ABSTRACT

In the framework of the European Network of Excellence ACCENT changes in near-surface and total tropospheric nitrogen dioxide ($\text{NO}_2$) and ozone from year 2000 to 2030 have been calculated for the Southeast and East Asian regions using the chemical transport model Oslo CTM-2. Anthropogenic emissions of ozone precursors for the year 2000 case are taken from the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA). Regarding year 2030 emissions, three different scenarios are compared: 1) IIASA ‘current legislation’ (CLE), where current air quality legislation around the world is implemented; 2) IIASA ‘maximum feasible reduction’ (MFR), in which all currently available technologies are applied to achieve maximum emission reductions; and 3) the IPCC-SRES A2 scenario, which was used as a high emission estimate in the last IPCC assessment report. While increases in $\text{NO}_2$ and ozone are calculated when using the CLE scenario, reductions are seen for the MFR scenario. In the SRES A2 case, increases in $\text{NO}_2$ are largest, locally leading to ozone reductions at the surface resulting from titration effects. The model calculations suggest that air
quality problems will be severely aggravated over Southeast and East Asia if current legislation is not attained.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Southeast and East Asian regions, and China in particular, have been characterized by rapid economic growth during the last two decades. This growth is projected to continue at a faster pace than in other industrialized parts of the world in the coming years (e.g., IMF 2006). Anthropogenic emissions of ozone precursors, notably nitrogen oxides (NOx ≡ NO + NO2), carbon monoxide, and volatile organic compounds, are assumed to increase accordingly. Nitrogen dioxide (NO2), which is the focus of the present paper, catalyzes tropospheric ozone production and thus plays a key role in the oxidizing capacity of the atmosphere. NO2 is toxic to the biosphere and can, when present in elevated levels, compromise human health. Converted into nitric acid (HNO3) it contributes to acid rain, which is harmful to the entire ecosystem. Furthermore, NO2 has indirect significance for climate change due to its strong chemical interactions with greenhouse gases ozone and methane.

The main sources of NO2 are anthropogenic emissions from industry, domestic energy consumption, the transport sector, and biomass burning. Important natural sources include lightning, and microbial activity in soils. The main sink of NO2 is its oxidation to HNO3 that is lost by wet removal, and to N2O5 that is hydrolyzed on aerosols. Due to its comparatively short lifetime and the heterogeneity of its sources, NO2 shows great variability in both space and in time.

Ozone, being produced in the troposphere from the oxidation of CO and hydrocarbons in the presence of NOx and sunlight, has a direct impact on climate (Gauss et al. 2006a) and air quality (Prather et al. 2003). As in the case of NO2, its distribution is highly variable in space and time in contrast to well-mixed greenhouse gases. Assessments of future changes in climate and air quality due to NOx and ozone thus require detailed 3-dimensional model calculations.

Within ACCENT, a network of excellence funded by the European Commission, a multi-model study (hereafter referred to as ’ACCENT experiment’) involving 26 state-of-the-art atmospheric chemistry models from Europe, Japan and the US has been performed with focus on air quality and climate change. The time horizon for the ACCENT experiment was chosen to be 2030, considered to be of high importance for policy makers and near-future mitigation strategies regarding air quality. Publications that have evolved from the ACCENT experiment include calculations of present-day and near future global tropospheric ozone distributions, budgets and associated radiative forcings (Stevenson et al. 2006), changes in surface ozone and impacts on human health and vegetation (Ellingsen et al. 2006), a detailed analysis of nitrogen and sulfur deposition budgets (Dentener et al. 2006a), an inter-comparison and evaluation of present-day NO2 columns (van Noije et al. 2006), and tropospheric carbon monoxide (Shindell et al. 2006).
The present paper presents results obtained as part of the ACCENT experiment with the chemical transport model, Oslo CTM-2, and investigates future change in near-surface NO\textsubscript{2} and ozone as well as tropospheric column abundances specifically for the Southeast and East Asian regions. A relatively high horizontal resolution combined with the global coverage of the model enables us to take into account not only effects from alterations in local emissions but also the influence from changes in other regions of the world through long-range transport of both primary and secondary pollutants.

A description of the model tool is given in the next section, followed by an outline of the experimental setup in section 3. Results are presented in section 4, while section 5 concludes the paper with a brief summary of our main findings.

