Corrigendum to

“Evaluation of black carbon estimations in global aerosol models”
published in Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9001-9026, 2009


¹Columbia University, New York, NY, USA
²NASA GISS, New York, NY, USA
³Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l’Environnement, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
⁴Max-Planck-Institut fur Meteorologie, Hamburg, Germany
⁵NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia, USA
⁶University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA
⁷International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria
⁸European Commission, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy
⁹NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, Chemical Sciences Division and Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA
¹⁰University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA
¹¹RCST, University of Tokyo, Japan
¹²Meteorology and Air Quality, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands
¹³University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
¹⁴Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille, CNRS, Villeneuve d’Ascq, France
¹⁵NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA
¹⁶EC, Joint Research Centre, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, Ispra, Italy
¹⁷University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, Maryland, USA
¹⁸Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, USA
¹⁹NOAA, Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey, USA
²⁰ARQM Meteorological Service Canada, Toronto, Canada
²¹University of California - Davis, CA, USA
²²NCAR, Boulder, CO, USA
²³University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
²⁴Università degli Studi L’Aquila, Italy
²⁵Atmospheric, Oceanic and Planetary Physics, University of Oxford, UK
²⁶Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
²⁷Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway
²⁸Center for International Climate and Environmental Research - Oslo (CICERO), Oslo, Norway

Correspondence to: D. Koch
dkoch@giss.nasa.gov

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.
Fig. 9. Model profiles in approximate SP2 BC campaign locations in the tropics and midlatitudes, averaged over the points in the map (bottom). Observations (black curves) are average for the respective campaigns, with standard deviations where available. The Houston campaign has two profiles measured two different days. Mean (solid) and median (dashed) observed profiles are provided for (d). The markers in the map inset denote the location of model profiles in these comparisons with the aircraft measurements that are detailed in Table 7.
Fig. 10. Like Fig. 9 but for high latitude profiles. Mean (solid) and median (dashed) observed profiles are provided except for (c) the ARCPAC campaign has distinct profiles for the mean of the 4 flights that probed long-range biomass burning plumes (dashed) and mean for the 1 flight that sampled aged Arctic air (solid).