

Genomics and the origin of species

Seehausen, O., Butlin, R.K., Keller, I., Wagner, C.E., Boughman, J.W., Hohenlohe, P.A., Peichel, C.A., Saetre, G.-P., Bank, C. and Brannstrom, A.

HUN

H

Se m

IIASA Interim Report 2013 Seehausen, O., Butlin, R.K., Keller, I., Wagner, C.E., Boughman, J.W., Hohenlohe, P.A., Peichel, C.A., Saetre, G.-P., Bank, C. and Brannstrom, A. (2013) Genomics and the origin of species. IIASA Interim Report. IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria, IR-13-066 Copyright © 2013 by the author(s). http://pure.iiasa.ac.at/10701/

Interim Reports on work of the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis receive only limited review. Views or opinions expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of the Institute, its National Member Organizations, or other organizations supporting the work. All rights reserved. Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage. All copies must bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. For other purposes, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, permission must be sought by contacting repository@iiasa.ac.at



Interim Report IR-13-066

Genomics and the origin of species

Ole Seehausen, Roger K. Butlin Irene Keller, Catherine E. Wagner Janette W. Boughman, Paul A. Hohenlohe Catherine L. Peichel, Glenn-Peter Saetre Claudia Bank, Åke Brännström (brnstrom@iiasa.ac.at) Alan Brelsford, Chris S. Clarkson Fabrice Eroukhmanoff, Jeffrey L. Feder Martin C. Fischer, Andrew D. Foote Paolo Franchini, Chris D. Jiggins Felicity C. Jones, Anna K. Lindholm Kay Lucek, Martine E. Maan David A. Margues, Simon H. Martin Blake Matthews, Joana I. Meier Markus Möst, Michael W. Nachman Etsuko Nonaka, Diana J. Rennison Julia Schwarzer, Eric T. Watson Anja M. Westram, Alex Widmer

Approved by

Ulf Dieckmann Director, Evolution and Ecology Program

June 2015

Interim Reports on work of the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis receive only limited review. Views or opinions expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of the Institute, its National Member Organizations, or other organizations supporting the work.

1	Genomics and the origin of species
2	
3	Ole Seehausen ^{1,2#*} , Roger K. Butlin ^{3,4#} , Irene Keller ^{1,2,5#} , Catherine E. Wagner ^{1,2#} , Janette W.
4	Boughman ¹ °, Paul A. Hohenlohe', Catherine L. Peichel°, Glenn-Peter Saetre ³
5	Claudia Dank ¹⁰ Åka Brännsträm ¹¹ Alan Brakford ¹² Chris S. Clarkson ¹³ Fabrica Fraukhmanaff ⁹
0 7	Leffrey L. Eeder ¹⁴ Martin C. Eischer ⁵ Andrew D. Ecote ^{15, 28} Paolo Eranchini ¹⁶ Chris D. Liggins ¹⁷
8	Felicity C Jones ¹⁸ Anna K Lindholm ¹⁹ Kay Lucek ^{1,2} Martine F Maan ²⁰ David A Margues ^{1,2,27} Simon
9	H. Martin ¹⁷ . Blake Matthews ²¹ . Joana I. Mejer ^{1,2,27} . Markus Möst ^{17,21} . Michael W. Nachman ²² . Etsuko
10	Nonaka ²³ , Diana J. Rennison ²⁴ , Julia Schwarzer ^{1,2,25} , Eric T. Watson ²⁶ , Anja M. Westram ³ , Alex Widmer ⁵
11	(authors in this section ordered alphabetically)
12 13	*correspondence ale coobaucan@eawag.cb
14	correspondence of e.seenadsen@eawag.ch
15 16	¹ Department of Fish Ecology and Evolution, EAWAG Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Center for Ecology, Evolution and Biogeochemistry, Seestrasse 79, 6047 Kastanienbaum, Switzerland
17	² Division of Aquatic Ecology and Macroevolution, Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Bern, Baltzerstrasse 6, 3012 Bern, Switzerland
18	³ Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK
19	⁴ Sven Lovén Centre – Tjärnö, University of Gothenburg, S-452 96 Strömstad, Sweden
20	⁵ Institute of Integrative Biology, ETH Zürich, Universitätsstrasse 16, ETH Zentrum CHN, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland
21 22	⁶ Department of Zoology; Ecology, Evolutionary Biology & Behavior Program; BEACON, Michigan State University, 203 Natural Sciences, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA
23	⁷ Department of Biological Sciences, Institute of Bioinformatics and Evolutionary Studies, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844-3051, USA
24	⁸ Division of Human Biology, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, 1100 Fairview Avenue North, Seattle, Washington 98109, USA
25	⁹ Department of Biosciences, Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Synthesis (CEES), University of Oslo, P. O. Box 1066, Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway
26	¹⁰ School of Life Sciences, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland
27	¹¹ Integrated Science Lab & Department of Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, Umeå University, 90187 Umeå, Sweden
28	¹² Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
29	¹³ Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK
30	¹⁴ Department of Biological Sciences, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, 46556-0369 USA
31	¹⁵ Centre for GeoGenetics, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, ØsterVolgade 5-7, DK-1350 Copenhagen, Denmark
32	¹⁶ Lehrstuhl für Zoologie und Evolutionsbiologie, Department of Biology, University of Konstanz, Universitätstrasse 10, 78457 Konstanz, Germany
33	¹⁷ Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK
34	¹⁸ Friedrich Miescher Laboratory of the Max Planck Society, Tübingen, Germany
35	¹⁹ Institute of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zurich, Switzerland
36	²⁰ Behavioural Biology Group, Centre for Behaviour and Neurosciences, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 11103, 9700 CC Groningen, The Netherlands
37 38	²¹ Department of Aquatic Ecology, Centre of Ecology, Evolution and Biogeochemistry, Eawag Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Kastanienbaum, Switzerland
39 40	²² Museum of Vertebrate Zoology and Department of Integrative Biology, 3101 Valley Life Sciences Building, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720-3160
41	²³ Integrated Science Lab & Department of Ecology and Environmental Science, Umeå University, 90187 Umeå, Sweden
42	²⁴ Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
43	²⁵ Zoologisches Forschungsmuseum Alexander Koenig, Adenauerallee 160, 53113 Bonn, Germany
44	²⁶ Department of Biology, The University of Texas at Arlington, TX, USA
45	²⁷ Computational and Molecular Population Genetics Lab, Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Bern, Baltzerstrasse 6, 3012 Bern, Switzerland
46	²⁸ Current address: Dept of Evolutionary Biology, Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, Norbyvägen 18D, SE-752 36 Uppsala, Sweden
4/	[*] core writing team
4ð	

49 Preface

50 Speciation is a fundamental evolutionary process, knowledge of which is critical for understanding 51 the origins of biodiversity. Genomic approaches are an increasingly important aspect of this research

52 field. We review current understanding of genome-wide effects of accumulating reproductive

isolation and of genomic properties that influence the process of speciation. Building on this work,

- 54 we identify emergent trends and gaps in our understanding, propose new approaches to more fully
- 55 integrate genomics into speciation research, translate speciation theory into hypotheses that are
- testable with genomic tools, and provide an integrative definition of the field of speciation genomics.
- 57

58 Introduction

59 Major insights into the genetics of speciation have come from a number of approaches (Box 1), ranging from the mapping of individual genes causing reproductive isolation (RI) to the 60 characterization of genome-wide patterns of differentiation, and from quantitative genetic 61 62 approaches to admixture analyses associating phenotypes with reduced gene flow between populations¹⁻³. These empirical approaches have a long history, starting with the work of 63 Dobzhansky⁴ and Muller⁵. Theoretical understanding of the genetics of speciation has advanced 64 markedly⁶⁻¹⁰. However, the deluge of empirical data coming from **next generation sequencing** (NGS), 65 along with the emergence of new analytical approaches, necessitate the integration of this 66 67 theoretical work to strengthen the conceptual foundations of the nascent field of speciation genomics. Such integration will help elucidate the relationships between evolutionary processes and 68 69 genomic divergence patterns on the one hand, and between genomic properties and speciation 70 processes on the other, and it will help unify research on the ecological and non-ecological causes of 71 speciation.

72 In this review, we first discuss areas in which genomic approaches have begun to make important 73 contributions to speciation research (Box 1), for example by elucidating patterns and rates of 74 genome-wide divergence, improving our understanding of the genomic basis and evolution of 75 intrinsic and extrinsic reproductive barriers, and identifying mechanisms by which different barriers 76 become genomically coupled. We also highlight areas that would benefit from further attention; 77 these areas include the distributions of locus effect sizes, pleiotropy and genomic constraint. We 78 conclude by discussing how NGS data and innovative population genomic analyses could contribute 79 to further progress in integrating these study areas into a more comprehensive and coherent 80 understanding of the genomics of speciation.

- 81
- 82

83 The evolution of reproductive barriers: Theory and classical evidence

In line with others^{1, 3}, we define speciation as the origin of reproductive barriers among populations 84 85 that permit maintenance of genetic and phenotypic distinctiveness of these populations in 86 geographical proximity. The origin of reproductive barriers can either be initiated by divergent selection (that is, "ecological" or sexual selection creating extrinsic reproductive isolation), or by the 87 88 evolution - through genetic drift, as an indirect consequence of selection or through genomic conflict 89 - of genetic incompatibilities that cause intrinsic reproductive isolation (Box 2). Studying the accumulation of intrinsic isolation has a strong tradition in evolutionary biology^{1, 11}. Yet, most recent 90 91 population genomic studies of divergence across the genomes of incipient and sister species have investigated cases of putative ecological speciation and have focused on divergent adaptation and
 extrinsic isolation (but see¹² discussed below).

94

95 Extrinsic postzygotic isolation arises as a consequence of divergent or disruptive natural selection when the viability or fertility of migrants or of individuals with intermediate genotypes is reduced². 96 97 Prezygotic sexual isolation and also extrinsic postzygotic isolation, when hybrids have reduced mating success¹³, may evolve as a consequence of divergent sexual selection^{3, 14} which is often, but 98 not always, mediated by differences in environments^{15, 16}. Prezygotic sexual isolation and extrinsic 99 postzygotic isolation are, hence, dependent on genotype-environment interactions in the wider 100 101 sense (where mating partners are part of the external environment). In contrast, intrinsic postzygotic 102 isolation is independent of the external environment. Consequently, different types of genes and gene networks and different evolutionary processes may be involved in generating these classes of 103 isolation. Extrinsic postzygotic isolation and sexual isolation can evolve rapidly¹⁷, and they often 104 interact with each other¹⁶ and with the evolution of intrinsic postzygotic isolating barriers¹⁸ (Box 2). 105 106 Selection can initiate speciation in situations with and without gene flow between populations, while 107 intrinsic incompatibilities are less likely to accumulate when gene flow is present⁶. This being said, 108 adaptive divergence and ecological speciation are not the same. Divergent adaptation alone rarely causes sufficient reproductive isolation to allow the accumulation or persistence of species 109 differences in geographical proximity: this typically requires the evolution of prezygotic isolation^{1, 3} 110 (Box 2), although it is possible that this varies between major taxonomic groups such as insects 111 112 versus vertebrates or plants.

113

The available evidence suggests that negative epistatic interactions, so called Bateson-Dobzhansky-114 Muller incompatibilities (BDMIs, or often just referred to as DMIs), are the most frequent cause of 115 intrinsic postzygotic isolation^{1, 19-21}. However, other mechanisms, including underdominance²² and 116 gene duplication, transposition and gene loss²³⁻²⁵ may also cause intrinsic postzygotic isolation. The 117 time course of the accumulation of DMIs is not well understood^{19, 26-28}, and rates may vary among 118 taxa and among mechanisms underlying DMI evolution¹⁹. DMIs were long thought to arise either as a 119 consequence of genetic drift, as a result of stochastic deactivation of gene duplicates²⁹ or as a by-120 product of ecological selection³⁰. However, theoretical considerations, such as the slow pace of 121 neutral accumulation of barriers³¹, and early empirical evidence for positive selection on loci 122 contributing to incompatibilities³², suggested that drift was unlikely to be a common source of 123 incompatibilities. Recent observations suggest instead that intragenomic conflict may be a common 124 mechanism driving their evolution^{20, 33-35} (Fig. 1), as originally proposed in 1991^{34, 35}. Genomic conflict 125 may arise from competing interests of males and females³⁶, from meiotic drivers^{37, 38}, mobile 126 elements^{39, 40}, or other selfish genetic elements and their suppressors, and from competing interests 127 between organellar and nuclear genomes^{41, 42}. Sexual conflict is thought to drive the evolution of 128 new sex chromosomes^{43, 44}, and empirical observations suggest sex chromosome turnover has a role 129 in the evolution of reproductive isolation^{45,46}. 130

131

132 The different evolutionary mechanisms underlying the build-up of extrinsic and intrinsic postzygotic 133 and of prezygotic isolation suggest that genomic signatures will also be distinct. The genomic 134 architecture of extrinsic isolation is likely to resemble that of adaptive population divergence, and be diverse and scattered across multiple regions in the genome (see below). However, there are 135 136 theoretical arguments and empirical evidence for spatial clustering of sites under selection in the genome when adaptive evolution proceeds under prolonged bouts of divergent selection with 137 migration or recurrent hybridization⁴⁷. For intrinsic isolation, incompatibility factors driven by 138 genomic conflict are expected to accumulate in genomic regions of reduced recombination where 139 linkage disequilibria between distorter loci and responder loci can become established^{48, 49}. Sex 140 chromosomes are particularly susceptible to the accumulation of incompatibility factors derived from 141 genomic conflict because sex chromosomes are constantly in a battle over segregation, whereas only 142 small and tightly linked autosomal regions are in conflict with their homologs³⁴. At the same time, 143

there will be particularly strong selection for suppression of sex-linked distorter loci because they 144 tend to bias sex ratios^{50, 51}. The genomic architecture of certain types of prezygotic isolation may also 145 be influenced by regions of reduced recombination around sex determining loci⁵² or sex 146 chromosomes⁵³, particularly when sex-linkage resolves sexually antagonistic effects of sexual 147 148 selection⁵⁴. Alternatively, prezygotic isolation loci may accumulate near extrinsic ecological isolation 149 loci (see section below, "Genomic coupling of reproductive barriers"). All of these signatures must 150 be distinguished from background patterns of genetic diversity and divergence that depend on the populations' history of genetic drift, gene flow, background selection and episodes of positive 151 152 selection unrelated to reproductive isolation.

