WORKING PAPER

TECHNOLOGY DIFFUSION IN THE COAL MINING INDUSTRY OF THE USSR: An Interim Assessment

A. Astakhov
A. Grübler
A. Mookhin

July 1989
WP-89-46

IIASA
International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis

TECHNOLOGY DIFFUSION IN THE COAL MINING INDUSTRY OF THE USSR: An Interim Assessment

A. Astakhov
A. Grübler
A. Mookhin

July 1989
WP-89-46

INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED SYSTEMS ANALYSIS
A-2361 Laxenburg, Austria

FOREWORD

Over many years IIASA has been involved in energy studies, coal was always an important research topic at all levels from resource assessment, study of potential future coal supply to the analysis of environmental impacts resulting from expanded coal utilization.

The present paper presents an analysis of technological change in the coal mining industry of the USSR. It describes within a quantitative framework first the evolution of the coal mining industry in general, based on macro indicators of output, production intensity and labor productivity. Then it describes qualitatively the different historical phases of development and introduction of new technologies into the sector and concludes by quantifying the historical trajectories of new technologies diffusion, using standard models of technological diffusion and substitution.

The paper not only provides insight into the dynamics of the technological change in the coal mining industry of the USSR, but addresses also some of the effects of theses developments. Finally some tentative conclusions with respect to future evolution in the industry are outlined.

Other IIASA studies have addressed similar changes in the technology of coal mining in the USA the UK and the FRG, albeit in not such great detail. These results could be used in conjunction with the present study for a subsequent cross national comparison of technological trends in the coal mining industry.

The present paper is the product of a continued and very fruitful cooperation between IIASA and the Academy of the National Economy at the Council of Ministers of the USSR. It adds to the productivity of this cooperation, which will continue in the future.

F. Schmidt-Bleek

Leader,

Technology, Economy and Society Program

Technology Diffusion in the Coal Mining Industry of the USSR: an interim assessment

A. Astakhov
Academy of the National Economy at the Council of Ministers of the USSR

A. Grübler
International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis

A. Mookhin
Department of Mineral Economics, All-Union Institute for System Studies

1. INTRODUCTION

Technology diffusion in the coal mining industry has in the - by now - vast body of diffusion studies received limited attention.* Whether this situation is possibly due to the fact that the coal industry as a - by and large - mature industry sector with decreasing market shares in the total energy supply since 1945 has not attracted the interest of researchers, it is certainly not warranted both from the viewpoint of data availability on technical change in the sector nor from the importance in terms of employment and contribution to the primary materials sector of an economy. In addition, the *quantitative* description of technological diffusion processes, or as it would be termed in the USSR, the quantitative aspects of *rates and regularities of scientific and technical progress* is a rather recent field in the USSR and resulting studies are rather scarce.

The present study attempts thus to fill both gaps and to provide an interim assessment of technological change in the coal mining industry of the USSR in analyzing some of the most important technological innovations occurring in the industry in the last 50 years against a background of a general discussion of the evolution of the industry in terms of output, production intensity and labor productivity. The study is seen as a first step, in terms that the present discussion of technological trends in coal mining in the USSR is, although fairly comprehensive by far not exhaustive and intended to stimulate further research. The study provides a first test on the applicability of some of the standard methodological apparatus developed to analyze technology diffusion in market economies to the study of technological change in planned economies. As it turns out the methodological instruments appear quite applicable providing thus the basis for a subsequent cross-national analysis of technological change in the coal mining industry. This follows on earlier IIASA research on a cross-national comparison of resource requirements and the economics of the coal extraction process (Astakhov and Grübler, 1984) and is intended to provide a deeper understanding of the dynamics and impacts of technological change in the coal mining industry.

* A noteworthy exception are the earlier studies by Mansfield, 1961 and 1968 and in the case of longwall mining Souder and Quaddus, 1982 for the US and the work of Ray and Uhlmann, 1979 and Ray, 1985 for the UK. A good quantitative overview however outside the (statistical) framework of classic diffusion studies of technological developments in the hard coal mining industry of the FRG is provided by Kundel, 1979 and 1985. An overview of the long term production and technology trends in the coal industry at the global level as well as in the UK and Germany is given in Grübler, 1987.

2. A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF COAL MINING DEVELOPMENT IN THE USSR

2.1. The importance of external factors in the development of the industry

The historic development of the coal industry of the USSR has been highly influenced by a number of external factors, including not only the effects of the two World Wars and of the October Revolution, but also by the effects of the discovery and subsequent development of large oil and natural gas resources, leading to a reorientation of the energy policy which had previously been entirely concentrated on coal. These external factors have to be taken into account in understanding the development of the USSR coal industry in general, and of technological change in coal mining in particular.

The coal mining industry was for a long time considered as the key branch in basic industries. The very large coal resources were basically the only base to support the ambitious plans of rapid and autonomous development of the industry of the USSR. The major role that technical advance had to play within this policy context was to support these extensive development programs. "More coal" was considered synonymous for an "improved efficiency" of the national economy. In view of the high targets to increase coal production, the predominant objective was to overcome the main limiting factor in this expansion of coal production, i.e. the fact that coal mining was essentially a manual process with hard physical working conditions, impeding thus a dramatic intensification of coal production. This was the origin of the introduction of first mechanization steps at coal faces, primarily through the rapid spread of the use of pneumatic picks and explosives for coal winning operations.

Capital and labour constraints were not really limiting factors in this first mechanization steps, because the first measures of mechanization were relatively cheap and the coal industry was receiving high priority in the central allocation of investment funds. With increasing labor productivity and an relatively large availability of labor force the rapid expansion of the coal industry did not face any considerable labor supply constraints. The main drive in the development of the industry was aimed at production intensification as reflected in it's most important indices like total industry output and in particular in coal output per face and per mine. The most important technical innovations to reach this goal were introduced in the areas of face and transport operations.

With the discovery of important oil and natural gas resources the situation with respect to coal was drastically altered. Coal demand did not decrease, however the growth rates of the coal industry slowed down considerably. Public attention and priorities in it's development and capital investments were reduced. The main driving force for decision making was not any longer the increase of output but shifted to production economics. The social prestige of working in coal mines was also drastically lowered, particularly among the younger generation. Thus the main driving force for technological innovation in the coal mining industry was shifted to the realization of economic (cost reduction) and social (safety and improvements of working conditions) goals. This period which lasted from about 1955 to 1975 resulted in the introduction and rapid diffusion of a number of important technological innovations to pursue the economic and social goals and in particular to offset successfully the effects of continuously deteriorating geological conditions at underground mines.

The time period after 1975 can again be seen as a new period in the development of the coal mining industry of the USSR. The potential of the technological innovations introduced in the earlier period became progressively exhausted. While the returns of technical innovations decreased, ever more capital was required for further improvements of existing techniques as well as their introduction into new areas of applications. Improving social conditions, both in terms of safety and working conditions were requiring additional capital without however resulting in a relief of the by now tight labor supply situation. The worsening of geological conditions at greater mining depths, particularly the

European coal basins of the USSR accelerated and no principally new technologies were available for widespread introduction into the industry to compensate for these effects. Consequently the labor productivity at underground mines started to decline after 1975 and opencast mining appears as the only main technological option available to the coal mining industry, especially in view of the fact that the labor productivity is on average ten times higher in opencast as in underground mines. Thus while the output from underground mines more or less stagnated around 430 million tons since 1965 the output from opencast mines increased over this time period from 140 to 320 million tons.

2.2. Specifics of technological innovations in the coal mining industry of the USSR

Technological innovations in the USSR coal mining industry are characterized by a number of rather specific features. These relate both to the specific character of coal mining as an industrial process, as well as to the specific circumstances of the industrial development of the USSR at various historical time periods. Technological innovations have thus to be understood within the *technological, economic* and *social* environment within they are embedded.

The **technological** environment of importance to understand technical change in coal mining in the USSR may be summarized as follows:

a) Coal mining in pre-revolutionary Russia was essentially an entirely manual process with mechanization practically only in the mining support functions like ventilation, water drainage and transport to the surface. Thus, the main driving force of technological change can be seen in the progressive application of mechanization at manual operations like winning, loading and transport, roof support and driving of development workings.

b) Coal mines are in fact very heterogeneous, due to their differences in natural bedding conditions. Mining machinery has thus to be developed following the characteristics of each of these different conditions (e.g. thickness of coal seams, their inclination, etc.). Thus the life cycle of a particular innovation for a given mining operation (e.g. transport or development) appears to be rather long, as it involves in fact a series of subsequent development cycles aiming at the progressive utilization of an innovation under a wide range of geological conditions, e.g. shearers and self-advancing roof supports were first developed for flat and medium thickness seams and drastically new equipment with the same name had to be developed for steep bedding and thin seams. This however happened much later.

c) The state of the art of coal mining technologies at the world level does not propose a large number of radical different mining technologies (in a broad sense of the term) to result in drastically different technological solutions in different countries. Underground and opencast mining methods* are used worldwide. Underground mining systems can be subdivided into longwall and shortwall and room and pillar mining, with each of them relying on specific technologies or - as the case for room and pillar mining - having a specific range of geological conditions where they can be applied, i.e. only in relatively shallow (above 300 meters depth) deposits. Opencast mining systems can also be subdivided into 3 or 4 subvariants. Hydraulic underground mining (winning by water jet and coal transport by gravity flow of the coal-water slurry) is listed as third principal technological system in Soviet statistics, however this method is used only on a very small scale even in the USSR, which carried out the most efforts for its introduction. Drilling technologies, e.g. in combination with in situ coal gasification, have up to date not penetrated into the coal industry. Thus, on the whole, coal winning at the face is based on the same

* For a more detailed discussion of the various opencast and underground mining technologies used worldwide see Astakhov and Grübler, 1984.

basic physical principles as already centuries ago. No radical new technologies for practical introduction into the sector before the year 2000 appear available. The historical technological development of the coal industry may thus be described rather as incremental than by radical breakthroughs.

d) Technological innovations in the coal mining sector has often been rather incomplete, especially in the development of the *integration* of the various coal mining operations. The separate introduction of new high productive equipment in a number of different operations did not change the total technological chain, which remained segmented. A number of auxiliary labor intensive operations are still unmechanized (e.g. repair and maintenance operations, equipment installation, etc. for which no specialized equipment has been introduced yet) becoming thus in turn new bottlenecks in the technological chain at a coal mine.

