Accepted Manuscript

Integrated assessment of resource-energy-environment nexus in China's iron and steel industry

Shaohui Zhang, Bo-Wen Yi, Ernst Worrell, Fabian Wagner, Wina Crijns-Graus, Pallav Purohit, Yoshihide Wada, Olli Varis

PII: S0959-6526(19)31935-3

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.392

Reference: JCLP 17157

To appear in: Journal of Cleaner Production

Received Date: 20 December 2018

Revised Date: 23 May 2019

Accepted Date: 31 May 2019

Please cite this article as: Zhang S, Yi B-W, Worrell E, Wagner F, Crijns-Graus W, Purohit P, Wada Y, Varis O, Integrated assessment of resource-energy-environment nexus in China's iron and steel industry, *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.392.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1	Integrated assessment of Resource-Energy-Environment Nexus in China's iron and steel industry
2 3 4	Shaohui Zhang ^{1,2} , Bo-Wen Yi ¹ *, Ernst Worrell ³ , Fabian Wagner ² , Wina Crijns-Graus ³ , Pallav Purohit ² , Yoshihide Wada ² , Olli Varis ⁴
5 6	1. School of Economics and Management, Beihang University, 37 Xueyuan Road, 100083,
7	Beijing, China
8	2. International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Schlossplatz 1, A-2361 Laxenburg,
9	Austria
10	3. Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, Utrecht University, Heidelberglaan 2,
11	3584 CS Utrecht, the Netherlands
12	4. Water & Development Research Group, Department of Built Environment, Aalto
13	University, Tietotie 1E, 02150 Espoo, Finland
14 15	Abstract: MESSAGEix model are widely used for forecasting long-term energy consumption
16	and emissions, as well as modelling the possible GHGs mitigations. However, because of the
17	complexity of manufacturing sectors, the MESSAGEix model aggregate detailed technology
18	options and thereby miss linkages across sub-sectors, which leads to energy saving
19	potentials are often not very realistic and cannot be used to design specific policies. Here,
20	we integrate Material/Energy/water Flow Analysis (MEWFA) and nexus approach into the
21	MESSAGEix to estimate resource-energy-environment nexus in China's iron and steel
22	industry. Results show that between 2010 and 2050 energy efficiency measures and route
23	shifting of China's steel industry will decrease raw material input by 14%, energy use by 7%,
24	water consumption by 8%, CO_2 emissions by 7%, NOx emissions by 9%, and SO_2 emissions

¹*Corresponding author. Tel.: +43 (0)2236 807-381

E-mail addresses: <u>s zhang@buaa.edu.cn</u> (Shaohui Zhang), <u>ybw2018@buaa.edu.cn</u> (Bo-Wen Yi), <u>e.worrell@uu.nl</u> (Ernst Worrell), <u>wagnerf@iiasa.ac.at</u> (Fabian Wagner), <u>W.H.J.Graus@uu.nl</u> (Wina Crijns-Graus), <u>purohit@iiasa.ac.at</u> (Pallav Purohit), <u>wada@iiasa.ac.at</u> (Yoshihide Wada), <u>olli.varis@aalto.fi</u> (Olli Varis).

by 14%, respectively. However, water withdrawal and PM_{2.5} emissions will increase by 14%
and 20%, respectively. The main reason is that water withdrawal and PM_{2.5} emissions in the
process of BF-BOF are over 4 times lower than the process scrap-EAF. Therefore, policy
makers should consider nexus effects when design integrated policy to achieve multiple
targets. Finally, future directions on enhancing the representation of manufacturing sectors
in IAMs are given.

7

8 Keywords: IAMs; MESSAGEix; Iron and steel industry; Energy efficiency benefits; China;

9 Resource-Energy-Environment Nexus

10

11 **1. Introduction**

12

Energy system models are increasingly used to assess future climate change and its socio-13 economic impacts. Scenarios, such as Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) and 14 Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs), developed by several Integrated Assessment 15 Models (IAMs) show a wide range of projections for assessing mitigation policies, depending 16 on the actions modelled to response of the climate change and other relevant 17 environmental issues, such as water scarcity and air pollution (Marangoni et al., 2017; Moss 18 et al., 2010; Riahi et al., 2017; Rogelj et al., 2016; Wada et al., 2014; Walsh et al., 2017). Key 19 20 feature of IAMs is that they integrated multiple knowledge into a single framework to explore human actions interact with natural world, especially help us understand how 21 22 technologies, socioeconomic behaviour, and natural change can avoid greenhouse gas 23 emissions (Bruckner, 2016).

24

Recently, nexus approach have been widely employed to identify trade-offs and synergies
across space, and time (Albrecht et al., 2018; Kaddoura and El Khatib, 2017; Namany et al.,

1 2019; Zhang et al., 2018). Evaluating current literatures, many studies simply distinguish three groups of nexus, namely system-wise approaches, holistic, and system think 2 approaches (Harwood, 2018). Mannan et al. summarized the development of integrated Life 3 Cycle Assessment (iLCA) and energy-water-food (EWF) nexus methodology and fund that 4 5 iLCA and EWF nexus play a significant role in environmental burdens and would have large effects on EWF resource sectors (Mannan et al., 2018). There is growing recognition that 6 integrating nexus approach into IAMs. For example, the Climate, Land, Energy and Water 7 8 (CLEW), developed by International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), is an integrated tool that aims to assessing interactions between water, energy, climate, land, and material use at the 9 global scale (International Atomic Energy Agency, 2017). Tokimatsu used a bottom-up 10 energy model to assess potential for renewable energy technologies application and the 11 associated metal demand, under different climate target scenarios, and found that energy-12 13 mineral nexus play an important role when underpin policy making (Tokimatsu et al., 2018, 2017). Fang et al. used a multiregional input-output model with an atmospheric chemical 14 transport model to estimate clean air policy and the associated environmental impacts in 15 China, and found that environmental policy not only can improve air quality in the target 16 region, but also can lower CO₂ emissions and decrease water consumption (Fang et al., 17 2019). 18

19

To date, such model-based scenarios have not unambiguously examined the efficiency of various possible policies, and how they will be financed in major emitting sectors (e.g., building and industry) (Rogelj et al., 2016). For example, the specific industry characteristics and the complex interactions with and within sectors are not included in most of IAMs used to evaluate policy strategies (Kermeli et al., 2016; Worrell and Kermeli, 2017). Over time,

1 narrowing scenario uncertainty is extremely difficult because it requires increased confidence in future technology and society conditions (Brown and Caldeira, 2017). 2 Furthermore, it remains unclear how to best evaluate the synergies or co-benefits of 3 resource/energy/water efficiency, climate, and air quality across sub-sectors and distinct 4 5 features across regions (Pauliuk et al., 2017). Therefore, future IAMs need to provide more accuracy and transparent projections when designing specific policies to achieve future 6 targets (e.g., Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs), Sustainable Development Goals 7 8 (SDGs)). New knowledge applied in state-of-the-art IAMs to further improve the representation of sub-sectors and the associated interactions is urgently required to support 9 the design and evaluation of policies at national, regional, and global scales. The aim of this 10 paper is to address this gap by integrate Material/Energy/water Flow Analysis (MEWFA) and 11 nexus approach into the Model for Energy Supply Strategy Alternatives and their General 12 Environmental Impacts (MESSAGEix) to estimate potential for energy and material efficiency 13 improvement, emission reductions of GHG and air pollutants, and resource-energy-14 environment nexus. Specifically, resource-energy-environment nexus of China's iron and 15 steel industry, in this study, aims estimate decline trade-offs, improve synergies of resource, 16 17 energy, water, and emissions of GHGs and air pollutants, improve energy and resource or material efficiency, and guide development of new decision- and policy-making. To integrate 18 industrial sub-sectors into system model (e.g., IAMs) and assess the associated potential 19 solutions for climate mitigation, we firstly integrate iron and steel industry into the 20 21 MESSAGEix model, because of its large contribution to CO₂ emissions (29% of industrial 22 direct emissions) and pollution, and its high level of resource and energy demand (20% of industrial energy use) (Worrell and Carreon, 2017). This approach takes the advantages of 23 24 the model's high level of detail technology to estimate the energy & resource saving

1 potential, emission reductions, nexus with and within sectors, as well as the associated investment. Also, the future dynamic use of raw/process material, energy, water, and 2 emissions of the system can be optimized in MESSAGEix. We first introduce the process 3 technologies to quantify the future activity of energy and water consumption, emissions of 4 greenhouse gases (GHGs) and air pollution and associated co-benefits and trade-offs in 5 China's iron and steel industry during the period 2010-2050. Then, we investigate the 6 potential resource requirements (including raw material and process material), energy and 7 water use, and emissions of the selected energy efficiency measures within the alternative 8 scenarios and compare these findings with those of the baseline scenario. 9

10

12

11 2. Overview of iron and steel industry in China

13 Iron and steel products, as key industrial materials, are widely used to meet requirements of economic development, especially for infrastructure and other construction 14 projects 15 (Cullen et al., 2012). Over the last 150 years, the world crude steel consumption increased to over 1.6 billion tons in 2016 and is expected to continue to rise also in the long-term 16 future, partly because of the societal transition, via application of steel products in new 17 technologies (Milford et al., 2013; Worrell and Carreon, 2017). China has been the world's 18 19 largest steel consumer and producer since 1996. Crude steel production from China increased from 100 million tons (Mt) in 1996 to 808 Mt in 2016, nearly 50% of global total 20 (World Steel Association, 2017). Studies in the Chinese iron and steel industry have 21 demonstrated steel consumption will peak by around 2030 and then decline gradually (Yin 22 and Chen, 2013; Zhang et al., 2014). 23

