1	Air Quality-Carbon-Water Synergies and Trade-offs
2	in China's Natural Gas Industry
3	
4	Yue Qin ^{1,a*} , Lena Höglund-Isaksson ² , Edward Byers ² , Kuishuang Feng ³ , Fabian
5	Wagner ² , Wei Peng ¹³ , Denise L. Mauzerall ^{1,4,*}
6	
7	Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ
8	08544, USA
9	⁴ International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), Schlossplatz 1, A-2361,
10	Laxenburg, Austria
11	³ Department of Geographical Sciences, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
12	Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544,
13	USA
14	Now at Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697,
15	USA
16	Now at Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, Harvard Kennedy School of
17	Government, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
18	
19	• To whom correspondence may be addressed. Denise L. Mauzerall: mauzeral@princeton.edu;
20	Yue Qin: yq@princeton.edu or yqin8@uci.edu.
21	
22	
23	
24	

25 Abstract

26 Both energy production and consumption can simultaneously affect regional air 27 quality, local water stress, and the global climate. Identifying the air quality-carbon-28 water interactions due to both energy sources and end-uses is important for 29 capturing potential co-benefits while avoiding unintended consequences when 30 designing sustainable energy transition pathways. Here, we examine the air quality-31 carbon-water interdependencies of China's six major natural gas sources and three 32 end-use gas-for-coal substitution strategies in 2020. We find that replacing coal with 33 gas sources other than coal-based synthetic natural gas (SNG) generally offer 34 national air quality-carbon-water co-benefits. However, SNG achieves air quality 35 benefits while increasing carbon emissions and water demand, particularly in 36 regions already suffering from high per capita carbon emissions and severe water 37 scarcity. Depending on end-uses, non-SNG gas-for-coal substitution results in 38 enormous variations in air quality, carbon, and water improvements, with notable 39 air quality-carbon synergies but air quality-water trade-offs. This indicates that 40 more attention is needed to determine in which end-uses natural gas should be 41 deployed to achieve desired environmental improvements. Assessing air quality-42 carbon-water impacts across local, regional and global administrative levels is 43 crucial for designing and balancing the co-benefits of sustainable energy 44 development and deployment policies at all scales.

45

46 Most fossil energy production and combustion processes emit air pollutants and 47 greenhouse gases (GHGs) and also consume significant quantities of freshwater¹².

48 Depending on differences in fuel types, burning conditions, cooling techniques, and 49 existing local environmental stress, energy source choices and end-uses can lead to 50 substantial variations in the resulting air quality, climate, and water impacts⁴⁹. Previous 51 studies have concentrated on one or in some cases two, specific environmental impacts in 52 the energy industry^{su}. Very few analyses have evaluated the air quality-carbon-water 53 interrelationships of the energy sector^{12,13}, and even fewer have analyzed the nexus from 54 both supply and end-use perspectives¹¹¹⁶. Characterizing the interconnections of various 55 environmental impacts resulting from energy source choices and end-use applications is 56 critical in achieving air quality, carbon and water co-benefits while avoiding unintended 57 side effects. Here we examine China's natural gas industry and systematically analyze the 58 synergies and trade-offs among the air quality, carbon, and water impacts due to both 59 natural gas source choices (from where natural gas originates) and deployment strategies 60 (in which region and subsector natural gas is substituted for coal).

61 Similar to many emerging economies, China has been facing multiple environmental 62 challenges including domestic air pollution, local water scarcity, and global climate 63 change^{13,17}. A coal-dominated energy structure (~64% of primary energy supply in 2015)¹⁸ 64 is partly responsible for all three environmental stresses^{13,19}. Natural gas is the cleanest 65 fossil fuel, with relatively low carbon intensity and lower cooling water requirements 66 than coal in most end uses^{1,6,2}. Primarily to tackle its severe air pollution and the 67 associated human health impacts^{3,21}, China has been actively promoting a coal-to-gas end-68 use energy transition². Specifically, China plans to increase natural gas consumption from 69 approximately 6% (~190 billion cubic meters, bcm) of national total primary energy 70 consumption in 2015 to 10% (~360 bcm) in 2020^{12.23}. Until recently, China's natural gas 71 supplies were primarily from domestic conventional gas production ($\sim 70\%$), imported 72 liquefied natural gas (LNG) (~15%), and imported pipeline gas from Central Asian 73 pipeline gas (~15%)^{24.25}. To further increase gas supplies, China plans to develop domestic 74 unconventional natural gas. For instance, China's latest government plans (issued in 75 December 2016) aim to have an annual production of approximately 20 and 30 bcm of 76 domestic coal-based synthetic natural gas (SNG) and shale gas, respectively, by 2020 77 Meanwhile, China also plans to expand LNG annual import capacity by 38 bcm, as well 78 as increasing pipeline gas from Russia and Central Asia by 38 and 30 bcm, respectively, 79 by around 2020^{27, 28}.

80 Substituting conventional natural gas for coal is likely to bring multiple 81 environmental benefits. However, the air quality, carbon, and water impacts, and their 82 interactions at both aggregated and spatially resolved scales can vary depending on gas 83 sources^{29.32}. In addition, the magnitude and interdependencies of various environmental 84 85 Earlier studies evaluated the air quality, carbon, and water impacts of the natural gas 86 industry, with a focus on a specific gas source and on one (or in a few cases two) 87 environmental impact(s)2.11.31.32.37. Few studies compared the lifecycle air pollutant or GHG 88 emissions for SNG, LNG and conventional gas in the power sector^{20,20}, or simultaneously 89 calculated air pollutant emissions, GHG emissions, and water consumption for shale gas-90 fired electricity^a. In this work, we integrate the analysis of various natural gas sources and 91 end-uses to identify the underlying air quality-carbon-water synergies and trade-offs, as 92 well as to understand the relative importance of gas source choices and end-uses in 93 determining the environmental outcomes.