Specific **economic** characteristics of coal mining development of the USSR may be summarized as follows:

a) Availability of investment capital was no practical constraint during the first phase of mechanization, i.e. of coal winning operations. The share of face equipment in the total capital cost of an underground mine was relatively low. This situation changed drastically when (expensive) hydraulic self advancing roof support systems were introduced and the number of "completely mechanized" faces (i.e. with completely mechanized winning, coal loading, transportation and roof support operations) grew.

b) The economic benefits of high-productive, completely mechanized faces could only be realized in case all other operating systems underground were reorganized too. The total reorganization of transportation and ventilation operations turned out to be rather complicated and very capital consuming. If these reorganizations are not managed successfully the economic benefits of the introduction of a new technology turn out to be worser than expected.

c) Mining activities develop under ever worsening geological conditions of depth, gas content, etc. All economic and productivity indices of a particular mine and of the industry as a whole would thus deteriorate in absence of technological advance. Technical innovations are the only way to overcome the adverse effects of progressive depletion of low cost resources. Thus the real effects of technological advances in the area of coal mining are not adequately described by the evolution of general economic or productivity indicators, as a large share of the improvements in productivity increase and cost reduction are offset by the deterioration in the geology of the deposits mined. If in turn the rate of advance of technological improvements starts to slow down, as for instance when all high output faces are already completely mechanized and further technological improvement is approaching a barrier, cost and productivity indices start to decline under the ever deteriorating geology. This is apparently the case in the USSR coal industry since 1975.

d) The economic effects of mechanization show decreasing rates of return in time. At the beginning when manual labor is substituted by machines the economic (and social) benefits are high. In due course the capital - labor ratio starts to deteriorate even under further incremental improvements of the given technological generation. In the end of the diffusion process the new machinery penetrates into its poorest fields of application, where the economics are much worser than in the initial field of application. Nevertheless the application of a new technology even under these conditions may be justified for social (work conditions, safety) or other reasons.

e) Opencast mining is the most economic coal production method in the USSR. Production costs are 4 to 8 times lower than for underground mines, labor productivity is on an average 10 times as high as in underground mines. The rapid further development of opencast mines will result in significant structural changes in the coal mining industry of the USSR, including the geographical distribution of production (move to the east). Clearly the economic advantages of opencast mining is not primarily a result of technology. It is first the result of the more advantageous and simpler bedding conditions of the deposits being mined by opencast methods. The USSR disposes of a number of large deposits with excellent geological conditions (e.g. the Ekibastuz deposit with seam thickness of up to 100 meters of high quality coal and mines with annual capacities up to 30 million tons per year), however these deposits are located far from the main centers of consumption (and entail thus high transportation costs) and consist sometimes of low grade resources (e.g. the brown coal in the Kansk Achinsk basin, which would allow from the available resource base a tremendous production level of up to 1000 million tons per year). Still, the massive development of such giant opencast mining operations relies first on the resolution of a number of complex problems in the other sectors of the economy, including the development of appropriate transport and social infrastructure, technology developments to cope with extreme harsh climatic conditions and finally also on a reduction of the (long) lead times for production and delivery of large scale opencast mining equipment. Finally also resulting environmental problems (mine reclamation, emissions from coal conversion facilities, etc.) will have to be resolved.

The social criteria for the long term technology development in the coal mining industry of the USSR are important determinants for the decision making in coal mining activities. Despite that the criteria as well as their relative role has been changing over time it is still possible to summarize the development that the main role of technical development is to ease the hard physical labor of the people working underground, make working conditions more comfortable and safer and in general to minimize the number of underground jobs as far as possible. This was and continues to be the major social objective pursued in the mechanization process at coal mines.

2.3. A general periodization of the long term evolution of coal mining in the USSR

The time period from 1913 to 1986*, considered in our analysis of the long term development of the USSR coal mining industry can be characterized by a number of changing global situations, not only with the coal mining industry itself but with the evolution of the national economy of the USSR as a whole. Among the historical events inducing major structural changes are the October Revolution and the effects of the two World Wars. These structural change periods implied a starting point to define new objectives as well as to open new development possibilities for the coal mining industry of the country. Exploration opened up new coal basins for production, new mining technologies were developed and successively introduced into the industry. These various "turning points" in the long term evolution can be clearly seen in all macro indicators of the development of the industry. It is thus useful to differentiate in the dynamic analysis of the development of the industry between a number of historical phases of development, within which the evolution of the general situation of the industry, of the economics of coal mining and of technical change should be discussed.

The starting point of our analysis period, 1913 was the last peace year of old Russia. The effect of World War 1, the October revolution and the following civil war resulted in a drastic reduction of the coal production levels to less than one third of the pre-war period. The phase of reconstruction of the coal mining industry lasted until around 1928 when the coal production level exceeded for the first time again the pre-war level of around 30 million tons per year. The next development phase was characterized by a rapid and stable growth phase which lasted until 1941. Coal production levels rose from 35.5 to 165.9 million tons per year (i.e. at a rate of close to 14 percent per year) with new coal basins such as the Kuznetsk and the Karaganda being brought progressively into

* For statistics on the general evolution of the coal mining industry during this time period see the data appendix.

production. However the Donbass (Donetsk basin) remained the dominant coal production area accounting still for close to 60 percent of the total coal production of the USSR.

In mid 1941 World War II spread over Soviet Union. The mines in the Donbass and in the Podmoskovny basin were totally destroyed. The resulting production gap was compensated to a large extent by the rapid development of eastern coal basins, whose output increased from around 70 million tons in 1940 to 110 million tons in 1945. After the liberation of the occupied territories a rapid restoration of the mines in the Donbass and Podmoskovny basin started. By 1950 the coal production in the Donbass had again reached the level of 95 million tons it had in 1940, while the production of other basins continued to increase to a level of around 170 million tons.

The following period 1950 to 1975 was characterized by a stable growth of the total coal industry, whose output rose from 260 to 700 million tons (i.e. at an annual growth rate of 4 percent). Nearly half of this growth came from increasing output of opencast mines, whose share in the total production increased from 10 to 32 percent between 1950 and 1975.

After 1975 the growth in output of underground mines and in particular the production of the Donbass started to stagnate and later to decline. A similar situation can be observed with the evolution of labor productivity of underground mines in this time period. Only very recently some indicators of a certain revival can be observed.

2.4. General periodization of technological change in underground coal mining in the USSR

The dynamics of technological change and in particular of the mechanization in coal mining can be described as evolving through a number of characteristic phases. During the period 1913 to 1928 coal mining was essentially a hard manual labor process.

During 1928 to 1950 the use of explosives, pneumatic picks and cutters diffused throughout the industry and became the predominant tools for winning operations. In the early 1950s the first coal shearers were developed and introduced into the mines, which was the beginning of mechanization of one of the most labor consuming operations at coal faces, i.e. of coal loading.

The period 1955 to 1965 was characterized by an intensive rate of introduction and penetration of a large number of technological improvements at coal faces. Individual metal props replaced wooden ones for roof support. Retreating* longwall faces and labor saving roof support operations through self-advancing hydraulic roof supports found wider application. Mechanization of coal winning operations increased drastically: In 1955 only about 10 percent of the coal output was winned by shearers. Ten years later shearers accounted already for more than 50 percent of coal output. However, on the whole this period can rather be characterized as a period of partial mechanization of selected operations, which did not result in a rearrangement of face operations into a fully integrated mechanization scheme.

Such integrated face mechanization schemes consisting of high output shearers and chain conveyors in combination with hydraulic self advancing roof supports started to penetrate on a large scale in the period 1965 to 1980. The elements of this integrated mechanization schemes were developed since the beginning of the 1950s for the specific conditions of the Podmoskovny coal basin and became gradually adopted for the

* Recall here the main features of retreating mining schemes: The headings are driven first to the end of the mining block, thus the investment for driving the headings is concentrated prior to the start-up of mining operations. However, the uncertainty about the detailed geology (roof and floor conditions, tectonic disturbances, etc.) of the mining block is drastically reduced, resulting in a more effective production planning, lower standing times and lower production costs.

geological conditions of other coal basins. At the end of the 1970s around 45 percent of all faces were equipped with mechanization schemes including self-advancing roof supports. The share of these faces in total coal output was even higher, because of the larger output per face of these fully mechanized faces. The penetration rate has in the last ten years slowed down, due to the fact that these mechanization schemes had to be introduced gradually into faces with more complex geology, which hinders the full application of complete mechanization schemes.