2. MODEL DESCRIPTION

The Oslo CTM-2 (referred to in the following as ‘CTM2’) is a global 3-dimensional chemical transport model driven by ECMWF meteorological data extending from the ground to 10 hPa in 40 vertical layers with a vertical resolution in the order of tens of meters in the planetary boundary layer. The horizontal resolution can be varied, but is set to Gaussian T42 (~2.8° × 2.8°) for this study. Advection uses the highly accurate Second Order Moment scheme of Prather (1986), while convection is based on the Tiedtke (1989) mass flux scheme. Transport in the boundary layer is treated according to the Holtslag K-profile method (Holtslag et al. 1990), and the calculation of dry deposition follows Wesely (1989).

Surface emissions are based on the EDGAR 3.2 data base (Olivier and Berdowski 2001), but have been modified for this study as described in detail in section 3. Lightning emissions of NO\textsubscript{x} are parameterized based on formulas by Price et al. (1997a, b), distributing the emissions according to convective activity in the model and choosing 5 Tg(N) yr\textsuperscript{-1} as total annual output. The model calculates the distribution of 58 chemical compounds through a comprehensive tropospheric chemistry module (Berntsen and Isaksen 1997, 1999) using the QSSA numerical solver (Hesstvedt et al. 1978) and including a recently developed sulfur module (Berglen et al. 2004). Stratospheric boundary conditions are pre-calculated by another version of the model that includes a comprehensive stratospheric chemistry module (Gauss et al. 2006b).

Photo-dissociation rates are calculated online by the Fast-J module (Wild et al. 2000), taking into account changing ozone distributions and the scattering of sunlight by clouds.

The model has been tested and evaluated recently by Berglen et al. (2004), Brunner et al. (2005), and Isaksen et al. (2005). Results from the CTM2 calculations used in this paper have been evaluated against ozone sondes in (Stevenson et al. 2006, see the “Auxiliary Material” of that paper) and in (Ellingsen et al. 2006). Also, NO\textsubscript{2} (van Noije et al. 2006, see in particular their Fig. 10 for Eastern China), and carbon monoxide (Shindell et al. 2006) have been evaluated in the ACCENT experiment. In most cases, the results of CTM2 are well within the range of results from the other models that have participated in the experiment and also well within the standard deviation of the measurements. Deviations from the model mean include the lifetime of methane, which amounts to 8 years and, although not unreasonable, is near the lower end of the model range (Shindell et al. 2006), and the ratio of HNO\textsubscript{3} to total NO\textsubscript{x} deposition as well as wet deposition to total deposition of sulphur oxides, which is at the upper end.
of the model range (Dentener et al. 2006a). An evaluation of the model with a stronger focus on Southeast and East Asia is presented for ozone, CO, and NOx in this special issue by Liu et al. (2007). Recent comparisons of CTM2 near surface ozone have revealed overestimations over India (Ellingsen et al. 2006). However, this is in part due to the coastal location of the measurement sites used in that study, which are influenced by unpolluted marine air. Differences between present and future levels, which are in focus in the present study are, given the relatively small model deviations, assumed to be influenced only to a minor degree by the ozone background.

3. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Details of the design of the ACCENT experiment, in which the results presented in this paper were generated, have been published elsewhere (e.g., Dentener et al. 2006b; Stevenson et al. 2006). Here, we briefly review the features that are relevant for the present study focusing on Southeast and East Asia.

The four simulations presented in this paper are listed in Table 1. We calculate one present case for the reference year 2000 (hereafter labeled as ‘2000’) and three future cases based on different emission scenarios. To reduce the required spin-up time in the model calculations, tropospheric mixing ratios of the long-lived species methane (CH4) are prescribed as specified in Table 1.

Anthropogenic emissions of the shorter-lived ozone precursors in the present case are based on national estimates from the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) for the year 2000 (Cofala et al. 2006; Dentener et al. 2005), distributed according to the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) version 3.2 for the year 1995 (Olivier and Berdowski 2001). Emissions from international shipping are extrapolated from the EDGAR3.2 emissions for 1995 assuming a growth rate of 1.5% per year. For aircraft NOx emissions a total of 0.79 Tg(N) yr⁻¹ is used with distributions from NASA (Baughcum et al. 1996).