94 We use an integrated assessment approach in conjunction with lifecycle analysis to 95 quantify net changes in China's air quality, carbon, and water impacts resulting from a 96 fixed quantity (30 bcm, Supplementary Methods 1.1) of gas substituting for coal using 97 each of China's six primary gas sources under three different deployment strategies 98 (Supplementary Table 1). Essentially, we estimate changes in China's population-99 weighted air pollution concentrations, lifecycle GHG emissions, and water stress index 100 (ranging from 0 to 1)^{3.2} weighted water consumption (referred hereafter as 'weighted 101 water consumption') for each of 18 gas-for-coal substitution scenarios (Supplementary 102 Tables 2-7). Comparing the multiple environmental impacts resulting from the 103 deployment of various gas sources in different end-uses, we identify the multi-aspect 104 environmental performance for each gas source and end-use combination, and 105 characterize the resulting air quality-carbon-water interrelationships. Based on 106 government and industrial plans^{11.18.22.27.40}, Fig.1 shows, for each gas source, the spatial 107 distribution of China's gas production and (or) the provinces in which each gas source 108 will likely be consumed. For each gas source, we design three gas-for-coal end-use 109 deployment strategies to reflect three different environmental priorities, including 1) air 110 quality-focused substitution (AS), 2) carbon-focused substitution (CS), and 3) water-111 focused substitution (WS), respectively (Supplementary Table 1). We first estimate 112 changes in air pollutant emissions, CO₂ emissions, and weighted water consumption 113 resulting from end-use substitution of each gas source for coal under each deployment 114 strategy. End-use gas substitution for coal results in an increase in natural gas demand 115 and a decrease in coal demand. Both upstream natural gas and coal processes (i.e., 116 production, processing, transmission, and distribution) emit air pollutants and GHGs

(CO_{2m}, including both CO₂ and CH₄) due to energy combustion and methane leakage³¹, and 117 118 consume freshwater for dust suppression, coal washing, well drilling and other purposes 119 (Supplementary Table 8). Thus, we further quantify the corresponding environmental 120 impacts due to increases in upstream gas processes and decreases in coal processes 121 (Supplementary Table 9). Integrating upstream and end-use processes, we estimate net 122 changes in air pollutant emissions, GHG emissions, and weighted water consumption for 123 18 combinations of gas source choices and deployment strategies. Using the Weather 124 Research and Forecasting model coupled with chemistry (WRF-Chem v3.6), we further 125 simulate the resulting changes in PM₂₅ surface concentrations for each gas source under 126 each deployment strategy and calculate the resulting changes in population-weighted 127 PM₂₅ concentrations.

128

129 Results

130 Aggregated air-carbon-water impacts from natural gas sources

We estimate net changes in lifecycle air pollutant emissions, GHG emissions, and weighted water consumption for upstream and downstream stages of coal substitution by various gas sources in 2020. Fig.2 shows results for the air quality-focused substitution, which is the most likely scenario given China's current focus on improving air quality. We observe striking air quality-carbon/water trade-offs with SNG, but generally air quality-carbon-water co-benefits for all other gas sources.

As shown in Fig.2, end-use gas substitution for coal dominates net reductions in air pollutant emissions regardless of gas source. Despite net air pollutant emission reductions for all gas sources, SNG upstream gas processes lead to substantial net increases in

140 lifecycle GHG emissions and weighted water consumption. Upstream SNG processes 141 emit roughly 4-7 times more CO_{2ex} than other gas sources. Consequently, SNG 142 substitution for coal increases 2020 lifecycle $CO_{2\infty}$ emissions by ~20 (40) megatonnes 143 (Mt) under the 100-year (20-year) global warming potential (GWP). However, depending 144 on the gas source, substituting coal with the same amount of other gas sources leads to 145 approximately 60 to 120 (70 to 140) Mt of CO_{2eq} emission reductions under GWP₁₀₀ 146 (GWP_{w}) assuming a mean methane leakage rate. This is consistent with earlier findings 147 that SNG has substantially higher lifecycle GHG emissions than other gas sources when 148 used for electricity generation^{20,22}. Similarly, weighted water consumption from upstream 149 SNG processes is roughly 20-190 times greater than other gas sources, varying depending 150 on which gas source is compared. As a result, SNG leads to an increase of ~ 200 million 151 cubic meters (Mm³) of lifecycle weighted water consumption in 2020, while other gas 152 sources result in ~20 to 60 Mm³ of net reductions. In comparison, water consumption due 153 to upstream SNG processes (~290 Mm³) is ~10-30 times higher than other gas sources 154 (~10-23 Mm³) (Supplementary Fig.1). Actually, increased water consumption due to 155 SNG projects alone can require $\sim 10\%$ and $\sim 5\%$ of total industrial water consumption in 156 Xinjiang and Inner Mongolia, respectively. Differences in actual water consumption 157 $(\sim 10-30 \text{ times})$ are significantly smaller than differences in weighted water consumption 158 $(\sim 20-190 \text{ times})$, indicating that SNG production generally occurs in locations that are 159 comparatively more water scarce than other gas producing regions. We find gas source 160 choice matters for national carbon and water concerns, primarily because SNG results in 161 substantial net carbon and water penalties while having similar air quality benefits as 162 other gas sources.