Contrary to coal winning operations, where first mechanization measures were taking place since the 1930s, all *driving operations* were practically manual until the end of WW II. Loading machines and scrapers started to be introduced immediately after the end of the war and their share in the total driving work at mines increased to a peak around 45 percent in the period 1970 to 1975. Since this date their share decreases, as in turn they are replaced by driving combines, which are presently the most important technology for driving operations.

About 70 percent of *transport operations* at underground horizontal main roads were performed by manual labor and horses in the 1930s. Only by the mid 1950s their use, together with rope assets, had disappeared for transport operations. The share of locomotives in the transport operations increased from 12 percent in 1930 to over 90 percent in the 1950s. Since this time they in turn are being replaced by conveyors, which account presently for around 45 percent of the coal transported underground.

Mechanization of all the underground mining operations discussed above took place against the background of the rapid growth of coal production until the mid 1970s. Each mechanization technology introduced, resulted in the lowering of the labor requirements at the appropriate operation. The new technologies were also more productive, i.e. they allowed to intensify production in rising the coal output per face and per mine. Thus the increasing labor productivity was not only the result of the introduction of new technologies but also influenced by increasing economies of scale, which became possible through the application of new technologies. The labor (and cost) productivity increase due to concentration of production in large output mines is a result of the reduction of general expenditures through sharing of infrastructures, surface operations, etc. Another predominant feature of the development of mechanization technologies was their gradual *integration* into a complete mechanization scheme. By the integration of the individual mechanization measures at coal winning, loading, roof support and underground transport operations additional benefits in terms of labor productivity increases were obtained, which exceeded the gains from the mechanization of the individual mining operation taken separately.

The economic effects of above discussed technological developments cannot be exactly evaluated in cost terms, because of resource depletion, inflation and other factors. The best simple proxy variable to quantify the effects of technology diffusion in coal mining is the labor productivity (recall here that typically over 50 percent of the production costs at underground coal mines are labor costs).

Labor productivity* in the period 1913 to 1928 was extremely low, less than 600 kg (raw) coal per shift. This figure increased by a factor of two in the interwar industrialization period, decreased during the WW II period and after 1951 reached again its prewar value of around 1400 kg/shift. The average labor productivity at underground mines rose as a result of mechanization until 1975 to a figure of around 2800 kg/shift.

Since 1975 labor productivity decreased to around 2250 kg/shift in 1986. There are a number of reasons for this decrease in labor productivity. First deconcentration factors should be mentioned, the average output of an underground mine which had risen to 2120 tons per day (see table 6 in the data appendix) fell to around 2000 tons/day. Thus a

* See also tables 5 and 6 in the data appendix.

higher share of the production was coming from smaller mines and negative economies of scale, especially at the back-end (surface) operations of mining, exerted an influence. Second, after 1975 one can observe a slowdown from the previously observed diffusion rates of mechanization technologies. Thus it was no longer possible to compensate through mechanization for the effects of continuously deteriorating geological conditions. This slowdown of the past diffusion rates can be attributed to the difficulties of adopting certain mechanization technologies also at mines with more complex geological conditions (i.e. the field of most effective application of a technology got gradually exhausted), the lack of new technologies to overcome this difficulty and finally a certain lack of funds to cover the expenses of further mechanization investments. Finally also managerial problems had an influence on this decrease of the labor productivity.

A similar situation as for underground mines can be observed with the development of the labor productivity at opencast mines. Since 1978 the labor productivity has been decreasing, albeit from a much higher level as in underground mines, i.e. from about 24,000 kg/shift (1978) to around 22,000 kg/shift (1985/1986).

3. METHODOLOGY TO DESCRIBE TECHNOLOGICAL SUBSTITUTION

The following quantitative analysis of a number of technological substitution processes in the USSR coal mining industry was based on a data sample derived from official Soviet statistics. The data sample (Astakhov and Mookhin, 1987 and 1988) was computerized at IIASA and analyzed using standard methodologies of the analysis of technological diffusion and substitution processes. This included in particular to approximate the empirical data on the adoption rates of new innovations by S-shaped curves in order to determine the underlying parameters to describe the substitution process, in terms of the growth rate and the parameter to locate the process in time.* In case a single diffusion (substitution) process is analyzed the theoretical curve to approximate the process was assumed to be of a logistic type. However, the reality of technology development in the coal mining sector (as in other sectors) rather suggests, that at any given point in time there are more than just two technologies competing, thus the technology substitution process has to be analyzed rather as a *multiple competition* case. In such a case the replacement or introduction of new technologies is described by a set of coupled logistic equations, with however a non-logistic transition function being introduced to describe the pattern of saturation of a particular technology, linking its phases of (logistic) growth and (logistic) decline or replacement by newer technologies. This transition function is calculated as a residual (to the total market of 100 percent) for the oldest of all growing technologies after calculation of the logistic substitution pattern for the remaining growing or declining technologies.

The detail of the methodology as well as the algorithms used for parameter estimation are described elsewhere (Marchetti and Nakicenovic, 1979, Nakicenovic, 1979, and Posch, Grübler and Nakicenovic, 1987) and will not be repeated here. In the graphics we report the empirical data together with the theoretical curves used to approximate the substitution process. Note that solid lines of these curves are plotted for the time interval of the empirical observations** used to estimate the parameters of the theoretical model, dashed lines are presented for the models back- and forecasts of the substitution process.

---

* The third parameter of the logistic equation, the saturation level is in the present case known, i.e. the market share of any particular technology cannot exceed 100 percent.

** In some examples not the whole empirical data base was used to determine the parameters of the model, but only a sub period. The period of the empirical data used for the parameter estimation is reported in the statistical appendix, in the particular graphic presentation described above it can however be read off directly from the graphs.

All technological substitution process are described by measuring the "market share" F, i.e. the fractional share a particular technology accounts for in the total output of the particular mining process analyzed. The shares of technologies are calculated whenever available on the basis of (raw coal) output figures, but sometimes the primary data refer to other measures, e.g. number of faces equipped with a particular technology or the amount of work performed, expressed with a physical indicator (e.g. the amount of driving work in meters).

In an ideal case of analysis of the longer term tendencies of technology development one would analyze the technological substitution pattern using a multi-dimensional approach. Thus the share of a particular technology would be analyzed considering for instance the number of mines and faces the technology is applied, share in total output, etc. In addition also main performance indicators (e.g. output per face, labor productivity and so on) would be analyzed dynamically to identify the main driving forces and impacts of technological change.

In the present interim assessment this multidimensional approach could be followed only to a limited degree, as the availability of the primary data determined the particular dimension, in which the share of any technology was calculated. In a further analysis these measures would have to be complemented in order to overcome some of the shortcomings of simple measures like for instance counting the number of faces a particular technology is applied to, ignoring thus the different production intensity (output per face) resulting from the application of different technologies. In using different measures for determining the market share of a particular technology a higher analytical resolution of the timing and the dynamics of technological change in the coal mining industry could be achieved. For the present time being one has however, to consider such a multidimensional approach for a later date, once more detailed statistics become available.

Graphics describing the technological change are presented in the text and the various estimated parameters are discussed in the subsequent chapter and summarized in the statistical appendix. The graphics are presented both in linear form and in the logarithmic transformation $log(F/(1-F))$ (i.e. market share a particular technology accounts for, divided by the market share of all other remaining technologies and presented on logarithmic scale) as used for instance in the classical work of Fisher and Pry, 1971, converting the logistic substitution curve into a straight line. This presentation is given in order to make the (normally turbulent) early/late phases of the substitution process (e.g. below 10 or above 90 percent market share) more visible, as well as to clearly exhibit the phases of logistic growth/decline (appearing as straight lines on the graphics) from the non-logistic transition function, characteristic for the saturation phase of a particular technology or any deviations of the empirical data from the assumed logistic substitution paths.

Before turning to the more complex discussion of technological change in the coal mining industry of the USSR, which involves normally the case of multiple technological substitution, let us illustrate the methodology applied on basis of a simple technological substitution pattern. Figure 1A and 1B present a case of technological substitution of an important market outlet of the coal industry, i.e. in the transport sector.

Here we analyze the evolution of the market share of (coal powered) steam locomotives against the market share of diesel and electric powered locomotives. This particular example was adapted from Kruglikov, 1985. The market share of steam and diesel/electric locomotives is calculated by their respective share in the total t-km freight turnover. The data cover the period 1950 to 1980, however only the period from 1953 to 1972 were taken into account* to calculate the parameters of the logistic substitution

* The cut-off points for the model calculations are by default 1 and 99 percent market share respectively. Thus if data fall below or above these cut-off points (as in this example for the share of steam locomotives after 1972), they are not considered in the model parameter estimation and are not presented in the graph-



Figure 1A. Replacement of steam by diesel/electric locomotives, in fractional share of ton-km transported in the USSR (linear scale). Adapted from Kruglikov, 1985.