153

154 Looking for signatures in the genetic architecture of reproductive isolation has a long "pre-genomic" history (^{55, 56}). However, there has been a historical disconnect between research programs focused 155 on intrinsic isolation, which have typically concentrated on later stages of speciation^{20, 57}, versus 156 extrinsic postzygotic isolation and prezygotic sexual isolation at early stages of speciation^{2, 30,15, 16}. 157 158 Because of this disconnect, comparing the rates of evolution of components of reproductive 159 isolation, and their relevance to speciation, is currently a challenge. Where rates have been compared in the same taxon using "pre-genomic" methods^{11, 58-60}, the data suggest that prezygotic 160 and extrinsic postzygotic isolation often evolve faster than intrinsic postzygotic isolation, consistent 161 with expectations from classical theory⁶¹. Genome-wide data will now permit testing of this pattern 162 with a tremendous increase in resolution. 163

- 164
- 165

166 Genomics and the speciation continuum

167 Once speciation is complete, populations accumulate differences due to mutation and genetic drift as well as ongoing selection. Reproductively isolated species, therefore, often differ in traits that 168 169 evolved under ecological selection and others that evolved under sexual selection, and may also have 170 intrinsic incompatibilities. A central task of speciation genetics is to reconstruct the sequence in 171 which these different barriers originated so as to distinguish between causes and consequences of 172 speciation. To achieve this, one would ideally take an unbiased view of the entire genome at all 173 stages of the same speciation process. However, speciation can rarely be studied in real time in 174 natural populations of sexually reproducing multicellular organisms. Estimates of variation among 175 loci in the timing and magnitude of gene flow could help determine the order in which reproductive 176 barriers emerged, but such inferences are challenging and current methods are not accurate enough for this purpose⁶². However, by integrating case studies of closely related taxa that vary in their 177 extent of divergence (the "speciation continuum"), inferences can often be made about the 178 179 chronology and significance of different factors and processes at play.

180

Investigations of this "speciation continuum" have made important contributions to speciation 181 research^{63, 64} and this approach is being adopted in NGS-based genome and transcriptome scan 182 183 studies of speciation. The major questions being addressed are the extent to which divergence at 184 different stages in speciation is either localized in the genome (the "island view") or widespread, the extent to which heterogeneity in divergence can be attributed to selective processes versus genetic 185 186 drift, the sources of selection, whether genomic divergence tends to follow a common trajectory as it 187 proceeds along the speciation continuum, and how all this is affected by the extent of geographical 188 isolation. A recently much cited scenario for speciation without strong geographical isolation, derived from earlier models^{65, 66}, involves an early stage of divergence where differentiation is limited to a 189 190 small number of loci (islands) under strong divergent selection. Gradually, these regions would grow through the process of divergence hitchhiking, and eventually the effective migration rate would 191 192 become reduced globally across the genome fostering genome-wide divergence ('genome hitchhiking')^{67, 68}. 193

195 Genome scans of ecological speciation

Several NGS-based genome scans of the speciation continuum have found surprisingly variable 196 197 patterns of genomic divergence. It appears that incipient species can quickly accumulate substantial divergence, even in the presence of gene flow (Fig. 2). However, whereas in some examples - such as 198 Heliconius butterflies⁶⁹, Helianthus sunflowers⁷⁰, and poplar trees⁷¹ - divergence between **parapatric** 199 ecotype populations is limited to a few large genomic regions, in others it is widespread across the 200 genome⁷²⁻⁷⁵. NGS-based genome scans of sympatric sister species have generally reported 201 genomically widespread and highly heterogeneous divergence that varies on a very local scale⁷⁵⁻⁸¹. 202 Few studies have looked for evidence of divergence hitchhiking and the available results are 203 inconsistent^{69, 76, 82}. Genome-wide average F_{st} often increases as phenotypic divergence increases^{80, 83} 204 but divergence seems to remain heterogeneous across the genome for a very long time, potentially 205 206 due to repeated episodes of interspecific gene flow even after RI has become strong^{84, 85}. The first generation of NGS-based population-genomic studies of ecological speciation has therefore shown 207 208 that ecological selection can cause strong isolation of small genomic regions between diverging 209 populations, and that when RI is strong enough to permit persistence of incipient species in 210 sympatry, many unlinked regions typically experience significant isolation.

211

212 So where does the heterogeneity in genomic divergence come from? It is commonly inferred to result from locus-specific differences in the effects of divergent selection and gene flow. Indeed, 213 214 genome scans have shown strong isolation at genomic loci that were known to be under divergent selection^{64, 69, 70, 72, 74}. However, caution is warranted as different evolutionary processes can leave 215 216 similar signatures in the genome. Heterogeneous genomic divergence is sometimes also observed between allopatric populations of the same species in the absence of any current gene flow^{76, 86, 87} 217 218 (Fig. 2). Indeed, many studies assume ongoing gene flow between species, even though stochastic 219 variation due to recent coalescence times and incomplete lineage sorting can similarly lead to low divergence and high heterogeneity, particularly when in combination with selection^{88, 89}. Statistical 220 methods are available to distinguish divergence in isolation from divergence with gene flow, and 221 these methods are increasingly being applied to genome scale datasets (reviewed in ⁹⁰; Box 1). 222

223

224 Even in the absence of selection, divergence is expected to vary due to the stochasticity of genetic drift and the complexities of population history, and this variation can be enhanced by confounding 225 effects of genomic heterogeneity⁹¹. In particular, regions of low recombination and/or high gene 226 density often show reduced intra-specific diversity, which inflates relative divergence as measured by 227 F_{st} or D_a⁸⁸. This can result from background selection against deleterious mutations⁹², intraspecific 228 selective sweeps (in allopatry)⁸⁸ or even a direct influence of recombination on genetic diversity⁹³. 229 230 Disentangling these processes is challenging⁹⁴. Some have suggested correcting for recombination rate in interpreting F_{ST} patterns⁸³. Others have suggested that absolute divergence measures such as 231 D_{xy} are more robust to diversity artefacts⁹⁵, especially when corrected for local mutation rate⁹⁶. It 232 233 seems unlikely that any single parameter will reliably disentangle divergent selection and gene flow 234 from neutral processes. Good knowledge of the geographical context of population divergence will 235 help, but distinguishing between hypotheses of speciation with gene flow, secondary contact and incomplete lineage sorting will often require new, parameter-rich modeling approaches⁹⁰. 236

237

Adaptive divergence has been shown to accumulate preferentially in regions of low recombination⁹⁷, including the centers of chromosomes⁸³, the vicinity of centromeres⁹⁸, inversions⁷⁴ or often (but not always^{12, 71}) on sex chromosomes⁹⁸⁻¹⁰⁰. Heterogeneity in genomic divergence seen in allopatry might also result from **gene-flow-selection balance** that has occurred in the past^{47, 76}. Finally, the assumption that the baseline F_{ST} reflects neutral divergence may be violated in cases where divergent selection is pervasive and multifarious, and this would bias against the detection of the signature of selection⁸¹.

246 Evidence for repeated divergence of the same genes or genomic regions across replicate pairs of species or environmental contrasts already provides strong evidence that these regions are indeed 247 involved in adaptation and/or RI^{72, 74, 85, 97, 101-103}. Detecting such parallel divergence may require 248 dense sampling of genomes or transcriptomes because the highest levels of repeatability may be 249 observed at the scale of genomic regions rather than individual genes or SNPs⁹⁷. In this case, the 250 251 repeatability in the heterogeneity of genomic divergence may be due at least in part to shared genomic heterogeneity in recombination and mutation rates rather than parallel adaptive 252 divergence, but the shared genomic structure may facilitate the repeated accumulation in the same 253 genomic regions of adaptive differentiation⁹⁷. Another approach involves combining classic cline 254 theory with genome-wide analyses, allowing measurements of the strength of selection at specific 255 loci⁷⁹ (Box 1). In the future, parameter-rich **coalescent** models of divergence with gene flow fitted to 256 genomic data may be able to account for the heterogeneity of demographic history across the 257 genome when seeking to identify genomic regions with reduced gene flow^{104, 105}. Finally, genome 258 scans combined with manipulative selection⁸¹, QTL mapping^{82, 106}, candidate gene mapping^{72, 74} and 259 admixture mapping^{79, 107-109} can be used to investigate whether divergent genomic regions contain 260 261 loci contributing to RI.

262

Several recent studies have found a contribution of ancient alleles to recent divergence, as 263 exemplified by stickleback^{74, 110}, cichlids^{77, 111}, *Rhagoletis* flies¹¹² and *Heliconius* butterflies¹¹³. Ancient 264 alleles are identifiable due to the accumulation of many substitutions or sharing over wide spatial or 265 266 taxonomic ranges. The sources of such ancient allelic variation can either be standing genetic variation, or hybridization¹¹⁴. Distinguishing between these hypotheses is difficult in practice due to 267 the challenges of distinguishing **incomplete lineage sorting** from hybridization⁹⁰ (Box 1). The balance 268 269 of evidence from NGS data implies introgressive hybridization rather than standing variation as the 270 source of ancient alleles in most of the above cases. Speciation in these cases might have been 271 facilitated by hybridization providing genetic material for adaptation and reproductive isolation in the face of gene flow, which puts a new twist on an old idea ¹. Future research combining genomic 272 and ecological approaches should test this hypothesis further. 273

274

275 Genomic divergence and intrinsic isolation

276 Many studies have investigated DMI genes in strongly isolated species, but in many cases it remained unclear if the **fixation** of the underlying mutations was a cause or a consequence of speciation^{20, 57}. 277 278 Regardless of whether identified DMI alleles are the first step in the origin of reproductive isolation, a 279 striking pattern to emerge from recent work is that they have evolved under strong positive selection 280 rather than genetic drift and that **genomic conflict** is often implicated as the source of this selection. 281 For example, one study identified Ovd, an X-linked gene that underlies both hybrid male sterility and sex-ratio distortion in crosses between Drosophila pseudoobscura pseudoobscura and D. p. 282 *bogotana*⁵¹. Another example is a recent analysis that found strong evidence for ongoing positive 283 selection within Drosophila mauritiana in genes that have diverged between this species and its 284 285 closest relatives and that are known to be involved in genomic conflict¹². Two pronounced 286 polymorphism troughs on the X chromosome were centered on a pair of genes that cause sex-ratio distortion within *D. simulans*, and on *Odysseus*, a rapidly evolving homeobox gene that was known to 287 cause male sterility in *D. mauritiana* x *D. simulans* hybrids³² and may be involved in genomic conflict. 288 289 These are two candidate cases of speciation by conflict-driven DMI evolution.

290

291 Genomic coupling of reproductive barriers

The build-up of associations between several traits or loci involved in RI strengthens the total barrier to gene flow between diverging populations, and is therefore important for the evolution of strong reproductive isolation^{115, 116}. Such **genomic coupling** can involve any pre- or post-zygotic barriers¹¹⁷. Deviations from linkage equilibrium between barrier loci can initially be generated by new mutations arising on a particular genetic background, or by genetic drift during divergence with limited gene flow. Coinciding barriers may, for example, arise through secondary contact between divergent populations, through the evolution of DMIs as an incidental by-product of divergent selection¹¹⁸, or via hitchhiking of intrinsic incompatibility alleles with divergently selected alleles, as has been shown for heavy-metal adapted populations of monkey flowers¹¹⁹. However, for barrier coupling to be important in speciation, coupling has to be maintained or even strengthened in the face of gene flow, and this typically requires divergent selection⁶.

Selection is expected to favour the coupling of barriers if this leads to an increase in mean fitness. In 303 theory this can involve multiple intrinsic barriers (like DMIs)^{120, 121} or intrinsic and extrinsic 304 postzygotic barriers as well as sexual and other prezygotic isolation traits. Across an ecotone, 305 multifarious extrinsic selection can assemble and maintain many coinciding clines at loci involved in 306 adaptation¹²², and these can become coupled with sexual isolation traits¹²³ and with DMIs^{18,116, 124}. 307 Selection can also directly favour the evolution of increased prezygotic isolation, as in the case of 308 reinforcement¹²⁵. Finally, sexual conflict can couple intrinsic postzygotic and prezygotic sexual 309 isolation because DMIs driven by sexual conflict and genes underlying sexual traits or preferences 310 expressed only in one sex may both accumulate on sex chromosomes^{53, 126}. Consistent with these 311 expectations, loci for plumage colour, mating preferences and intrinsic postzygotic incompatibilities 312 are coupled on the Z chromosome in flycatchers⁵² and Gouldian finches^{127, 128}. Similarly, loci for 313 behavioural isolation and hybrid male sterility are coupled on the X chromosome in a species pair of 314 Japanese stickleback⁴⁵. 315

316

Because recombination tends to break up gene associations, genomic architectures that eliminate or 317 decrease recombination are expected to facilitate coupling, and hence speciation¹²⁹. Most 318 319 prominently, recombination will affect neither associations among traits that are pleiotropically influenced by the same allele, nor 'one-allele' mechanisms, where the presence of the same allele in 320 different genetic backgrounds confers RI¹³⁰. One-allele mechanisms do not leave a population-321 specific signature in the genome at the primary isolation locus but they should be detectable as 322 323 sweeps shared by both diverging populations if they arise during speciation (as for instance if an 324 allele for imprinting on the phenotype of the father spreads across two incipient species that were connected by gene flow). Despite the theoretical expectation that 'one-allele' mechanisms evolve 325 more readily during speciation with gene flow than other types of barriers^{6, 16, 130}, we are not aware 326 that the predicted genomic signature of shared sweeps at isolation loci has yet been detected in any 327 328 case. Revealing such a signature would be a strong contribution of speciation genomics to 329 demonstrating a classical prediction of speciation theory.

330

331 Loci underlying 'two-allele' mechanisms are expected to be concentrated in regions of reduced recombination. Recent genomic studies have observed genomic architectures that eliminate or 332 333 reduce recombination between traits involved in RI: There is evidence of synergistic pleiotropy in multiple-effect or "magic" traits^{16, 131-133}, and multiple genes underlying isolating traits have been 334 found together in inversions¹³⁴⁻¹³⁶, on sex-chromosomes^{45, 52, 128} and also in otherwise tight physical 335 linkage^{119, 137}, including mating traits and mating preferences in cases of speciation with gene flow¹³⁸. 336 These data also provide some evidence that reinforcement of prezygotic isolation is facilitated by 337 linkage, as in flycatchers¹³⁹, or by pleiotropy, as in phlox¹³². In other cases reinforcement might be 338 constrained¹⁴⁰ where loci are not linked and where there is extensive gene flow. However, recent 339 genomic studies have also provided empirical examples of coupling between unlinked loci in fully 340 sympatric hybridizing species⁷⁷ and especially in hybrid zones, where clines at many unlinked loci 341 often coincide, although it is not always clear exactly how these loci are implicated in RI¹⁴¹. Unbiased 342 whole-genome re-sequencing data and genome scans from diverging populations, coupled with 343 methods to reduce bias from NGS data¹⁴² and with mapping of isolation traits, are needed to test the 344 345 generality of these patterns.