Table 1. Model runs performed in the experiment. Details on the emission scenarios are given in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Emissions</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CH4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>IIASA-2000</td>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>1760 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLE</td>
<td>IIASA-CLE-2030</td>
<td>IIASA Business As Usual (current legislation)</td>
<td>2088 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR</td>
<td>IIASA-MFR-2030</td>
<td>IIASA MFR (Maximum Feasible Reduction optimistic technology scenario)</td>
<td>1760 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>SRES-A2-2030</td>
<td>SRES A2 (the most ‘pessimistic’ IPCC SRES scenario)</td>
<td>2163 ppb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The IIASA emission datasets used for the year 2030 simulations are described in detail by Cofala et al. (2006). The first scenario (hereafter referred to as ‘CLE’ for Current LEgislation) assumes country-, sector- and technology-specific emission control measures that are imposed by present (2002) legislation. Country-specific information for Southeast and East Asia was collected during the RAINS-Asia II project (IIASA 2001; Boudri et al. 2002) and updated with recent information on mobile sources from the Clean Air Initiative of the World Bank.

The second future scenario (‘MFR’ for Maximum Feasible Reduction) assumes the implementation of all currently available technologies towards emission control in order to reduce anthropogenic emissions as much as possible. It does, however, not account for emission reductions through structural changes, such as increased energy efficiency measures, fuel substitution or reduced transport demand.

Unlike the CLE and MFR scenarios, the pessimistic IPCC Special Report on Emission Scenarios (SRES) A2 scenario (Nakicenovic et al. 2000) does not consider air pollution control legislation, even if this legislation was already in place in the year 2000. This scenario (hereafter referred to as ‘A2’) serves to illustrate what happens if emission control legislation is not attained. It has been used in this study to get an upper estimate of atmospheric change, and to allow for a comparison with earlier studies. Aircraft emissions for the three future simulations are implemented with the same spatial distribution as in the present simulation, but scaled up to a total global emission of 1.73 Tg(N) yr\(^{-1}\).

Surface emissions of NO\(_x\), carbon monoxide, non-methane volatile organic compounds, sulfur dioxide, and ammonia from anthropogenic sources are specified as annual means 1\(\degree\times\)1\(\degree\) grid for the present case and the three future cases, including separate source categories for industry, the domestic sector, and surface traffic. The resulting emissions of NO\(_x\) are integrated in Table 2 for the whole world and for the Southeast and East Asian regions, defined in this paper as the area between 0 and 55 degrees north and between 65 and 145 degrees east. The table clearly reflects the benefits in the MRF scenario in terms of emission reductions, especially in the industrial and transport sectors, while A2, which was used in IPCC-TAR (Prather et al. 2001) as a ‘worst case’ scenario, is characterized by substantial increases in all sectors, both on a global scale and over Southeast and East Asia.

Total natural emissions used in CTM2 are listed in Table 3. The speciation of non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHC) is based on Table 4.7 in the IPCC-TAR report (Prather et al. 2001). First, components included in the IPCC-TAR table but not in CTM2 are removed from the NMHC emission total of the IPCC-TAR table. Then, the percent fractions of each of the remaining species are calculated for the IPCC-TAR table. Using these percent fractions, the emissions of each species is then calculated based on the new NMHC emission total suggested by IIASA.

Since uncertainties in the change of natural emissions due to climate change and changes in vegetation remain large we chose in the ACCENT experiment to keep these emissions unchanged in the future scenarios. Also, as we focus on the troposphere we have kept stratospheric boundary conditions fixed, i.e., the stratospheric boundary condition that was pre-calculated by the stratospheric version of CTM2, is used for all scenarios addressed in this study. Biomass burning emissions are based on EDGAR3.2 (Olivier and Berdowski 2001),
which uses the Global Fire Emissions Database (van der Werf et al. 2003). Biomass burning adds a total of 33.4 Tg(N) yr\(^{-1}\) globally in all scenarios and is distributed over several emission heights between the surface and 6 km, to account for fast vertical transport within smoke plumes. Sources of biomass burning in this study include tropical forest fires, deforestation, savannah and shrubs fires, temperate vegetations fires, agricultural waste burning, and middle to high latitude forest and grass land fires.

The model meteorology is based on year 2000 ECMWF data in all simulations, i.e., effects from climate change between 2000 and 2030 are not considered in the CTM2 simulations to be presented here, but were taken into account in the coupled chemistry-climate models participating in the ACCENT experiment and further discussed by Stevenson et al. (2006).