Figure 1B. Replacement of steam by diesel/electric locomotives, in fractional share of ton-km transported in the USSR (logarithmic transformation). Adapted from Kruglikov, 1985.

ics. In this particular example also the period 1950 to 1953 was excluded in the model parameter estimation.

model. The parameters are estimated using ordinary least squares regression of the transform $log(F/(1-F))$ (see Figure 1B). Two parameters with the following physical interpretation are estimated in the model.

The first one α, is the rate of growth, or the substitution rate of an old technology by a new one. This parameter is in the subsequent text denoted as Δt and defined as the time period in years it takes a technology to increase its market share from 10 to 90 percent, or to decrease from 90 to 10 percent respectively. As the assumed substitution function is symmetric, the total substitution time to go from 1 to 99 percent (or vice versa) is two times Δt. Note here also, that the Δt presented in the case of multiple substitution refers only to the time period of the logistic growth/decline. It is thus a measure of the "steepness" of the logistic substitution path appearing as straight line in the $log(F/(1-F))$ transformation. In case a technology starts saturating (due to the logistic growth of a newer competitor) and starts deviating from the logistic pattern, the Δt measure does not apply any longer until the point a logistic decline pattern is reassumed, with eventually a different Δt.

The second parameter, denoted as t_0 locates the substitution curve in time. It is defined as the point of inflection (year 1960 in Figures 1A and 1B), where 50 percent market share are reached. The growth rate of the substitution process (first derivative of the logistic substitution function) reaches by definition its maximum at t_0.

4. TECHNOLOGY DIFFUSION IN THE COAL MINING INDUSTRY OF THE USSR

The following chapter presents the results of the analysis of technological change in the coal mining industry of the USSR. Whenever possible, the analysis tried to be as comprehensive as possible with respect to the geographical coverage, i.e. an effort was made to analyze the technological development for the whole industry in the USSR. For some examples however, data availability or significant differences in the geology of the different coal basins restricted the analysis to a smaller sample, e.g. underground mines in the Donbass, as the most important coal basin of the USSR.

The examples analyzed start with a discussion of technological change at underground mines. First, face operations are analyzed. This is followed by a discussion of the technological trends in driving and transport operations. Finally an analysis of the long-term trends in the share of opencast versus underground mining as well as a preliminary simple model of the underlying driving force of this structural change is presented.

Three main types of operations were analyzed for coal faces: roof control, winning and roof support operations. Each of these operations depends highly on the specific geological conditions prevailing at the coal face, which in turn are highly diverse in the different coal basins of the USSR. Practically no single technique can be applied to all possible ranges of geological conditions and technology development in the coal industry is always aimed at developing differentiated models to respond to this range of different coal beddings. Thus it should not be surprising that technological substitution patterns are not always regular and complete, as the introduction of a particular technology into different geological conditions may not be always feasible and/or the diffusion pattern my proceed under these conditions at a slower rate than observed historically.

For an analysis of the **roof control** technologies, data for the Donbass, with 200 million tons coal production per year the most important coal basin of the USSR, were analyzed for the period 1940 to 1986. The two main groups of competing technologies are stowage (as a rule partial stowage) and artificial roof collapse, i.e. controlled caving of the roof. Stowing was the predecessor technology to caving and resulted in high labor requirements. For a long time the use of stowing was practically unavoidable as caving could not manage with hard roof conditions typical for a large number of faces. This situation changed when new types of special metal supports were introduced in the late 1960s. Thus, caving became possible also under these conditions and as a result the share of coal output coming from faces with caving as roof control increased along the logistic substitution pattern shown in Figures 2A and 2B to the present dominance of around 95 percent.



Figure 2A. Evolution of coal output by two main types of roof control in the Donbass (linear scale).



Figure 2B. Evolution of coal output by two main types of roof control in the Donbass (logarithmic transformation).

The speed of this substitution process is estimated via the model to have a Δt of around 52 years. The fit of the model to the empirical data appears with an R^2 of 0.962 reasonable, especially after the period starting 1960. For the period before however, the model fit is not particularly good. This time period however, was not a very homogeneous phase, as it includes the time period of WW II and the subsequent reconstruction of the mines in the Donbass. The growth of caving in the period 1940 to 1955, was actually not based on new techniques of roof control, consequently the diffusion rate is slower than in the period thereafter, when the substitution process was primarily driven by the availability of new technology.

Based on the theoretical approximation of the substitution process, provided by the model we can make some tentative forecasts of the future possible development of roof control techniques. If no radically new technology becomes available, (partial) stowing techniques may eventually be totally replaced by caving techniques by the year 2000 in the Donbass.

Figures 3A and 3B present the results of the analysis of the mechanization of **coal winning** operations for the underground coal mining industry of the USSR. Manual operations for breaking the coal from the face wall were substituted first by the use of explosives and picks and later by cutters. However loading of the broken coal on conveyors remained a manual process until the introduction of cutters in the beginning of the 1950s. The model fit to the empirical data appears satisfactory, with the very first phase of the introduction of shearers (up to 10 percent of total coal output) proceeding somewhat faster, than suggested by the model. In addition, a considerable slowdown in the diffusion rate of shearers since 1975 can be observed. Whether this is a technological problem due to the fact that (conventional) shearer technology has already penetrated into all areas of its most effective application and penetrations into other areas is more difficult, or this may be the result of capital shortages for new investments and thus an indicator of a certain stagnation in the industry, cannot be resolved here. The resulting model forecasts, while realistic in the general direction, are thus rather uncertain with respect to the continuation of the long term diffusion rate of the introduction of shearers and it may well be possible that shearers will not at all or only at a later date, than suggested by the model penetrate into the last 10 percent market niche of coal winning mechanization.

Technical advance in **roof support** operations is reported in figures 4A and 4B for the underground coal mining industry of the USSR. The data refer to the number of faces equipped with wooden or metallic individual roof supports and self-advancing hydraulic roof support systems. Unfortunately no data on the share of different roof support systems in the total output were available, the data thus do not account for the different production intensity achieved in high-productive completely mechanized faces with self-advancing hydraulic roof support compared with the lower output at faces with individual wooden or metallic roof support.

Roof support technologies are of highest importance as they reflect in fact a whole complex of interrelated face operations, and constitute thus a proxy for developments of other face operations. The substitution of wooden props by metal ones was a necessary first step in conjunction with the introduction of shearers. The integration of different types of metallic props and supports into an integrated system enabled the development of completely mechanized face operation schemes.

The fit of a technological diffusion model to the empirical data allows for two conclusions. First, the fit of the model to the diffusion and substitution of wooden and metallic individual roof supports over the period 1940 to 1970 is quite satisfactory. Second, the model enables to capture the introduction and growth up to 50 percent share of the total number of faces of hydraulic self-advancing roof supports, i.e. the period from 1960 to 1980. However, this particular example demonstrates also that relatively regular diffusion patterns might not persist over the whole life cycle of a particular technology. Particularly noteworthy is the deviation in the share of faces equipped with wooden props, which



Figure 3A. Evolution of coal output by three technologies of coal winning at coal faces in the underground coal mining industry of the USSR (linear scale).



Figure 3B. Evolution of coal output by three technologies of coal winning at coal faces in the underground coal mining industry of the USSR (logarithmic transformation).

since 1970 continued to stay around 25 percent. Wooden props were thus not further replaced as indicated by the historical substitution process between 1940 to 1970. Noteworthy is also the slowdown of the diffusion of hydraulic roof supports after 1980 and especially the trend reversal (i.e. decline of the share of hydraulic roof supports) between



Figure 4A. Share of different roof supports in the total number of faces in the underground coal mining industry of the USSR (linear scale).



Figure 4B. Share of different roof supports in the total number of faces in the underground coal mining industry of the USSR (logarithmic transformation).

1985 and 1986.

It is at present difficult to explain this somewhat atypical deviation from the historical diffusion pattern. Above mentioned measurement problem, i.e. that the data do not take into account the different production intensity at the different faces by considering only their share in the total number of faces, is however probably the most important cause of this deviation. In considering the higher output from completely mechanized faces, the market share of hydraulic roof support faces should be considerably higher and the diffusion pattern thus more regular. By the same token faces equipped with wooden props, although they still account for one quarter of all faces will account for a significant smaller share in the output of the industry. One would have thus to analyze the same substitution process measuring the market share of different technologies in terms of output before a definitive conclusion on the deviation from long term technological substitution patterns could be reached and possible causes (like lack of investment funds, etc.) be speculated on.

Before turning in the discussion on the technological trends of driving operations, let us conclude the discussion of face operations with an analysis of the share of advancing versus retreating faces in the total output. For this particular example, data for the Donbass for the period 1940 to 1986 were available for analysis. As can be seen from figures 5A and 5B the actual development cannot be approximated by a simple substitution model over the whole time horizon under study.

Retreating face operation schemes are more advantageous as they allow to obtain beforehand (i.e. by driving the headings) better information on the geology (e.g. tectonic disturbances, etc.) of the mining block to be developed and thus allow for more flexible and faster production. In principle retreating face operation schemes can be applied within the whole range of advancing systems applications. However, to prepare a given face for retreating operating system takes much more time and concentrates investments up-front, i.e. prior to production start-up.