346

347 *Effect sizes and pleiotropy*

A key question, with a long history^{55, 143}, is whether speciation is typically initiated by divergence at few loci of large and possibly pleiotropic effect or by divergence at many loci with small and additive

effects^{133, 144}. The distinction is important because it will affect how speciation is constrained by the 350 availability of suitable genetic variation, and will also affect how likely it is that selection or genetic 351 drift may overcome gene flow. On their own, F_{st} estimates from genome scans tell us little about the 352 effect sizes of individual alleles on phenotypes, fitness or RI¹⁰⁷ (Fig 3). With regard to fitness, Fisher's 353 geometric model predicts that the probability that a mutation is favourable decreases exponentially 354 355 with mutational effect size, hence we expect few alleles of large positive fitness effect but many of small effect¹⁴⁵⁻¹⁴⁷ (but see¹⁴⁸). However, this prediction does not take into account standing genetic 356 variation, gene flow or changing environments. When those factors are considered, the predictions 357 change^{47, 147, 149} and may even reverse¹⁵⁰. 358

359

360 Speciation with gene flow may require divergent or disruptive selection to be concentrated on a small number of regions in the genome that also have large effects on RI⁶. Theoretically expected 361 distributions of effect sizes in terms of RI (rather than fitness) may be different for different classes of 362 isolating barriers, but current data are equivocal (Fig. 3b). For example, mapping hybrid inferiority in 363 364 natural environments for Arabidopsis has shown RI to be due to many genes with moderate effects¹⁵¹. In contrast, hybrid inviability in *Mimulus guttatus* is a consequence of two linked loci of 365 major effect¹¹⁹. Predictions about the distribution of effect sizes expected for genes that underlie 366 367 DMIs are also generally lacking, partly because effect sizes depend on mutation order and the extent 368 of background genomic divergence. Traits governing prezygotic isolation, and especially sexual isolation (Box 2), are likely to have large effects on RI because they directly influence mating or 369 fertilization patterns^{1, 6, 16, 152-154}. To test this prediction with genomic scale data, existing quantitative genetic, mapping and candidate gene studies^{45, 109, 111, 128, 138, 155-157,158, 159} should now be followed up 370 371 by NGS-based genome scans assessing RI around these loci¹⁰⁷. 372

373

Recently identified large-effect alleles involved in adaptation and speciation with gene flow, are often highly **pleiotropic** (e.g., *Optix* in *Heliconius*¹⁶⁰ and Ectodysplasin [*Eda*] in sticklebacks¹⁶¹, although we lack estimates of the effect *Eda* has on RI or fitness). Such alleles may be rare among newly arising mutations but alleles with synergistically pleiotropic effects may be more common in standing genetic variation. Recent theory suggests that large-effect or pleiotropic alleles may be favoured by selection during evolution in gene-flow-selection balance, and hence eventually become enriched in taxa with divergence and gene flow⁴⁷.

381

382 Genomic constraint

The flipside of the coupling problem is that genetic correlation between traits as a result of 383 384 pleiotropy or tight linkage may also constrain speciation. With new population genomic data 385 revealing divergence in many regions of the genome early in speciation, there is an opportunity to unite population genomics with a quantitative genetics perspective on the evolution of polygenic 386 traits during speciation. In quantitative genetics terms, standing genetic variation is quantified by the 387 **G-matrix** of additive genetic variance and covariance¹⁶². **G** may indicate potential constraints on 388 adaptive evolution that affect the response to directional selection^{163, 164}, as well as constraints on 389 genetic drift¹⁶⁵. Tests to detect the impact of selection on G are available (e.g.¹⁶⁶). Divergence among 390 populations is biased along axes with greater genetic variation and covariation and constrained along 391 axes with little variation or covariation^{164, 167, 168}. Importantly, however, genetic constraints are not 392 only negative. Genetic covariation may align with correlational selection^{169, 170} and, as discussed 393 above, pleiotropy can couple adaptation to RI. It is not known how genes of major effect, versus the 394 traditional assumption of many genes of small effect, influence the structure of \mathbf{G}^{171} , and how higher 395 moments of the distribution of genetic variation and covariation affect the response to divergent 396 selection¹⁷². These questions can now be addressed with genomic methods, such as directly 397 estimating **G** in outbred populations using NGS data¹⁷³. A different approach is to estimate the 398 399 genetic variance-covariance matrices for gene regulatory networks from gene expression data. 400 Analyzing genomic data in a quantitative genetics framework in this way will illuminate how genomic constraint affects speciation¹⁷⁴. 401

403 Studying effects of hybridization is one promising application. Beyond being a source of allelic 404 variation, hybridization may facilitate evolution and perhaps speciation by releasing populations from 405 constraints caused by genetic correlations. While empirical evidence has accumulated that suggests that selection alters genomic architecture^{169, 175}, the role of gene flow in aligning **G** with the direction 406 of divergent or disruptive selection has rarely been investigated¹⁵⁰. The emerging consensus that 407 hybridization frequently introduces adaptive variation¹⁸ calls for empirical studies in this area. We 408 predict that hybridization will influence speciation not only by generating novel and transgressive 409 410 phenotypes but also by aligning G with the axis of divergent selection (Fig. 4a). Even when early 411 generation hybrids are maladapted, hybrid populations may over time benefit from increased evolvability¹⁷⁶. Hybridization may alter patterns of genetic covariance much faster than is possible by 412 selection alone, and may lead to bursts of evolutionary diversification and speciation^{114, 177} (Fig. 4b-d). 413 Genomic methods can now be used in assessing these hypotheses in several ways, such as direct 414 415 estimation of G in both parental and hybrid natural populations and through association or 416 admixture mapping of loci contributing to novel adaptive phenotypes in hybrid populations¹⁰⁸.

- 417
- 418

419 Speciation genomics: towards a synthesis

420 Speciation can proceed in many different ways, but these can be grouped in terms of drivers (drift 421 and different types of selection), causes (extrinsic environment-dependent versus intrinsic 422 environment-independent) and stage in the life cycle (postzygotic or prezygotic) of reproductive 423 isolation, resulting in two major classes that are at least in theory quite distinct (Box 2). In one, RI is 424 initiated by extrinsic selection, in the other by intrinsic incompatibility. Analysis of NGS data has 425 begun to shed light on the signatures of these processes in the genome. Both of these classes of 426 processes can generate reproductively isolated species in allopatry, but parapatric and especially 427 sympatric speciation are constrained to situations where divergent natural and/or sexual selection overcome the homogenizing effects of gene flow^{1, 6}. Whether speciation in such scenarios can 428 proceed depends on the strength of selection^{2, 6} and the genetic architecture of adaptation and 429 reproductive isolation^{76, 122}. Speciation driven by genomic conflict is much less likely to be initiated in 430 the presence of gene flow because selfish genetic elements may then spread across populations and 431 thereby prevent or slow down the accumulation of conflict-driven DMIs¹⁷⁸. However, it remains 432 possible that relatively brief periods of **allopatry** are sufficient for the origins of conflict-driven DMIs. 433 434 Although DMIs may be removed by selection after secondary contact, they may, in theory, facilitate speciation if they become coupled with other components of RI before they are purged^{116, 179}. How 435 often this happens is unknown. 436

437

These principles are not new¹, but they can and should now be examined with much greater 438 439 resolution using genomic methods. Although speciation genomics is clearly still in its infancy, a few 440 trends are emerging from the first generation of NGS-based genome scans, particularly in relation to 441 non-allopatric speciation: The available evidence suggests that divergence can be genomically widespread very early in speciation, and may generally be so in species that coexist in full sympatry⁷⁴⁻ 442 ^{77, 80}, whereas it can be restricted to very few islands of divergence in parapatric ecotypes^{69, 70}. 443 444 Perhaps multifarious divergent selection or genomically widespread selection is important to 445 generate sufficient RI to permit maintenance and perhaps buildup of genetic differentiation in 446 sympatry. More data are now needed to confirm this intriguing pattern.

447

Some genomic regions that are divergent between incipient and sibling species in geographical proximity contain genes with large effects on adaptation and pleiotropic effects on prezygotic isolation. The alleles at several such loci have turned out to be ancient variants that were present as standing variation or were brought together by hybridization in the ancestors of emerging species

pairs^{99, 111, 112}. Although it is premature to draw strong conclusions, this may turn out to be another 452 453 emergent feature of speciation with gene flow. We expect effect sizes to be larger, antagonistic 454 pleiotropy to be less frequent and synergistic pleiotropy to be more frequent in ancient alleles that 455 have been honed by selection over time than in alleles arising newly through mutation. We 456 hypothesize that substitution of such ancient alleles at major effect loci has the potential to reduce 457 gene flow quickly, to the point where substitutions with smaller effects at other loci can also spread. 458 Genome scans of divergence very early in the speciation continuum (at low overall RI, Box 2) should 459 allow explicit tests of these hypotheses.

460

461 Alternative mechanisms and geographical modes of speciation make different predictions for patterns in genomic data. Specifically, we predict that speciation due to conflict-driven DMIs involves 462 463 greater divergence at centromeres and sex chromosomes, and so these regions should bear 464 signatures of selective sweeps. Divergence under ecological selection may be more widely 465 distributed across the genome, and sweeps at individual loci less pronounced. The available data are 466 consistent with these expectations, although theory predicts accumulation of genes for ecological divergence in regions of low recombination when selection is antagonized by gene flow¹²⁹. 467 Divergence by sexual selection may be concentrated on sex chromosomes⁵², but support for this 468 prediction is not always found and predictions vary with the sex determination system⁵⁴. Many more 469 470 population genomic studies of divergence in a wider range of taxa and across a greater range of 471 points along the speciation continuum are needed to test these predictions further. Speaking more 472 broadly, future work should seek to determine to what extent different evolutionary mechanisms 473 and geographical modes of speciation can be distinguished based on genomic data and, in turn, the 474 extent to which genomic features can predict the modes and mechanisms of speciation that apply to 475 a given evolutionary lineage.

Taxonomic variation in the propensity for speciation without geographical isolation is prevalent¹⁸⁰ 476 477 and it will be interesting to learn if variation in genomic architecture explains some of this. Whether 478 selection can overcome gene flow depends, besides the total strength of selection, on the number of 479 genome regions targeted by selection, on the rate of recombination between them, and on the 480 extent of pleiotropy. When analyzed in conjunction with ecological data, genomic data therefore hold promise to help explain why non-allopatric speciation occurs readily in cichlid fish, whitefish, 481 stickleback, *Rhagoletis* flies, *Heliconius* butterflies, *Coprosma* shrubs¹⁸¹ and some other taxa, but is 482 483 not reported in the majority of others. This combination of approaches may also help more generally 484 to explain why some taxa undergo speciation and accumulate species diversity a lot more readily 485 than others. Answering such questions will also facilitate an understanding of larger-scale patterns in 486 species diversity (Box 3).

487

Population-genomic studies that explicitly compare rates of evolution and the genomic distribution of prezygotic, extrinsic postzygotic and intrinsic postzygotic barriers to gene flow have yet to materialize. We believe that such studies hold considerable promise to overcome old dichotomies in speciation genetics. Because the discovery of DMIs used to be laborious, we cannot yet answer the question how often DMIs are caused by conflict, ecological selection or genetic drift. This too will hopefully soon change as genomic data allow the identification of DMI loci at an increasing pace^{12, 26} (Box 1).

495

A still missing part of a synthesis in speciation genomics is the integration of evolutionary developmental biology. Insights from this field make several relevant suggestions for speciation genomics¹⁸²: First, mutations in coding sequences may more often have pleiotropic effects than those in *cis*-regulatory regions. Second, pleiotropy will be more common when selection targets genes with central roles in gene regulatory networks, and many morphological developmental genes are in such positions. Third, because of the first two predictions, morphological evolution may often be constrained to take place through changes in *cis*-regulatory mutations, whereas physiology may 503 be more free to evolve through coding mutations. These predictions make for interesting yet little 504 explored connections between some of the above discussed questions in speciation research and the debate about the prevalence of coding versus *cis*-regulatory mutations in evolution^{182, 183}. Possible 505 506 ascertainment bias notwithstanding, empirical data suggest that divergence between sibling species 507 and conspecific populations is predominantly due to evolution of coding genes, independent of their 508 positions in gene regulatory networks, but morphological differences between species that diverged longer ago are predominantly due to *cis*-regulatory evolution¹⁸². The following explanation has been 509 offered: Selection acting early during population divergence may partly overcome the negative 510 fitness effects of antagonistic pleiotropy that are expected for coding mutations, but may not be 511 strong enough to fix these mutations¹⁸². Over time, as more mutations become available, *cis*-512 513 regulatory mutations with more specific effects and less antagonistic pleiotropy would replace the 514 coding variants. An interesting implication is that the mutations responsible for phenotypic 515 differences between older species may be distinct from those that are causally important in the 516 process of population divergence and speciation, even when the mechanism of speciation and the 517 diverging phenotypes are the same. Studies of the genomic basis (coding versus regulatory) of 518 species divergence in incipient versus older species in the same taxon are needed to test this 519 hypothesis. We are not aware that such data exist.

520

539

544

521 These are exciting times for speciation research, and major progress in the field is likely to come from 522 integrating the analyses of genomic data with studies of ecology, behavior, developmental biology 523 and theory. We propose three major building blocks as a roadmap for such continued integration.

- 524 525 First, there is a need for more comparative genome scans at different stages in the speciation 526 continuum in closely related taxa or in replicate species pairs in the same taxon. These data need 527 to be combined with annotation of the effects of alleles on phenotypes and on RI, which can be 528 done through QTL mapping or functional analyses in the context of annotated reference 529 genomes. This would allow the association of divergent genomic regions with mechanisms of RI. 530 Such studies need to be repeated in the following scenarios: in taxa in which speciation is driven 531 by ecology, sexual selection and intrinsic incompatibilities (Box 2); in different spatial contexts; 532 and in taxa that have not speciated, but that occupy similar environments to those taxa that have 533 undergone speciation. Sampling design should explicitly aim to explore variation, both in 534 different stages on the speciation continuum and for different degrees of geographical isolation 535 (Fig. 2), and the history of geographical isolation should ideally be known. Eventually, with 536 replication and clever experimental and comparative study designs, it will become possible to 537 understand whether different mechanisms and modes of speciation can be distinguished based 538 on patterns observed in genome-wide data.
- 540 Second, experimental **population genomics** studies of speciation are needed to measure the 541 strength and multifarious nature of selection, and more generally to test hypotheses about 542 processes underlying differentiation and isolation, including intragenomic conflict, heterogeneity 543 in recombination rates, and coupling.
- 545 Third, theoretical modeling is needed that includes the influences of variable demography, recombination rates and time, and explicitly considers standing genetic variation and different 546 547 sources of incompatibilities. Such models will be helpful in generating predictions that can be 548 tailored to individual empirical study systems to make them testable. Such predictions could 549 include genomic signatures of alternative speciation modes and mechanisms, and how modes 550 and mechanisms can be inferred from patterns found in genomes at different stages of the 551 speciation continuum. Improved methods for estimating the timing of long-term gene flow 552 would also be very valuable⁹⁰. Given the increasingly widespread evidence for recruitment of 553 ancient genetic variation into recent speciation events, analytical methods for rigorous 554 hypothesis-testing regarding the source of such variation - that is, the contributions of

- hybridization and standing genetic variation are also needed. Such methods could include comparisons of the phylogenetic histories of genomic regions that confer adaptation and reproductive isolation with those of other segments of the genomes of young sister species^{74, 77, 99, 112}.
- 559
- 560

561 Conclusions

562 New approaches for gathering large amounts of genomic data in non-model organisms have begun 563 to produce intriguing and unexpected insights into the genetics of speciation. Sympatrically 564 coexisting species are characterized by heterogeneous differentiation that is widely scattered across 565 the genome even when these species are still very young, but adaptive differentiation between 566 parapatric populations can be restricted to a few genomic islands. Ancient alleles with large and 567 pleiotropic effects characterize both types of divergence, and were often acquired by interspecific 568 hybridization. Genomic conflict may be a frequent source of intrinsic postzygotic isolation. It may be 569 recognized in genome scans as strong sweep signatures on sex chromosomes or in isolated islands of 570 divergence on autosomes. More strongly integrated studies are now needed that cover multiple 571 components of RI at multiple stages of the speciation continuum, and in geographical settings 572 ranging from complete allopatry to full sympatry, paying additional attention to the history of 573 population contact (primary or secondary). With the rapid growth of genomic data generation and 574 analysis approaches, it will then soon become possible to construct an integrated picture of 575 speciation starting from the evolution of reproductive barriers and how this is influenced by 576 ecological and genomic constraints, through the way speciation creates signatures of genomic 577 divergence, to how genomic properties of organisms interact with history and ecology in shaping 578 patterns in biodiversity. There is no doubt that a new phase of discovery has begun that will usher in 579 a greatly increased understanding of the origin of species.