163 Other than SNG, all gas sources, when substituted for coal, bring net reductions in 164 lifecycle air pollutant emissions, weighted water consumption, and GHG emissions 165 (assuming a mean methane leakage rate). GHG emissions from upstream gas processes 166 (except for SNG) are largely offset by decreases in GHG emissions due to less coal 167 production. This is partly because China's coal industry has high GHG emission 168 intensities due to substantial underground coal mining associated with high methane 169 emissions and a low methane recovery rate¹¹. However, without proper methane leakage 170 control from the natural gas industry, coal substitution with gas sources other than SNG, 171 particularly with shale gas, can also result in net increases in lifecycle GHG emissions 172 under both GWPs (Fig.2, and Supplementary Figures 2 and 3). Also, our estimated 173 upstream weighted water consumption from shale gas processes is relatively small 174 although it consumes twice as much water as upstream conventional gas processes 175 (Supplementary Table 9). This is because China's existing shale gas development is 176 mainly concentrated in water-abundant Sichuan basin, the location of roughly half of 177 China's total shale gas resources⁴². Nevertheless, as a quarter of China's shale gas 178 resources are located in northern water scarce regions⁴², further geographic expansion of 179 shale gas development would likely worsen water stress there.

180

181 Spatial air-carbon-water impacts from natural gas sources

In addition to evaluating the aggregated environmental impacts, we also explore the spatial characteristics of China's air quality-carbon-water nexus to characterize the unintended redistributive effects. Fig.3 shows the 2020 spatial distribution of net changes in SO₂ emissions, simulated PM_{23} surface concentrations, GHG emissions, and weighted

water consumption within mainland China for each gas source substituting for coal under the air quality-focused substitution (AS). At the regional level, we find that all gas sources generally bring air quality-carbon-water co-benefits in developed eastern China. However, although promoting SNG can help alleviate the severe air pollution and associated human health impacts in populated eastern China (currently a major objective in China), it results in substantial carbon-water losses in northwestern China, indicating a negative spillover effect of China's air quality improvement policies.

193 As shown in Fig.3, all gas sources, via substituting for coal, generally bring net 194 reductions in SO₂ emissions and PM₂₅ surface concentrations in well-developed eastern 195 China, though there are slight increases in northwestern provinces primarily due to SNG 196 or conventional gas production. For each gas source, the largest PM_{25} concentration 197 reductions are primarily concentrated in regions where substitution occurs. Although 198 SNG results in only slight increases in PM₂₃ surface concentrations in northwestern 199 provinces, it leads to substantial increases in GHG emissions and weighted water 200 consumption in northwestern SNG producing provinces. Notably, these regions also 201 suffer from severe water scarcity and have high per capita carbon emissions, due to their 202 coal-dominated energy mix and substantial export of electricity to eastern China, such as 203 Beijing and Tianjin^{21.4}. Similar negative spillovers are also observed from the carbon (CS) 204 and water focused (WS) substitution (Supplementary Figs. 4 and 5).

205

206 Air-carbon-water impacts from source choices and end-uses

Besides gas source choices, sectoral and regional gas deployment strategies can also leadto large variations in net air quality, carbon and water impacts of gas substitution for coal.

To fully capture the synergies and trade-offs in the natural gas industry, here we integrate six major gas source choices and three end-use deployment strategies that affect the air quality-carbon-water interdependencies.

We find that gas source choice is the most critical factor in shaping the air qualitycarbon-water nexus of the natural gas system, primarily because SNG clearly stands out. Unlike other gas sources, SNG worsens carbon emissions and water stress regardless of end-use deployment strategies (Fig.4). That is, SNG causes net increases in GHG emissions and weighted water consumption even under the deployment strategies that aim to achieve the largest reductions in GHG emissions (CS) or weighted water consumption (WS), respectively (Supplementary Figs. 2 and 3).

219 However, for gas sources other than SNG, end-uses determine the magnitude of the 220 air quality, carbon, and water impacts. We find that within the same gas source (except 221 for SNG), different deployment strategies can result in more than 10-50 times differences 222 in China's population-weighted (P-W) PM₂₅ surface concentration reductions (Fig.4, 223 Supplementary Methods 1.3). Similarly, different deployment strategies lead to 224 approximately 1.5-1.6 times and 2-9 times variations in lifecycle GHG emissions (GWP₂₀) 225 and China's weighted water consumption reductions, respectively (Fig.4). In comparison, 226 different gas sources lead to only roughly 1-4 times, 1-1.9 times, and 1-3 times 227 differences, respectively, within the same deployment strategy. Thus, gas deployment 228 strategies play a more critical role than gas source choices in determining the 229 environmental impacts of non-SNG natural gas substitution for coal, particularly on air 230 quality.

231 Additionally, gas deployment strategies are the key to determining the air quality-232 carbon-water interconnections for gas sources other than SNG. We note substantial air 233 quality-carbon co-benefits but air quality-water trade-offs due to end-use gas substitution 234 for coal. Specifically, depending on the gas source, AS leads to ~ 0.6 to 1.8 μ g/m³ annual 235 average P-W PM₂₅ surface concentration reductions, but merely ~20 to 60 Mm³ of 236 weighted water consumption reductions within China in 2020. In comparison, varying on 237 the gas source, WS results in ~ -0.004 to 0.07 μ g/m³ P-W PM₂₅ mean surface 238 concentration reductions, but ~90 to 200 Mm³ of weighted water consumption reductions. 239 That is, for the same gas source, AS results in over an order of magnitude greater P-W 240 PM₂₅ surface concentration reductions than WS. However, WS results in approximately 2-241 8 times as much weighted water consumption reductions as AS. In comparison, CS 242 generally leads to similar levels of air quality, water stress and carbon emission changes 243 as AS. We find CS actually results in slightly higher net reductions in P-W PM₂₅ surface 244 concentrations than AS. This is mainly because the least efficient coal combustion 245 happens to be the dirtiest, indicating potential air-carbon co-benefits. Thus, when natural 246 gas is deployed primarily to improve air quality, China's current top priority, it will in 247 most cases bring substantial carbon reduction co-benefits, but have negligible water 248 benefits. However, if natural gas were to be allocated mainly to address water scarcity 249 concerns, in most cases it would only slightly improve air quality, though it would still 250 bring notable carbon reductions. Therefore, there is a fundamental air quality-water trade-251 off due to end-use gas-for-coal substitution.