Figures 5A and 5B indicate however, that the share of retreating face operations was remaining at around 10 percent of the total coal output in the Donbass for the period 1940 to 1955. This share increased then rapidly to over 30 percent up to 1960 in order to assume a regular logistic substitution pattern in the period thereafter. Consequently the model estimates of the substitution process took only the data for the time period 1960 to 1986 into consideration.

The reason for the deviation of the actual data with the estimated substitution model in the time period 1940 to 1960 is rather obvious. In the time period up to 1955 it was necessary to reestablish as fast as possible the pre-WW II production level in the Donbass after the destruction of the mines during WW II. It was thus much simpler to expand the output by advancing systems of face development. After 1955 we can observe a rapid catch-up effect, which was made possible through the availability of loading machines and combines (speeding up driving work) and resulted in a fast replacement of advancing by retreating face development schemes. This process was completed by 1960, and only since that time we can consider the development following a standard substitution process. Evidently, our simple model of technological substitution can not describe the historical development over the whole time horizon, as the actual development in the period following WW II was highly influenced by external factors.

Still the fit of the substitution model after 1960 can be considered as reasonable, and assuming a continuation of the trend beyond 1986 one might expect that by the year 2000 some 80 percent of coal output of the Donbass will come from retreating faces.

Above discussed innovations introduced at coal faces have resulted in a significant improvement of the economic performance of underground mines. The daily coal output from an operating face (see table 3 in the data appendix) increased from 106 tons in 1940 to 454 tons in 1975 (and declined to 404 tons/day in 1986) for the industry average. In the Donbass it increased from 103 to 393 tons/day from 1940 to 1975 (and decreased to 316 tons in 1986). Labor productivity at the coal face (see table 6 in the data appendix) increased from 3.92 tons/shift in 1940 to 9.71 in 1975 (8.62 in 1986) for the average of all underground mines in the USSR. The figures for the Donbass indicate an increase from 3.53 tons/shift in 1940 to 7.14 in 1975 (6.17 in 1986).



Figure 5A. Share of advancing versus retreating longwall faces in coal output in the Donbass (linear scale).



Figure 5B. Share of advancing versus retreating longwall faces in coal output in the Donbass (logarithmic transformation).

The innovations introduced increased thus the production intensity by a factor of about four and the labor productivity at the coal face by a factor of 2.5. One can conclude that the observed decrease in the production intensity and labor productivity since 1975 can certainly be attributed in part to the observed slowdown in the diffusion rates of the introduction of new technologies at coal faces since 1975.

Competing technologies* for **driving operations** were analyzed on basis of data for the whole underground mining industry of the USSR (figures 6A and 6B).

The share of the different technologies was measured based on their share in the total meters driven in a particular year. The fit of the empirical data by the multiple substitution model can be considered as quite satisfactory with R^2s ranging from 0.92 to 0.99. Only the early introduction phase (i.e. below 10 percent of market share) of combines appears to have been much faster than described by the model. Manual driving operations are being replaced with a Δt of around 37 years by loading machines (e.g. scrapers) and later combines. Loading machines like scrapers in turn appear in their long-run saturation phase, so that one would expect on basis of the model forecasts an increasing predominance of the use of combines in driving operations.

One should keep however in mind in interpreting above results that in general the type of development workings, their cross cuts and the geological conditions of their driving are highly different, and one should not expect that these diverse conditions can be satisfied by a single type of machinery like combines. In view of this, the restricting assumption underlying our model, that any technology may eventually approach a 100 percent market share (so not substituted in turn by a newer technology), may not hold. Thus, one ought to analyze each driving machinery separately (including a disaggregation in its most important subvariants) under a given range of (rather homogeneous) geological conditions (e.g. separating flat from inclined bedding conditions) to estimate the final potential field of application of a particular technology. Similar statements can be made on other mining operations (e.g. winning), however, such a detailed analysis can only be performed at a later stage, once more detailed statistical data become available.

Underground transport operations at horizontal roads are analyzed for the whole underground coal mining industry of the USSR in figures 7A and 7B. Underground transport based on horses and manual labor had disappeared by the mid 1950s. In line with rope assets they were substituted by locomotives, which by the beginning of the 1960s became the predominant form of underground transport, with over 90 percent of the tonnage transported. Later on locomotives started to become replaced themselves by conveyor transport.

This process involved in fact a great number of different types of locomotives and conveyors, each on them having their own field of effective application. But in general the trend was in favor of conveyors, which increased their market share with a Δt of 48 years. The model fit to the actual data can be considered as quite satisfactory. Based on the model projections one might expect that by the year 2000 over 70 percent of underground transport will be performed by conveyors, with locomotives accounting for the remainder.

Underground transport in inclined workings are analyzed in figures 8A and 8B. The reason that transport operations were analyzed separately for flat and inclined workings is that locomotives cannot be used in inclined workings. Under these conditions special transport systems have to be used.

The rate of substitution of conveyors for rope assets was in fact faster (Δt of 34 years) in inclined workings than in flat workings. Although the fit of the substitution model to the empirical data is not particularly accurate, the model still captures the essential dynamics of this technological substitution process. The only uncertainty which remains at present is related to the (future) limit in the inclination where conveyors still can be applied, which will determine whether in the future the share of conveyor transport in inclined workings will increase beyond its current 90 percent market share.

* Note that by the term scraper we refer to loading machines in general, in absence of any further available disaggregation in our statistical data base.



Figure 6A. Evolution of shares of different driving technologies in amount of driving work, total underground coal mining industry of the USSR (linear scale).



Figure 6B. Evolution of shares of different driving technologies in amount of driving work, total underground coal mining industry of the USSR (logarithmic transformation).

The effects of above discussed technological trends in underground transport operations can be seen clearly on its impact on the labor productivity for underground transport operations. Labor productivity at transport operations at all underground mines of the USSR increased from 9.3 tons/shift in 1940 to a peak of 23.8 tons/shift in 1974 (i.e.



Figure 7A. Share of different transport modes at horizontal roads in underground coal mining industry of the USSR (linear scale).



Figure 7B. Share of different transport modes at horizontal roads in underground coal mining industry of the USSR (logarithmic transformation).

by a factor of 3).

However since 1974 it has decreased to around 17 tons/shift (see table 6 in the data appendix). In the Donbass the productivity increased from 7.1 to 16.7 tons/shift from 1940 to 1974 (i.e. a factor of 2.4) in order to decrease thereafter to 11.5 tons/shift in 1986.



Figure 8A. Share of rope assets and conveyors at inclined workings in underground coal mining industry of the USSR (linear scale).



Figure 8B. Share of rope assets and conveyors at inclined workings in underground coal mining industry of the USSR (logarithmic transformation).

Thus, we can observe a similar tendency reversal in the labor productivity after 1975 for transport operations than we observed for face operations.

We will conclude our discussion on technological trends in the coal mining industry of the USSR by considering a technological structural change process at the highest level of aggregation of technologies: i.e. the shift in the share of **opencast versus underground mining** in the total coal production tonnage of the USSR. The trends in the market share the two methods account for in the total coal production is presented in figures 9A and 9B. The success of opencast mining is evident from these figures.

Nevertheless figure 9B shows, that a particular model cannot be applied over the whole historical range of the development of opencast mining technology. Prior to WW II opencast mining accounted only for slightly over 1 percent of total coal production of the USSR. An expansion of its share in total output was physically impossible in absence of a sufficient resource base suitable for opencast mining. This situation changed only with the discovery of large resources (Kansk-Achinsk and Ekibastuz basins and some others). Once these resources had been discovered it was possible to expand production rapidly, especially during the wartime period, where the necessity arose to move production eastwards to the non occupied part of the territory. Only after these two exogenous events happened, one can consider that opencast mining entered in a technological competition with traditional underground coal mining. Consequently the substitution process was analyzed using the data from 1945 to 1986 only in order to determine the parameters of the logistic substitution model.

For this period however the fit of the model appears to be excellent (R^2 of 0.98) and opencast mining is substituting for underground mining at a regular pace with a Δt of 96 years. If this historic trend continues one might expect that by 1992 opencast mines will account for half of the total coal production in the USSR. This appears not infeasible both in view of the large resources available as well as in taking analogies to the case of the USA where opencast mines account for over 60 percent of total output.

Certainly the most effective direction of the long-term technological development in the coal mining industry of the USSR is the substitution of underground mining by opencast mining. The principal reason for such a development was discussed already earlier, it lies within the comparative advantage of opencast mining (i.e. in its substantially lower production costs) as a result of favorable geological bedding conditions enabling the use of giant high-productive equipment and resulting high labor productivity. Recall here that on average the labor productivity at opencast mines is 10 times as high as in underground mines (see table 5 in the data appendix).

This enables us to formulate a simple model on the driving force underlying such a long-term technological substitution process. Briefly the hypothesis is that the level of diffusion/substitution is a function of the *comparative advantage** of a particular new technology over an old one. In fact this comparative advantage is in reality a complex vector of a number of economic, technical, social and other variables. For our purpose, we will concentrate on the relative economics as one (and as it appears in this particular case the principal) driving variable of the substitution process.