580

Author contributions. This paper was initiated during a workshop funded by the ESF networking program Frontiers in Speciation Research (FroSpects). OS led workshop organization and coordination, and manuscript preparation with assistance from CEW, IK and RKB. JWB, PAH, CLP, G-PS, CEW and IK led discussion groups and initial drafting of sections of the paper, ETW, CDJ, CSC, SHM, JWB, JS and CEW prepared figures, OS and RKB drafted general sections, other authors contributed during the workshop and commented on drafts.

587

Acknowledgements. We thank the European Science Foundation (networking program Frontiers in Speciation Research, FroSpects) for funding a workshop on "Genetics and Genomics of Speciation" and for contributing towards publication costs, and Nadja Pepe and Lucie Greuter for help with the organization of the workshop. We thank Christian Lexer and two anonymous reviewers for constructive suggestions that improved our paper.

F04	
594 595	Items included in the glossary are bolded in their first appearance in the main text.
596	
597	Admixture mapping
598	identification of genetic loci that contribute to phenotypic differences between ancestral
599	populations, by exploring genotype-phenotype correlations in a population of mixed ancestry.
600	Allemetric
602	Anopaulic Organisms, nonulations or species inhabiting distinct geographical regions and therefore not
602	exchanging genes
604	
605	Allopatry
606	Occurrence in geographically isolated regions.
607	
608	Cline
609	Directional variation in phenotype or genotype, or change in frequency (e.g. of an allele), across a
610	geographic region.
611	
612	Coalescence
613	The merging of two genetic lineages in a common ancestor.
614	
615	Coalescent
616	A statistical framework for the analysis of genetic data where the genotypes shared by populations
617	or species are traced back in time to their most recent common ancestor.
618	
619	Correlational selection
620	Selection for optimal character combinations.
621	
622	Disruptive selection
623 624	Selection within a single population that favours extreme phenotypes over intermediate phenotypes.
625	Distorter loci
626	Loci underlying meiotic drive, the non-Mendelian segregation of alleles in meiosis. Distorter loci may
627 628	act on other loci, so-called responder loci.
629	Divergence hitchhiking (DH)
630	Occurs when divergent selection on a locus reduces the effective migration rate for physically linked
631	regions, which increases the opportunity for divergence at loci under weaker selection in these
632	surrounding regions. DH regions may remain much larger than traditional hitchhiking regions after a
633	selective sweep within populations because of the persistent reduction in the ability of flanking
634	regions to recombine away from a divergently selected gene.
635	Divergent selection
030 627	Selection for our different phonotypes in different populations
620	Selection ravouring unrelent phenotypes in unrelent populations.
620	P
640	ν_{xy} The average number of nucleotide substitutions per site between two nonulations
6/1	The average number of nucleotide substitutions per site between two populations.
642	Bateson-Dobzbansky-Muller Incompatibility (RDMI or mostly just referred to as DMI)
643	An intrinsic postmating barrier that is the result of enistatic interactions between alleles at two or
644	more loci that cause reduced fitness in hybrids but not in the parental populations
645	

646 **Ecological speciation** 647 The evolution of reproductive isolation as a consequence of divergent or disruptive natural selection 648 between populations that inhabit different environments or exploit different resources. 649 650 Ecotone 651 A zone where there is a transition between two distinct biological communities, e.g. between forest 652 and grassland or aquatic and terrestrial habitats. Ecotones are typically associated with changes in 653 the physical environment. 654 655 **Extrinsic reproductive isolation** 656 Fitness reduction in hybrids that is dependent on the environment, i.e. mediated by genotype-657 environment interactions. 658 659 Fixation 660 Describes the situation in which a mutation or variant has achieved a frequency of 100% in a 661 population. 662 663 **F**_{ST} 664 A measure of population subdivision that compares the correlation between two gene copies that 665 are randomly drawn from the same population to that between two gene copies drawn from two 666 different populations. An F_{st} of 1 indicates that two populations are fixed (fixation) for alternative 667 alleles. 668 669 **F**_{ST}-outlier analysis 670 Comparison of the distribution of F_{st} values across loci with the distribution expected in the absence 671 of divergent selection for the same average differentiation. Loci whose F_{st} values exceed expectation 672 are likely to be influenced by divergent selection, either on the locus itself or on a linked locus. 673 674 Gene flow 675 The movement of alleles between populations. For gene flow to occur, individuals must disperse 676 between populations and successfully reproduce with local individuals. Therefore, gene flow can be 677 reduced not only by dispersal barriers but also by intrinsic or extrinsic reproductive isolation. 678 679 **Gene-flow-selection balance** 680 A level of differentiation between sub-populations at which the homogenizing effect of gene flow 681 and the differentiating effect of divergent selection are in equilibrium. 682 683 Genome scan 684 Comparison of genome-wide patterns of diversity within populations and/or divergence between

populations at hundreds or thousands of markers. Most studies until recently used Amplified
 Fragment Length Polymorphisms (AFLPs) but this has recently changed, and SNPs generated by NGS
 or SNP chips are being used.

688

695

689 Genomic conflict

690 Genomic conflict arises between genes or genetic elements within the same genome when these are 691 not transmitted by the same rules (e.g. biparental vs uniparental inheritance), or when a gene causes 692 its own transmission to the detriment of the rest of the genome. The presence of elements (distorter 693 loci) that bias transmission is expected to lead to the evolution of loci that restore Mendelian 694 segregation (restorer loci).

696 Genomic coupling

697 The statistical association between different traits and loci involved in RI.

698					
699	G-matrix				
700	The additive genetic variance-covariance matrix that summarizes the variances within and				
701	covariances between multiple phenotypic traits.				
702					
703					
/04	Mating between individuals that belong to distinct species or populations. If postmating isolation is				
705	incomplete, hybridization leads to the introgression of genes from one population to the other.				
706					
707	Hybrid zones				
708	Spatially restricted regions where the distribution ranges of distinct populations or incipient species				
709	come into contact and hybrids are formed.				
710					
/11	Incomplete lineage sorting				
712	Situation in which some alleles share a more recent common ancestor with alleles in another species				
713	than with other alleles in the same species.				
714					
715	Intragenomic conflict				
716	Antagonistic selection among genomic elements with different fitness interests in an individual.				
717					
718	Intrinsic reproductive isolation				
719	Fitness reduction in hybrids that is independent of the environment.				
720					
721	Introgressive hybridization				
722	The introduction of genes from one population or species into another through hybridization.				
723					
724	Linkage disequilibrium				
725	The statistical association of the alleles at two loci within gametes in a population. Although linkage				
/26	disequilibrium tends to be greater between linked loci, it can also arise between physically unlinked				
/2/	loci — for example, because of selection, non-random mating or gene flow.				
/28					
729	Locus or allele effect size				
/30	The magnitude of the influence of a locus, or a specific allele, on a phenotypic trait. This can be				
/31	expressed, for example, as the proportion of phenotypic variation attributable to a specific locus or				
732	the phenotypic difference between genotypes with and without a specific allele.				
/33	na lutte te se dte see en este ste s				
734	Multifarious divergent selection				
/35	Divergent selection acting on multiple traits.				
/36					
/3/	Multiple-effect traits or "magic" traits				
/38	I raits that contribute to more than one component of reproductive isolation, such as a trait				
/39	contributing to local adaptation that is also used as a mating cue.				
740					
741					
742	Factors distorting Mendelian segregation. At a heterozygous site, the driving variant will be found in				
/43	more than half of the gametes.				
/44					
745	Next Generation Sequencing				
/4b	A class of high-throughput sequencing methods that rely on technologies that parallelize the				
/4/	sequencing process, producing thousands or millions of sequences concurrently. Next Generation				
/48	Sequencing technologies increase throughput and lower the cost of DNA sequencing by orders of				

749 magnitude compared to standard dye-terminator methods.

750	
751	One-allele mechanism
752	Reproductive barriers arise through spreading of the same allele in each of two diverging
753	populations, such as an allele for behavioural imprinting or reduced migration.
754	
755	Parapatric
756	Organisms, populations or species that inhabit adjacent geographical regions or spatially distinct but
757	adjacent habitats and may exchange genes.
758	
759	Pleiotropy
760	Effect of an allele on more than one trait.
761	
762	Prezygotic isolation
763	Effect of barriers acting before or after mating but before fertilisation, including the isolating effects
764	of divergent mate choice, habitat preference, reproductive timing and gametic incompatibility.
765	
766	Population genomics
767	Use of genome-wide data (typically based on next-generation sequencing methods) to make
768	inferences about evolutionary processes in natural populations.
769	
770	Postzygotic isolation
771	Effects of barriers acting after fertilisation, such as hybrid sterility and hybrid inviability. Can be
772	extrinsic (mediated by the environment) or intrinsic.
773	
774	Quantitative trait locus (QTL)
775	Chromosomal region with a statistically significant effect on a phenotype.
776	
777	Reinforcement
778	Selection for the strengthening of prezygotic barriers to avoid the production of unfit hybrids
779	between taxa that have previously evolved some postzygotic isolation.
780	
781	Reproductive isolation
/82	Absence or restriction of gene flow between populations over and above that due to spatial
783	separation alone.
784	
785	Responder loci
786	Loci showing deviations from Mendelian segregation (meiotic drive) due to the effect of a distorter
787	IOCUS.
788	
789	Secondary contact
790	The meeting of the distribution ranges of two distinct populations or species after a period of
791	evolutionary divergence in geographical isolation (allopatry).
792	Convel conflict
793	Sexual conflict
794	here fit to one cay but a fitness past to the other
795	benefit to one sex but a fitness cost to the other.
790 707	Sexual isolation
191 700	Jexual Isuidilli Reproductive isolation as a consequence of reduced mating between members of divergent
790 700	neproductive isolation as a consequence of reduced mating between members of divergent
800	well as pollinator-mediated assortative mating in plants. Most often thought of as prezygotic, but can

801 be postzygotic if there is disruptive sexual selection.

803 Speciation continuum

- 804 Pattern where the strength of reproductive isolation between two incipient species varies in
- 805 different locations or in different species pairs that belong to the same evolutionary lineage and 806 diverge in similar ways.
- 807

808 Speciation genomics

- 809 The field of speciation research that addresses the influence of genomic properties on the evolution
- 810 of reproductive barriers and the signatures of speciation processes that are observable in genomic
- 811 patterns, for example of diversity and divergence. Its aim is a conceptual and methodological
- 812 integration of genomic approaches with other empirical and theoretical speciation research.
- 813

814 Standing genetic variation

Allelic variation that is currently segregating within a population; as opposed to alleles that arise through new mutation events.

817 818 **Sweep**

- 819 Increase in frequency of an allele and closely linked chromosomal segments due to positive selection.
- 820 Sweeps initially reduce variation and subsequently lead to a local excess of rare alleles as new unique 821 mutations accumulate.
- 822

823 Sympatric

Organisms, populations or species that share the same geographical region and overlap in their useof space with no spatial barriers to gene exchange.

827 Transgressive phenotypes

- 828 Expression of phenotypic variation in hybrids that exceeds the range of phenotypes observed in the 829 parental taxa.
- 830

826

831 Two-allele mechanism

- 832 Reproductive barriers arise through spreading of different alleles at the same locus in two diverging
- 833 populations, such as alleles for different habitat or mating preferences.
- 834

835 Underdominance

- 836 Heterozygote inferiority. The phenotype expressed in heterozygotes has lower fitness than that of
- 837 either homozygote. Underdominance can be a cause of **disruptive selection**.