To put our estimated environmental impacts in perspective, we also show the percent changes for each impact resulting from each gas source and end-use choice. As shown in

254 Supplementary Fig.6, 30 bcm of natural gas, by substituting ~1.5% to 2.2% of total coal 255 consumption, can lead to approximately -4.8% to 0.01%, -1.8% to 0.9%, and -0.07% to 256 0.08% net changes in China's P-W PM₂₅ surface concentrations, lifecycle GHG emissions 257 (GWP₂₀), and China's weighted water consumption in 2020. Our estimated percent 258 contributions may be scaled up or down depending on actual increases in natural gas 259 supplies. However, the relative trends across gas sources and end-uses in affecting 260 various environmental impacts can be illustrative. Supplementary Fig.6 again 261 demonstrates the determining role of SNG in causing the air quality-carbon/water trade-262 offs, as well as substantial variations in the resulting environmental impacts (air quality in 263 particular), primarily due to gas substitution for coal in various end-use applications.

264

265 Discussion

266 An energy transition away from fossil fuels and towards an air-, carbon-, and water-267 friendly future at local, national and global scales is a critical component of sustainable 268 development. A transition from coal-to-gas is taking place as renewable energy comes 269 into wider use. Our study demonstrates that with careful natural gas source choices and 270 end-use designs, switching from coal to natural gas can bring air quality, carbon, and 271 water co-benefits, though with notable air quality-water trade-offs in the magnitude of the 272 resulting improvements. However, gas source choices can be a determining factor in 273 changing this picture due to coal-based synthetic natural gas. Upstream SNG processes, 274 particularly SNG production, significantly increase both water stress and carbon intensity 275 in regions (northwestern China) already suffering from severe water scarcity and high per 276 capita carbon intensity²². Therefore, although end-use SNG substitution for coal reduces

277 CO₂ emissions and often reduces water consumption as well, SNG not only leads to an 278 increase in lifecycle carbon and water consumption for China as a whole, but also 279 exacerbates existing environmental injustice caused by energy export to eastern China². 280 Our results clearly show a negative spillover effect of China's "Clean Air Act", the focus 281 on improving air quality in the well-developed eastern provinces may increase CO₂ 282 emissions and water stress in the less-developed northwestern provinces when 283 substituting SNG for coal. Earlier studies identified SNG as a good candidate for 284 conducting carbon capture and storage (CCS), as CO₂ emitted during SNG production is 285 of high partial pressure and high purity^{11.20}. Assuming ~90% CO₂ removal efficiency 286 during SNG production¹, applying CCS with SNG could reduce CO₂ emissions by ~110 287 Mt, resulting in net GHG reductions from a coal-to-SNG switch. However, development 288 of CCS will further increase water demand in northwestern regions due to water 289 consumption for CO₂ scrubbers and parasitic loads^{44.65}. Thus, though CCS can make SNG a 290 more attractive energy choice from the air quality and carbon perspectives, it exacerbates 291 existing water stress, particularly in northwestern provinces. As energy infrastructure 292 typically operates for multiple decades, our findings indicate the need to identify the air 293 quality-carbon-water interconnections before making large-scale energy investments to 294 avoid unintended side effects at both regional and global levels.

For coal substitution with gas sources other than SNG, we find that end-use gas deployment usually plays a far more important role than gas source choices in determining the magnitude of resulting local air pollution and water stress alleviation, as well as carbon mitigation in most cases. Existing discussions have largely focused on clean energy source choices⁴⁶. However, this study shows that more attention should be

300 placed on designing clean energy deployment strategies, as end-use choices can 301 sometimes result in variations of over an order of magnitude in net environmental 302 impacts.

303 Our study also illustrates notable air quality-water trade-offs due to end-use sectoral 304 and regional natural gas deployment. This trade-off results from the sectoral differences 305 affecting environmental impacts and the geographic mismatch between regions of high 306 air pollution and high water stress (Supplementary Fig.7). Particularly, under WS, natural 307 gas is primarily distributed to the power sector to substitute for coal (Supplementary Fig. 308 8a). This allocation can significantly reduce water consumption but brings only small air 309 quality benefits due to widely employed end-of-pipe control technologies in coal-fired 310 power plants¹. Conversely, when natural gas substitution for coal primarily occurs in the 311 residential sector where it results in the largest reductions in air pollution emissions⁴, it 312 does not reduce water consumption. Also, when more gas is allocated to highly water-313 stressed provinces under WS, it does not necessarily bring large reductions in air 314 pollutant emissions because regions with high water stress and severe air pollution do not 315 closely overlap (Supplementary Fig.8b). Notably, the inherent air quality-water trade-off 316 identified here not only exists for coal substitution with natural gas, but also for coal 317 substitution with renewables. For instance, displacing coal-fired power plants equipped 318 with end-of-pipe controls with wind power will bring water savings but less pronounced 319 air quality benefits. Thus, additional action in the residential and industrial sectors is 320 necessary to achieve desired air quality improvements. Also, the trade-offs we identified 321 may exist in other countries where regions of high air pollution differ from those with 322 high water stress (i.e., India). Thus, we highlight the need for careful coordination of

energy and environmental policies to simultaneously and significantly address air quality,climate, and water concerns.