24

1 Steel can be produced via four main routes: blast furnace, basic oxygen furnace (BF-BOF), scrap- Electric arc furnace (EAF), direct reduction (DRI)-EAF (also named Direct Reduced Iron 2 (DRI), and open-hearth furnace (OHFs). The process shares of steel production vary widely 3 across countries. In 2016 BF-BOF dominated, accounting for 74.3% of world steel production, 4 5 followed by scrap-EAF (25.2%) (World Steel Association, 2017). The other steel production routes, such as DRI-EAF and OHF contributed only a minor portion, with a share of around 5% 6 of the total produced amount. However, China has the highest share of BF-BOF steel 7 8 production, accounting for 94%, followed by scrap-EAF (6%) (World Steel Association, 2017). The BF-BOF route includes process technologies of coke making, sinter making, iron making 9 and steel making, while the EAF route includes scrap melting or DRI and steel making. The 10 key difference among the process technologies is the type/amount of raw material and 11 energy they need. For example, iron ore and less scrap (range: 10-30%) are typically used in 12 13 BF-BOF to produce steel. In contrast, almost 100% scrap is used in the scrap-EAF route (Yellishetty et al., 2010), where the scrap-EAF route consumes less energy than the BF-BOF 14 route (Oda et al., 2013). 15

16

Regarding energy and environmental challenges, it is important to note that among 17 industrial sectors, iron and steel industry is the globally largest one in energy needs, 18 emissions of CO₂ and air pollution, and consumption of resource-based manufacturing 19 sectors, accounting for 20% of world industrial energy use and 29% of industrial direct CO₂ 20 21 emissions (Worrell and Carreon, 2017). The Chinese iron and steel industry is responsible for 22 24% of industrial energy and 22% of water use, and releases 21% of CO₂, 10% of SO₂, 15% NOx, and 10% of PM_{2.5}, respectively (Wang et al., 2017; Zhang, 2016; Zhang et al., 2014). 23 24 Specifically, the blast furnace is the most energy-intensive part of the steel making in the BF-

1 BOF route, while sintering is the main source of air pollution in this route (Wu et al., 2015).

2 Inversely, the EAF was the largest electricity consumer (CSDRI, 2016).

3

4

5 3. Methodology

6 3.1 MESSAGEix model

7

MESSAGE, developed by the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), is a 8 9 dynamic system optimization model that is widely used to investigate future development of medium- to long-term energy planning and policy analysis (Keppo and Strubegger, 2010; 10 Sullivan et al., 2013). Further, MESSAGE links to the macro-economic model (MACRO) to 11 12 consistently assess the interaction between macroeconomic production, natural resources, energy demand and supply, and emissions (Messner and Schrattenholzer, 2000). The 13 advantage of the MESSAGE-MACRO combination is that its two components can run 14 15 independently from each other.

16

Many different modelling frameworks and IAMs (including MESSAGE-V) have been 17 developed and used to assess various purposes with specific constraints and diverse scales 18 (Fattori et al., 2016). However, obstacles of interdisciplinary, transparency, scientific 19 20 standards and uncertainty in most of energy systems modelling remain unaddressed (Hilpert 21 et al., 2017). To closing the gaps, the MESSAGEix, based on MESSAGE-V, is developed and implemented under IIASA's ix modelling platform (ixmp). The new feature of MESSAGEix has 22 allowed improved openness and transparency, compared to the existing MESSAGE-V model. 23 24 In addition, the ixmp provides an efficient work-flow for data processing and implementation of models across disciplines and spatial scales. The key advantage of 25

MESSAGEix is that it allows modellers to easily exchange data input, integrate external data source, and link with other models, such as the Greenhouse Gas - Air Pollution Interactions and Synergies (GAINS) model. More detailed modelling information of MESSAGEix as described by Huppmann et al., (Huppmann et al., 2018) and the tutorial of MESSAGEix (IIASA's Energy grouop, 2018).

6

8

7 3.2 MESSAGEix – iron and steel model

MESSAGEix – iron and steel model, developed in this study, is a technology-based model in 9 10 the MESSAGEix family that depicts the system with a high level of details on natural resource, energy, water, emissions, and the associated technologies. We integrate 11 material/energy/water Flow Analysis (MEWFA) and nexus approach into the MESSAGEix 12 model to assess the impacts of raw/process materials on long-term scenario perspectives in 13 the iron and steel industry. The work-flow of MESSAGEix – iron and steel model is given in 14 Fig. 1, this efficient workflow can be summarized as: 1) forecast the future steel demand via 15 sectorial intensity use curve (see section 3.2.1); 2) import database into IIASA's ix modelling 16 platform (ixmp), MESSAGEix framework, run the model, and export/report the results, via 17 Python standardized user interface; 3) assess the nexus of natural resources, energy, water 18 and environment pollution. To increase the transparency and accessibility of the model the 19 extensive information (e.g., database for iron and steel industry, specific technology 20 21 parameters and related Python script) can be provide upon request, based on the discussion with the relevant policy makers and plant managers. 22



Fig. 1: Work-flow for MESSAGEix – iron and steel model

1 2

3

The core of MESSAGEix - iron and steel model is a Reference System for Material, Energy, 4 5 and Water (RSMEW) flow that represents the most important carriers of energy, material and water and associated technologies. The detailed information of for the Reference 6 7 System for Material, Energy, and Water (RSMEW) flow can be found in Appendix Table A. 8 Technologies (including process technologies and related energy efficiency measures) characterized by capital and operating costs, installed capacity and related activities, 9 different input/out efficiencies, and emission factors. For steel industry, iron ore is 10 agglomerated in sinter plants to produce sinter, while pellets are formed from pellet plants 11 at high process temperature. These products are converted to pig iron in a blast furnace. 12 Then, the pig iron is supplied to the basic oxygen furnace (BOF) or electric arc furnace (EAF) 13 to produce crude steel. The model in this study allows for a more complete description of 14 the process (i.e. iron ore extraction, limestone extraction, coke making, sinter making, 15 16 pellets making, pig iron making, steel making with BOF, steel making with EAF, direct reduced iron ore, and casting, rolling, and finishing (steel crf)) involved in the iron and steel 17

1	industry. Of overall 11 process technologies and 54 current best energy efficiency measures
2	are considered in the current MESSAGEix - iron and steel model (see Appendix S1 and S2).

3

We modelled the period from 2010 to 2050 with a 5-year interval. The current best available 4 5 energy efficiency measures are introduced to capture the changes in use energy, water, and subsequent emissions, based on scenario analysis. An important feature of this phase is the 6 introduction of the functional parameters for process technology and energy efficiency 7 8 measures (see 3.2.2). Currently, the MESSAGEix – iron and steel model cannot automatically generate the dynamic feedback with the steel consumption sectors. Therefore, an 9 exogenous assumption on the future activity of steel consumers is obtained from state-of-10 11 the-art models. Intensity use curves are developed and adopted to quantify the interactions between iron and steel industry and related key consumers of steel products (see 3.2.1). 12

13

14 3.2.1 Projection of future steel products/steel-cast

15

16 Currently, two approaches (e.g. demand curve, supply curve, and intensity use curve) are 17 widely used to project future demands of industrial products, such as cement and steel. The 18 first approach is based on the direct relationship with macro-economic variables (e.g. steel intensity to GDP per capita combined with investment share as a socio-economic variable) 19 20 (M. Tanaka, 2010), which are often used in state-of-the-art energy models, like The Targets IMage Energy Regional (TIMER) model (Neelis and Patel, 2006). The second approach is the 21 22 sector specific approach based on major steel consuming sectors, which depends heavily on 23 the quality of information available for the economy (S. Zhang et al., 2018).

In this study, the Intensity Use (IU)² Curve is developed to estimate interactions between
steel industry and the associated end-use sectors. The historical steel consumption is shown
in Appendix S3. Because of data constraints, the IU curves based on physical units are
employed in the building, automotive, and domestic appliances, and shipping container
sectors, while the IU curves, based on direct relationships with macro-economic variables,
are developed and used in the machinery and infrastructure sectors (see Eq. (2)).

7

Steel demand =
$$\sum_{i}$$
 steel demand = $\sum_{i} \frac{product}{population} * \frac{steel demand}{product} * Population$
= \sum_{i} product intensity * steel intensity * population Eq. (1)

8

Steel demand =
$$\sum_{i}$$
 steel demand = $\sum_{i} \frac{value \ added}{population} * \frac{steel \ consumption}{value \ added} * Population$
= \sum_{i} product intensity * steel intensity * population Eq. (2)

9

10 The net imports share of total steel product is assumed unchanged in the future, while

11 transport losses and change of stocks of steel products are beyond the scope of this study.

12 13

14

16

Steel production = steel demand + net imports + change of stocks Eq. (3)

15 **3.2.2** Linkage between process technologies and energy efficiency measures

Energy efficiency is marked as the "first fuel" because it is considered to be more competitive than any other fuel, in terms of cost effectiveness and availability (IEA, 2016; Yang and Yu, 2015). Increasing energy efficiency and reducing GHG emissions, especially in the demand sectors, has been an integral part of the national climate strategy worldwide. However, the economic and technical emission mitigation potential of demand sectors (e.g.,

² The ratio between the material demand and these socio-economic variables are named as the intensity of use (IU)

cement, steel, aluminium, chemical, and paper) based on specific retrofitting/new
 technology have not been systematically explored in state-of-the-art energy models, partly
 because there is limited data and few mature methodologies.