838	1.	Coyne, J. & Orr, H. Speciation (Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, M.A., 2004).
839	2.	Nosil, P. Ecological Speciation (Oxford University Press, Oxford and New York, 2012).
840	3.	Price, T. Speciation in Birds (Roberts & Company, Greenwood Village, Colorado, 2008).
841	4.	Dobzhansky, T. Studies on hybrid sterility. II. Localization of sterility factors in Drosophila
842		pseudoobscura hybrids. <i>Genetics</i> 21 , 113–135 (1936).
843	5.	Muller, H.J. & Pontecorvo, G. Recessive genes causing interspecific sterility and other
844		disharmonies between Drosophila melanogaster and simulans. Genetics 27, 157 (1942).
845	6.	Gavrilets, S. Fitness lanscapes and the origin of species. <i>Princeton University Press</i> (2004).
846	7.	van Doorn, G.S., Edelaar, P. & Weissing, F.J. On the Origin of Species by Natural and Sexual
847		Selection. Science 326, 1704-1707 (2009).
848	8.	M'Gonigle, L.K., Mazzucco, R., Otto, S.P. & Dieckmann, U. Sexual selection enables long-term
849		coexistence despite ecological equivalence. <i>Nature</i> 484 , 506-509 (2012).
850	9.	Gavrilets, S. & Losos, J.B. Adaptive Radiation: Contrasting Theory with Data. Science 323, 732-
851		737 (2009).
852	10.	Dieckmann, U., Doebeli, M., Metz, J.A.J. & Tautz, D. (eds.) Adaptive Speciation (Cambridge
853		University Press, 2004).
854	11.	Covne, LA & Orr, HA "Patterns of speciation in Drosophila" revisited. <i>Evolution</i> 51 , 295-303
855		(1997)
856	12	Nolte V Pandey R V Kofler R & Schlötterer C Genome-wide natterns of natural variation
857	±2.	reveal strong selective sweens and ongoing genomic conflict in Drosonhila mauritiana
858		Genome Research 23 , 99-110 (2013)
859	13	van der Sluijs Letal Female mating preference functions predict sexual selection against
860	15.	hybrids between sibling species of cichlid fish <i>Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society</i>
861		<i>B</i> -Biological Sciences 363 2871-2877 (2008)
862	1/	Panhuis TM Butlin B 7uk M & Tregenza T Sexual selection and speciation Trends in
863	17.	Ecology & Evolution 16, 364-371 (2001)
864	15	Boughman I.W. How sensory drive can promote speciation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution
865	15.	17 , 571-577 (2002).
866	16.	Maan, M.F. & Seehausen, O. Ecology, sexual selection and speciation, <i>Ecology Letters</i> 14
867	10.	591-602 (2011)
868	17.	Hendry, A.P., Nosil, P. & Rieseberg, L.H. The speed of ecological speciation. <i>Functional</i>
869		Ecology 21 , 455-464 (2007).
870	18.	Abbott, R. et al. Hybridization and speciation. <i>Journal of Evolutionary Biology</i> 26 , 229-246
871		(2013).
872	19.	Presgraves, D.C. Speciation Genetics: Search for the Missing Snowball. <i>Current Biology</i> 20 .
873		R1073-R1074 (2010).
874	20.	Presgraves, D.C. The molecular evolutionary basis of species formation. <i>Nature Reviews</i>
875		Genetics 11 , 175-180 (2010).
876	21.	Rieseberg, L.H. & Blackman, B.K. Speciation genes in plants. Annals of Botany 106, 439-455
877		(2010).
878	22.	Rieseberg, L.H. & Burke, J.M. A genic view of species integration - Commentary, <i>Journal of</i>
879		Evolutionary Bioloay 14 . 883-886 (2001).
880	23.	Bikard, D. et al. Divergent evolution of duplicate genes leads to genetic incompatibilities
881		within A. thaliana. <i>Science</i> 323 , 623-626 (2009).
882	24	Masly, LP., Jones, C.D., Noor, M.A.F., Locke, L& Orr, H.A. Gene transposition as a cause of
883		hybrid sterility in Drosophila. Science 313 , 1448-1450 (2006).
884	25	Ting CT et al. Gene duplication and speciation in Drosonhila: Evidence from the Odysseus
885		locus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101
886		12232-12235 (2004)
887	26	Matute, D.R., Butler, I.A., Turissini, D.A. & Covne, I.A. A Test of the Snowball Theory for the
888		Rate of Evolution of Hybrid Incompatibilities Science 329 1518-1521 (2010)
550		

889	27.	Moyle, L.C. & Nakazato, T. Hybrid incompatibility "snowballs" between Solanum species.
890	20	Science 329 , 1521-1523 (2010).
891	28.	Stadler, I., Florez-Rueda, A.M. & Paris, M. Testing for "Snowballing" Hybrid incompatibilities
892		in Solanum: Impact of Ancestral Polymorphism and Divergence Estimates. <i>Molecular Biology</i>
893	•••	and Evolution 29 , 31-34 (2012).
894 895	29.	Lynch, M. & Force, A.G. The origin of interspecific genomic incompatibility via gene duplication. <i>American Naturalist</i> 156 , 590-605 (2000).
896	30.	Schluter, D. Evidence for Ecological Speciation and Its Alternative. Science 323 , 737-741
897		(2009).
898	31.	Nei, M., Maruyama, T. & Wu, C.I. Models of evolution of reproductive isolation. <i>Genetics</i> 103 ,
899		557-579 (1983).
900	32.	Ting, C.T., Tsaur, S.C., Wu, M.L. & Wu, C.I. A rapidly evolving homeobox at the site of a hybrid
901		sterility gene. <i>Science</i> 282 , 1501-1504 (1998).
902	33.	Crespi, B. & Nosil, P. Conflictual speciation: species formation via genomic conflict. <i>Trends in</i>
903		Ecology & Evolution 28 , 48-57 (2013).
904 905	34.	Frank, S.A. Divergence of meiotic drive-suppression systems as an explanation for sex-biased hybrid sterility and inviability. <i>Evolution</i> 45 , 262-267 (1991).
906	35.	Hurst, L.D. & Pomiankowski, A. Causes of sex ratio bias may account for unisexual sterility in
907		hybrids: a new explanation of Haldane's rule and related phenomena. <i>Genetics</i> 128 , 841-858
908		(1991).
909	36.	Cocquet, J. et al. A genetic basis for a postmeiotic X Versus Y chromosome intragenomic
910		conflict in the mouse. PLOS Genetics 8, e1002900 (2012).
911	37.	Malik, H.S. in Progress in Molecular and Subcellular Biology (ed. Ugarovic, D.) 33-52
912		(Springer, 2009).
913	38.	Campbell, P., Good, J.M. & Nachman, M.W. Meiotic sex chromosome inactivation is
914		disrupted in sterile hybrid male house mice. <i>Genetics</i> 193 , 819-828 (2013).
915	39.	Rebollo, R., Horard, B., Hubert, B. & Vieira, C. Jumping genes and epigenetics: Towards new
916		species. <i>Gene</i> 454 , 1-7 (2010).
917	40.	Watson, E.T. & Demuth, J.P. in Speciation: Natural Processes, Genetics and Biodiversity (ed.
918		Michalak, P.) (Nova Science Publishers, 2013).
919	41.	Burton, R.S. & Barreto, F.S. A disproportionate role for mtDNA in Dobzhansky–Muller
920		incompatibilities? <i>Molecular Ecology</i> 21 , 4942-4957 (2012).
921	42.	Sambatti, J.B.M., Ortiz-Barrientos, D., Baack, E.J. & Rieseberg, L.H. Ecological selection
922		maintains cytonuclear incompatibilities in hybridizing sunflowers. <i>Ecology Letters</i> 11 , 1082-
923		1091 (2008).
924	43.	van Doorn, G.S. & Kirkpatrick, M. Turnover of sex chromosomes induced by sexual conflict.
925		Nature 449 , 909-912 (2007).
926	44.	Charlesworth, D. & Charlesworth, B. Sex differences in fitness and selection for centric
927		fusions between sex-chromosomes and autosomes. Genet. Res. 35, 205–214 (1980).
928	45.	Kitano, J. et al. A role for a neo-sex chromosome in stickleback speciation. <i>Nature</i> 461 , 1079-
929		1083 (2009).
930	46.	Parnell, N.F. & Streelman, J.T. Genetic interactions controlling sex and color establish the
931		potential for sexual conflict in Lake Malawi cichlid fishes. <i>Heredity</i> 110 , 239-246 (2013).
932	47.	Yeaman, S. & Whitlock, M.C. The genetic architecture of adaptation under migration-
933		selection balance. Evolution 65, 1897-1911 (2011).
934	48.	Silver, L. The peculiar journey of a selfish chromosome: mouse t-haplotypes and meiotic
935		drive. <i>Trends in Genetics</i> 9 , 250-254 (1993).
936	49.	Larracuente, A.M. & Presgraves, D.C. The selfish segregation distorter gene complex of
937		Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 192, 33-53 (2012).
938	50.	Bull, J.J. Evolution of Sex-determining Mechanisms. (Benjamin/Cumings, London, 1983).
939	51.	Phadnis, N. & Orr, H.A. A Single Gene Causes Both Male Sterility and Segregation Distortion
940		in Drosophila Hybrids. Science 323 , 376-379 (2009).

941	52.	Saether, S.A. et al. Sex chromosome-linked species recognition and evolution of reproductive
942		isolation in flycatchers. Science 318 , 95-97 (2007).
943	53.	Lindholm, A. & Breden, F. Sex chromosomes and sexual selection in poeciliid fishes. <i>American</i>
044	E /	Pagya HK & Dfannia D.W. Canatic hissos for showy malas: Are some genetic systems
945	54.	aspecially conducive to sovial selection? Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of
940 947		the United States of America 100 , 1089-1094 (2003).
948	55	Templeton, A.R. Mechanisms of Speciation - a Population Genetic Approach. Annual Review
949	551	of Ecology and Systematics 12 , 23-48 (1981).
950	56.	Ayala, F.J. & Tracey, M.L. Genetic differentiation within and between species of Drosophila
951		willistoni-group. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
952		America 71 , 999-1003 (1974).
953	57.	Coyne, J.A. Genetics and speciation. Nature 355, 511-515 (1992).
954	58.	Price, T.D. & Bouvier, M.M. The evolution of F-1 postzygotic incompatibilities in birds.
955		Evolution 56 , 2083-2089 (2002).
956	59.	Stelkens, R.B., Young, K.A. & Seehausen, O. The Accumulation of Reproductive
957		Incompatibilities in African Cichlid Fish. Evolution 64, 617-632 (2010).
958	60.	Scopece, G., Musacchio, A., Widmer, A. & Cozzolino, S. Patterns of reproductive isolation in
959		Mediterranean deceptive orchids. Evolution 61, 2623-2642 (2007).
960	61.	Orr, H.A. & Turelli, M. The evolution of postzygotic isolation: Accumulating Dobzhansky-
961		Muller incompatibilities. Evolution 55, 1085-1094 (2001).
962	62.	Strasburg, J.L. & Rieseberg, L.H. Interpreting the estimated timing of migration events
963		between hybridizing species. Molecular Ecology 20, 2353-2366 (2011).
964	63.	Nosil, P., Funk, D.J. & Ortiz-Barrientos, D. Divergent selection and heterogeneous genomic
965		divergence. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> 18 , 375-402 (2009).
966	64.	Strasburg, J.L. et al. What can patterns of differentiation across plant genomes tell us about
967		adaptation and speciation? Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological
968		Sciences 367 , 364-373 (2012).
969	65.	Barton, N. Gene flow and speciation. <i>Heredity</i> 50, 213-213 (1983).
970	66.	Wu, C.I. The genic view of the process of speciation. <i>Journal of Evolutionary Biology</i> 14 , 851-
971		865 (2001).
972	67.	Via, S. & West, J. The genetic mosaic suggests a new role for hitchhiking in ecological
973		speciation. Molecular Ecology 17, 4334-4345 (2008).
974	68.	Feder, J.L., Egan, S.P. & Nosil, P. The genomics of speciation-with-gene-flow. Trends in
975		Genetics 28 , 342-350 (2012).
976	69.	Nadeau, N.J. et al. Genomic islands of divergence in hybridizing Heliconius butterflies
977		identified by large-scale targeted sequencing. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society
978		B-Biological Sciences 367 , 343-353 (2012).
979	70.	Andrew, R.L. & Rieseberg, L.H. Divergence is focused on few genomic regions early in
980		speciation: incipient speciation of sunflower ecotypes <i>Evolution</i> 67, 2468-2482 (2013).
981	71.	Stolting, K.N. et al. Genomic scan for single nucleotide polymorphisms reveals patterns of
982		divergence and gene flow between ecologically divergent species. Molecular Ecology 22, 842-
983		855 (2013).
984	72.	Hohenlohe, P.A. et al. Population Genomics of Parallel Adaptation in Threespine Stickleback
985		using Sequenced RAD Tags. Plos Genetics 6 (2010).
986	73.	Deagle, B.E. et al. Population genomics of parallel phenotypic evolution in stickleback across
987		stream-lake ecological transitions. <i>Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences</i> 279,
988		1277-1286 (2012).
989	74.	Jones, F.C. et al. The genomic basis of adaptive evolution in threespine sticklebacks. Nature
990		484 , 55-61 (2012).
991	75.	Lawniczak, M.K.N. et al. Widespread Divergence Between Incipient Anopheles gambiae
992		Species Revealed by Whole Genome Sequences. Science 330 , 512-514 (2010).

002	76	Banquit S, at al. Canomic islands of divergence are not affected by geography of enciption in
993	76.	supflowers. Nature Communications (2012)
994 005	77	Keller L et al. Population genomic signatures of divergent adaptation, gene flow, and hybrid
996	<i>,,</i> .	speciation in the ranid radiation of Lake Victoria cichlid fishes Molecular Ecology doi:
997		10 1111/mec 12083 (2012)
998	78	Iones EC et al. A Genome-wide SNP Genotyning Array Reveals Patterns of Global and
999	70.	Reneated Species-Pair Divergence in Sticklehacks. Current Biology 22, 83-90 (2012)
1000	79	Parchman TL et al. The genomic consequences of adaptive divergence and reproductive
1000	75.	isolation between species of manaking. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> 22 , 3304-3317 (2013)
1001	80	Gagnaire $P - A$ Pavev S A Normandeau F & Bernatchez I. The genetic architecture of
1002	00.	reproductive isolation during speciation-with-gene-flow in lake whitefish nairs assessed by
1003		RAD-sequencing Evolution 67 2483-2497 (2013)
1005	81	Michel A P et al Widespread genomic divergence during sympatric speciation <i>Proceedings</i>
1006	01.	of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107 9724-9729 (2010)
1007	82	Via S. Conte G. Mason-Foley C. & Mills K. Localizing E-ST outliers on a OTL man reveals
1008	02.	evidence for large genomic regions of reduced gene exchange during speciation-with-gene-
1009		flow Molecular Ecology 21 5546-5560 (2012)
1010	83.	Roesti M. Hendry, A.P., Salzburger, W. & Berner, D. Genome divergence during evolutionary
1010	05.	diversification as revealed in replicate lake-stream stickleback population pairs. <i>Molecular</i>
1012		<i>Ecology</i> 21 , 2852-2862 (2012).
1013	84.	Garrigan, D. et al. Genome sequencing reveals complex speciation in the Drosophila simulans
1014	0	clade. <i>Genome Research</i> 22 . 1499-1511 (2012).
1015	85.	Neafsey, D.E. et al. SNP Genotyping Defines Complex Gene-Flow Boundaries Among African
1016		Malaria Vector Mosquitoes. <i>Science</i> 330 , 514-517 (2010).
1017	86.	Nosil, P. et al. Genomic consequences of multiple speciation processes in a stick insect.
1018		Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 279 , 5058-5065 (2012).
1019	87.	Martin, S.H. et al. Genome-wide evidence for speciation with gene flow in Heliconius
1020		butterflies. <i>Genome Research</i> (2013).
1021	88.	Noor, M.A.F. & Bennett, S.M. Islands of speciation or mirages in the desert? Examining the
1022		role of restricted recombination in maintaining species. <i>Heredity</i> 103 , 439-444 (2009).
1023	89.	Hahn, M.W., White, B.J., Muir, C.D. & Besansky, N.J. No evidence for biased co-transmission
1024		of speciation islands in Anopheles gambiae. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-
1025		Biological Sciences 367 , 374-384 (2012).
1026	90.	Sousa, V. & Hey, J. Understanding the origin of species with genome-scale data: modelling
1027		gene flow. Nature Reviews Genetics 14, 404-414 (2013).
1028	91.	Scally, A. et al. Insights into hominid evolution from the gorilla genome sequence. Nature
1029		483 , 169-175 (2012).
1030	92.	Charlesworth, B., Morgan, M.T. & Charlesworth, D. The effect of deleterious mutations on
1031		neutral molecular variation. Genetics 134, 1289-1303 (1993).
1032	93.	Spencer, C.C.A. et al. The influence of recombination on human genetic diversity. Plos
1033		Genetics 2 , 1375-1385 (2006).
1034	94.	Nachman, M.W. & Payseur, B.A. Recombination rate variation and speciation: theoretical
1035		predictions and empirical results from rabbits and mice. Philosophical Transactions of the
1036		Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 367 , 409-421 (2012).
1037	95.	Nei, M. Molecular evolutionary genetics (Columbia University Press, New York, NY, 1987).
1038	96.	Charlesworth, B. Measures of divergence between populations and the effect of forces that
1039	• -	reduce variability. <i>Molecular Biology and Evolution</i> 15 , 538-543 (1998).
1040	97.	Renaut, S., Owens, G.L. & Rieseberg, L.H. Shared selective pressure and local genomic
1041		landscape lead to repeatable patterns of genomic divergence in sunflowers. <i>Molecular</i>
1042		Ecology (accepted).
1043	98.	Ellegren, H. et al. The genomic landscape of species divergence in Ficedula flycatchers.
1044		Nature 491 , 756-760 (2012).

