000) and child deaths of 320 (200 to 400) annually, with the range resulting from the low and high estimates of relative risks. These reductions are roughly 10 and 60 times higher than reductions obtained by deploying SNG in the industrial and power sectors, respectively. Consistent with Liu et al. (31) findings for the BTH region, our results highlight enormous benefits for China’s air quality and associated human health by switching from coal to cleaner fuels in the residential sector across the country.

**Comparison of SNG Scenarios’ Air Quality and Climate Impacts With and Without Utilization of Carbon Capture and Storage.** Using all SNG in the residential sector clearly provides the largest air quality and human health benefits, with the smallest energy and CO$_2$ penalties among the scenarios we evaluate (Fig. 6). However, even under the SNG_Residential scenario, SNG substitution for coal results in an increase of 28 million tonnes of CO$_2$ emissions, ∼0.2% of national total projected CO$_2$ emissions in 2020 (24) (Fig. 6). For comparison, we replace coal with the same amount of conventional natural gas as SNG in the residential sector, and follow the same allocation strategies shown in Table S1 (medium scenarios). We find that such replacement can reduce CO$_2$ emissions by 214 million tonnes relative to household use of coal, or reduce CO$_2$ emissions by 242 million tonnes relative to SNG while providing the same amount of air quality and health benefits.

**Fig. 3.** Reductions in simulated 2020 monthly mean surface PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations from SNG substitution for coal in the residential sector (SNG_Residential – Base Case) (in micrograms per cubic meter).
As all SNG allocation strategies increase CO\textsubscript{2} emissions relative to coal, we explore whether carbon capture and storage (CCS), if used with SNG production, can make SNG an attractive option for both air quality and climate. China has been one of the major players working on CCS demonstration projects in recent years (32). In SNG production, CO\textsubscript{2} is separated from syngas before methanation regardless of conducting CCS because this optimizes the economics of the process by cost savings from higher methanation efficiency and lower volume of input syngas (16, 33). Thus, CO\textsubscript{2} is emitted as a by-product of SNG production, and it does not require additional energy to separate the CO\textsubscript{2}, therefore causing a relatively low energy penalty when conducting CCS (16). We estimate the net energy penalty for conducting CCS at SNG plants to be ∼9 to 15\% (Table S4), with the range dependent on where the energy for conducting CCS comes from (SI SNG Production–Energy Penalty of CCS).

Nevertheless, even with CCS used for SNG production, net CO\textsubscript{2} emissions are still 22 to 40\% higher than occurs with the same amount of conventional natural gas, with the range depending on end uses and the energy sources for CCS (Table S5). Substituting coal with SNG equipped with CCS requires 20 to 100\% additional energy input compared with directly burning coal, varying primarily depending on end uses (Table S5). Thus, we find that SNG cannot simultaneously address the multiple objectives facing China: air quality improvement, carbon emissions mitigation, and energy intensity reduction.

Discussion

This study identifies deploying SNG to the residential sector as the allocation strategy providing the largest net environmental benefits among the substitution scenarios analyzed. We leave it to policy makers to decide how much they are willing to pay for these benefits. We did not include economic analysis of each SNG scenario. We realize that, even though deploying SNG to the residential sector results in much larger health benefits and lower climate penalties than deployment to the power and industrial sectors, it may also require higher investment on last-meter distribution pipelines, particularly for rural areas with low population density (28). However, given that only 40\% of China’s urban population used natural gas in 2015 (1), there may still be large opportunities to distribute SNG to urban residents using solid fuels before making large investments in reaching rural residents. Additionally, in low-population-density regions, SNG can be compressed or liquefied and then transported by trucks that can be more cost-effective than pipelines (34, 35). Some provincial governments are already expanding natural gas use to rural areas by subsidizing gas pipeline construction for rural households and transporting natural gas in the form of LNG and CNG to improve the clean fuel accessibility for rural residents (36).