In absence of detailed statistics on production costs, we consider the labor productivity as a proxy variable for the production economics of the two competing technologies. Recall here, that typically over half of the production costs at underground mines are labor costs. Under our hypothesis that the comparative (economic) advantage is the main driving force of the long term substitution of opencast mines for underground mines we

* This hypothesis is in fact very similar to the comparative advantage variable as originally formulated by Mansfield, 1961. Mansfields model relates the rate of diffusion (substitution), i.e. the Δt in our terminology, to the (*ex post* determined) expected comparative advantage differential (profitability in his case) of technologies. Mansfields model assumes however, that the relative (expected) comparative advantage differential between technologies remains constant over the whole diffusion period. In our case, we allow the relative productivity between opencast and underground mining to change over time and relate the achieved diffusion level (share in coal output) of opencast mining to the (changing) realized productivity differential between the two mining methods.



Figure 9A. Share of underground and opencast mining in total coal production of the USSR (linear scale).



Figure 9B. Share of underground and opencast mining in total coal production of the USSR (logarithmic transformation).

perform a regression analysis of the share of opencast mining in the total coal production over the time period 1940 to 1986 as a function of the comparative advantage of opencast mines expressed as the labor productivity differential between opencast and underground mines (derived from table 5 in the data appendix). The regression yields the following result:

$$M_S = -6.027 + 4.453\ P_{rel}$$

where

M_S is the share of opencast mining in total coal output (percent)

P_{rel} is the productivity differential expressed as ratio between the average labor productivity at opencast mines over underground mines

n = 37

R^2 (adjusted for degrees of freedom) = 0.951

t value of P_{rel} = 26.5

We can conclude, that above regression explains 95 percent of the variance in the market share of opencast mining and that the diffusion level appears predominantly determined by the comparative (economic) advantage of opencast mining over underground mining, as expressed in the productivity differentials. Figure 10 shows a scattergram of the observed versus the predicted market shares of opencast mining showing the satisfactory fit of our simple model.



Figure 10. Scattergram of observed versus predicted market shares of opencast mining in total coal production of the USSR.

The high explicative power of this simple model of the driving forces of a long-term structural shift in coal production technologies, is not necessarily in contradiction to the complex set of other factors influencing the development of opencast versus underground coal mining in the USSR. Clearly factors like high transport costs or considerations of preserving employment at underground mines were and continue to be decisive in the process of technological change in the coal mining industry of the USSR. We interpret thus above results rather as a consistency check, whether the relative contribution of the two mining methods to total coal output and the evolution of their relative comparative (economic) advantage is internally consistent and are moving along a similar pace. The results of our simple model indicate they are. This however does not imply, that we consider that the complex set of driving variables responsible for the long-term shift from underground to opencast mining methods can be reduced to a simple two parameter model. Our model indicates however, that in absence of a matching technological development (as reflected in the higher relative labor productivity of opencast mining) the observed historical pattern in production shift would have been very difficult if not impossible to achieve.

Finally, let us return in our discussion on the long-term prospects of opencast mining. Certainly the increasing share of opencast mining in the coal production of the USSR as suggested by figures 9A and 9B will continue in the near to medium-term. However, it also appears likely, that this substitution trend will not continue to the extent of a complete replacement of underground mining. We can thus expect a similar discontinuity in the diffusion pattern, as already observed at the beginning of this process, where the availability of new, large resources enabled the long term substitution process to take off. The ultimate level of the share of opencast mining will to a large degree be determined by the available resource base. The resources available for opencast mining, especially in the eastern part of the USSR are however very large. Only the in situ reserves alone amount to over 166* billion tons (compared with the 320 million tons produced in 1986), which would allow to maintain the current production level for some 250 years, even in considering that only half of the reserves may eventually become recoverable. Thus, it is at present not possible to determine the ultimate limit of the share of opencast mining in the USSR. If the US experience is a guide, the share of opencast mining could easily increase to over 60 percent (which would be the case after the year 2000 on basis of our model extrapolation) before stabilizing its share thereafter. Thus the prospects of opencast mining appear - contrary to underground mining in the European part of the USSR - rather promising and further significant growth of this branch of the coal industry can be expected.

5. CONCLUSION

As this paper is (to the authors' knowledge) the first attempt to analyze technological diffusion and substitution processes in an industry sector of a planned economy, based on standard models of technological diffusion/substitution developed for market economies, a number of conclusions can be drawn from such an exercise. These conclusions deal firstly with the applicability and the limitations of the methodological apparatus used. Secondly, the usefulness of the information gained by technological diffusion and substitution analysis will be discussed. Thirdly, some conclusions on the general state of the industry with respect to technology diffusion and future prospects will be sketched out. And finally, some ideas on future extensions and a deepening of this type of analysis will be presented, which the authors consider worthwhile in view of the initial results achieved in this interim assessment.

The first conclusion of the present study deals with the applicability of the formal analysis instruments used in an industry sector of a planned economy. The answer is, despite the shortcomings of a relatively simple model, discussed in more depth below, that the model worked surprisingly well. This is insofar noteworthy, that the present study constituted an initial attempt to deploy models of technological change outside the

* Source: Kuznetsov et al., 1971 and Astakhov, 1977.

framework of market economies, in which they have originally been developed.

Technological evolution and substitution appears, in principle, to follow a similar technological life cycle pattern in planned economies, as amply documented for market economies. The present study has shown, that it is not only possible to model the pattern of technological change, but also to propose and test successfully an (although simple and preliminary) model of the driving forces of technological substitution processes in a planned economy. Comparative (economic) advantage appears therefore at work also in planned economies driving the diffusion of new and the replacement of old technologies. The study has also shown, that in some cases other (external) factors, e.g. related to the (geological) specifics of coal mining operations or other factors, planning may result in a strong discontinuity in diffusion and substitution patterns (discussed for instance in the example of roof support technologies), putting thus the preponderance of comparative technological and economic advantages in the long term changes in the technology base at coal mines in the USSR into perspective.

The study has also revealed a number of shortcomings and limitations in the applicability of the proposed single and multiple logistic substitution models. The examples analyzed have shown that technological substitution patterns can show deviant behavior from the assumed logistic pattern. Whereas it is not surprising that sometimes the early phase of introduction a technology (i.e. below 10% market share) can sometimes proceed faster than suggested by the model in reflecting a kind of catch-up effect due to adoption externalities (documented often for market economies), a number of cases remain where the examples analyzed show that a particular model of technology diffusion and substitution may only be applicable during a certain time period of the life cycle of a given technology.

There are two reasons for this. First, the importance of external events, have already be mentioned. Among the ones discussed in this paper, we recall the effect of World War II and the consequent rapid reconstruction of mines, which in some cases slowed down the diffusion of more recent (and more expensive) technology, or the discovery of important opencast mining resources, which enabled opencast mining methods at all to enter a phase of (logistic) substitution with underground mining. Secondly, the specifics of coal mining as an industrial process, i.e., the technology employed is first of all a result of the natural bedding conditions of the deposit mined, have to be considered. Finally, also the impact of centrally planning and its different market clearing mechanism ought to be considered, also the study has shown that its influence on deviations from the basic pattern of technological change (e.g. when compared to market economies) appears to be relatively small, at least in the industry sector considered in this study.

The areas of application of a particular mining technology are extremely heterogeneous (much more than in other industrial sectors). This explains why not all technologies can be applied in 100% of the deposits mined and sometimes a deviation from the historically observed logistic substitution pattern towards the end of the life cycle of a particular mining technology, (when it enters its most difficult and least advantageous areas of applications) can be observed.

The limits of applicability of our simple model encountered in the analysis is to a large extent the result of a certain shortcoming of the data base available for analysis. In this study we dealt with rather high level aggregates, whereas each technology consists in fact of a large number of subvariants, specifically designed to correspond to the geological conditions of the deposit mined. In addition, the available data base did not allow (or only in one case) to differentiate between different ranges of geological conditions at underground mines. Clustering of applications of particular technologies under a range of comparable conditions was therefore not possible, but is considered as a necessary step in a further analysis. It is our contention, that much of the deviant behavior of technological substitution processes observed in our analysis could be better understood and are in fact not a deficiency of the model applied, but rather the result of a too high level aggregation of the available data. However, in absence of a more detailed data base this contention cannot be confirmed for the time being.

Related to this limitation imposed on us by the available data, we would like to point to two further areas of improvements of our analysis. First of all, data referring to technological change in opencast mining should be assembled, as the results of our analysis have confirmed the trend of growing importance of opencast mining in the USSR. Secondly, more detailed data are needed to use a multi-attribute approach and to describe technological change using various measures. The analysis of roof support technologies has clearly shown, for instance, the problematique related to describe technological change in simply analyzing the number of faces a particular technology is applied to and not also by the physical output, in considering the different production intensity enabled by different technologies.

Whereas models of technological diffusion and substitution cannot answer the question with regard to the time and rate of introduction of new technologies, not in use today (as for instance in situ coal gasification) they can however provide a good quantitative insight into the technology dynamics inside a particular industry branch useful for planning purposes in learning from past experience. In this context it is worthwhile to note that technological change in the coal mining industry is a rather slow process. Typically it takes a number of decades for a new technology to grow from 10 to 90% market share. The analysis has also shown that the diffusion rate is a function of the aggregation of analysis, i.e., technologies at a high level of aggregation (e.g., opencast versus underground mines) have Δts in the order of 100 years, whereas penetration of technologies into smaller (sub-) markets proceeds substantially faster.