4

In this study, we developed a new feature that allows seamless interaction with process 5 6 technologies and best energy efficiency measures in MESSAGEix – iron and steel industry model. Specifically, the parameter of addon_conversion (Eq. 4), a conversion factor, was 7 used to build linkages between add-on technologies and parent technology. Here, add-on 8 technologies represent energy efficiency measures or retrofitting/mitigation technologies 9 (e.g. coal moisture control, low temperature heat recovery, etc.), while parent technology 10 represents the process technology (e.g. coke making, iron making). If the add-on technology 11 is already implemented in the base year, the parameter of addon minimum will be 12 13 introduced to represent the minimum deployment fraction of add-on technology relative to parent technology. Further, the parameters of addon activity up and addon activity low 14 will be used to model future diffusion of add-on technology. Note that these two 15 parameters provide an upper/lower bound on the activity of an add-on technology that has 16 to be operated jointly with a parent technology. The addon activity up is calculated by 17 using Eq. (4), which provides an upper bound on the activity of an add-on technology. 18 Similarly, the addon activity low is presented in Eq. (5), which provides a lower bound on 19 the activity of an add-on technology. 20

21

22

$$\sum_{\substack{y^{v}\\y^{v} \leq y}} addon_conversion_{n,t^{a},y^{v},y,m,h} * ACT_{n,t^{a},y^{v},y,m,h} \leq \sum_{\substack{t,y^{v}\\t \sim t^{a}\\t \sim t^{a}\\t \sim t^{a}\\t \sim t^{a}}} ACT_{n,t,y^{v},y,m,h} \qquad Eq. (4)$$

 $\sum_{\substack{y^{\nu}\\y^{\nu}\leq y}} addon_minimum_{n,t^{a},y^{\nu},y,m,h} * addon_conversion_{n,t^{a},y^{\nu},y,m,h} * ACT_{n,t^{a},y^{\nu},y,m,h} \ge \sum_{\substack{t,y^{\nu}\\t\sim t^{a}\\y^{\nu}\leq y}} ACT_{n,t,y^{\nu},y,m,h} \quad Eq. (5)$

2 The advantage of this feature is that the MESSAGEix – iron and steel industry model not only 3 can assess the accurate estimation of actual potential per technology and associated costs, but also allows to make accurate technology comparisons and figure out how to achieve 4 single/multiple targets (e.g., by building new production line to change production structure 5 or implementing retrofitting technology) and indicate what costs could be involved.

7

10

6

1

8 3.4 Data sources and scenario assumptions

9 3.4.1 Data sources

The historical, annual outputs of floor space, passenger vehicles, trucks, washing machines, 11 refrigerators, air conditioners, length of railways, highways, and petroleum and gas pipelines, 12 as well as value added of the machinery sector are obtained from of the China Statistical 13 Yearbook 2010-2016 (National Bureau of Statistics, 2016, pp. 2010–2016). The historic steel 14 15 consumption by end-use sector (e.g. building, machinery, automotive, domestic appliances, shipping container, and infrastructure) is obtained from China Industrial Information 16 Network (China Industry Information Network, 2015), China Metallurgical Mining 17 Enterprises Association (China Metallurgical Mining Enterprises Association, 2014), and the 18 report released by the company of Founderfu (Han, 2017). The intensity use curve by sector 19 20 was developed on the basis of the above factors.

21

Exogenous scenario parameters of future activities of steel end-use sectors were taken from 22 23 the baseline scenario of Integrated Policy Model for China (IPMC) and the Integrated Model of Economy, Energy and Environment for Sustainable Development/Computable General 24 Equilibrium model (IMED/CGE) and combined with sectorial intensity use curve to forecast 25 26 the steel demand by sectors until 2050 (Wang et al., 2017). All data on domestic iron ore

production, iron ore import and consumption of limestone were obtained from the China
 Steel Yearbook 2016 (CSDRI, 2016).

3

Developing technology database is a core part of MESSAGEix – iron and steel model. 4 Parameters of energy use by fuel, material consumption, and water consumption, cost, by 5 each process technology are taken from China Energy Statistical Yearbook, China Steel 6 Yearbook, relevant literature surveys, and communication with Chinese experts (CSDRI, 7 8 2016; National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2013, 2011). Parameters of commodity prices are from the China Steel Yearbook (CSDRI, 2016), while variable cost by each process is 9 taken from IEA-Clean Coal Centre and Metals Consulting International (MCI) (IEA, 2012; 10 Metals Consulting International, 2018). Because we could not obtain sufficient information 11 of China's Direct Reduced Iron (DRI) technology, the physical parameters related to DRI 12 13 technology are based on German steel plants and Energiron DRI plant (Otto et al., 2017; Tenova, 2018). The cost of DRI technology is taken from the Energy Technology Systems 14 Analysis Program (ETSAP) of the International Energy Agency (IEA) (IEA, 2018.). 15

16

Several studies have demonstrated a substantial reduction of energy use and CO₂ emissions in the different processes of iron and steel industry by implementing energy efficient measures (Hasanbeigi et al., 2013; Hasanbeigi et al., 2013d; Zhou et al., 2011). However, most IAMs hardly consider the representation of energy efficiency measures in their industry modules (Kermeli et al., 2016). Therefore, it is important to integrate energy efficiency measures in IAMs to analyse what specific policies to be implemented and what are the cost-optimal strategies/measures for the mitigation of climate change.

1 In this study, we developed a mitigation technology database (including 54 energy efficiency measures by the process) in MESSAGEix – iron and steel model (see Appendix S2 and S3). In 2 this database, the key parameters (e.g., fuel saving, electricity saving, water saving, cost, 3 and application rate for base year) of selected energy efficiency measures were obtained 4 from Energy Research Institute (ERI) of China, National Development and Reform 5 Commission (NDRC) of China, The Institute for Industrial Productivity (IIP), Environmental 6 Protection Agency (EPA) of USA, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL), and related 7 studies (IIP, 2013; Hasanbeigi et al., 2013a; Hasanbeigi et al., 2012; US EPA, 2010; Wang et 8 al., 2017; Xu, 2011; Zhang et al., 2014). 9

10

The CO₂ emission factor for coal is taken from LBNL (Hasanbeigi et al., 2013b; Ke et al., 11 2012). The CO₂ emission factor for electricity generation is taken from regional grid baseline 12 13 emission factors of China (National Center for Climate Change Strategy and International Cooperation of China, 2010). The energy-related emission coefficients of SO₂, NOx, PM_{2.5} are 14 taken from the Ministry of Environmental Protection (MEP) of China (Ministry of 15 Environmental Protection of China, 2013), and relevant literature (Hasanbeigi et al., 2017; 16 Wu et al., 2015). The process emission factors for PM_{2.5}, SO₂, NOx, and CO₂ are taken from 17 the GAINS model available at < <u>http://gains.iiasa.ac.at/models/index.html</u>> and other 18 publically available literature (Wu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016, 2015a). 19

- 20
- 21 3.4.2 Scenario assumptions

22

The emphasis of this paper is not only on the introduction of the methodology, but also on modelling the synergies between raw/process material and energy use, water withdrawal and consumption, and emissions of GHG and air pollutants in Chinese iron and steel industry.

1	Two scenarios are constructed: a baseline (BL) scenario and an energy efficiency (EE)
2	scenario (see Table 1). The EE scenario is a mitigation scenario that requires stringent
3	energy policies to accelerate the implementation of energy efficiency measures, whereas
4	the BL scenario assume no additional policy adoptions. Specifically, we include 40 energy
5	efficiency measures in EE scenario (see Appendix S4), which represents the cost-effective
6	potential for energy efficiency improvement in China's iron and steel industry. The future
7	technology diffusions of selected energy efficiency measures for energy efficiency scenario
8	are projected through using linear deployment approach. The future steel production is
9	assumed unchanged in both BL and EE scenarios during the study period. Note that the
10	sulphur content of iron ore produced in China is higher than in other regions (e.g., Australia
11	and Brazil) (China Pollution Source Census, 2011; MEP of China, 2017). To meet the demand
12	for high-quality steel products, we therefore assumed that the imports share of total iron
13	ore consumption remains unchanged in the future. One highlight of MESSAGEix is that it is
14	easy to develop alternative scenarios. It means that the EE scenario can be simply
15	constructed, via copying the BL scenario and introducing the function of add-on technology.

16

1	7
т	1

Г

Scenarios	Scenario Description	
	Common features	Different features
Baseline	The future steel production is	The BOF share of total steel production will
(BL)	assumed unchanged	decrease by 2% per 5 year*
	Discount rate is 10%	No new policies are considered.
Energy efficiency	The imports share of total iron ore	40 cost effective energy efficiency measures (see
(EE)	consumption remains unchanged	appendix S4) will be introduced to MESSAGE-steel
	in the future	module

18

19 4. Results and Discussion

20 4.1 Steel demand and production from 2010 to 2050

Fig. 2 presents the steel demand by end-use sectors and its production in China from 2010 1 to 2050. Between 2010-2015, steel production shows an annual increase of 5%, rising from 2 3 639 Mt to 804 Mt, and after that it decreases gradually to 636 Mt by 2050. These results are consistent with those of other studies, such as IEA (IEA, 2017) and Yin and Chen (Yin and 4 Chen, 2013). On the demand side, the building sector will retain a dominant role in total 5 6 steel consumption, although with a declining overall share. Compared to 2010, the building share of total steel consumption is reduced by 18% by 2050 due to saturation of the market. 7 In contrast, the machinery sector shows a minor increase of steel consumption over the 8 forecast period. The main reason is that implementation of retrofitting/new technology to 9 improve energy efficiency and emission mitigation leads to demand growth for steel 10 products. Similarly, increasing personal income and population growth have a large 11 12 contribution to the growth of steel consumption in the automotive sector. Domestic 13 appliances and shipping containers are projected to decline at much lower annual rates of 0.3% and 0.4%, respectively. 14



1 Fig. 2: Steel demand and production from 2010 to 2050 2 3 4.2 Material consumption from 2010 to 2050 4 4.2.1 Raw material consumption 5 6 Fig. 3a (top panel) presents the total raw material consumption (i.e. iron ore, limestone and 7 scrap) in China's iron and steel industry, under BL and EE scenarios. For both scenarios (BL and EE), raw material consumption peaks in 2015 at levels almost 20% higher than 2010. 8 After 2015, the consumption in BL scenario reduces to 1138 Mt by 2050, due to decreased 9 10 steel production. The EE scenario shows that the consumption will decrease by up to 20% compared with BL primarily due to the shift of steel production from BOF to EAF. Regarding 11 the raw material demand by type (Fig. 3b (bottom panel)), in BL, Chinese steel production 12 relies heavily on iron ore (amounting to 87% of the total), followed by scrap (10%). 13 Compared to BL, the scrap share of total raw material consumption will increase by 13%, 14

15 due to increased EAF production.