325 Additionally, both the air quality-carbon/water trade-offs due to energy source 326 choices and the air quality-water trade-offs due to energy end-uses identified here 327 highlight a conflict in decision making at the local, national, and global scales. Such 328 conflicts widely exist across countries facing multiple environmental and energy 329 challenges. Given the complexity of the air quality-carbon-water interactions at different 330 administrative scales, there is no single optimal scenario that can outperform others in all 331 three aspects. However, we do find that the air quality- and carbon-focused substitution 332 scenarios with conventional and imported pipeline natural gas usually bring the most air 333 quality and carbon benefits, and thus help to address China's current primary concerns. 334 Nevertheless, additional efforts are needed to achieve overall environmental 335 improvements. For instance, limiting or curtailing the utilization of energy sources that 336 result in substantial trade-offs (i.e., SNG) or planning a combination of technologies that 337 compensate for potential trade-offs (i.e., gas-for-coal substitution in the residential sector 338 coupled with dry-cooling technology in the power sector) may reduce trade-offs at 339 varying administrative scales. In addition, from the perspective of policy implementation, 340 it is important to consider the economic costs of gas source and end-use options. For 341 instance, unconventional natural gas generally costs more than conventional and 342 imported pipeline gas due to smaller scale production and immature technology for 343 unconventional gas^{22,21}. This further disfavors SNG, whose future production scale should 344 be limited due to carbon and water concerns. Also, although deploying natural gas in the 345 residential sector (mainly under the AS and CS scenarios) brings the most air quality and

carbon benefits, it is usually very costly due to the need to install expensive last-meter
distribution pipelines¹¹. Thus, government subsidies for residential sector gas
infrastructure is needed to facilitate an end-use coal-to-gas conversion for residents¹².
Further analysis of the regional variations and dynamic changes in economic costs are
needed to better evaluate the feasibility of different gas source choices and end-use
designs at finer resolution.

352 The absolute environmental impacts we estimate may vary depending on actual 353 increases in natural gas supplies, actual baseline energy consumption, the penetration and 354 removal rates of sub-sectoral end-of-pipe control technologies, and the non-linearity of 355 atmospheric chemistry. This non-linearity may also change the order of air quality 356 benefits for scenarios, especially when existing differences across scenarios are small. 357 Due to the large computational resources required to simulate air pollution concentrations 358 for all gas source and end-use combinations, we choose a representative additional gas 359 supply and a widely used emission scenario as the base case in this study (Methodology 360 and Supplementary Methods 1.1)^{II.47.48}. We compare the air quality-carbon-water impacts 361 among various gas sources and end-use designs, all of which have the same baseline and 362 the same quantity of additional gas supply. Thus, the underlying air quality-carbon-water 363 synergies and trade-offs resulting from various gas sources and end-uses should remain 364 the same.

Globally, there are large uncertainties in methane leakage rates from upstream natural gas processes. This can potentially make gas source choices a more important factor in affecting net carbon impacts than we identify here. Field measurements of methane leakage along the whole lifecycle chain of the natural gas industry both within and

outside China will improve understanding of the carbon impacts of China's natural gasindustry and the relative importance of gas source choices and deployment strategies.

The air quality-carbon-water nexus discussed in this study focuses on China's natural gas industry. Due to its enormous economy and population, China's energy plans have important domestic as well as global implications for sustainable development. The framework described here, and its qualitative conclusions could be applied to other countries and regions as they design sustainable energy transition pathways.

376

377 Methods

This work uses an integrated assessment approach coupled with lifecycle analysis to evaluate the air quality-carbon-water nexus of China's natural gas industry. Our objective is to understand the differences in impacts resulting from various gas source choices and end-use deployment strategies (Supplementary Table 1).

We quantify the air quality impacts as changes in lifecycle air pollutant emissions and simulated PM₂₅ surface concentrations. Carbon impacts are calculated as changes in lifecycle greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Additionally, water impacts are represented by changes in water consumption weighted by water stress index (WSI) (WSI: the ratio of total annual freshwater withdrawal to hydrological availability, ranging from 0 to 1=2). WSI weighted water consumption is calculated as actual regional water consumption × region-specific water stress index, referred hereafter as 'weighted water consumption'.

We use the ECLIPSE_V5a_CLE (Evaluating the Climate and Air Quality Impacts of Short-Lived Pollutants) emission scenario developed by the Greenhouse Gas and Air Pollution Interactions and Synergies (GAINS) model as our 2020 base case anthropogenic emissions input^a. The ECLIPSE scenario is designed to reflect provincial energy policies and emission regulations in China's 12^a five-year-plan^a, and it provides detailed subsector technology information, energy consumption data, and emissions of major air pollutants and CO₂ at China's
provincial level. In addition, we integrate China's provincial-level cooling technology
information from the World Electric Power Plants database (<u>https://www.platts.com/products/</u>)
(Supplementary Table 2) with end-use technology data (i.e., power plant technologies) provided
by the GAINS model (<u>http://gains.iiasa.ac.at/models/</u>) to evaluate water impacts (Supplementary
Methods).

We focus on China's six major natural gas sources, including domestic conventional natural gas, domestic coal-based synthetic natural gas (SNG), domestic shale gas, imported liquefied natural gas (LNG), imported pipeline gas from Russia, and imported pipeline gas from Central Asia. For each gas source, the regional deployment is determined by governmental and industrial plans as shown in Fig.1 and Supplementary Table 4. At the sectoral level, we consider natural gas substitution for coal in three major sectors: industry, residential, and power^a.

Based on three possible environmental priorities, for each gas source, we design three end-use deployment strategies for the gas-for-coal substitution for each gas source. 1) Air quality-focused substitution (AS), designed to achieve the largest reductions in SO₂ emissions; 2) Carbon-focused substitution (CS), designed to achieve the largest reductions in CO₂ emissions; and 3) Waterfocused substitution (WS), designed to achieve the largest reductions in weighted water consumption (Supplementary Table 1).