The absolute environmental impacts estimated in this study are subject to uncertainties in actual SNG production, how well the ECLIPSE_V5a_CLE scenario reflects the actual energy and emission status in 2020, and the representation of PM\textsubscript{2.5} in our atmospheric chemistry model. Our model captures the magnitude and trend of PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentrations fairly well, particularly in eastern regions where air quality and human health improvement predominantly occur (SI Model Evaluation). The actual energy use and emissions in 2020 will likely differ from the ECLIPSE_V5a_CLE scenario. Indications are that actual SNG production will be lower than the production target we use here, due, in part, to the Chinese central government’s frequent downward adjustment of SNG production plans. However, our finding that substantial health benefits and relatively small climate penalties occur when available SNG is allocated to the residential sector is likely to persist as long as inefficient and uncontrolled coal use continues in the residential sector.

Our atmospheric chemistry model places all emissions into the surface layer (0 m to 32 m), and air pollutant concentrations in each grid box are instantly well mixed. The power and industrial sectors discharges much of their emissions via stacks above 32 m, whereas the residential sector primarily discharges closer to the surface than is resolved in the model. Thus, our study may have overestimated the PM\textsubscript{2.5} associated health benefits under the SNG_Power and SNG Industry scenarios, and underestimated that under the SNG_Residential scenario. In addition, as household outdoor emissions are released closer to populations, they have higher intake fractions (dose or exposure effectiveness) than those from industry or power (37), which would widen the differences in benefits even further if taken into account. Finally, the significance of the residential sector would be even more striking if we included benefits to the household environment itself, i.e., health impacts from indoor and near-field air pollution (38). Nevertheless, SNG may have to be allocated to other sectors if too much future SNG production causes saturation of the residential sector or if costs of pipeline construction limit the spread of SNG to the more densely populated areas. Total 2020 SNG evaluated here (∼30\% of currently industry-planned SNG projects) replaces ∼60\% of baseline coal consumption in the residential sector. Supposing all of the ∼200 bcm per year SNG projects are implemented, this will quickly use up the opportunities for coal replacement in the residential sector and lead to significantly lower marginal health benefits but larger marginal carbon penalties.

Our study does not consider the interactions between SNG and renewable energy, which can potentially increase the air...
quality and climate benefits obtained from the power sector and potentially allow electrification of the residential sector at a low-carbon intensity. However, the role that natural gas can play in facilitating wind and solar on-grid integration is likely to be limited in China in the near future given the small amount of natural gas in the power sector (even including all planned 2020 SNG). Also, the primary barriers to China’s renewable integration lie elsewhere (i.e., oversupply of coal-fired electricity with fixed annual operation hours and inadequate transmission capacity) (39).

Conclusions

China’s SNG development has important implications for both regional air quality and global climate. Since 2013, China’s severe air pollution has drawn enormous public attention and a commitment from the government to implement measures that improve air quality (4). Switching from coal to gas is identified in the national action plan as a strategy to improve air quality (5), and efforts to increase natural gas supply, including via development of SNG production, are under way. However, wide concerns about China’s SNG strategy exist as CO$_2$ emissions per unit of end-use energy delivered from SNG projects greatly exceed that associated with most other energy sources and will have lasting and significant impacts on climate change (7, 15).

We find that sectoral allocation makes a huge difference in the environmental performance of a limited quantity of SNG. For instance, SNG substitution for coal in the residential sector can reduce PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in the BTH region by ~20%. These areas are among the most densely populated regions with the worst air quality in China. Additionally, deploying all SNG to the residential sector can avoid 32,000 (20,000 to 41,000) air-pollution-related premature deaths nationwide in 2020. In contrast, allocating all SNG to the power or industrial sectors barely improves air quality and avoids only 560 (230 to 740) or 3,100 (1,300 to 4,300) premature deaths, respectively. Similarly, due to relative efficiencies in the use of coal and gas in the industrial and power sectors compared with the residential sector, net increases in CO$_2$ emissions when all planned SNG is used in the industrial or power sectors to replace coal are 2 and 4 times higher, respectively, than if it is used in the residential sector. We also compare the health impacts and net carbon emissions from two regional allocation scenarios, and find that allocating SNG to affluent provinces or proportionally to provinces based on their baseline gas needs for coal replacement leads to similar reductions in national total premature mortality and carbon emission increases (see SI Regional SNG Allocation for details).

