

SOME PROBLEMS ON THE STOCHASTIC FLOOD CONTROL

Yuri A. Rosanov

June 1974

Research Memoranda are informal publications relating to ongoing or projected areas of research at IIASA. The views expressed are those of the author, and do not necessarily reflect those of IIASA.



Some Problems on the Stochastic Flood Control

Yuri A. Rosanov

1. Let us consider a system of  $n$  water reservoirs  $R_1, \dots, R_n$ . For a generality let us assume they are connected in such a way that we can transfer an amount of water

$$\int_{t_0}^T u_{ij}(t) dt$$

from  $R_i$  to  $R_j$  during the time period  $[t_0, T]$  and an amount of water

$$\int_{t_0}^T u_{ii}(t) dt$$

from  $R_i$  to outside where control parameters  $u_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$  satisfy to constraints

$$a_{ij} \leq u_{ij} \leq b_{ij} \quad .$$

Therewith  $a_{ij}, b_{ij}$  are given non-negative constants--for example,  $a_{ii}$  is determined with respect to minimum demands and  $b_{ii}$  means the level under which exceeding it might cause a lot of damage. Thus, the total amount of water that passes through the reservoir  $R_i$  in a "normal way" during the time period  $[t_0, T]$  is

$$\int_{t_0}^T \sum_{j=1}^n u_{ij}(t) dt ,$$

where conventionally  $u_{ij}(t) = -u_{ji}(t) < 0$  if actually at the moment  $t$  the water comes to the reservoir  $R_i$  from the reservoir  $R_j$ .

Suppose the target is to accumulate water during some time period  $[t_0, T]$ . If  $\xi_i(t)$  is a corresponding inflow (input) for  $R_i$ , then up to the moment  $T$  the amount of water  $W_i(T)$  in the reservoir  $R_i$  is

$$W_i(T) = W_i(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^T [\xi_i(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n u_{ij}(t)] dt .$$

Let the capacity of  $R_i$  be  $W_i^*$ . Then the negative value  $W_i(T) - W_i^*$  means that we do not have enough water at the end of the considered period  $[t_0, T]$  and the positive value  $W_i(T) - W_i^*$  means that we actually have the "overflow."

The problem is to choose the control functions  $u_{ij}(t)$ ,  $t_0 \leq t \leq T$  in such a way as to minimize the mean square value

$$E || W(T) - W^* ||^2$$

of the deviation of the random vector

$$W(T) = \{W_1(T), \dots, W_n(T)\}$$

from the vector  $W^* = \{W_1^*, \dots, W_n^*\}$ .

Of course the optimal control depends very much on the actual input  $\xi(t) = \{\xi_1(t), \dots, \xi_n(t)\}$ ,  $t_0 \leq t \leq T$ . We suggest to assume that

$$\xi(t) = x(t) + w(t)$$

where

$$x(t) = \{x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)\}$$

is a multi-dimensional, Gamma-distributed stationary random process of the Markov type;<sup>1</sup> and  $w(t) = \{w_1(t), \dots, w_n(t)\}$  is a "big wave" (because of melting snow or rainfall) with components  $w_i(t) = w_i(z_i^*, t)$  which are solutions of the corresponding hydrodynamic partial equation<sup>2</sup> of the St. Venant type for discharges  $w_i(t, z)$  along "bed-streams"  $z_i^0 \leq z \leq z_i^*$  with "initial conditions" of the type

$$w_i(z_i, t) = f_i(t - \tau_i) \quad .$$

Herewith

$$f_i(t) = \begin{cases} p_i(t) e^{-c_i t} & , \quad t \geq 0 \\ 0 & , \quad t < 0 \end{cases}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>For example,

$$x_i(t) = \eta_i(t)^2 \quad , \quad i, \dots, n$$

where  $\eta_1(t), \dots, \eta_n(t)$  are independent Gaussian stationary Markov processes with corresponding parameters.

<sup>2</sup>See J. Stoker [1].

(where  $P_i$  is a polynomial,  $c_i$  is a positive constant); the variable  $\tau_i$  is assumed to be random with the exponential distribution and means the moment at which the wave  $w_i(t)$  rises.

In order to deal with the problem on the optimal control in an analytical way, we suggest to assume that

$$w_i(t) = w_i(z_i^0, t) \quad .$$

2. Suppose now the target is "to catch" the wave  $w(t)$  in order to protect the overflow, and all reservoirs  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  are some kind of a "trap" for this wave.

Let us assume for simplicity that  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  are not connected so we can pose a problem for  $R = R_1$  when we deal with the univariate random process  $\xi(t) = \xi_1(t)$  described above.

Suppose that during a time period  $t_0 \leq t \leq \tau$ , where  $\tau$  is some unobservable crucial moment,<sup>3</sup> we wish to operate in such a way that the whole inflow  $\xi(t)$  goes through<sup>4</sup> so the reservoir has a constant volume (for example, it is empty); but after the crucial moment  $\tau$ , we wish to stop the outflow (i.e.  $u(t) = 0$ ) so the whole inflow goes in the reservoir.

---

<sup>3</sup>For example,  $\tau = \tau_1$  is the moment at which the wave  $w(t) = w_1(t)$  comes to the system, or  $\tau$  differs from this moment on a proper time delay.

<sup>4</sup>It means essentially that there are no constraints on outputs  $u(t) = u_{11}(t)$ .

Because the random moment  $\tau$  (say with the exponential distribution) is unobservable, we have to use some estimate  $\tau^*$ . If  $\tau^* > \tau$ , then some part of the undesirable inflow goes through the system--the corresponding amount of water will be

$$W(\tau^*) = \int_{\tau}^{\tau^*} \xi(t) dt \quad ;$$

if  $\tau^* < \tau$ , then some part of the undesirable inflow goes in the reservoir--the corresponding amount of water will be

$$W(\tau^*) = \int_{\tau^*}^{\tau} \xi(t) dt \quad .^5$$

The problem is to choose the control parameter  $\tau^*$  in such a way to minimize--in a proper sense-- $W(\tau^*)$ , e.g. to minimize the expectation  $EW(\tau^*)$  or the probability,

$$P\{W(\tau^*) \geq W^*\} \quad ,$$

where  $W^*$  is some crucial level (or to minimize similar probabilities under conditions  $\tau^* < \tau$ ,  $\tau^* > \tau$ ).

---

<sup>5</sup>This water occupies some part of the reservoir and it leaves space for the "wave"  $w(t)$  itself.

References

- [1] Stoker, J. Water Waves. New York, Wiley, 1957.