412 To uniformly compare impacts of gas-for-coal substitution, for each combination of gas 413 source and end-use, we assume an additional gas supply of 30 bcm above the baseline to replace 414 coal. This is roughly the quantity of China's currently planned increases for each gas source 415 around 2020 (Supplementary Methods 1.1). We then estimate the resulting changes in air 416 pollutant emissions, CO₂ emissions, and weighted water consumption due to end-use gas 417 substitution for coal (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3, Supplementary Fig. 7). Additional gas 418 supply leads to an increase in upstream natural gas production and a decrease in upstream coal 419 production. This results in emission and water consumption changes from upstream natural gas

420 and coal processes (i.e., production, processing, transmission, and distribution) due to energy 421 combustion, methane leakage, and water uses for coal washing, well drilling and so forthuid 422 (Supplementary Table 5). We quantify changes in upstream emissions primarily using stage-level 423 energy consumption data and methane leakage rates summarized in Oin et al. (2017)¹⁴ (refer to 424 Supplementary Methods 1.2 for details), and country-specific emission factors from the GAINS 425 model (Supplementary Fig. 10). We calculate changes in upstream weighted water consumption 426 using the same energy consumption data and methane leakage rates (Qin et al., 2017a), fuel-427 specific water consumption rates (Supplementary Table 8), and regional water stress indexes. 428 WSI are provided by Feng et al. (2014)* (provincial-level WSI for regions within China) and Pfister et al. (2009) (country-level WSI for regions outside China). We assume upstream 429 430 emissions and water consumption for gas processes occur in places where natural gas is 431 produced. The spatial distribution of reduced emissions and water consumption due to avoided 432 upstream coal processes are identified according to where end-use coal reduction occurs and the 433 corresponding source-receptor matrix of coal production and consumption[®].

Combining both upstream and end-use processes, we estimate net changes in air pollutant emissions, GHG emissions, and weighted water consumption for each gas source under each gasfor-coal deployment strategy. We then use the Weather Research and Forecasting model coupled with Chemistry (WRF-Chem v3.6) to simulate resulting changes in 2020 annual average PM₂₅ surface concentrations. Method details are summarized in the Supplementary Methods.

439

440 **Data availability**

441 Data used to perform this work can be found in the Supplementary Information. Any
442 further data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding
443 authors upon reasonable request.

445 (Correspondence and	requests for	materials may	be addressed to	Denise L. Mauzerall:
--------------	--------------------	--------------	---------------	-----------------	-----------------------------

446 mauzeral@princeton.edu and Yue Qin: yq@princeton.edu or yqin8@uci.edu.

447

448 Acknowledgements

Yue Qin thanks the Woodrow Wilson School of Public and International Affairs at Princeton University for her graduate fellowship and thanks the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) for her 2016 Young Scientists Summer Program fellowship. Edward Byers thanks IIASA for his Postdoctoral Fellowship funding. Yue Qin acknowledges earlier discussions with Gregor Kiesewetter, Zbigniew Klimont,

- 454 Janusz Cofala, and Peter Rafaj.
- 455

456 Author Contributions

457 Y.Q. and D.L.M. designed the study, Y.Q. performed the research, L.H.I, E.B., K.F.,

458 F.W., and W.P. contributed data for analysis, Y.Q., L.H.I, E.B., and K.F. analyzed data,

459 and Y.Q., D.L.M., and L.H.I wrote the paper.

460

461 **Competing interests**

462 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

463 464	Referen	ices
465 466	1.	Gies E. The real cost of energy. <i>Nature</i> 2017, 551 (7682): S145-S147.
467 468 469	2.	Zhang JF, Mauzerall DL, Zhu T, Liang S, Ezzati M, Remais JV. Environmental health in China: progress towards clean air and safe water. <i>Lancet</i> 2010, 375 (9720): 1110-1119.
470 471 472	3.	Sheehan P, Cheng EJ, English A, Sun FH. China's response to the air pollution shock. <i>Nat Clim Change</i> 2014, 4 (5): 306-309.
472 473 474	4.	Zhang Q, Streets DG, Carmichael GR, He KB, Huo H, Kannari A, <i>et al.</i> Asian emissions in 2006 for the NASA INTEX-B mission. <i>Atmos Chem Phys</i> 2009, 9 (14): 5131-5153.
475 476 477 478	5.	Zhang C, Anadon LD. Life Cycle Water Use of Energy Production and Its Environmental Impacts in China. <i>Environmental Science & Technology</i> 2013, 47 (24): 14459-14467.
479 480 481 482	6.	Macknick J, Newmark R, Heath G, Hallett KC. Operational water consumption and withdrawal factors for electricity generating technologies: a review of existing literature. <i>Environ Res Lett</i> 2012, 7 (4).
482 483 484 485 486	7.	Ou XM, Zhang XL. Life-Cycle Analyses of Energy Consumption and GHG Emissions of Natural Gas-Based Alternative Vehicle Fuels in China. <i>Journal of Energy</i> 2013, 2013 (2013), Article ID 268263.
486 487 488 489	8.	Buonocore JJ, Luckow P, Norris G, Spengler JD, Biewald B, Fisher J, <i>et al.</i> Health and climate benefits of different energy-efficiency and renewable energy choices. <i>Nat Clim Change</i> 2016, 6 (1): 100-+.
490 491 492 493 494	9.	Siler-Evans K, Azevedo IL, Morgana MG, Apt J. Regional variations in the health, environmental, and climate benefits of wind and solar generation. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> 2013, 110 (29): 11768-11773.
495 496 497 498	10.	Webster M, Donohoo P, Palmintier B. Water-CO2 trade-offs in electricity generation planning. <i>Nat Clim Change</i> 2013, 3 (12): 1029-1032.
499 500 501 502	11.	Qin Y, Wagner F, Scovronick N, Peng W, Yang JN, Zhu T, <i>et al.</i> Air quality, health, and climate implications of China's synthetic natural gas development. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> 2017, 114 (19): 4887-4892.
502 503 504 505 506	12.	Peer RAM, Garrison JB, Timms CP, Sanders KT. Spatially and Temporally Resolved Analysis of Environmental Trade-Offs in Electricity Generation. <i>Environmental Science</i> & <i>Technology</i> 2016, 50 (8): 4537-4545.
507 508 509 510	13.	Wang CY, Wang RR, Hertwich E, Liu Y. A technology-based analysis of the water- energy-emission nexus of China's steel industry. <i>Resour Conserv Recy</i> 2017, 124: 116- 128.
511 512 513	14.	Chang Y, Huang RZ, Masanet E. The energy, water, and air pollution implications of tapping China's shale gas reserves. <i>Resour Conserv Recy</i> 2014, 91: 100-108.