1045	99.	Consortium, H.G. Butterfly genome reveals promiscuous exchange of mimicry adaptations
1040	100	among species. <i>Nature</i> 487 , 94-98 (2012).
1047	100.	Janousek, V. et al. Genome-wide architecture of reproductive isolation in a naturally
1048		occurring hybrid zone between Mus musculus musculus and Mi. m. domesticus. <i>Molecular</i>
1049	101	Ecology 21, $3032-3047$ (2012).
1050	101.	Elmer, K.R. & Meyer, A. Adaptation in the age of ecological genomics: insights from
1051	4.0.2	parallelism and convergence. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 26 , 298-306 (2011).
1052	102.	Nosil, P., Egan, S.P. & Funk, D.J. Heterogeneous genomic differentiation between waiking-
1053		stick ecotypes: "Isolation by adaptation" and multiple roles for divergent selection. Evolution
1054		62 , 316-336 (2008).
1055	103.	Campbell, D. & Bernatchez, L. Generic scan using AFLP markers as a means to assess the role
1056		of directional selection in the divergence of sympatric whitefish ecotypes. <i>Molecular Biology</i>
1057		and Evolution 21 , 945-956 (2004).
1058	104.	Garrigan, D. et al. Genome sequencing reveals complex speciation in the Drosophila simulans
1059		clade. <i>Genome Research</i> 22 , 1499-1511 (2012).
1060	105.	Excoffier, L., Hofer, T. & Foll, M. Detecting loci under selection in a hierarchically structured
1061		population. <i>Heredity</i> 103 , 285-298 (2009).
1062	106.	Gagnaire, PA., Normandeau, E., Pavey, S.A. & Bernatchez, L. Mapping phenotypic,
1063		expression and transmission ratio distortion QTL using RAD markers in the Lake Whitefish
1064		(Coregonus clupeatormis). <i>Molecular Ecology</i> 22, 3036-3048 (2013).
1065	107.	Nosil, P., Parchman, T.L., Feder, J.L. & Gompert, Z. Do highly divergent loci reside in genomic
1066		regions affecting reproductive isolation? A test using next-generation sequence data in
1067		Timema stick insects. Bmc Evolutionary Biology 12 (2012).
1068	108.	Lindtke, D., González-Martínez, S.C., Macaya-Sanz, D. & Lexer, C. Admixture mapping of
1069		quantitative traits in Populus hybrid zones: power and limitations. <i>Heredity</i> (2013).
1070	109.	Malek, T.B., Boughman, J.W., Dworkin, I. & Peichel, C.L. Admixture mapping of male nuptial
1071		colour and body shape in a recently formed hybrid population of threespine stickleback.
1072		Molecular Ecology 21 , 5265-5279 (2012).
1073	110.	Schluter, D. & Conte, G.L. Genetics and ecological speciation. <i>Proceedings of the National</i>
1074		Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106 , 9955-9962 (2009).
1075	111.	Seehausen, O. et al. Speciation through sensory drive in cichlid fish. <i>Nature</i> 455 , 620-626
1076		(2008).
1077	112.	Feder, J.L. et al. Allopatric genetic origins for sympatric host-plant shifts and race formation
1078		in Rhagoletis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
1079		America 100 , 10314-10319 (2003).
1080	113.	Nadeau, N.J. et al. Genome-wide patterns of divergence and gene flow across a butterfly
1081		radiation. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> 22 , 814-826 (2013).
1082	114.	Seehausen, O. Hybridization and adaptive radiation. <i>Trends in Ecology & Evolution</i> 19 , 198-
1083		207 (2004).
1084	115.	Smadja, C.M. & Butlin, R.K. A framework for comparing processes of speciation in the
1085		presence of gene flow. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> 20 , 5123-5140 (2011).
1086	116.	Bierne, N., Welch, J., Loire, E., Bonhomme, F. & David, P. The coupling hypothesis: why
1087		genome scans may fail to map local adaptation genes. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> 20, 2044-2072
1088		(2011).
1089	117.	Hermann, K. et al. Tight Genetic Linkage of Prezygotic Barrier Loci Creates a Multifunctional
1090		Speciation Island in Petunia. Current Biology 23, 873-877 (2013).
1091	118.	Bank, C., Burger, R. & Hermisson, J. The Limits to Parapatric Speciation: Dobzhansky-Muller
1092		Incompatibilities in a Continent-Island Model. Genetics 191, 845-U345 (2012).
1093	119.	Wright, K.M., Lloyd, D., Lowry, D.B., Macnair, M.R. & Willis, J.H. Indirect Evolution of Hybrid
1094		Lethality Due to Linkage with Selected Locus in Mimulus guttatus. <i>Plos Biology</i> 11 (2013).
1095	120.	Barton, N.H. Multilocus clines. Evolution 37 , 454-471 (1983).

1096 1097	121.	Barton, N.H. & de Cara, M.A.R. The evolution of strong reproductive isolation. <i>Evolution</i> 63 , 1171-1190 (2009).
1098	122.	Nosil, P., Harmon, L.J. & Seehausen, O. Ecological explanations for (incomplete) speciation.
1099	100	Tienus III Ecology & Evolution 24, 145-150 (2009).
1100	123.	Doebeil, M. & Dieckmann, U. Speciation along environmental gradients. <i>Nature</i> 421 , 259-264
1101		
1102	124.	Butlin, R.K. & Ritchie, M.G. Pulling together or pulling apart: hybridization in theory and
1103		practice. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 26 , 294-298 (2013).
1104	125.	Servedio, M.R. & Noor, M.A.F. The role of reinforcement in speciation: Theory and data.
1105		Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics 34 , 339-364 (2003).
1106	126.	Qvarnström, A. & Bailey, R.I. Speciation through evolution of sex-linked genes. <i>Heredity</i> 102 ,
1107		4-15 (2009).
1108	127.	Pryke, S.R. & Griffith, S.C. Postzygotic Genetic Incompatibility between Sympatric Color
1109		Morphs. <i>Evolution</i> 63 , 793-798 (2009).
1110	128.	Pryke, S.R. Sex chromosome linkage of mate preference and color signal maintains
1111		assortative mating between interbreeding finch morphs. <i>Evolution</i> 64 , 1301-1310 (2010).
1112	129.	Kirkpatrick, M. & Barton, N. Chromosome inversions, local adaptation and speciation.
1113		Genetics 173 , 419-434 (2006).
1114	130.	Felsenstein, J. Skepticism Towards Santa Rosalia, or Why Are There So Few Kinds of Animals.
1115		Evolution 35 , 124-138 (1981).
1116	131.	Hopkins, R. & Rausher, M.D. Pollinator-Mediated Selection on Flower Color Allele Drives
1117		Reinforcement. Science 335, 1090-1092 (2012).
1118	132.	Hopkins, R. & Rausher, M.D. Identification of two genes causing reinforcement in the Texas
1119		wildflower Phlox drummondii. <i>Nature 469,</i> 411-+ (2011).
1120	133.	Servedio, M.R., Van Doorn, G.S., Kopp, M., Frame, A.M. & Nosil, P. Magic traits in speciation:
1121		'magic' but not rare? Trends in Ecology & Evolution 26, 389-397 (2011).
1122	134.	Lowry, D.B. & Willis, J.H. A Widespread Chromosomal Inversion Polymorphism Contributes to
1123		a Major Life-History Transition, Local Adaptation, and Reproductive Isolation. Plos Biology 8
1124		(2010).
1125	135.	Joron, M. et al. Chromosomal rearrangements maintain a polymorphic supergene controlling
1126		butterfly mimicry. <i>Nature</i> 477 , 203-U102 (2011).
1127	136.	Noor, M.A.F., Grams, K.L., Bertucci, L.A. & Reiland, J. Chromosomal inversions and the
1128		reproductive isolation of species Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
1129		United States of America 98 , 12084-12088 (2001).
1130	137.	Shaw, K.L. & Lesnick, S.C. Genomic linkage of male song and female acoustic preference QTL
1131		underlying a rapid species radiation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
1132		United States of America 106 , 9737-9742 (2009).
1133	138.	Merrill, R.M., Van Schooten, B., Scott, J.A. & Jiggins, C.D. Pervasive genetic associations
1134		between traits causing reproductive isolation in Heliconius butterflies. Proceedings of the
1135		Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 278 , 511-518 (2011).
1136	139.	Saetre, G.P. & Saether, S.A. Ecology and genetics of speciation in Ficedula flycatchers.
1137		Molecular Ecology 19 , 1091-1106 (2010).
1138	140.	Bimova, B.V. et al. Reinforcement selection acting on the European house mouse hybrid
1139		zone. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> 20 , 2403-2424 (2011).
1140	141.	Teeter, K.C. et al. The variable genomic architecture of isolation between hybridizing species
1141		of house mice. Evolution 64, 472-485 (2010).
1142	142.	Nielsen, R., Korneliussen, T., Albrechtsen, A., Li, Y. & Wang, J. SNP Calling, Genotype Calling,
1143		and Sample Allele Frequency Estimation from New-Generation Sequencing Data. Plos One 7
1144		(2012).
1145	143.	Orr, H.A. The genetics of species differences. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 16, 343-350
1146		(2001).

1147	144.	Haller, B.C., De Leon, L.F., Rolshausen, G., Gotanda, K.M. & Hendry, A.P. Magic traits:
1148	4 4 5	distinguishing the important from the trivial. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 21 , 4-5 (2012).
1149	145.	Fisher, R.A. The genetical theory of natural selection (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1930).
1150	146.	Orr, H.A. The population genetics of adaptation: The distribution of factors fixed during
1151		adaptive evolution. Evolution 52 , 935-949 (1998).
1152	147.	Orr, H.A. The genetic theory of adaptation: a brief history. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics</i> 6, 119-
1153		127 (2005).
1154	148.	Rockman, M.V. The QTN program and the alleles that matter for evolution: all that's gold
1155		does not glitter. Evolution 66, 1-17 (2012).
1156	149.	Gordo, I. & Campos, P.R.A. Evolution of clonal populations approaching a fitness peak.
1157		Biology Letters 9 , 20120239 (2013).
1158	150.	Guillaume, F. & Whitlock, M.C. Effects of migration on the genetic covariance matrix.
1159		Evolution 61 , 2398-2409 (2007).
1160	151.	Bomblies, K. & Weigel, D. Arabidopsis and relatives as models for the study of genetic and
1161		genomic incompatibilities. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological
1162		Sciences 365 , 1815-1823 (2010).
1163	152.	Leary, G.P. et al. Single mutation to a sex pheromone receptor provides adaptive specificity
1164		between closely related moth species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of
1165		the United States of America 109 , 14081-14086 (2012).
1166	153.	Bradshaw, H.D. & Schemske, D.W. Allele substitution at a flower colour locus produces a
1167		pollinator shift in monkey flowers. Nature 426 , 176-178 (2003).
1168	154.	Feder, J.L. et al. Host fidelity is an effective premating barrier between sympatric races of the
1169		apple maggot fly. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
1170		America 91 , 7990-7994 (1994).
1171	155.	Klahre, U. et al. Pollinator Choice in Petunia Depends on Two Major Genetic Loci for Floral
1172		Scent Production. Current Biology 21, 730-739 (2011).
1173	156.	Dambroski, H.R. et al. The genetic basis for fruit odor discrimination in Rhagoletis flies and its
1174		significance for sympatric host shifts. Evolution 59, 1953-1964 (2005).
1175	157.	Haesler, M.P. & Seehausen, O. Inheritance of female mating preference in a sympatric sibling
1176		species pair of Lake Victoria cichlids: implications for speciation. Proceedings of the Royal
1177		Society B-Biological Sciences 272 , 237-245 (2005).
1178	158.	Fan, P. et al. Genetic and Neural Mechanisms that Inhibit Drosophila from Mating with Other
1179		Species. <i>Cell</i> 154 , 89-102 (2013).
1180	159.	Ballerini, E.S. et al. QTL mapping reveals the genetic architecture of loci affecting pre- and
1181		post-zygotic isolating barriers in Louisiana Iris. Bmc Plant Biology 12 (2012).
1182	160.	Reed, R.D. et al. optix Drives the Repeated Convergent Evolution of Butterfly Wing Pattern
1183		Mimicry. <i>Science</i> 333 , 1137-1141 (2011).
1184	161.	Barrett, R.D.H., Rogers, S.M. & Schluter, D. Environment specific pleiotropy facilitates
1185		divergence at the Ectodysplasin locus in threespine stickleback. <i>Evolution</i> 63 , 2831–2837
1186		(2009).
1187	162.	Arnold, S.J., Burger, R., Hohenlohe, P.A., Ajie, B.C. & Jones, A.G. Understanding the Evolution
1188		and Stability of the G-Matrix. <i>Evolution</i> 62 , 2451-2461 (2008).
1189	163.	Lande, R. Quantitative genetic-analysis of multivariate evolution, applied to brain - body size
1190		allometry. Evolution 33 , 402-416 (1979).
1191	164.	Schluter, D. Adaptive radiation along genetic lines of least resistance. <i>Evolution</i> 50 , 1766-
1192		1774 (1996).
1193	165.	Roff, D. The evolution of the G matrix: selection or drift? <i>Heredity</i> 84 , 135-142 (2000).
1194	166.	Martin, G., Chapuis, E. & Goudet, J. Multivariate Q(st)-F-st Comparisons: A Neutrality Test for
1195		the Evolution of the G Matrix in Structured Populations. <i>Genetics</i> 180 , 2135-2149 (2008).
1196	167.	Hansen, T.F. & Houle, D. Measuring and comparing evolvability and constraint in multivariate
1197		characters. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 21 , 1201-1219 (2008).