The analysis presented here and its quantitative results can therefore yield better insights into the lead and diffusion times required for the introduction and implementation of new technological systems, be it at the national or industry level. It therefore provides a good guidance framework in long term planning and assessing the prospects and impacts of technologies proposed to be introduced into the industry. Finally, as many of the technologies are closely interrelated (as for instance shearers, hydraulic roof supports and conveyor transport) diffusion/substitution analysis can provide a consistency check on the penetration of these technologies. As can be seen from the statistical appendix, the diffusion rates and time location parameters of the technologies are, even they are closely interrelated, far from synchronized or of similar time constants. This provides possible further useful information for planning purposes, in terms that potential bottlenecks as well as necessary prerequisites in the further development of a particular technology application can be identified.

The analysis has shown that the underground coal mining industry of the USSR can be characterized as a mature industry sector. Most new technologies have diffused beyond the 50% market share level, and no radically new technologies appear readily available, which could yield similar productivity gains, than the ones resulting from the introduction of (complete) mechanization schemes after World War II.

The analysis has further shown, that the diffusion rates of most technologies have began to slow down after 1975, compared to previous experience. Whether this is the result of the progressive exhaustion of the most effective fields of application of these technologies or may be interpreted as a sign of stagnation in the technological development of the industry (or both), cannot be resolved in detail within the context of the present paper. The implications of this development are however straightforward. It will in future be ever more difficult to compensate for the progressive effects of deteriorating geological conditions, due to the depletion of low cost underground mining deposits. Consequently all economic indicators including the labour productivity can be expected not to improve, even to deteriorate. As the data presented in the data appendix on the general evolution of the industry shows, the signs of stagnation in the technological development of underground mining industry are reflected in deteriorating productivity indicators ever since 1975.

The only technological option for high productivity and low cost coal production available for the industry appears thus further development of opencast mining. This will have significant implications not only on the geographical distribution of coal production but will also require significant investments into infrastructure to transport additional coal quantities to the main centers of consumption. In the opinion of the authors this option appears quite feasible both in view of the large reserves available as well as the still existing expansion potential for opencast mining, which could in the future account for more than 50% of the coal mined in the USSR.

We would like to conclude this paper by proposing some directions for further research, which we hope the present paper and results will help to stimulate.

The first research direction would be to expand and detail the present analysis into two directions. First, in introducing a more detailed and multidimensional approach in the analysis of underground mining technologies in view of the conclusions presented above. Secondly, the analysis should address technological trends in opencast mining technologies. This to check both similarities and differences in the rates of technological advance in underground and opencast mining and to identify the opencast mining technologies which appear to have still a large growth potential.

The second research direction would follow the IIASA tradition of comparative cross national analysis. Through the proposed uniform methodological framework it would be possible to assess technological change in the coal mining industry of different countries, to compare their structural similarities and to single out differences and specifics of technological change in different countries. First preliminary analyses performed for the USA, the UK, and the FRG (see e.g. Marchetti and Nakicenovic, 1979, and Grübler, 1987) show encouraging results and could be used in conjunction with the present analysis of the USSR coal mining industry.

Finally an assessment of the driving forces and impacts of mechanization in coal mining could be performed. Provided sufficient data become available, this could yield a detailed model of the underlying driving forces of technological diffusion and substitution in coal mining. This research direction is especially challenging as one would have to integrate a model of resource depletion to assess the benefits of mechanization. All general industry indicators, while showing impressively the impact of mechanization, underestimate in fact the impacts and benefits from this technological diffusion process, as part of the benefits are counterbalanced by the deteriorating geology of the deposits mined.

It is only through technological change that the coal mining industry can hope to face the challenge of deteriorating geology in striving for economic and safe production of coal, the fossil resource so vital in the historical development of industrialized countries.

REFERENCES

Astakhov, A., 1977, The Geological - Mining Appraisal of the Major Coal Basins in the USSR: Materials for the Coal Resources Working Group of the IIASA Energy Project, IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria (mimeo).

Astakhov, A. and A. Grübler, 1984, Resource Requirements and Economics of the Coal-Mining Process: A Comparative Analysis of Mines in Selected Countries, RR-84-13, IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria.

Astakhov, A. and A. Mookhin, 1987, Technological Development of Individual Processes in the USSR Coal Mining Industry: Analysis of some Retrospections, IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria (mimeo).

Astakhov, A. and A. Mookhin, 1988, Technological Development of Individual Processes in the USSR Coal Mining Industry: Data and Industry Statistics, IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria (mimeo).

Fisher, J.C. and R.H. Pry, 1971, A Simple Substitution Model of Technological Change, Technological Forecasting and Social Change 3, 1971, pp 75-88.

Grübler, A., 1987, Technology Diffusion in a Long Wave Context: The Case of the Steel and Coal Industries, paper presented at the workshop on Life Cycles and Long Waves July 7-10 1987, Montpellier, France, IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria.

Kruglikov, A.G., 1985, Dynamics Modeling of Technological Innovation Diffusion Processes, paper presented at the IIASA Task Force Meeting on Dynamizing Technology October 28-29, 1985, IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria.

Kundel, H., 1979, Die Strebetechnik im deutschen Steinkohlenbergbau, Glückauf 115 (1979) Nr. 15, pp 748-758.

Kundel, H., 1985, Face Technology in Germany's Coal Mines in 1984, in: R. H. Bachstroem (Ed.), German Longwall Mining, Verlag Glückauf, Essen, pp 9-21.

Kuznetsov, K.K., et al., 1971, Coal Deposits for Opencast Mining, Nedra, Moscow (in Russian).

Mansfield, E., 1961, Technical Change and the Rate of Imitation, Econometrica Vol. 29 No.4, pp. 741-766.

Mansfield, E., 1968, Industrial Research and Technological Innovation, An Econometric Analysis, W.W. Norton & Co, New York.

Marchetti, C. and N. Nakicenovic, 1979, The Dynamics of Energy Systems and the Logistic Substitution Model, RR-79-13, IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria.

Nakicenovic, N., 1979, Software Package for the Logistic Substitution Model, RR-79-12, IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria.

Posch, M., A. Grübler and N. Nakicenovic, 1987, Methods of Estimating S-shaped Growth Functions: Algorithms and Computer Programs, IIASA, Laxenburg Austria.

Uhlmann, L. and G. Ray, 1979, The Innovation Process in the Energy Industries, Cambridge University Press.

Ray, G., 1985, Energy, in: J. Clark (Ed.), Technological Trends and Employment: 2 Basic Process Industries, Gower House, Aldershot, UK, pp 1-69.

Souder, W.E. and A. Quaddus, 1982, A Decision-Modeling Approach to Forecasting the Diffusion of Longwall Mining Technologies, Technological Forecasting and Social Change 21 (1979), pp 1-14.

A P P E N D I X

Data appendix: Tables A-1 to A-6

Statistical appendix: Table A-7

TABLE A-1

COAL OUTPUT IN THE USS 1913-1986,

10^6 (metric) tons

Year	Total	underground mines	out of: hydraulic mining	opencut mines
1913	29.2	29.0	-	0.2
1917	31.3	31.0	-	0.3
1920	8.7	8.6	-	0.1
1928	35.5	35.2	-	0.3
1930	47.8	47.4	-	0.4
1935	109.6	108.3	-	1.3
1940	165.9	159.6	-	6.3
1945	149.3	131.5	-	17.8
1950	261.1	234.0	-	27.1
1955	389.9	325.4	0.600	64.5
1960	509.6	407.6	2.600	102.0
1965	577.7	437.2	4.700	140.5
1970	624.1	457.5	6.200	166.6
1971	640.8	461.7	n.a.	179.1
1972	655.2	465.0	n.a.	190.2
1973	667.6	468.2	9.872	199.4
1974	684.5	471.8	9.075	212.7
1975	701.3	475.5	9.100	225.8
1976	711.5	479.9	n.a.	231.6
1977	722.1	478.1	n.a.	244.0
1978	723.6	469.7	n.a.	253.9
1979	718.7	459.9	n.a.	258.8
1980	716.4	445.5	8.900	270.9
1981	704.0	428.5	n.a.	275.5
1982	718.1	432.0	n.a.	286.1
1985	726.4	421.7	8.200	304.7
1986	751.1	429.9	n.a.	321.2

TABLE A-2

COAL OUTPUT BY BASIN IN THE USSR 1913-1986,
10^6 (metric) tons

Year	Total	Donbass	Kuzbass	Karaganda	Ekibastuz
1913	29.2	25.3	0.77	-	-
1917	31.3	24.8	1.26	-	-
1920	8.7	4.5	0.9	-	-
1928	35.5	27.3	2.6	-	-
1930	47.8	n a	n a	-	-
1935	109.6	n a	n a	-	-
1940	165.9	94.3	22.5	6.30	-
1945	149.3	38.4	30.0	11.50	-
1950	261.1	94.6	38.5	16.40	-
1955	389.9	139.2	56.9	25.80	2.30
1960	509.6	186.2	84.0	26.20	6.00
1965	577.7	217.4	97.3	31.30	14.30
1970	624.1	223.0	113.3	38.80	22.80
1975	701.3	225.3	137.6	46.30	45.80
1979	718.7	211.5	148.1	46.80	59.20
1980	716.4	205.6	145.0	48.60	66.50
1981	704.0	202.2	142.9	48.30	67.60
1982	718.1	203.0	145.3	48.90	69.34
1985	726.4	198.7	146.2	49.80	80.45
1986	751.1	200.6	147.4	51.19	85.73