3 4

5

6

1 2

4.2.2 Process material consumption

7 Estimation of process material demand for steel industry is important because it does not 8 only have large impact on energy/resource consumption and emissions, but also affects the accuracy of predictions for future economic growth. In the BL Scenario, the demand for 9 process materials (i.e., sinter, pellets, flux, pig iron, and other pig iron) shows substantial 10 increase before 2020, then gradually declines until by 2050 (Fig. 4 (upper left)). Specifically, 11 the lowest demand of secondary materials (i.e., sinter, pellets, and flux) in EE is about 600 12 Mt in 2050, 26% lower than BL, due to reduction of pig iron demand. Compared to BL, the 13 material efficiency (the ratio of useful product output to material input) has a large 14 improvement, because crude steel production from the EAF route increases drastically. This 15 projection is possible as EAF based steel production already accounts for 75% and 66% in 16 USA and Europe, respectively (van Ruijven et al., 2016). Further, slag is a main waste 17 material in the steel industry, which can occur at iron making and steel making processes. As 18 19 shown in Fig. 4 (bottom right), slag production grows to 1800 Mt by 2020 in the BL scenario, then declines to 1400 Mt by 2050 and the share of iron making process in total slag 20 production declines slightly from 52% in 2010 to 43% by 2050. In the EE scenario, slag 21

ΒL ΕE

ΒL

2040

ΕE

2050

2050

- production is further reduced by 13% by 2050 compared with the BL. However, the share of 1
- 2 EAF process is the largest contribution to slag production, 40% higher than in the BL
- 3 scenario.





7 8

9

4 (c): crude steel production by process Fig. 4: activities of process materials in baseline (BL) and energy efficiency (EE) scenarios

ΕE ΒL ΕE ΒL ΕE

2040

2050

10

4.3 Energy consumption from 2010 to 2050 11

12 4.3.1 Total final energy consumption

13

Fig. 5 presents the historical and projected trends of total final energy consumption for the 14 Chinese iron and steel industry. Energy consumption in 2010 of this study was 16% higher 15 16 than our previous study (Zhang et al., 2014), due to different system boundaries used. Both scenarios show that energy consumption in the Chinese iron and steel industry reached a 17 18 peak in 2015, at around 23 EJ, and then faces a decline as a result of a decrease in steel

500

0

ΒL

2010 2020

ΕE BL ΕE ΒL ΕE

2030

4 (c): slag production by process

production. Further, 8% of energy consumption could be saved via implementation of
energy efficiency measures. Regarding to energy mix in the Chinese iron and steel industry,
coal and coke together account for 89% of total energy consumption, followed by electricity
(10%) (See Fig. 5-6 and Fig.8). In this study we assume that coal as raw materials and main
energy will directly use to produce coke, via the coke making process.



9

10

11

4.3.2 Coal consumption by process

Fig. 6 shows coal consumption by process for the two scenarios. In the BL scenario, coal 12 consumption is projected to increase to 20227 PJ by 2020 and then decrease to 15572 PJ by 13 2050, 23% higher and 13% lower than 2010, respectively. Implementing the selected energy 14 efficiency measures in the EE scenario would further decrease the coal consumption by 5% 15 16 in 2020 and 10% by 2050. The majority of coal consumption in the 2010-2050 period is for coke making, accounting for over 50%, followed by iron making (25%) and casting, rolling 17 and finishing (7%). For coke making, adopting energy efficiency measures (i.e. coke dry 18 quenching (CDQ), coal moisture control (CMC), variable speed drive on coke oven gas 19

- 1 compressors, and programmed heating in coke oven) and reducing of coke demand would
- 2 lead to 12-22% of coal saved, compared to BL.



4 5

3

- Fig. 6: Coal consumption by process in baseline (BL) and energy efficiency (EE) scenarios
- 7 8

6

4.3.3 Coke consumption by process

9 Coke consumption in steel industry is mainly due to the processes of iron making and 10 casting, rolling, and finishing. Fig. 7 shows coke consumption by process from 2010 to 2050, under different scenarios. As shown in the figure, the coke consumption in BL scenario is 11 projected to peak around 2020, and then decrease gradually, in line with declining pig iron 12 13 demand. Coke consumption in BL scenario is forecast to decrease by 8% between 2010 and 2050, from 9020 PJ in 2010 and to 8281 PJ by 2050, while adopting energy efficiency 14 measures in EE scenario will further decrease by 21% in 2050. Compared to BL, the EE 15 scenario projects that the iron making process would decrease coke consumption from 16 17 7400 PJ in 2020 to 4200 PJ by 2050, while other processes (sinter making and pellets making) shares of coke consumption change slightly. 18





Fig. 7: Coke consumption by process in baseline (BL) and energy efficiency (EE) scenarios

3 4

5

4.3.4 Electricity consumption by Process

Fig. 8 presents process electricity consumption in the Chinese iron and steel industry from 6 2010 to 2050, under BL and EE scenarios. As compared to the consumption trends of coal 7 8 and coke, the difference is that electricity consumption in both scenarios is projected to increase from 2000 PJ in 2010 to approximately 2500 PJ in 2020, and decline slightly 9 10 thereafter. For the BL scenario, electricity consumption breakdown remains the same, with the majority of consumptions arising from the process of casting, rolling, and finishing 11 (steel_crf), accounts for 37% of the total, followed by BF-BOF (20%) and iron making (16%). 12 Electricity consumption of BF-BOF in the EE scenario will decrease drastically until 2040, 13 while the EAF share of total electricity consumption will increase significantly (due to route 14 switch from BF-BOF to EAF to produce steel). Energy efficiency measures would lead to a 15 16 small decrease in electricity consumption for other processes (i.e., iron ore mining 17 extraction, sinter making, pellets making, coke making, iron making, and casting, rolling, and 18 finishing (steel crf)) of Chinese iron and steel industry.

19



1 2 3

Fig. 8: Electricity consumption by process in baseline (BL) and energy efficiency (EE) scenarios

4 4.4 Water withdrawal and consumption

5 4.4.1 Total water withdrawal and consumption

6

Policy impacts on water resources management (e.g. water efficiency, and water scarcity) 7 has become one of the most important parts of the Sustainable Development Goals (e.g., 8 9 SDG-6 and SDG-12). As mentioned before (see section 2), over 50% of the global steel production belongs to China, while only 7% of world freshwater reserves are in China (China 10 Water Risk, 2017). In 2010, water withdrawal for the Chinese iron and steel industry was 11 48,900 million m³ (representing 11% of all withdrawals in China), while 4,200 million m³ 12 water was consumed (China Water Risk, 2017; National Development and Reform 13 Commission of China, 2013). Therefore, disruptions in water supply and competition for 14 water use rights would have large impacts on steel production. 15

16

Fig. 9 shows the recent historic total water withdrawal and consumption³ and the projection
for these in steel industry between 2020 and 2050. Water withdrawal in the BL scenario

³ In this study, water withdrawal is defined as the total volume removed from a water source such as a lake or river. Often, a portion of this water is returned to the source and is available to be used again, while water consumption is defined as water removed for use and not returned to its source (Duke Energy, 2018).

peaks at 60,300 million m³ by 2015, then decreases to 49,900 million m³ by 2050 (Fig. 9 upper). However, the EE scenario projects an increase in water withdrawal an additional 40% by 2030 and 23% by 2050, respectively, compared to 2010. The main reason is that the technology shift from BF-BOF to scrap-EAF would cause an additional 40 m³ of water withdrawal when producing 1 ton of crude steel (CSDRI, 2016). For BL scenario, route shift from BF-BOF to scrap-EAF and demand reduction leads to a larger drop in water consumption (the reduction potentials are 1% higher than water withdrawal). The trend of water consumption in the EE scenario differs greatly when compared to the trajectory of water withdrawal (Fig. 9 bottom panel). In the medium term, that is, up to 2035, the water consumption decreases from 5,100 million m³ in 2015 to 3,960 million m³ in 2035, at an annual average of 1.2%.

water withdrawal Unit:[million m3] EE water consumption Unit:[million m3] EE



1 A detailed breakdown of the water withdrawal and consumption projected for 2010-2050 is 2 presented in Fig. 10. As shown in Fig. 10 upper, in 2010 water withdrawal of the Chinese iron and steel industry was around 50000 million m³, 27% of which was consumed by sinter 3 making, followed by iron making, and steel_crf, which accounted for 27%, 24%, and 23% 4 respectively. For the BL scenario, the water withdrawal and consumption by the process will 5 6 change slightly over the study period. For example, EAF's share of total water withdrawal in the BL scenario increases only by 10% from 2010 to 2050, while it is expected to further 7 grow to 40% by 2050, under EE scenario assumptions. 8

9

In terms of water consumption by process, as illustrated in Fig. 10 (bottom panel), in 2010 10 48% of freshwater was consumed for sinter making, followed by processes of iron making, 11 steel crf, and BOF, which respective shares of 14%, 11%, and 11%, respectively. For the EE 12 13 scenario, the top largest share of fresh water withdrawal is projected in sinter making, which accounts for 37%, followed by EAF (28%), due to route shift from BF-BOF to scrap-EAF. 14 Combined, coke making, BOF, and pellets making account for only 3%, partly caused by the 15 implementation of energy efficiency measures such as Top-pressure recovery turbines (TRT) 16 in iron making, and Coal moisture control (CMC) and Coke Dry Quenching (CDQ) in coke 17 making. 18



Fig. 10: Share of water withdrawal and consumptions in baseline (BL) and energy efficiency (EE) scenarios

6 4.5 Projected emissions of CO₂ and air pollutants

7 $4.5.1 CO_2$ emissions by types

1

2 3

4

5

8

9 We estimate the CO_2 emissions of China's iron and steel industry by 2050 under BL and EE 10 scenarios. Note that the total CO_2 emissions in this study is higher than previous studies 11 (Hasanbeigi et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2014), due to different system boundaries used. For 12 example, most of previous studies have only calculated the direct energy related CO_2 13 emissions (Hasanbeigi et al., 2013c; Zhang et al., 2014), while we consider both the direct

1 and indirect emissions - the process emissions from limestone and energy related emissions from the processes of coke making, and iron ore mining. As shown in Fig. 11, in 2010 the 2 largest source for Chinese iron and steel industry is coke that accounts for 44%, followed by 3 coal (31%) and electricity (23%). We show that fossil fuel related CO₂ emissions in both 4 5 scenarios are expected to remain at the present level. The total CO₂ emissions in BL scenario are projected to peak at around 2500 Mt in 2020, and then decrease to 1957 Mt by 2050. 6 Adopting energy efficiency measures and shifting from BF-BOF to scrap-EAF in EE scenario 7 8 leads to 5-8% of emissions avoided during the study period.