Critically, energy and CO$_2$ penalties exist across all scenarios. Thus, without CCS used in SNG production, the air quality and human health benefits of SNG substitution for coal are achieved at the expense of CO$_2$ emission increases. Even with CCS, however, the climate performance of SNG remains worse than conventional gas (SNG+CCS emits 22 to 40% more CO$_2$ than the same amount of conventional gas), and applying CCS for SNG production results in ~9 to 15% additional energy loss compared to SNG without CCS. In China’s 2015 intended nationally determined contributions, China pledged to peak its CO$_2$ emissions by 2030 or earlier, and to lower CO$_2$ emissions per unit of gross domestic product by 60 to 65% from the 2005 levels by 2030 (40). Thus, SNG development is inconsistent with China’s efforts to reduce energy and carbon intensity, but it does provide substantial air quality improvement with relatively small climate co-benefits if done in conjunction with CCS. To achieve its goals, China may wish to limit the scale of SNG development and to conduct pilot projects on pairing CCS with SNG production to facilitate achievement of its international climate commitment while addressing its domestic air pollution issue. Allocating SNG to the residential sector is likely to bring the largest air quality benefits with the smallest carbon penalties, even without CCS. Importantly, the air quality benefit from SNG by SNG can easily be achieved by other sources of natural gas, which have a lower carbon footprint. Given the multiple challenges facing China today, other domestic gas sources, including tight gas, coal-bed methane, and shale gas (with methane leakage well controlled) (41), as well as increased energy efficiency and an increasingly electrified energy economy driven by renewable energy, are likely to provide equal air quality benefits with lower negative climate impacts. Large challenges exist, however, in switching from coal to natural gas. Challenges include an underdeveloped pipeline infrastructure, high infrastructure costs particularly in low-population regions, and low price competitiveness of natural gas compared with cheap coal. However, the Chinese central government has demonstrated a political willingness to address these issues and has set ambitious near- and long-term natural gas use targets, and has designed and approved substantial natural gas pipeline expansion projects (i.e., Xinjiang SNG pipeline project) while reforming China’s natural gas market (8, 42). Meanwhile, provincial and lower-level governments are also subsidizing local pipeline construction and natural gas consumption for rural residents (36). Nevertheless, a reasonable price on carbon would facilitate a more accurate valuation of natural gas relative to both carbon-intensive coal and carbon-free renewables.

Materials and Methods

We use an integrated assessment approach to estimate the air pollution-associated human health impacts in mainland China under each SNG scenario. The ECLIPSE_V5aCLE scenario, developed by the Greenhouse Gas and Air Pollution Interactions and Synergies (GAINS) model at the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA, gains.iiasa.ac.at/models), is used as our 2020 base case anthropogenic emission input. The GAINS model provides detailed information regarding energy mix and consumption, end-use technology, and EFs for major air pollutants in each subsector at the provincial level. Based on the ECLIPSE_V5aCLE scenario, we design SNG allocation scenarios by deploying all planned production of SNG to replace coal in the power sector, industrial sector, and residential sector, in turn, in proportion to baseline gas required for coal replacement in each subsector. We estimate the provincial anthropogenic emissions under the base case and each scenario accordingly, and assume 2020 emissions within each province follow the same spatial and temporal pattern as that of the 2010 Multi-Resolution Emission Inventory for China (MEIC, www.meicmodel.org).

We use the weather research and forecasting model coupled with chemistry, version 3.6 (WRF-Chem v3.6), to simulate air pollutant concentrations for the 2020 base case and for each SNG scenario (40). The study domain covers East Asia at a horizontal resolution of 27 $\times$ 27 km$^2$ with 31 vertical levels, from the surface to 50 millibars (mb), with a 32-m-thick surface layer. The global 3D chemical transport model [Model for Ozone and Related Tracers (MOZART-4)], with a resolution of 1.9° latitude $\times$ 2.5° longitude, provides the...

To evaluate the health effects of the SNG allocation scenarios, we estimate the changes in premature mortality that are associated with long-term exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ pollution for both adults and children based on the IER functions developed from the Global Burden of Disease studies (27). Detailed methods are shown in SI Materials and Methods.
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