514 515 516	15.	Hertwich EG, Gibon T, Bouman EA, Arvesen A, Suh S, Heath GA, <i>et al.</i> Integrated life- cycle assessment of electricity-supply scenarios confirms global environmental benefit of low-carbon technologies. <i>P Natl Acad Sci USA</i> 2015, 112 (20): 6277-6282.
517 518 519 520 521 522	16.	Gingerich DB, Sun XD, Behrer AP, Azevedo IL, Mauter MS. Spatially resolved airwater emissions tradeoffs improve regulatory impact analyses for electricity generation. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> 2017, 114 (8): 1862-1867.
523 524 525 526 527	17.	NDRC. Enhanced actions on climate change: China's intended nationally determined contributions. National Development and Reform Commission. http://www4.unfccc.int/Submissions/INDC/Published%20Documents/China/1/China's%20INDC%20-%20on%2030%20June%202015.pdf. 2015.
527 528 529	18.	NBSC. National Statistic Data. National Bureau of Statistics of China. <u>http://data.stats.gov.cn/easyquery.htm?cn=C01</u> . 2016.
530 531 532	19.	Zhang C, Anadon LD, Mo HP, Zhao ZN, Liu Z. Water-Carbon Trade-off in China's Coal Power Industry. <i>Environmental Science & Technology</i> 2014, 48 (19): 11082-11089.
535 534 535	20.	Liu Z, Guan DB, Crawford-Brown D, Zhang Q, He KB, Liu JG. A low-carbon road map for China. <i>Nature</i> 2013, 500 (7461): 143-145.
536 537 538 539	21.	Huang RJ, Zhang YL, Bozzetti C, Ho KF, Cao JJ, Han YM, <i>et al.</i> High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China. <i>Nature</i> 2014, 514 (7521): 218-222.
540 541 542 543	22.	NEA. Strengthen the work plan for prevention and control of atmospheric pollution in energy industry. National Energy Administration. http://www.nea.gov.cn/133338463_14002098575931n.pdf. 2014.
544 545 546 547	23.	NDRC. Thirteenth Five Year Plan for China's natural gas development. National Development and Reform Commission. http://www.ndrc.gov.cn/gzdt/201701/t20170119_835571.html. 2016.
548 549 550	24.	EIA. China: International energy data and analysis: U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA); 2015.
551 552 553	25.	Qin Y, Tong F, Yang G, Mauzerall DL. Challenges of using natural gas as a carbon mitigation option in China. <i>Energ Policy</i> 2018, 117 : 457-462.
554 555 556	26.	NDRC. Thirteenth Five Year Plan for China's Energy Industry. http://www.ndrc.gov.cn/zcfb/zcfbghwb/201701/W020170117350627940556.pdf. 2016.
557 558 559	27.	Dong WJ, Yuan WP, Liu SG, Moore J, Shi PJ, Feng SB, <i>et al</i> . China-Russia gas deal for a cleaner China. <i>Nat Clim Change</i> 2014, 4 (11): 940-942.
560 561 562 563	28.	Paltsev S, Zhang DW. Natural gas pricing reform in China: Getting closer to a market system? <i>Energ Policy</i> 2015, 86: 43-56.

- Solution Section 29. Yang CJ, Jackson RB. Commentary: China's Synthetic Natural Gas Revolution. *Nat Clim Change* 2013, 3(10): 852-854.
- 567 30. Fu ZH. Lifecycle analysis of carbon emissions from coal-based synthetic natural gas and comparison with other gas sources (in Chinese). *Natural Gas Industry* 2010, **30**(9): 1-5.
- 31. Qin Y, Edwards R, Tong F, Mauzerall D. Can Switching from Coal to Shale Gas Bring
 Net Carbon Reductions to China. *Envirionmental Science & Technology* 2017, 51(5):
 2554-2562.
- 32. Jaramillo P, Griffin WM, Matthews HS. Comparative life-cycle air emissions of coal,
 domestic natural gas, LNG, and SNG for electricity generation. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2007, 41(17): 6290-6296.
- 578 33. Ding YJ, Han WJ, Chai QH, Yang SH, Shen W. Coal-based synthetic natural gas (SNG):
 579 A solution to China's energy security and CO2 reduction? *Energ Policy* 2013, 55: 445580 453.
- 582 34. Pacsi AP, Alhajeri NS, Zavala-Araiza D, Webster MD, Allen DT. Regional Air Quality
 583 Impacts of Increased Natural Gas Production and Use in Texas. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2013, 47(7): 3521-3527.
- 586 35. Chen ZB, Qian FY, Chen DJ. Evaluation of the use of coal-based synthetic natural gas
 587 for haze prevention in China (in Chinese). *China ENvironmental Science* 2015, **35**(9):
 588 2615-2622.
- Song P, Hou J, Wang X, Zhang Y, Gao Z, Yao H, *et al.* Analysis on the contribution of coal-to-SNG to reducing the discharge of air pollutants (in Chinese). *Coal Chemical Industry* 2016, 44(1): 15-18.
- 594 37. Chang Y, Huang R, Ries RJ, Masanet E. Life-cycle comparison of greenhouse gas
 595 emissions and water consumption for coal and shale gas fired power generation in China.
 596 *Energy* 2015, 86: 335-343.
 597
- 598 38. Feng KS, Hubacek K, Pfister S, Yu Y, Sun LX. Virtual Scarce Water in China.
 599 *Environmental Science & Technology* 2014, 48(14): 7704-7713.
- 601 39. Pfister S, Koehler A, Hellweg S. Assessing the Environmental Impacts of Freshwater
 602 Consumption in LCA. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2009, 43(11): 4098-4104.
 603
- 40. Tang T. China's Natural Gas Imports and Prospects. Prepared for Energy Research
 Institute, National Development and Reform Commission, China. 2014.
- 607 41. IEA. World Energy Outlook 2012. Water for Energy: Is Energy Becoming a thirstier resource? International Energy Agency. 2012.
 609
- 610 42. U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA). Technically Recoverable Shale Oil and
 611 Shale Gas Resources: An Assessment of 137 Shale Formations in 41 Countries Outside
 612 the United States. 2013.