12

14

Major sources of PM_{2.5} emissions in steel production are from fuel combustion, process emissions (e.g., sinter making, iron making, steel making, and raw material extraction), and indirect emissions of electricity consumption. We present the levels of total PM_{2.5} emissions and its contributors in Chinese iron and steel industry (see Fig. 12). In future projections, the total PM_{2.5} emissions in the BL scenario increase drastically until they peak at around 1200 kt in 2015 and decrease thereafter, due to the changes of outputs of steel products (see Fig.

⁹

Fig. 11: CO_2 emissions by fuel types in baseline (BL) and energy efficiency (EE) scenarios

^{13 4.5.2} PM_{2.5} emissions by process

12 – top panel). However, the emissions in the EE scenario will increase until around 2025,
and then start to go down slowly, due to the increasing share of EAF technology, which has
the process emission factor of EAF 3 times higher than BOF in China (Wang et al., 2016; Wu
et al., 2015).

5

Regarding PM_{2.5} emissions by types for the China's iron and steel industry (see Fig. 12 -6 bottom panel), in 2010 around 50% of emissions come from coal combustion in Chinese iron 7 and steel industry, followed by the process emissions of sinter making and BOF, which 8 9 together account for 30%. The shares evolve along the same patterns as the year 2010 in BL scenario. For the EE scenario, with increasing application of EAF technology, EAF's share of 10 total PM_{2.5} emissions will increase by 35% in 2050, compared to 2010 (see Fig. 12 - bottom 11 panel). If we only consider the emissions from fuel combustion and electricity consumption 12 13 for steel production, the energy related PM_{2.5} emissions in the EE scenario will decrease by 20% as compared to the BL scenario, as result of the implementation of energy efficiency 14 15 measures.

16





1 2

3

4

5

scenarios 4.5.3 NOx emissions by process

6 NOx emissions of China's iron and steel industry for the period 2010-2050 are shown in Fig. 7 13. Overall, the emissions in BL scenario will increase up to 5900 kt by 2020 and 4500 kt by 8 2050 - approximately 20% higher and 6% lower respectively, than the year 2010. For the EE scenario, the total NOx emissions are expected to further decline by 5-10% through the 9 implementation of energy efficiency measures. In comparison to the contributors of PM_{2.5} 10 emissions, coal's share of total NOx emissions in Chinese iron and steel industry is 11 significantly higher, as the emissions are mostly formed under the high temperature 12 conditions – meaning that the combustion of fossil fuels is usually involved. Both scenarios 13 show that the energy related NOx emissions (including coal and electricity) is projected to 14 remain steady, over 90%, from 2010 through 2050. 15





4 4.5.4 SO₂ emissions by process

5

1

The iron and steel sector is China's largest industrial SO2 source, and originates mostly 6 direct emissions of coal combustion and sinter making, as well as indirect emissions of 7 electricity consumption (Ma et al., 2012). Fig. 14 gives an overview of SO₂ emissions for the 8 9 period 2010-2050, which after a rapid increase until 2015, shows a gradual decline up to 2050. In the BL scenario, SO₂ emissions peak at around 3000 kt in 2020 and fall to 2300 kt in 10 11 2050, due to reductions in steel products. With the implementation of energy efficiency 12 measures and adoptions of EAF in EE scenario, the SO₂ emissions peak at 2770 kt in 2020 and fall to 2000 kt in 2050, at average 8 – 13% lower than BL level. Both scenarios also 13 14 demonstrate that coal is expected to account for the major share (60%) of total the emissions, whereas coal's share remains consistent in BL and EE scenarios over the study 15 period. 16

3500 ■ electricity ■ sinter making coal 3000 2500 Unit:[kt] 2000 1500 1000 500 0 ΕE ΕE ΒL ΕE ΒL ΕE BL ΒL 2010 2020 2030 2040 2050

CEPTED MANUSCRIP

1

2 Fig. 14: SO_2 emissions by fuel types and process in baseline (BL) and energy efficiency (EE) scenarios 3 This study demonstrates that adopting energy efficiency measures combined with shifting 4 5 route from BF-BOF to scrap-EAF have large potentials to reduce raw material consumption, 6 improve energy and water use efficiencies, and decrease emissions of SO₂ and NOx. At the same time, these efforts lead to higher scrap consumption and water withdrawal, and 7 increased PM_{2.5} emissions. Further, air pollution control technologies (e.g. electrostatic 8 9 precipitator, Selective Non Catalytic Reduction or Selective Catalytic Reduction, and Flue Gas Desulfurization) and Carbon Capture and Storage can further decrease emissions of GHG 10 11 and air pollutants, but will need extra investment and consume additional energy.

12

14

13 5 Discussion for model uncertainty

Model uncertainty (i.e. model structure uncertainty, parameter uncertainty, and the uncertainty related to assumptions such as boundary conditions) is very important in the decision-support processes, because models cannot predict the future with precision (Nilsen and Aven, 2003; Zhang et al., 2015b). Development of the demand sector in integrated assessment models, like MESSAGEix – iron and steel model, is a key issue to provide a holistic understanding of the dynamics energy and resources systems. Like all integrated

1 assessment models, there are several considerable uncertainties in certain parts of the MESSAGEix – iron and steel model, e.g. natural resource availability, material/energy 2 substitution and its trade off across regions, development of the markets of iron and steel 3 both nationally and internationally, as well as in robust technology strategies and related 4 5 investment portfolios. Several studies indicate that policy makers are more interested in robust strategies rather than uncertainties, due to the robustness of policy actions have 6 lesser impacts via changes in the uncertain model elements (Amann et al., 2011). Therefore, 7 8 a MESSAGE robust decision-making framework with an endogenous representation of uncertainties (e.g. errors of input parameters) has been developed (Krey and Riahi, 2009) 9 and used to quantify the uncertainties inherent in socioeconomic and technological 10 response strategies to energy and climate challenges. Specifically, stochastic optimization 11 with a fully endogenous representation of uncertain parameters (e.g., technology 12 13 parameters, and intensity use of materials) and policy robustness (e.g., changes in carbon price) can be used to tackle multiple challenges with minimization cost or maximization 14 resource utilization. Note that the objective of this study is to introduce that how to develop 15 sub-sectors in the IAM MESSAGEix. Therefore, the model uncertainty of the case study was 16 intentionally left beyond the scope of this paper. 17

18

20

19 6. Conclusion and implications for further research

Improvement in the representation of the industry in MESSAGEix is necessary to reconcile how behaviour and policy interacts with strategies to tackle multiple challenges, e.g. climate targets and SDGs. To depict the individual characteristics and complex interactions within and with industries, this paper describes how the iron and steel industry is modelled in MESSAGEix. Specifically, we integrate Material/Energy/Water Flow Analysis (represents

1 carriers of energy, material and water and the associated technologies) and nexus approach into the MESSAGEix framework to develop the MESSAGEix – iron and steel industry model. 2 This model can not only quantify synergies between raw material uses, consumptions of 3 scrap, energy and water, emissions of CO₂ and air pollution, and the potential costs and 4 5 benefits of different efforts, but can also yield valuable insights into the interactions across sectors. For example, adopting energy efficiency measures and switching BF-BOF to scrap-6 EAF in the EE scenario is projected to decrease raw material by 14%, energy by 7%, water by 7 8 8%, CO₂ by 7%, NOx by 9%, and SO₂ by 14% respectively, compared to BL scenario. At the same time, water withdrawal and PM_{2.5} emissions in the EE scenario will increase by 14% 9 and 20%, compared to the BL scenario. However, additional air pollution control 10 technologies can efficiently decrease PM_{2.5} (Zhang et al., 2014). It means that the energy 11 efficiency measures of Chinese iron and steel industry would leads to huge resource-energy-12 13 environment nexus and have large impacts on economic saving potential. Therefore, policy makers should consider nexus effects to overcome the barriers (e.g., capital constraint, 14 imperfect information, institution governance, et al.) of energy efficiency measures when 15 designing integrated policies to achieve multiple targets. 16

17

Moreover, for future works within the MESSAGEix - iron and steel model, we need to consider what innovation technology/measures will be used to improve the efficiency of steel production, e.g., how to introduce the hydrogen and renewables in the steel industry in a cost-effective manner. We also need to evaluate that new steel products will be required by the demand sectors (e.g., building and transportation industries). We recommend that introducing manufacturing sub-sectors to IAMs would allow to study new specific energy/water saving and emission mitigation options and develop more efficient

policies, including co-benefits of improvement options for IAMs to be modelled more accurately. Therefore, future works that employ and expand the presented approach to develop the manufacturing sectors (e.g., steel, cement, pulp and paper, chemical, aluminium, etc.) in MESSAGEix at global and regional/country scales would be valuable, so these efforts can accurately quantify the impacts of various strategies and response to future challenges. For the purpose, the MESSAGEix model is already distributed on Github with an open-source license (Huppmann et al., 2018).