TABLE A-3

DAILY COAL OUTPUT PER OPERATING FACE IN THE USSR

1940 - 1986,

tons/day per face

Year	Total Coal Mining Industry	out of: Donbass
1940	106	103
1950	107	106
1960	197	198
1965	253	245
1966	268	261
1967	278	273
1968	301	295
1969	317	317
1970	331	313
1971	354	332
1972	384	358
1973	415	380
1974	440	390
1975	454	393
1979	421	339
1980	409	328
1981	395	312
1982	396	312
1985	389	305
1986	404	316

TABLE A-4

DAILY COAL OUTPUT PER MINE IN THE USSR

1940 - 1986,

tons per day

Year	Total Coal Mining Industry	Donbass
1940	738	720
1950	688	536
1960	1148	923
1965	1442	1199
1966	1489	1256
1967	1562	1313
1968	1646	1387
1969	1687	1428
1970	1804	1570
1971	1872	1647
1972	1933	1695
1973	2039	1804
1974	2083	1831
1975	2120	1844
1976	2170	1884
1977	2173	1881
1978	2108	1808
1979	2087	1776
1980	1996	1742
1981	1942	1691
1982	1966	1692
1985	1974	1722
1986	2014	1753

TABLE A-5

LABOR PRODUCTIVITY AT COAL MINES IN THE USSR

1913 - 1986

Year	tons/month per person employed			tons per shift		
	total	underground mines	open cut mines	total	underground mines	open cut mines
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1913	12.8	12.8	-	0.576	0.576	-
1928	12.7	12.7	-	0.572	0.572	-
1932	16.2	16.2	-	0.729	0.729	-
1937	26.9	n.a.	n.a.	1.170	n.a.	-
1940	30.6	29.9	65.7	1.324	1.293	2.887
1945	23.8	21.6	86.9	0.968	0.874	3.557
1950	30.1	27.8	96.3	1.301	1.199	4.176
1951	32.4	29.8	105.4	1.409	1.296	4.577
1952	33.8	30.8	118.4	1.468	1.337	5.082
1953	34.8	31.1	132.3	1.517	1.357	5.666
1954	36.3	31.9	150.7	1.599	1.406	6.429
1955	37.6	32.5	174.7	1.660	1.433	7.514
1956	37.8	32.0	197.7	1.678	1.421	8.500
1957	38.7	32.5	209.0	1.739	1.462	9.164
1958	39.0	32.5	210.3	1.750	1.460	9.242
1959	39.8	33.2	209.5	1.794	1.497	9.351
1960	41.9	35.0	213.7	1.887	1.573	9.539
1961	43.3	35.7	214.5	1.952	1.609	9.776
1962	44.9	36.6	220.9	2.032	1.655	10.119
1963	46.7	37.9	230.9	2.089	1.693	10.614
1964	48.7	39.2	238.1	2.171	1.746	10.865
1965	50.2	40.0	255.8	2.240	1.781	11.676
1966	50.9	40.3	260.1	2.296	1.815	12.027
1967	52.0	41.0	264.2	2.399	1.888	13.027
1968	53.1	42.0	264.6	2.535	1.997	13.945
1969	55.8	44.0	279.9	2.636	2.067	14.872

TABLE A-5 (continued)

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1970	57.6	44.9	303.9	2.687	2.081	16.274
1971	61.2	47.0	324.2	2.847	2.172	17.458
1972	65.0	49.4	347.1	3.055	2.305	18.527
1973	68.5	51.6	372.7	2.516	2.642	19.907
1974	71.9	53.3	400.5	3.731	2.763	21.369
1975	73.7	53.8	425.7	3.847	2.807	22.716
1976	74.4	54.1	434.2	3.866	2.809	23.006
1977	74.7	53.3	451.3	3.855	2.746	23.958
1978	72.4	50.7	456.8	3.760	2.632	23.971
1979	70.1	48.5	447.9	3.659	2.532	23.482
1980	69.1	46.2	455.5	3.606	2.411	23.858
1981	67.0	44.0	438.7	3.494	2.293	23.078
1982	66.3	43.1	427.2	3.457	2.246	22.707
1985	65.4	41.2	417.5	3.493	2.000	21.946
1986	67.4	41.9	425.1	3.601	2.242	22.427

TABLE A-6

LABOR PRODUCTIVITY AT UNDERGROUND COAL MINES IN THE USSR

1940 - 1986

Year	labor productivity tons/shift					drivage work, m/1000t production	labor requirements for drivage, shifts
	total	under-ground	at faces	drivage	transport		
1940	1.292	1.672	3.922	10.753	9.259	-	-
1950	1.202	1.582	3.425	9.259	10.000	29.5	3.66
1953	1.366	1.786	4.115	10.417	11.236	-	-
1960	1.567	2.066	4.902	11.236	15.625	24.9	3.57
1965	1.779	2.283	5.556	11.765	16.129	22.8	3.73
1970	2.083	2.695	6.897	13.889	18.868	18.0	4.00
1971	2.169	2.725	7.246	14.493	19.608	17.5	3.94
1972	2.309	2.882	7.692	14.286	19.231	16.7	4.19
1973	2.632	3.289	8.621	16.393	23.810	15.7	3.89
1974	2.762	3.448	9.259	16.129	23.810	15.1	4.11
1975	2.801	3.546	9.709	17.544	23.256	14.5	3.93
1980	2.415	3.058	8.621	13.699	18.519	13.8	5.29
1981	2.294	2.899	8.197	12.987	20.000	14.7	5.24
1982	2.252	2.849	8.333	12.821	17.857	14.8	5.27
1985	2.208	2.755	8.197	11.628	16.949	14.3	6.01
1986	2.242	2.801	8.621	11.765	17.241	14.2	5.99

\- not available

TABLE A-6 (continued)

LABOR PRODUCTIVITY AT UNDERGROUND COAL MINES

IN THE DONBASS 1940-1986

Year	labor productivity tons/shift					drivage work, m/1000t production	labor require-ments for drivage, shifts
	total	under-ground	at faces	drivage	transport		
1940	1.122	1.458	3.536	10.309	7.092	19.7	4.924
1950	0.987	1.316	2.849	9.174	7.874	26.2	4.160
1953	1.090	1.486	3.509	10.638	8.130	28.6	3.287
1960	1.284	-	4.237	10.870	10.000	25.0	3.680
1965	1.468	1.818	4.808	11.628	11.111	22.0	3.909
1970	1.694	2.092	5.556	13.514	12.195	17.0	4.353
1974	2.237	2.732	7.042	15.385	16.667	14.5	4.483
1975	2.157	2.725	7.143	14.925	16.393	13.8	4.855
1979	1.850	2.304	6.289	12.048	12.821	14.4	5.764
1980	1.777	2.217	6.135	11.364	12.346	14.8	5.946
1985	1.642	2.024	5.952	9.901	11.236	15.1	6.689
1986	1.672	2.066	6.173	9.901	11.494	15.0	6.733

\- not available

TABLE A-7

STATISTICAL APPENDIX:

DIFFUSION PARAMETERS AND
STATISTICS OF GOODNESS OF FIT

Figure	technology	t_o*	Δt(years)+	data period used for parameter estimation	t-statistic	R^2
1	steam,	1960.5	-12.3	1953-1972	69.5	.996
	diesel/ electric	1960.5	12.3	1953-1972	69.5	.996
2	stowage,	1946.5	-51.7	1940-1986	15.0	.962
	caving	1946.5	51.7	1940-1986	15.0	.962
3	manual,	1918.7	-34.2	1938-1955	7.6	.828
	explosives & cutters,	1963.9	-26.6	1938-1975	2.5	.561
	shearers	1963.9	26.6	1948-1975	17.8	.952
4	wood,	1962.0	-24.2	1947-1970	10.1	.945
	metal,	1961.5	22.6	1947-1965	5.1	.897
	hydraulic	1981.3	27.8	1960-1985	11.8	.939
5	advancing,	1969.6	-79.4	1960-1986	8.7	.938
	retreating	1969.6	79.4	1960-1986	8.7	.938
6	manual,	1968.1	-36.6	1940-1986	18.9	.962
	scrapers,	1969.5	36.4	1940-1965	14.9	.987
	combines	1985.5	40.7	1940-1986	10.7	.920
7	manual horses & ropes,	1939.2	-21.3	1930-1955	7.2	.945
	locomotives,	1940.2	28.1	1930-1955	17.6	.990
	conveyors	1986.2	48.5	1955-1985	18.8	.986
8	ropes,	1966.8	-34.1	1950-1986	14.5	.968
	conveyors	1966.8	34.1	1950-1986	14.5	.968
9	underground,	1991.7	-96.5	1945-1986	30.3	.981
	opencast	1991.7	96.5	1945-1986	30.3	.981

* inflection points (market share of 50%)
refer only to phases of logistic growth/decline

\+ time in years to grow from 10 to 90% market share
(positive sign indicates growing, negative sign declining market shares)