1198	168.	Chenoweth, S.F., Rundle, H.D. & Blows, M.W. The Contribution of Selection and Genetic
1199		Constraints to Phenotypic Divergence. American Naturalist 175 , 186-196 (2010).
1200	169.	Roff, D.A. & Fairbairn, D.J. A test of the hypothesis that correlational selection generates
1201		genetic correlations. Evolution 66, 2953-2960 (2012).
1202	170.	Jones, A.G., Arnold, S.J. & Bürger, R. Stability of the G-matrix in a population experiencing
1203		pleiotropic mutation, stabilizing selection, and genetic drift. <i>Evolution</i> 57 , 1747-1760 (2003).
1204	171.	Agrawal, A.F., Brodie, E.D. & Rieseberg, L.H. Possible consequences of genes of major effect:
1205		transient changes in the G-matrix. Genetica 112, 33-43 (2001).
1206	172.	Jones, A.G., Arnold, S.J., Bürger, R., Hohenlohe, P.A. & Uyeda, J.C. The effects of stochastic
1207		and episodic movement of the optimum on the evolution of the G-matrix and the response
1208		of the trait mean to selection. <i>Journal of Evolutionary Biology</i> 25 , 2210-2231 (2012).
1209	173.	Yang, J. et al. Genome partitioning of genetic variation for complex traits using common
1210		SNPs. Nature Genetics 43 , 519-U44 (2011).
1211	174.	Kopp. A. Metamodels and phylogenetic replication: a systematic approach to the evolution of
1212		developmental pathways. <i>Evolution</i> 63 . 2771-2789 (2009).
1213	175.	Froukhmanoff, F. & Svensson, F.I. Evolution and stability of the G-matrix during the
1214		colonization of a novel environment. <i>Journal of Evolutionary Biology</i> 24 , 1363-1373 (2011).
1215	176	Nolte A W. & Tautz, D. Understanding the onset of hybrid speciation. <i>Trends in Genetics</i> 26
1216	170.	54-58 (2010).
1217	177.	Selz, O.M., Lucek, K., Young, K.A. & Seehausen, O. Relaxed trait covariance in interspecific
1218	_,,,	cichlid hybrids predicts morphological diversity in adaptive radiations. <i>Journal of Evolutionary</i>
1219		Biology 10 1111/jeb 12283 (in Press)
1220	178	Macava-Sanz D et al. Genetic analysis of nost-mating reproductive barriers in hybridizing
1220	170.	Furonean Ponulus species. Heredity 107 A78-A86 (2011)
1221	170	Seebausen O. Conditions when hybridization might predisnose populations for adaptive
1773	175.	radiation Journal of Evolutionary Biology 26 , 279-281 (2013)
1223	180	Bolnick D.L. & Eitznatrick B.M. Sympatric speciation: Models and empirical evidence Annual
1224	100.	Beview of Ecology Evolution and Systematics 38 , A59-A87 (2007)
1225	101	Denadopulos A S T ot al. A comparative analysis of the mechanisms underlying speciation on
1220	101.	Lord Howe Island Journal of Evolutionary Piology 26 , 722, 745 (2012)
1227	107	Storn DL & Organiza V. The loci of evolution: How predictable is genetic evolution?
1220	102.	Suburian 62 2155 2177 (2008)
1229	102	Evolution 62 , 2135-2177 (2006).
1230	105.	Evolution 61 , 005, 1016 (2007)
1231	101	Evolution 61 , 995-1010 (2007). Eriksson A. & Manica A. Effect of ancient nonulation structure on the degree of
1232	184.	Eriksson, A. & Manica, A. Effect of ancient population structure on the degree of
1233		polymorphism shared between modern numan populations and ancient nominins.
1234		Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 109, 13956-
1235	105	13960 (2012).
1230	185.	Bazin, E., Dawson, K.J. & Beaumoni, M.A. Likelinood-Free inference of Population Structure
1237	100	and Local Adaptation in a Bayesian Hierarchical Model. <i>Genetics</i> 185 , 587-602 (2010).
1238	186.	Lawson, D.J., Hellenthal, G., Myers, S. & Falush, D. Inference of Population Structure using
1239	407	Dense Haplotype Data PLoS Genetics 8, e1002453 (2012).
1240	187.	Slate, J. Quantitative trait locus mapping in natural populations: progress, caveats and future
1241		directions. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> 14 , 363-379 (2005).
1242	188.	Buerkle, C.A. & Lexer, C. Admixture as the basis for genetic mapping. <i>Trends in Ecology &</i>
1243		Evolution 23 , 686-694 (2008).
1244	189.	Gompert, Z. & Buerkle, C.A. Bayesian estimation of genomic clines. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> 20 ,
1245		2111-212/ (2011).
1246	190.	Trier, C.N., Hermansen, J.S., Sætre, G.P. & Bailey, R.I. Evidence for mito-nuclear and sex-
1247		linked incompatibilities between the hybrid Italian sparrow and its parent species. PLoS
1248		Genetics, 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004075 (in press).

1249 1250	191.	Barrett, R.D.H., Rogers, S.M. & Schluter, D. Natural selection on a major armor gene in threespine stickleback. Science 222 , 255, 257 (2008)
1250	102	Carlier W. Ling L. Abassia C. Moffett M. S. Lethren M. Manning complex disease
1251	192.	Cookson, W., Llang, L., Abecasis, G., Morratt, M. & Lathrop, M. Mapping complex disease
1252		traits with global gene expression. <i>Nature Reviews Genetics</i> 10 , 184-194 (2009).
1253	193.	Orr, H.A. The Population-Genetics of Speciation - the Evolution of Hybrid Incompatibilities.
1254		Genetics 139 , 1805-1813 (1995).
1255	194.	Vonlanthen, P. et al. Eutrophication causes speciation reversal in whitefish adaptive
1256		radiations. <i>Nature</i> 482 , 357-U1500 (2012).
1257	195.	Rosenblum, E.B. et al. Goldilocks Meets Santa Rosalia: An Ephemeral Speciation Model
1258		Explains Patterns of Diversification Across Time Scales. Evolutionary Biology 39, 255-261
1259		(2012).
1260	196.	Butlin, R.K., Bridle, J.R. & Schluter, D. Speciation and Patterns of Diversity (Cambridge
1261		University Press, 2009).
1262	197.	Sanderson, M.J. & Donoghue, M.J. Reconstructing shifts in diversification rates on
1263		phylogenetic trees. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 11, 15-20 (1996).
1264	198.	Seehausen, O., vanAlphen, J.J.M. & Witte, F. Cichlid fish diversity threatened by
1265		eutrophication that curbs sexual selection. <i>Science</i> 277 , 1808-1811 (1997).
1266	199.	Taylor, E. et al. Speciation in reverse: morphological and genetic evidence of the collapse of a
1267		three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) species pair. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> 15 , 343-
1268		355 (2006).
1269	200.	Seehausen, O., Takimoto, G., Roy, D. & Jokela, J. Speciation reversal and biodiversity
1270		dynamics with hybridization in changing environments. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> 17 , 30-44 (2008).
1271	201.	Etges, W.J., de Oliveira, C.C., Noor, M.A.F. & Ritchie, M.G. Genetics of incipient speciation in
1272		Drosophila mojavensis. III. Life-history divergence in allopatry and reproductive isolation.
1273		Evolution 64 , 3549-3569 (2010).
127/		
12/4		
1275		

1277 Box 1: Genomic tools for studying speciation

1278 Next-generation sequencing (NGS) is rapidly expanding the tool box available for studying speciation.

Patterns of genomic divergence: Several methods can be used to investigate genome-wide divergence along the speciation continuum. These methods include: genome scans using SNP arrays⁷⁸, RAD-seq^{72, 77} or related genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) methods, whole exome or transcriptome sequencing⁷⁶ and whole genome resequencing¹¹³ of population samples.. Patterns in genome-wide divergence can be visualized and compared by means of F_{ST} kernel density plots (Fig. 2) and Manhattan plots⁹⁸.

Testing for signatures of introgression: Various approaches are available to assess if genetic variants are shared between incipient species as a result of hybridization or due to incomplete lineage sorting⁹⁰. The ABBA-BABA test¹⁸⁴ is particularly applicable to genome-scale datasets. It relies on the frequencies of two specific patterns of allele sharing among a group of four species.

1288 Identifying signatures of selection: Genome scans can reveal genomic regions that show evidence of divergent 1289 selection between incipient species using F_{sT} -outlier analysis or related approaches, which can be applied to 1290 individual SNPs⁷⁷ or to smoothed average $F_{sT}s^{72}$ within windows or regions of the genome. The latest methods 1291 can account for demographic and other sources of variation (e.g. ^{105, 185}) and make improved use of high-1292 density marker information¹⁸⁶.

1293 **Mapping genes that are involved in reproductive isolation**: Genome scans of incipient species pairs along the 1294 speciation continuum are a logical first step in the search for candidate RI genes^{69, 72, 74, 98}. A range of genetic 1295 mapping tools are available to identify links between divergent genomic regions and the phenotypic traits that 1296 contribute to RI. **Quantitative trait locus (QTL)** mapping is one powerful such method¹⁸⁷. In short, a genome-1297 wide set of markers is genotyped in a phenotypically variable population with known pedigree to statistically 1298 associate markers (QTLs) with phenotypes of interest (in this case traits associated with RI). With functional 1299 information on genes in the vicinity of a QTL, candidate RI genes can be identified.

Admixture mapping: If pedigree data are not available, it is possible to take advantage of the phenotypic and genetic differences that exist between hybridizing taxa and use admixture as the basis for genetic mapping of phenotypes that contribute to RI^{109, 188} using samples from wild hybrid populations. Intrinsic and extrinsic postzygotic barriers involve alleles that are selected against in hybrids and a variety of methods can be used to identify such alleles in hybrid zones or in other situations where admixture occurs. Genomic cline analysis¹⁸⁹ is one such method in which candidate RI loci with low levels of introgression relative to most of the genome can be identified^{79, 190}.

Manipulative selection experiments: QTL and admixture mapping have an unfortunate bias toward detecting loci of large effect¹⁴⁸. Alternatively, alleles affecting fitness and RI can be located using manipulative selection experiments which track allelic changes or genome-wide responses^{86, 191}. Estimates of these effects can be ascertained by measuring selection and introgression in the wild. To date very few studies have taken this approach and none has measured effects on reproductive isolation.

Gene expression studies: To further investigate the significance of candidate RI-loci, expression QTL (eQTL) analysis can be useful. It identifies genomic loci that regulate expression levels of mRNAs¹⁹². Systematically generated eQTL information can provide insight into the mechanism underlying reproductive isolation in regions identified through genome-wide association studies, and can help to identify networks of genes and the role of gene interaction (including epistasis in DMIs) in reproductive isolation.

1317 Box 2. Evolution of reproductive isolation

Reproductive isolation (RI) can usefully be divided into three forms: i) Extrinsic forms of postzygotic isolation result from divergent ecological or sexual selection and depend on interaction with the environment or with other individuals (e.g. reduced viability or fertility of migrants and hybrids due to ecological or behavioral factors). ii) Intrinsic forms of postzygotic isolation are due to environment independent genetic incompatibilities (e.g. Bateson-Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibilities). iii) Finally, prezygotic isolation includes phenological isolation, habitat isolation, and sexual isolation due to assortative mating or fertilization.

1324 In speciation driven by divergent ecological or sexual selection, extrinsic and prezygotic forms of isolation 1325 evolve first, and often interact, to produce reproductive isolation, and intrinsic forms of isolation will often only 1326 evolve later in the speciation process (Panel A). In contrast, speciation driven by intrinsic barriers often results 1327 from epistatic incompatibilities, which may (though do not necessarily¹⁹) accumulate in an accelerating 1328 "snowball" fashion^{61, 193} as a by-product of selection or due to genetic drift (the latter only slowly). Extrinsic 1329 postzygotic and prezygotic barriers may accumulate later, facilitating ecological coexistence between sibling 1330 species and reinforcement of reproductive isolation (Panel B).

1331 In both panels the x-axis depicts the position of a diverging taxon pair on the "speciation continuum" (in terms 1332 of relative time) and the y-axis represents the strength of reproductive isolation (RI) between sister taxa. Curve 1333 shapes are hypothetical, and reflect the idea that in speciation driven by divergent selection, extrinsic 1334 postzygotic and sexual barriers arise rapidly early in speciation. Classes of barriers within each panel are not 1335 necessarily additive or interactive, and the emergence of RI via either of these barrier types should be viewed 1336 as independent trajectories. Movement along the speciation continuum, from weakly isolated species to 1337 irreversibly isolated ones, is not constant, speciation can go back and forth, or be arrested at intermittent 1338 stages, and the average timescales for speciation via the processes contrasted here (Panels A & B) may vary.

Arrows along the x-axis indicate the position(s) of model systems (studied by the authors of this paper) along the speciation continuum. These organisms vary in the strength and types of barriers isolating incipient and sister species. Studies of the genomics of speciation at different points on the speciation continuum are emerging in several systems, mainly where speciation is driven by divergent selection (as indicated by the dashed arrows showing timespans along the speciation continuum). In many cases strong reproductive isolation may never evolve, particularly in ecological speciation (e.g. ¹²²). Incomplete reproductive isolation may facilitate cases of "speciation reversal" (e.g. ¹⁹⁴) and "ephemeral" speciation (e.g. ¹⁹⁵).





1347 Box 3: New data for new theory: speciation genomics and patterns in biodiversity

As speciation produces the raw material for biodiversity patterns, connecting speciation processes to these 1348 patterns in biodiversity is an important goal¹⁹⁶. We envisage that speciation genomics can make important and 1349 unique contributions to elucidating these connections. Study of the distribution of species richness among 1350 clades provides evidence for non-uniform diversification rates among taxa, which can arise from differences in 1351 speciation and/or extinction rate (e.g.¹⁹⁷). Speciation rates estimated from the fossil record are far slower than 1352 1353 those predicted from mathematical models and observed in studies of recent diversification, and one explanation for this discrepancy is a high frequency of "ephemeral speciation," in which taxa that have recently 1354 undergone speciation have high rates of extinction¹⁹⁵. This has been documented in cases of "speciation 1355 reversal"^{194, 198, 199} which is possible when speciation does not reach "completion"^{122, 200}. 1356

1357

1358 A better understanding of the genomic basis of speciation might help us to understand the influence of 1359 speciation on species persistence and patterns of species diversity. For instance, ecological speciation readily 1360 and rapidly produces divergent, partially isolated ecotypes and species that may immediately be able to coexist 1361 without competitive exclusion. Ecological speciation might thereby contribute disproportionately to the buildup of biodiversity compared to non-ecological mechanisms¹⁹⁶. However, isolation between young 1362 ecologically differentiated species is often extrinsically based and contingent upon the persistence of divergent 1363 selection (see Box 2). The species that arise most rapidly may therefore be those species that are most 1364 vulnerable to extinction early in their histories²⁰⁰. In contrast, speciation via intrinsic mechanisms may produce 1365 1366 species that are less prone to ephemerality because speciation reversal may be less likely. However, speciation 1367 rates might be slower in these lineages than in lineages where ecological speciation is common, and ecological 1368 differences must evolve after speciation in order for closely related taxa to coexist. Progress in connecting 1369 speciation to broader-scale patterns of species richness will require attention to how speciation mechanisms, 1370 and their genomic basis, influence rates of speciation and the persistence and coexistence of young species. If 1371 mechanisms of speciation leave distinctive genomic signatures, correlation between genomic patterns and 1372 disparity in species richness among clades could be tested quantitatively using comparative phylogenetic 1373 approaches.