8

9 Acknowledgments

10

The work was supported by the Beihang Youth Hundred Program, Beihang Youth Talent 11 Support Program (YWF-19-BJ-J-284), National Natural Science Foundation of China 12 13 (71690245), the National Natural Science Foundation of China - the U.S. National Science Foundation (5171102058), Postdoctoral fellowships at the International Institute for Applied 14 15 Systems Analysis (IIASA), Austria. The authors gratefully acknowledge Fei Guo, Oliver Fricko, Volker Krey, and Daniel Huppmann from the International Institute for Applied Systems 16 Analysis and Katerina Kermeli from the Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development at 17 Utrecht University for their valuable comments to this study. We extend our gratitude to the 18 19 valuable comments of the anonymous reviewers. All remaining errors remain the sole responsibility of the authors. 20

21

22 Reference

Albrecht, T.R., Crootof, A., Scott, C.A., 2018. The Water-Energy-Food Nexus: A systematic review of methods for nexus assessment. Environ. Res. Lett. 13, 043002. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaa9c6
 Amann, M., Bertok, I., Borken-Kleefeld, J., Cofala, J., Heyes, C., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Klimont, Z., Nguyen, B.,
 Posch, M., Rafaj, P., Sandler, R., Schöpp, W., Wagner, F., Winiwarter, W., 2011. Cost-effective control of air quality and greenhouse gases in Europe: Modeling and policy applications. Environ. Model.
 Softw. 26, 1489–1501. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.07.012

Brown, P.T., Caldeira, K., 2017. Greater future global warming inferred from Earth's recent energy budget.
 Nature 552, 45. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24672

1	Bruckner, T., 2016. Decarbonizing the Global Energy System: An Updated Summary of the IPCC Report on		
2	Mitigating Climate Change. Energy Technol. 4, 19–30. https://doi.org/10.1002/ente.201500387		
3	China Industry Information Network, 2015. Demand Analysis of China's Iron and Steel Industry in 2014.		
4	Demand Anal. Chinas Iron Steel Ind. 2014. http://www.chyxx.com/industry/201507/330432.html		
5	(accessed 2.15.18).		
6	China Metallurgical Mining Enterprises Association, 2014. Analysis of future steel demand by end use sectors.		
7	Anal. Future Steel Demand End Use Sect. http://www.mmac.org.cn/planning/Show.Asp?ID=2270		
8	(accessed 2.15.18).		
9	China Pollution Source Census, 2011. The guidebook of industrial emission factor.		
10	http://cpsc.mep.gov.cn/pwxs/ (accessed 2.16.18).		
11	China Water Risk, 2017. Big Picture China Water Risk. http://chinawaterrisk.org/big-picture/ (accessed		
12	3.21.18).		
13	CSDRI, 2016. China Steel Yearbook 2015.		
14	Cullen, J.M., Allwood, J.M., Bambach, M.D., 2012. Mapping the Global Flow of Steel: From Steelmaking to End-		
15	Use Goods. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 13048–13055, https://doi.org/10.1021/es302433p		
16	Duke Energy, 2018, Water and Energy - Withdrawal vs. Consumption, Water Energy - Withdrawal Vs Consum.		
17	https://sustainabilityreport.duke-energy.com/2008/water/withdrawal.asp (accessed 5.4.18).		
18	Fang, D., Chen, B., Hubacek, K., Ni, R., Chen, L., Feng, K., Lin, J., 2019. Clean air for some: Unintended spillover		
19	effects of regional air pollution policies. Sci. Adv. 5. eaav4707.		
20	https://doi.org/10.1126/sciady.aav4707		
21	Fattori, F., Albini, D., Anglani, N., 2016. Proposing an open-source model for unconventional participation to		
22	energy planning, Energy Res. Soc. Sci. 15, 12–33, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.erss.2016.02.005		
23	Han, Z., 2017, steel demand projection, founderfu.		
24	Harwood, S.A., 2018. In search of a (WEF) nexus approach. Environ. Sci. Policy 83, 79–85.		
25	https://doi.org/10.1016/i.envsci.2018.01.020		
26	Hasanbeigi, A. Jiang, Z., Price, L., 2013a. Analysis of the Past and Future Trends of Energy Use in Key Medium-		
27	and Large-Sized Chinese Steel Enterprises. 2000-2030 2000–2030.		
28	Hasanbeigi, A., Khanna, N., Price, L., 2017, Air Pollutant Emissions Projections for the Cement and Steel		
29	Industry in China and the Impact of Emissions Control Technologies.		
30	Hasanbeigi, A. Morrow, W., Masanet, F., Sathaye, J., Xu, T., 2013b, Energy efficiency improvement and CO2		
31	emission reduction opportunities in the cement industry in China. Energy Policy 57, 287–297.		
32	https://doi.org/10.1016/i.enpol.2013.01.053		
33	Hasanbeigi, A., Morrow, W., Sathave, I., Masanet, F., Xu, T., 2013, A bottom-up model to estimate the energy		
34	efficiency improvement and CO2 emission reduction notentials in the Chinese iron and steel industry		
35	Hasanbeigi A Morrow W Sathave I Masanet F XII T 2013c A bottom-un model to estimate the energy		
36	efficiency improvement and CO2 emission reduction notentials in the Chinese iron and steel industry		
37	Energy 50, 315–325, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.energy.2012.10.062		
38	Hasanbeigi A Price L Fino-Chen C Lu H ling Ke 2013d Retrospective and Prospective Decomposition		
39	Analysis of Chinese Manufacturing Energy Use 1995-2020 LBNI-6028E 1–36		
40	http://eaei.lbl.gov/sites/all/files/6028e_decom_analysis.032513.pdf		
41	Hasanbeigi, A., Price, L., Lin, E., 2012. Emerging energy-efficiency and CO 2 emission-reduction technologies for		
42	cement and concrete production: A technical review. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 16, 6220–6238.		
43	https://doi.org/10.1016/i.rser.2012.07.019		
44	Hilpert, S., Kaldemeyer, C., Wiese, F., Plessmann, G., 2017, A Qualitative Evaluation Approach for Energy		
45	System Modelling Software—:Case Study Results for the Open Energy Modelling Framework		
46	(Oemof) https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints201708.0069.v1		
47	Hunnmann D. Gidden M. Fricko O. Koln P. Orthofer C. Pimmer M. Vinca A. Mastrucci A. Riahi K. Krev		
48	V 2019 The MESSAGE integrated Assessment Model and the ix modeling nlatform (ixmn) Environ		
49	Model Softw 112 143-156		
50	IFA, 2017, Energy Technology Perspectives 2017: Catalysing Energy Technology Transformations, IFA		
51	IFA 2016 Energy Efficiency Market Report 2016		
52	https://www.jea.org/publications/freepublications/publication/energy-efficiency-market-report-		
53	2016 html (accessed 2 14 18)		
54	IFA 2012 CO2 abatement in the iron and steel industry (No. ISBN 978-92-9029-513-6)		
55	IFA 2010 IFA-FTSAP Energy Demand Technologies Data https://iea-etsan.org/index.php/energy-		
56	technology-data/energy-demand-technologies-data (accessed 2.15.18).		

1	IIASA's Energy grouop, 2018. The MESSAGEix framework — MESSAGEix 0.2 documentation.
2	https://messageix.iiasa.ac.at/index.html (accessed 3.13.18).
3	IIP, 2013. The Institute for Industrial Productivity : Iron and Steel, technology & resources.
4	http://www.ietd.iipnetwork.org/content/iron-and-steel
5	International Atomic Energy Agency, 2017. Interlinkage of Climate, Land, Energy and Water Use (CLEW).
6	https://www.iaea.org/topics/economics/energy-economic-and-environmental-analysis/climate-land-
7	energy-water-strategies (accessed 3.18.19).
8	Kaddoura, S., El Khatib, S., 2017. Review of water-energy-food Nexus tools to improve the Nexus modelling
9	approach for integrated policy making. Environ. Sci. Policy 77, 114–121.
10	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2017.07.007
11	Ke, J., Zheng, N., Fridley, D., Price, L., Zhou, N., 2012. Potential energy savings and CO 2 emissions reduction of
12	China's cement industry. Energy Policy 45, 739–751. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2012.03.036
13	Keppo, I., Strubegger, M., 2010. Short term decisions for long term problems – The effect of foresight on
14	model based energy systems analysis. Energy 35, 2033–2042.
15	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2010.01.019
16	Kermeli, K., Worrell, E., Crijns-Graus, W.H.J., 2016. Modeling the cement industry in integrated assessment
17	models: key factors for further improvement, in: ECEEE Industrial Summer Study Proceedings. ECEEE,
18	pp. 207–221.
19	Krey, V., Riahi, K., 2009. Risk Hedging Strategies under Energy System and Climate Policy Uncertainties
20	(Monograph No. IR-09-028). IIASA.
21	Tanaka, F.J., 2010. A Short review of steel demand forecasting methods.
22	Mannan, M., Al-Ansari, T., Mackey, H.R., Al-Ghamdi, S.G., 2018. Quantifying the energy, water and food nexus:
23	A review of the latest developments based on life-cycle assessment. J. Clean. Prod. 193, 300–314.
24	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.05.050
25	Ma, S., Wen, Z., Chen, J., 2012. Scenario analysis of sulfur dioxide emissions reduction potential in China's iron
26	and steel industry. J. Ind. Ecol. 16, 506–517. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-9290.2011.00418.x
27	Marangoni, G., Tavoni, M., Bosetti, V., Borgonovo, E., Capros, P., Fricko, O., Gernaat, D.E.H.J., Guivarch, C.,
28	Havlik, P., Huppmann, D., Johnson, N., Karkatsoulis, P., Keppo, I., Krey, V., Broin, E.Ó., Price, J., Vuuren,
29	D.P. van, 2017. Sensitivity of projected long-term CO2 emissions across the Shared Socioeconomic
30	Pathways. Nat. Clim. Change 7, 113. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3199
31	MEP of China, 2017. Best avaliable technology to control air pollution in steel industry.
32	http://www.mep.gov.cn/gkml/hbb/bgg/201012/t20101230_199308.htm (accessed 7.12.17).
33	Messner, S., Schrattenholzer, L., 2000. MESSAGE-MACRO: Linking an energy supply model with a
34	macroeconomic module and solving it iteratively. Energy 25, 267–282.
35	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-5442(99)00063-8
36	Metals Consulting International, 2018. Steelmaking Input Costs. Steelmak. Input Costs.
37	http://www.steelonthenet.com/ (accessed 2.22.18).
38	Milford, R.L., Pauliuk, S., Allwood, J.M., Müller, D.B., 2013. The Roles of Energy and Material Efficiency in
39	Meeting Steel Industry CO2 Targets. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 3455–3462.
40	https://doi.org/10.1021/es3031424
41	Ministry of Environmental Protection of China, 2013. Atmospheric emissions of volatile organic compounds
42	source inventory guidebook 2013.
43	http://www.mep.gov.cn/gkml/hbb/bgg/201408/W020140828351293619540.pdf
44	Moss, R.H., Edmonds, J.A., Hibbard, K.A., Manning, M.R., Rose, S.K., van Vuuren, D.P., Carter, T.R., Emori, S.,
45	Kainuma, M., Kram, T., Meehl, G.A., Mitchell, J.F.B., Nakicenovic, N., Riahi, K., Smith, S.J., Stouffer, R.J.,
46	Thomson, A.M., Weyant, J.P., Wilbanks, T.J., 2010. The next generation of scenarios for climate
47	change research and assessment. Nature 463, 747–756. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08823
48	Namany, S., Al-Ansari, T., Govindan, R., 2019. Sustainable energy, water and food nexus systems: A focused
49	review of decision-making tools for efficient resource management and governance. J. Clean. Prod.
50	225, 610–626. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.03.304
51	National Bureau of Statistics, 2016. China statistical year book 2002-2016.
52	National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2013. China Energy Statistical Yearbook 2013. National Bureau of
53	Statistics of China. http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/ndsj/2011/indexeh.htm
54	National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2011. China Energy Statistical Yearbook 2011. National Bureau of
55	Statistics of China. http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/ndsj/2011/indexeh.htm