Table 2. Anthropogenic NO\(_x\) (as NO\(_2\)) emission totals in the world and for Southeast and East Asia (defined here as the region 0°N - 55°N and 65°E - 145°E) for the year 2000 and the three future (2030) scenarios. IND: fossil fuel burning and industrial emissions, DOM: domestic sources, and TRA: transport sector. Unit: Tg(N) yr\(^{-1}\). The numbers in parentheses represent the changes with respect to year 2000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IND</th>
<th>DOM</th>
<th>TRA</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>91.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE/E Asia</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>world</td>
<td>36.3 (+7.6%)</td>
<td>6.10 (+25.3%)</td>
<td>65.3 (+23.7%)</td>
<td>107.7 (+17.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE/E Asia</td>
<td>17.1 (+39.6%)</td>
<td>2.10 (+20.7%)</td>
<td>19.9 (+50.7%)</td>
<td>39.1 (+43.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>world</td>
<td>10.8 (-68.0%)</td>
<td>4.31 (-11.5%)</td>
<td>28.1 (-46.8%)</td>
<td>43.2 (-52.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE/E Asia</td>
<td>4.4 (-64.1%)</td>
<td>1.70 (-0.58%)</td>
<td>6.17 (-53.3%)</td>
<td>12.3 (-54.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>world</td>
<td>56.9 (+68.8%)</td>
<td>15.0 (+207.2%)</td>
<td>107.4 (+103.6%)</td>
<td>179.3 (+96.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE/E Asia</td>
<td>22.7 (+85.4%)</td>
<td>4.87 (+179.9%)</td>
<td>35.6 (+170.0%)</td>
<td>63.2 (+132.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. RESULTS

The next section presents CTM2 model output for NO2 changes, while in section 4.2 the resulting changes in ozone are discussed.

4.1 Changes in NO2

Figure 1 shows spatial distributions of the surface mixing ratio and tropospheric column abundance of NO2 in the Southeast and East Asian regions as modeled by CTM2 for January and July 2000. The 150-ppb ozone surface was used as tropopause level for this analysis in accordance with IPCC (Prather et al. 2001), i.e., tropospheric column abundances represent the number of molecules between the surface and the 150-ppb ozone level. Maximum levels are modeled in the winter season, primarily due to less oxidation through OH, which is present in lower concentrations during winter. NO2 columns are determined to a large degree by surface concentrations and thus resemble the distribution of near-surface NO2. However, the local maxima seen in NO2 column abundance over Eastern China exceed all other peaks of the region, amounting to about $14 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ in January and $9 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ in July. This is due to the spatially more extended emission sources and the resulting higher NO2 levels also in the free troposphere. A detailed evaluation of modeled NO2 columns has been published recently by van Noije et al. (2006), showing good agreement with observations, both in qualitative and quantitative terms.

---

Table 3. Natural emissions used in this study. Natural emissions are kept the same in the 2000 and 2030 simulations (NMHC = Non-methane hydrocarbons, DMS = dimethyl sulfide).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Source/sink</th>
<th>Annual Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>ocean/vegetation</td>
<td>200 Tg CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$\text{\textsubscript{x}}$</td>
<td>lightning soils</td>
<td>5 Tg N, ca. 5 Tg N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMHC</td>
<td>isoprene terpenes</td>
<td>220 Tg C, 260 Tg C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$\text{\textsubscript{2}}$</td>
<td>volcanoes</td>
<td>14.6 Tg S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMS</td>
<td>oceans/terrestrial</td>
<td>ca. 20 Tg S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$\text{\textsubscript{3}}$</td>
<td>oceans soils</td>
<td>8.3 Tg N (10.1 Tg NH3), 2.4 Tg N (2.91 Tg NH3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_3$/HNO$_3$-strat</td>
<td>year 2000 stratospheric conditions in all simulations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figures 2 and 3 show changes in NO2 near-surface mixing ratio and tropospheric columns, respectively, for the three different future calculations. In general, increases are modeled for the CLE and A2 cases, while reductions are modeled for the MFR simulation. Changes in NO2 are controlled primarily by changes in NOx emissions, but are also modified by changes in other species that interact with NOx and, in the case of total columns, by long-range transport of NOx in the free troposphere.