569

581

614 615 616	43.	Jiang Y. China's water scarcity. <i>Journal of Environmental Management</i> 2009, 90 (11): 3185-3196.
617 618 619	44.	Sanders KT. Critical Review: Uncharted Waters? The Future of the Electricity-Water Nexus. <i>Environmental Science & Technology</i> 2015, 49 (1): 51-66.
620 621 622	45.	Byers EA, Hall JW, Amezaga JM, O'Donnell GM, Leathard A. Water and climate risks to power generation with carbon capture and storage. <i>Environ Res Lett</i> 2016, 11 (2).
623 624 625	46.	Fouquet R. Path dependence in energy systems and economic development. <i>Nat Energy</i> 2016, 1 .
626 627 628 629	47.	Karambelas A, Holloway T, Kiesewetter G, Heyesc C. Constraining the uncertainty in emissions over India with a regional air quality model evaluation. <i>Atmos Environ</i> 2018, 174: 194-203.
630 631 632 633	48.	Peng W, Yang J, Wagner F, Mauzerall DL. Substantial air quality and climate co-benefits achievable now with sectoral mitigation strategies in China. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> 2017, 598: 1076-1084.
634 635 636 637	49.	Klimont Z, Kupiainen K, Heyes C, Purohit P, Cofala J, Rafaj P, <i>et al</i> . Global anthropogenic emissions of particulate matter including black carbon. <i>Atmos Chem Phys</i> 2017, 17 (14): 8681-8723.
638 639 640	50.	Su SS, Li BG, Cui SY, Tao S. Sulfur Dioxide Emissions from Combustion in China: From 1990 to 2007. <i>Environmental Science & Technology</i> 2011, 45 (19): 8403-8410.
641 642 643 644 645	51.	EIA US. International energy data and analysis: China. https://www.eia.gov/beta/international/analysis includes/countries long/China/china.pdf. 2015.
646		



647

648

Fig. 1 Production and target (potential consumption) regions for mainland China's six major natural gas sources based on government and industrial plans for 2020^{LL IL 2L IL}. Refer to Supplementary Table 4 for details and the underlying assumptions about the spatial distribution of gas production and consumption. Imported LNG is mainly produced in Qatar, Australia, Indonesia, and Malaysia^{LL}. Imported Eastern Russia Gas and Central Asia Gas are produced in Russia and Central Asian countries (Turkmenistan, Kazakhstan, and Uzbekistan), respectively^{ILIE}.

655





659 Fig. 2 Air quality-focused substitution (AS). Changes in upstream and downstream SO₂ 660 emissions, greenhouse gas emissions (CO₂₀, including both CO₂ and CH₄), and water stress index 661 (WSI) weighted water consumption for coal substitution by 30 bcm of gas from various sources 662 (Conventional gas, SNG, Shale gas, LNG, Eastern Russia gas, and Central Asia gas) in 2020. Net 663 changes within China are obtained by considering emission or weighted water consumption 664 changes occurring within China's border from upstream gas processes (upstream gas 665 production, processing, transmission, and distribution), upstream coal processes (upstream coal 666 production, processing, and transport), and end-use gas substitution for coal. Global net changes 667 represent the emission or weighted water consumption changes resulting from the differences 668 between the mean estimates of coal and the mean estimates of natural gas, which occur **both** 669 within and outside of China. Global changes due to upper and lower bound estimates (mainly 670 due to methane leakage rates) of coal and natural gas, respectively, are also shown in the graph. 671 Note that differences between the mean estimates of coal and the mean estimates of gas are not 672 necessarily the mean differences between coal and natural gas. Results for carbon-focused (CS) 673 and water-focused (WS) gas-for-coal substitution are shown in Supplementary Figs. 2 and 3.





Fig. 3 Air quality-focused substitution (AS). Mainland China's 2020 spatially resolved changes in air quality (SO₂ emissions and population-weighted PM_{23} surface concentrations), carbon (lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions under GWP100, including CO2 and CH4, assuming mean methane leakage rates), and water (weighted water consumption) impacts of 30 bcm of gas from various sources substituting for coal. Changes in SO₂ emissions, GHG emissions, and weighted water consumption are shown at the provincial level; changes in PM₂ concentrations are shown at the grid level (27 km×27 km). Results for carbon-focused (CS) and water-focused (WS) gas-for-coal substitution are shown in Supplementary Figs. 4 and 5.





699 Fig. 4 Comparison of net changes in air quality (China's population-weighted PM₂₅ surface 700 concentrations), carbon (lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions under GWP_n, assuming mean 701 methane leakage rates), and water impacts (China's weighted water consumption) from 702 substituting 30 bcm of gas from various sources for coal under three deployment strategies in 703 2020. Negative changes in PM₂₅surface concentrations, lifecycle GHG emissions, and weighted 704 water consumption represent an improvement in air quality, carbon, and water impacts from gas 705 substitution for coal, and vice versa. AS: air quality-focused substitution, CS: carbon-focused 706 substitution, and WS: water-focused substitution. Detailed breakdowns are shown in 707 Supplementary Fig. 9.

- 708
- 709