1	National Center for Climate Change Strategy and International Cooperation of China, 2010. the average carbon
2	dioxide emission factor of power grid in China's regional and provincial level in 2010. National Center
3	for Climate Change Strategy and International Cooperation of China.
4	National Development and Reform Commission of China, 2013. the guideline of GHGs accounting and
5	reporting method in Chinese steel enterprise.
6	http://www.ndrc.gov.cn/zcfb/zcfbtz/2013tz/t20131101_565313.htm
7	Neelis, M.L., Patel, M.K., 2006. Long-term production, energy use and CO2 emission scenarios for the
8	worldwide iron and steel industry. http://dspace.library.uu.nl/handle/1874/21823 (accessed 4.18.17).
9	Nilsen, T., Aven, T., 2003. Models and model uncertainty in the context of risk analysis. Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf.
10	79, 309–317. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0951-8320(02)00239-9
11	Oda, J., Akimoto, K., Tomoda, T., 2013. Long-term global availability of steel scrap. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 81,
12	81–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.resconrec.2013.10.002
13	Otto, A., Robinius, M., Grube, T., Schiebahn, S., Praktiknjo, A., Stolten, D., 2017. Power-to-Steel: Reducing CO2
14	through the Integration of Renewable Energy and Hydrogen into the German Steel Industry. Energies
15	10, 451. https://doi.org/10.3390/en10040451
16	Pauliuk, S., Arvesen, A., Stadler, K., Hertwich, E.G., 2017. Industrial ecology in integrated assessment models.
17	Nat. Clim. Change 7, 13–20. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3148
18	Riahi, K., van Vuuren, D.P., Kriegler, E., Edmonds, J., O'Neill, B.C., Fuiimori, S., Bauer, N., Calvin, K., Dellink, R.,
19	Fricko, O., Lutz, W., Popp, A., Cuaresma, J.C., KC, S., Leimbach, M., Jiang, L., Kram, T., Rao, S.,
20	Emmerling, J., Ebi, K., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Humpenöder, F., Da Silva, L.A., Smith, S., Stehfest, E.,
21	Bosetti, V., Eom, J., Gernaat, D., Masui, T., Rogeli, J., Strefler, J., Drouet, L., Krev, V., Luderer, G.,
22	Harmsen, M., Takahashi, K., Baumstark, L., Doelman, J.C., Kainuma, M., Klimont, Z., Marangoni, G.,
23	Lotze-Campen, H., Obersteiner, M., Tabeau, A., Tavoni, M., 2017. The Shared Socioeconomic
24	Pathways and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: An overview. Glob.
25	Environ, Change 42, 153–168, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.gloenvcha.2016.05.009
26	Rogelj, J., den Elzen, M., Höhne, N., Fransen, T., Fekete, H., Winkler, H., Schaeffer, R., Sha, F., Riahi, K.,
27	Meinshausen, M., 2016. Paris Agreement climate proposals need a boost to keep warming well below
28	2 °C. Nature 534, 631–639. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18307
29	Sullivan, P., Krey, V., Riahi, K., 2013. Impacts of considering electric sector variability and reliability in the
30	MESSAGE model. Energy Strategy Rev., Future Energy Systems and Market Integration of Wind Power
31	1, 157–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2013.01.001
32	Tenova, 2018. Iron Reduction Technologies - TENOVA. Iron Reduct. Technol TENOVA. URL
33	https://www.tenova.com/product/iron-reduction-technologies/ (accessed 2.22.18).
34	Tokimatsu, K., Höök, M., McLellan, B., Wachtmeister, H., Murakami, S., Yasuoka, R., Nishio, M., 2018. Energy
35	modeling approach to the global energy-mineral nexus: Exploring metal requirements and the well-
36	below 2 °C target with 100 percent renewable energy. Appl. Energy 225, 1158–1175.
37	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.05.047
38	Tokimatsu, K., Wachtmeister, H., McLellan, B., Davidsson, S., Murakami, S., Höök, M., Yasuoka, R., Nishio, M.,
39	2017. Energy modeling approach to the global energy-mineral nexus: A first look at metal
40	requirements and the 2°C target. Appl. Energy, Transformative Innovations for a Sustainable Future –
41	Part II 207, 494–509. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.05.151
42	US EPA, 2010. Available and emerging technologies for reducing greenhouse Gas Emissions from the Portland
43	Cement Industry.
44	van Ruijven, B.J., van Vuuren, D.P., Boskaljon, W., Neelis, M.L., Saygin, D., Patel, M.K., 2016. Long-term model-
45	based projections of energy use and CO2 emissions from the global steel and cement industries.
46	Resour, Conserv. Recvcl, 112, 15–36, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.resconrec.2016.04.016
47	Wada, Y., Gleeson, T., Esnault, L., 2014. Wedge approach to water stress. Nat. Geosci. 7, 615–617.
48	https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2241
49	Walsh, B., Ciais, P., Janssens, I.A., Peñuelas, J., Riahi, K., Rydzak, F., Vuuren, D.P. van. Obersteiner, M. 2017.
50	Pathways for balancing CO2 emissions and sinks. Nat. Commun. 8, 14856.
51	https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14856
52	Wang, C., Wang, R., Hertwich, E., Liu, Y., 2017. A technology-based analysis of the water-energy-emission
53	nexus of China's steel industry. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 124, 116–128.
54	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.04.014
55	Wang, H., Dai, H., Dong, L., Xie, Y., Geng, Y., Yue, Q., Ma, F., Wang, J., Du, T., 2017. Co-benefit of carbon
56	mitigation on resource use in China. J. Clean. Prod. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.11.070

1	Wang, K., Tian, H., Hua, S., Zhu, C., Gao, J., Xue, Y., Hao, J., Wang, Y., Zhou, J., 2016. A comprehensive emission		
2	inventory of multiple air pollutants from iron and steel industry in China: Temporal trends and spatial		
3	variation characteristics. Sci. Total Environ. 559, 7–14.		
4	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.03.125		
5	World Steel Association, 2017. Steel Statistical Yearbook 2017.		
6	Worrell, E., Carreon, J.R., 2017. Energy demand for materials in an international context. Philos. Trans. R. Soc.		
7	Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 375, 20160377. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2016.0377		
8	Worrell, E., Kermeli, K., 2017. Meeting our material services within planetary boundaries, in: 5th International		
9	Slag Valorisation Symposium. Leuven, pp. 1–12.		
10	Wu, X., Zhao, L., Zhang, Y., Zheng, C., Gao, X., Cen, K., 2015. Primary Air Pollutant Emissions and Future		
11	Prediction of Iron and Steel Industry in China 1422–1432. https://doi.org/doi:		
12	10.4209/aaqr.2015.01.0029		
13	Xu, T.T., 2011. Development of Bottom-up Representation of Industrial Energy Efficiency Technologies in		
14	Integrated Assessment Models for the Iron and Steel Sector. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.		
15	http://escholarship.org/uc/item/8n82s7j3		
16	Yang, M., Yu, X., 2015. Energy Efficiency Becomes First Fuel, in: Energy Efficiency, Green Energy and		
17	Technology. Springer, London, pp. 11–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-6666-5_2		
18	Yellishetty, M., Ranjith, P.G., Tharumarajah, A., 2010. Iron ore and steel production trends and material flows		
19	in the world: Is this really sustainable? Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 54, 1084–1094.		
20	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2010.03.003		
21	Yin, X., Chen, W., 2013. Trends and development of steel demand in China: A bottom–up analysis. Resour.		
22	Policy 38, 407–415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2013.06.007		
23	Zhang, C., Chen, X., Li, Y., Ding, W., Fu, G., 2018. Water-energy-food nexus: Concepts, questions and		
24	methodologies. J. Clean. Prod. 195, 625–639. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.05.194		
25	Zhang, S., 2016. Energy Efficiency for Clean Air : Capturing the multiple benefits for climate, air quality, and		
26	public health in China (Dissertation). Utrecht University, Utrecht.		
27	Zhang, S., Ren, H., Zhou, W., Yu, Y., Ma, T., Chen, C., 2018. Assessing air pollution abatement co-benefits of		
28	energy efficiency improvement in cement industry: A city level analysis. J. Clean. Prod. 185, 761–771.		
29	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.293		
30	Zhang, S., Worrell, E., Crijns-Graus, W., 2015a. Evaluating co-benefits of energy efficiency and air pollution		
31	abatement in China's cement industry. Appl. Energy 147, 192–213.		
32	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.02.081		
33	Zhang, S., Worrell, E., Crijns-Graus, W., 2015b. Synergy of air pollutants and greenhouse gas emissions of		
34	Chinese industries: A critical assessment of energy models. Energy 93, 2436–2450.		
35	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.10.088		
36	Zhang, S., Worrell, E., Crijns-Graus, W., Krol, M., de Bruine, M., Geng, G., Wagner, F., Cofala, J., 2016. Modeling		
37	energy efficiency to improve air quality and health effects of China's cement industry. Appl. Energy		
38	184, 574–593. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.10.030		
39	Zhang, S., Worrell, E., Crijns-Graus, W., Wagner, F., Cofala, J., 2014. Co-benefits of energy efficiency		
40	improvement and air pollution abatement in the Chinese iron and steel industry. Energy 78, 333–345.		
41	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.10.018		
42	Zhou, N., Price, L., Zheng, N., Ke, J., 2011. National Level Co-Control Study of the Targets for Energy Intensity		
43	and Sulfur Dioxide in China.		
44			
	\bigvee γ		