Regarding near-surface NO2 in the CLE scenario (top panels in Fig. 2), notable increases are seen in metropolitan areas, such as Lahore, Delhi, Dhaka, Beijing, Shanghai, and Seoul. Essentially, these are also the regions where there is potential for significant reductions, as revealed by the plots for the MFR scenario (middle panels in Fig. 2). Additional areas with large reductions seen in the MFR scenario are Thailand, Malaysia, and Japan. Not surprisingly, the largest increase is modeled in the A2 scenario (bottom panels in Fig. 2), with peaks exceeding 13 ppb in Eastern China and large increases in the densely populated areas of Northern India.

Fig. 1. Monthly-mean surface mixing ratio (top panels, ppb) and tropospheric columns (bottom panels, 10^15 molecules cm^-2) of NO2 in Southeast and East Asia in January and July 2000 as modeled by CTM2.
By and large, changes in total tropospheric NO$_2$ displayed in Fig. 3 allow the same conclusions. Major differences from the patterns seen in Fig. 2 include a much more pronounced increase in Eastern China and South Korea in the CLE scenario and a more pronounced reduction in Thailand, South Korea, and Japan in the MFR scenario. Apparently, changes in pollutants and in atmospheric composition in these regions are transported more efficiently into higher altitudes. It is worth noting that in Eastern China, total columns of NO$_2$ can be reduced by more than 50% according to the MFR case. Largest increases are modeled in the A2 scenario, amounting to more than $25 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ in Eastern China during winter, which corresponds to more than a doubling with respect to the year 2000 simulation.

*Fig. 2.* Changes in monthly-mean surface NO$_2$. Top panels: ‘CLE minus 2000’, middle panels: ‘MFR minus 2000’, bottom panels: ‘A2 minus 2000’. Unit: ppb. Note the different color scale for the different scenarios.
Fig. 3. Changes in the monthly-mean tropospheric NO$_2$ column. Top panels: ‘CLE minus 2000’, middle panels: ‘MFR minus 2000’, bottom panels: ‘A2 minus 2000’. Unit: 10$^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$. Note the different color scale for the different scenarios.

4.2 Changes in Ozone

Distributions of near-surface ozone and the tropospheric ozone column are shown in Fig. 4. As ozone production is highly dependent on insolation the belt of maximum near-surface concentrations moves northward during Northern Hemisphere summer. In January local maxima are typically modeled off the coast lines of India and South-Eastern China. Ozone columns are a maximum over India and exhibit local minima in regions with high surface elevation where
the tropospheric layer is comparatively thin, and over remote marine areas characterized by low ozone precursor levels and high humidity. For a detailed evaluation of ozone distributions in CTM2 and other models that have participated in the ACCENT experiment the reader is referred to Stevenson et al. (2006).

Figures 5 and 6 show changes in ozone near-surface mixing ratio and tropospheric ozone columns, respectively, for the three different future scenarios. It has to be noted that the changes in ozone presented here are a result not only from increasing NOx emissions but also from the increase in other ozone precursors such as carbon monoxide and volatile organic carbons. Furthermore, ozone has a longer lifetime in the troposphere than NOx and is thus influenced to a larger degree by transport processes. Finally, being a secondary pollutant produced by photo-chemical reactions in the atmosphere, ozone distributions are also affected by the availability of sunlight.

In the CLE scenario in January (top left panel in Fig. 5), the largest surface ozone increases are modeled off the coast of India amounting to about 15 ppb (30%) in excess of the year 2000 value. This reflects transport of ozone precursors from the main emission sources.
situated along the coast line and subsequent ozone production, particularly from NO_x, in remote areas. During summer, maximum increases are modeled over Northern India. During this time of the year more sunlight is available there to promote photochemical ozone production, at the same time as there is less transport of pollutants from the coast to marine areas as wind advection goes predominantly from the sea towards the coast. The signal from increasing emissions is thus significantly reduced over marine areas. The eastern part of China is characterized by decreases in winter (about -5 ppb or -20%) and slight increases in summer (on the order of +2 to +5 ppb or +5 to +15% depending on location), being a result of the competing

effects from ozone production and ozone destruction due to NOx. During winter, titration effects reducing ozone are facilitated by higher NOx concentrations and higher solar zenith angles, while during summer photochemical ozone production dominates.