Appendix S1: List of process technology

Process technology
coke_making
iron_making
steel_making_bof
steel_making_eaf
iron_ore_mining_extr
pellets_making
sinter_making
steel_crf
steel_making_dri
iron ore extraction
limestone extraction

Appendix S2: List of energy efficiency measures in MESSAGE – iron and steel technology database

Parent_technology	Energy efficiency measures
coke_making	Coke dry quenching (CDQ)
coke_making	Coal moisture control
coke_making	Programmed heating in coke oven
coke_making	Pressure Shift-Absorhing Technique in Hydrogen Making
coke_making	Variable speed drive on coke oven gas compressors
iron_making	Improved blast furnace control
iron_making	Cogeneration for the use of untapped coke oven gas, blast furnace gas, and basic oxygen furnace-gas in integrated steel mills
iron_making	Dry Bag Dedusting System of Blast Furnace Gas
iron_making	Improved hot blast stove control
iron_making	Injection of coke oven gas in BF
iron_making	Injection of pulverized coal in BF
iron_making	Injection of plastic waste in BF
iron_making	Moisture Removing Blowing Technique in Blast Furnace
iron_making	Recovery of blast furnace gas
iron_making	Top-pressure recovery turbines (TRT)
pellets_making	Small pellet sintering technology
sinter_making	Heat recovery from sinter cooler
sinter_making	Increasing bed depth
sinter_making	Improved charging method
sinter_making	Low temperature sintering
sinter_making	Reduction of air leakage
sinter_making	Ring cooler fluid sealing technology
sinter_making	Use of waste fuel in sinter plant
steel_crf	Integrated casting and rolling (Strip casting)
steel_crf	Automated monitoring and targeting systems
steel_crf	Continuous annealing

steel_crf	Controlling oxygen levels and variable speed drives on combustion air fans
steel_crf	Endless Hot Rolling of Steel Sheets
steel_crf	Flameless oxyfuel burners
steel_crf	Hot charging
steel_crf	Heat recovery on the annealing line
steel_crf	Insulation of reheat furnaces
steel_crf	Low temperature rolling technology
steel_crf	Multislit Rolling Technique on the Bar Rolling
steel_crf	Process control in hot rolling
steel_crf	Preventative maintenance in integrated steel mills
steel_crf	Recuperative or regenerative burner
steel_crf	Reduced steam use in the acid pickling line
steel_crf	Waste heat recovery from cooling water
steel_making_bof	Efficient Ladle preheating
steel_making_bof	Energy monitoring and management systems
steel_making_bof	Recovery of BOF and sensible heat
steel_making_bof	vacuum degassing from liquid iron
steel_making_bof	Variable speed drives for flue gas control, pumps, fans in integrated steel mills
steel_making_bof	Variable speed drive on ventilation fans
steel_making_eaf	Adjustable speed drives (ASDs) on flue gas fans
steel_making_eaf	Bottom stirring/gas injection
steel_making_eaf	Direct current (DC) arc furnace
steel_making_eaf	Improving process control in EAF
steel_making_eaf	Oxy-fuel burners/lancing
steel_making_eaf	Preventative maintenance in EAF plants
steel_making_eaf	Scrap preheating
steel_making_eaf	Converting the furnace operation to ultra-high power (UHP) (Increasing the size of
steel making eaf	transformers)
steel_making_ear	wet and heat recovery of slag





λ nnondiv $\Sigma/I \cdot I$ ist of onordiv office	<u></u>	٦
	וופוועע ווובמסטובס נוומר מטטטנבט וודדד סנבוומות	,
		-

Parent_technology	Energy_efficiency_measures
coke_making	Coke dry quenching (CDQ)
	Coal moisture control
	Pressure Shift-Absorhing Technique in Hydrogen Making
Sinter_making	Heat recovery from sinter cooler
	Increasing bed depth
	Low temperature sintering
	Reduction of air leakage
	Ring cooler fluid sealing technology
	Use of waste fuel in sinter plant
Pellets_making	Small pellet sintering technology
	Improved blast furnace control
	Cogeneration for the use of untapped coke oven gas, blast furnace gas, and
	basic oxygen furnace-gas in integrated steel mills
	Dry Bag Dedusting System of Blast Furnace Gas
luon moling	Improved hot blast stove control
ITOII_IIIAKIIIg	Injection of pulverized coal in BF
	Injection of plastic waste in BF
	Moisture Removing Blowing Technique in Blast Furnace
	Recovery of blast furnace gas
	Top-pressure recovery turbines (TRT)
	Energy monitoring and management systems
	Recovery of BOF and sensible heat
Steel_making_bof	vacuum degassing from liquid iron
	vacuum degassing nominquid nom
	Variable speed drives for flue gas control, pumps, fans in integrated steel
	Variable speed drives for flue gas control, pumps, fans in integrated steel mills
Steel making eaf	Variable speed drives for flue gas control, pumps, fans in integrated steel mills Direct current (DC) arc furnace

	Oxy-fuel burners/lancing
	Preventative maintenance in EAF plants
	Scrap preheating
	wet and heat recovery of slag
	Endless Hot Rolling of Steel Sheets
Steel_crf	Flameless oxyfuel burners
	Hot charging
	Heat recovery on the annealing line
	Integrated casting and rolling (Strip casting)
	Low temperature rolling technology
	Multislit Rolling Technique on the Bar Rolling
	Process control in hot rolling
	Preventative maintenance in integrated steel mills
	Recuperative or regenerative burner
	Reduced steam use in the acid pickling line

<u>in integr</u> the



CHR HANN



CERTIN



Process technology coke_making iron_making steel_making_bof steel_making_eaf iron_ore_mining_extr pellets_making sinter_making steel_crf steel_making_dri iron ore extraction limestone extraction

Parent_technology	Energy efficiency measures
coke_making	Coke dry quenching (CDQ)
coke_making	Coal moisture control
coke_making	Programmed heating in coke oven
coke_making	Pressure Shift-Absorhing Technique in Hydrogen Making unit: Kg ce/Nm3
coke_making	Variable speed drive on coke oven gas compressors
iron_making	Improved blast furnace control
iron_making	Cogeneration for the use of untapped coke oven gas, blast furnace gas, and basic oxygen furnace-gas in integrated st
iron_making	Dry Bag Dedusting System of Blast Furnace Gas
iron_making	Improved hot blast stove control
iron_making	Injection of coke oven gas in BF
iron_making	Injection of pulverized coal in BF
iron_making	Injection of plastic waste in BF
iron_making	Moisture Removing Blowing Technique in Blast Furnace
iron_making	Recovery of blast furnace gas
iron_making	Top-pressure recovery turbines (TRT)
pellets_making	Small pellet sintering technology
sinter_making	Heat recovery from sinter cooler
sinter_making	Increasing bed depth
sinter_making	Improved charging method
sinter_making	Low temperature sintering
sinter_making	Reduction of air leakage
sinter_making	Ring cooler fluid sealing technology
sinter_making	Use of waste fuel in sinter plant
steel_crf	Integrated casting and rolling (Strip casting)
steel crf	Automated monitoring and targeting systems
steel crf	Continuous annealing
steel_crf	Controlling oxygen levels and variable speed drives on combustion air fans
steel crf	Endless Hot Rolling of Steel Sheets
steel_crf	Flameless oxyfuel burners
steel_crf	Hot charging
steel_crf	Heat recovery on the annealing line
steel_crf	Insulation of reheat furnaces
steel_crf	Low temperature rolling technology
steel_crf	Multislit Rolling Technique on the Bar Rolling
steel_crf	Process control in hot rolling
steel_crf	Preventative maintenance in integrated steel mills
steel_crf	Recuperative or regenerative burner
steel_crf	Reduced steam use in the acid pickling line
steel_crf	Waste heat recovery from cooling water
steel_making_bof	Efficient Ladle preheating
steel_making_bof	Energy monitoring and management systems
steel_making_bof	Recovery of BOF and sensible heat
steel_making_bof	vacuum degassing from liquid iron
steel_making_bof	Variable speed drives for flue gas control, pumps, fans in integrated steel mills
steel_making_bof	Variable speed drive on ventilation fans
steel_making_eaf	Adjustable speed drives (ASDs) on flue gas fans
steel_making_eaf	Bottom stirring/gas injection
steel_making_eaf	Direct current (DC) arc furnace
steel_making_eaf	Improving process control in EAF
steel_making_eaf	Oxy-fuel burners/lancing
steel_making_eaf	Preventative maintenance in EAF plants
steel_making_eaf	Scrap preheating
steel_making_eaf	Converting the furnace operation to ultra-high power (UHP) (Increasing the size of transformers)
steel_making_eaf	wet and heat recovery of slag

Highlights

- A new approach for manufacturing sectors in MESSAGEix model is developed
- Resource-Energy-Environment Nexus in China's iron and steel industry are quantified
- Energy efficiency would lead to large reductions of material, water, and emission
- Industrial characteristics should be modelled in long-term energy system models

CER MA