Seasonal differences are even more pronounced for near-surface ozone in the MFR case (middle panels of Fig. 5), in particular over Eastern China, where an increase is modeled for January (3.5 ppb or 25% in an extended area south of Beijing) and a substantial reduction by typically 25% is calculated for July. Since there is no seasonal variation in the emission numbers

![Fig. 6. Changes in the monthly-mean tropospheric ozone column. Top panels: ‘CLE minus 2000’, middle panels: ‘MFR minus 2000’, bottom panels: ‘A2 minus 2000’. Unit: DU. Note the different color scale for the different scenarios.](image)
the seasonal cycle must be solely due to chemistry and transport. Reductions in NO\textsubscript{2} weaken both the titration effect (i.e., less ozone destruction) and the oxidation of carbon monoxide and hydrocarbons (i.e., less ozone production). During winter the effect of changes in titration dominates since it is independent of sunlight. In other words, there is a net increase in ozone because its destruction is reduced. In summer the effect of decreased photochemical ozone production dominates, i.e., ozone is reduced. Over marine areas south of India the situation is opposite since there, even in the MFR scenario, slight increases in NO\textsubscript{2} are modeled (see Fig. 2).

The pattern of changes in near-surface ozone in the A2 case (bottom panels of Fig. 5) closely resembles the pattern seen for the CLE case, but with much larger perturbations, reflecting the larger increase in ozone precursors. Significant titration leads to reductions by up to 7 ppb (almost 40\%) in Eastern China.

Changes in the tropospheric ozone column (Fig. 6) are determined not only by changes in surface emissions but also by convective and long-range transport of ozone and its precursors. Reductions in the ozone column are hardly seen, neither in the CLE case nor in the A2 case, as titration effects near the surface are overwhelmed by increases in ozone in the free troposphere. The CLE scenario yields significant ozone column increases in India in both summer (7 ppb or up to 16\%) and winter (4 ppb or up to 10\%), and in areas further to the east only during summer. Increases modeled over China are small in comparison. In the A2 scenario ozone column increases are substantial also over China, connected with the large emission increases in this scenario. Indeed, during summer, large parts of Southeast China are calculated to experience increases by more than 10 DU (about 20 to 30\% depending on location) with respect to the year 2000 case.

In the MFR scenario reductions in column ozone are seen over the entire area. Reductions in January are confined to regions south of 25 degrees north, in particular in coastal regions of eastern India where the ozone column decreases by up to 4 ppb (7\%) and in southern China with similar changes. During summer, substantial reductions are seen in Eastern China amounting to about 6 DU (about 10 to 15\%) in the region south of Beijing.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Near-future (year 2030) changes in NO\textsubscript{2} and ozone have been calculated for Southeast and East Asia with the chemical transport model Oslo CTM-2, using emission scenarios provided by IIASA and IPCC-SRES. Increases in NO\textsubscript{2} and are modeled in most areas for the IIASA ‘current legislation’ and SRES-A2 scenarios, while reductions are seen in the IIASA ‘maximum feasible reduction’ case. Changes in ozone largely reflect changes in NO\textsubscript{2} emissions, with increases over most regions. During high-NO\textsubscript{2} episodes, especially in winter, near-surface ozone levels may decrease locally due to titration effects.

Different aspects of these changes have been investigated in recent publications from the ACCENT experiment. Effects of near-surface ozone increases on human health and vegetation, including crop growth, are investigated in Ellingsen et al. (2006), showing that air quality standards and health indices are exceeded more often in the near future. Possible effects of changes in ozone on radiative forcing are investigated on a global scale by Stevenson et al. (2006) and Dentener et al. (2006b).
It is important to note that, even if current legislation is fully implemented as in the CLE case, both surface levels and column abundances of NO\textsubscript{2} and ozone increase in many populated areas, in particular over India. At the same time it is encouraging that the currently available technology for emission reductions (MFR) would, in terms of air quality, fully compensate for the increase in energy consumption and even allow for reductions in NO\textsubscript{2} and ozone levels.

The uncertainties in emission scenarios for the Southeast and East Asian regions remain large, as is reflected by the range of emission numbers in the different scenarios available to date. Also, it is unclear if the locations of each emission source will remain the same during the period 2000 to 2030. Given the possibility of future population increase in India, Southeast Asia and China, new areas are likely to be populated and developed, thus changing the spatial distribution of emissions from what was in the year 2000. Nonetheless it is clear from our model calculations that the implementation of available clean technology and of carefully devised mitigation strategies is necessary to ensure an acceptable air quality in Southeast and East Asia also for the next generations.
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