1376

1377 Fig. 1. 'Classic' and coevolutionary models of hybrid incompatibility in a genomic conflict scenario. In the 1378 'classic model', Bateson-Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibilities (DMIs) are envisioned as two-locus, two-allele 1379 interactions, in which incompatibilities arise between an ancestral allele and an allele derived in one lineage 1380 (1st row) or between alleles derived in two separate lineages (2nd row); a special case of the latter model can 1381 refer to maternal-effect selfish loci in which maternal "poison" and zygotic "antidote" are due to 1382 developmental expression divergence of the same locus. In the coevolutionary models, DMIs are continually 1383 fixed at the same loci (3rd row) or at different loci (4th row). In all examples with two substitutions in a lineage, 1384 the selfish locus (left) drives the evolution of the restorer locus (right). Red arrows indicate negative epistatic 1385 interactions between complimentary loci. In all models, the ancestral state is wild-type except for row three. In 1386 this row, the ancestral state is a coevolving selfish element-restorer system. Insight into the role of genomic 1387 conflict in speciation reveals the potential for further development of models of hybrid incompatibility. Models 1388 that incorporate the possibility for increased lag-load due to ongoing coevolution predict successively more 1389 severe incompatibilities. Additional theoretical work is needed to investigate such coevolutionary models.







1391 Fig. 2. Genomic patterns of divergence along the speciation continuum in Heliconius butterflies. The 1392 top panel shows the patterns of differentiation between hybridizing parapatric races (A) and sympatric species 1393 (C) and between geographically isolated races (B) and species (D) along the genome. Divergence is highly 1394 heterogeneous even between allopatric populations of the same species (B). The shape of the frequency 1395 distribution of locus-specific F_{sT} values (bottom panel) clearly differs between the different stages in the 1396 continuum and between geographic scenarios with, for example, the greater variance in (C) consistent with 1397 gene flow between species in sympatry. However, the challenge is to distinguish between speciation with (A, C) 1398 versus without (B, D) gene flow.



1401 Fig. 3. Effect sizes of substitutions on phenotype and on reproductive isolation. (A) Effects of variation 1402 at different levels, and connections between those levels. The size of effect can vary at each step from zero or 1403 quite small to very large. A substitution can alter gene expression or protein coding, which in turn has some 1404 effect on a phenotype. This phenotype can have effects of varying size on environment-dependent fitness (and 1405 hence possibly extrinsic postzygotic isolation), environment-independent fitness (hence possibly intrinsic 1406 postzygotic isolation) and on prezygotic isolation. Alternatively a phenotype may pleiotropically affect both 1407 fitness and prezygotic isolation. All these effects combine to generate total RI, which will likely elevate Fst, 1408 although other factors can alter F_{ST} as well. (B) The lack of correlation between the effect of a locus on 1409 phenotype (P) and on reproductive isolation (RI). An example for each of the four relationships is shown to illustrate that phenotypic effect size does not necessarily predict RI effect size: loci with small effect on 1410 phenotype and large effect on reproductive isolation (SmP/LgRI: DMIs in Solanum²⁷); loci with large effect on 1411 phenotype and large effect on reproductive isolation (LgP/LgRI: Optix in Heliconius ¹⁶⁰); loci with small effect on 1412 phenotype and small effect on reproductive isolation (SmP/SmRI: CHCs in *Drosophila*²⁰¹); loci with large effect 1413 on phenotype and small effect on reproductive isolation (LgP/SmRI: Eda in stickleback¹⁹¹). The relationships 1414 1415 between phenotypic and RI effect size and F_{sT} are largely unknown at present.





1418 Fig. 4. Influence of genetic constraints on speciation. (A) With the help of NGS, it is now feasible to infer 1419 relatedness of individuals in any given natural population and thus to estimate a G-matrix without the use of pedigree-data¹⁷³. The **G**-matrix (represented here as an ellipse in the space of two quantitative traits) can bias 1420 1421 evolution in certain directions, and depending on the adaptive landscape (represented by regions of higher (+; 1422 red) and lower (-, blue) fitness than the parental populations (white, black)), might constrain adaptive 1423 divergence and speciation. Hybridization events may facilitate speciation by aligning the G-matrix in the 1424 direction of divergence between parental species (intermediate hybrid), or by giving rise to novel phenotypes 1425 (transgressive hybrid) in new regions of positive fitness that cannot be reached through gradual evolution in 1426 either of the parental species.

1427

1428 (B-D) The influence of genetic constraints on speciation can be tested at the phylogenetic level. (B) Constraints 1429 may persist over evolutionary time as a result of the inability of divergent selection to change genetic 1430 architecture, preventing speciation from happening. (C) Alternatively, other forms of selection (e.g. 1431 correlational selection) can alter the structure and orientation of the G-matrix and potentially facilitate 1432 divergence and speciation over moderate time scales. (D) Hybridization and gene flow can dramatically alter G 1433 in just a few generations, fueling adaptive divergence and resulting in sudden bursts of speciation. Note that 1434 hybridization between sister species is shown here for illustration, but hybridization that facilitates divergence 1435 may occur more widely among related taxa.

1437 Biographies

Ole Seehausen studied speciation and hybridization since his PhD at the University of Leiden in the 1990s. Adaptive radiations receive his particular attention, such as the cichlid fishes of Lake Victoria and, more recently, the whitefish of prealpine European lakes, stickleback and trout. He is a professor in the Institute of Ecology & Evolution of the University of Bern and head of a research department at EAWAG, the Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology. His lab combines ecological and behavioral research with genetics and genomics to investigate processes and mechanisms implicated in adaptation, speciation, species coexistence and extinction.

Roger Butlin has studied speciation since his postdoctoral work with Godfrey Hewitt in the 1980s. He is interested in the processes generating reproductive isolation and its genetic basis. Reinforcement has been a particular focus of study. Current projects are examining the role of chemosensory genes in aphid host race formation and the genetic basis of parallel local adaptation and speciation in periwinkles. He is a professor of evolutionary biology at the University of Sheffield in the UK and currently holds the 2013 Tage Erlander guest professorship at the University of Gothenburg in Sweden.

1451 Irene Keller is a bioinformatician at the Department of Clinical Research of the University of Bern (Switzerland).
1452 She received her PhD from the University of Bern and worked as a postdoctoral fellow with Richard Nichols at
1453 Queen Mary University of London and with Jukka Jokela and Ole Seehausen at Eawag and University of Bern
1454 (Switzerland). Her interests focus on the application of molecular and bioinformatics tools to understand the
1455 genetic basis of adaptation, speciation and human disease.

1456 Catherine E.Wagner is an evolutionary biologist with interests in speciation and the origins of diversity, and the 1457 relationships between diversity-generating processes and macroevolutionary patterns. Her research uses 1458 population genetic, phylogenetic, and comparative methods to study diversification. She is currently a 1459 postdoctoral researcher at Eawag, the Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology and the 1460 University of Bern, Switzerland, where her work focuses primarily on African cichlid fishes. She earned her 1461 Ph.D. in ecology and evolutionary biology from Cornell University in 2011.

Janette Boughman and her lab study the selective forces causing speciation in threespine sticklebacks, with particular focus on sexual selection and its interaction with natural selection to generate reproductive isolation. She uses lab and field behavioral experiments to understand the subtle yet powerful action of these forces on phenotypic and genetic evolution and how this transmits to the genome. She has studied both the accumulation of reproductive isolation and its loss through reverse speciation. Recent work investigates fitness landscapes at both the phenotypic and genetic level and their role in diversification. She is Associate Professor at Michigan State University.

Paul A. Hohenlohe is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Biological Sciences and the Institute for Bioinformatics and Evolutionary Studies at the University of Idaho. He earned his Ph.D. in zoology at the University of Washington in 2000 and subsequently worked as a conservation biologist and postdoctoral researcher. His research focus is on evolutionary genetics and genomics, including RAD sequencing and other tools for population genomics and conservation in non-model organisms, experimental evolution, and evolutionary quantitative genetics theory.

1475 Catherine Peichel earned her Ph.D. in the area of developmental genetics at Princeton University in 1998.

1476 During this time, she became intrigued by the genetic basis of phenotypic differences between species. Thus,

1477 during her postdoctoral fellowship with David Kingsley at Stanford University, she helped to develop the

1478 threespine stickleback as a genetic and genomic model system. She has led a research laboratory at the Fred

1479 Hutchinson Cancer Research Center in Seattle, Washington since 2003. Her lab takes a number of approaches

to investigate the genetic and genomic changes that underlie adaptation and speciation in sticklebacks.

- 1481 Glenn-Peter Sætre is a professor in evolutionary biology at the University of Oslo, Norway. He obtained his
- 1482 doctorate also in Oslo and worked several years at Uppsala University, Sweden as a post doc and assistant
- 1483 professor before returning to Oslo in 2003 as full professor. He studies speciation, hybridization and adaptive
- 1484 evolution, mainly in birds, combining genomic analysis and population genetics with behavioural and ecological
- studies. His research lab is currently mainly focusing on the genomics of hybrid speciation.

1488 Highlighted references

1489 Andrew, R.L. & Rieseberg, L.H. Divergence is focused on few genomic regions early in speciation: incipient speciation of 1490 sunflower ecotypes Evolution 67, 2468-2482 (2013). 1491 Applying genomic analysis at different points on the speciation continuum is important for understanding how reproductive 1492 isolation develops. This study shows how differentiation can be focused on a small proportion of the genome early in 1493 speciation. 1494 1495 Barrett, R. D. H., Rogers, S. M. & Schluter, D. Natural selection on a major armor gene in threespine stickleback. Science 1496 322, 255-257 (2008). 1497 This study showed unexpected complexity in the response to selection for alleles of the Ectodysplasin locus, probably 1498 because of pleiotropic effects on other fitness-related traits. Such pleiotropic effects may be widespread and have major 1499 impacts on the progress of adaptation and speciation. 1500 1501 Barton, N. H. & de Cara, M. A. R. The evolution of strong reproductive isolation. Evolution 63, 1171-1190 (2009). 1502 An important, theoretical paper considering the conditions under which selection can overcome recombination to bring 1503 together multiple reproductive barriers and so generate strong reproductive isolation. 1504 1505 Bimova, B. V. et al. Reinforcement selection acting on the European house mouse hybrid zone. Mol. Ecol. 20, 2403-2424 1506 (2011). 1507 Using powerful analyses of the exceptionally well-studied mouse hybrid zone, the authors provide clear evidence for the 1508 operation of reinforcement and also for the limits on its effectiveness in reducing gene flow. 1509 1510 Coyne, J. & Orr, H. Speciation. (Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, M.A., 2004). 1511 Coyne and Orr's comprehensive book is a must-read for every student of speciation. It provides excellent background 1512 information and review of all facets of research on the speciation process. 1513 1514 Coyne, J. A. & Orr, H. A. "Patterns of speciation in Drosophila" revisited. Evolution 51, 295-303 (1997). 1515 A key comparative study on the rate of evolution of reproductive isolation that was a model for similar studies in other taxa 1516 1517 Ellegren, H. et al. The genomic landscape of species divergence in Ficedula flycatchers. Nature 491, 756-760 (2012). 1518 A truly genome-wide view of differentiation in a system with outstanding ecological and behavioural information available. 1519 Strikingly heterogeneous levels of divergence were observed, including major divergence peaks at telomeres, that are, as 1520 yet, unexplained. 1521 1522 Felsenstein, J. Skepticism Towards Santa Rosalia, or Why Are There So Few Kinds of Animals. Evolution 35, 124-138 (1981). 1523 A key paper introducing the antagonism between recombination and the build-up of linkage disequilibrium which lies at the 1524 heart of the speciation process. 1525 1526 Feder, J. L., Egan, S. P. & Nosil, P. The genomics of speciation-with-gene-flow. Trends in Genetics 28, 342-350 (2012). 1527 In this review, the authors bring together empirical studies with theory on the impact of divergent selection on gene flow 1528 elsewhere in the genome to examine how reproductive isolation might spread through the genome as speciation proceeds. 1529 1530 Heliconius Genome Consortium. Butterfly genome reveals promiscuous exchange of mimicry adaptations among species. 1531 Nature 487, 94-98 (2012). 1532 An excellent illustration of how annotated reference genomes together with population-level NGS data can address 1533 fundamental questions about the genetic basis for speciation. The Heliconius Genome Consortium provide evidence that 1534 genes for mimicry in color pattern have been exchanged via hybridization among Heliconius species, thus implicating 1535 adaptive introgression as a crucial component of speciation in this system. 1536 1537 Jones, F. C. et al. The genomic basis of adaptive evolution in threespine sticklebacks. Nature 484, 55-61 (2012). 1538 An excellent example of how genomic data can inform researchers about the genetic basis for repeated adaptation to 1539 similar environments. They show that stickleback fish populations throughout the northern hemisphere have repeatedly 1540 utilized the same genetic elements to adapt to freshwater environments. 1541 1542 Kirkpatrick, M. & Barton, N. Chromosome inversions, local adaptation and speciation. Genetics 173, 419-434 (2006). 1543 This paper gives a thorough theoretical background and new insights into the role of chromosome inversions in adaptation 1544 and speciation. 1545 1546 Lindtke, D., González-Martínez, S. C., Macaya-Sanz, D. & Lexer, C. Admixture mapping of quantitative traits in Populus 1547 hybrid zones: power and limitations. Heredity, doi:10.1038/hdy.2013.69 (2013). 1548 One of the first studies to use admixture mapping in a natural hybrid population to examine the genetic basis of traits 1549 contributing to reproductive isolation. Application of this powerful approach is likely to make important contributions to 1550 speciation research.

- 1551
 1552 Nolte, V., Pandey, R.V., Kofler, R. & Schlötterer, C. Genome-wide patterns of natural variation reveal strong selective
- sweeps and ongoing genomic conflict in *Drosophila mauritiana*. *Genome Research* **23**, 99-110 (2013).
- 1554 Genome-sequence data here show how widespread strong selection due to genomic conflict can be, suggesting that it may 1555 be a potent source of incompatibilities between previously isolated populations.
- 15561557 Nosil, P. Ecological Speciation (Oxford University Press, Oxford and New York, 2012).
- 1558An in-depth treatment of speciation by divergent natural selection.1559

- Orr, H. A. & Turelli, M. The evolution of postzygotic isolation: Accumulating Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibilities. Evolution
 55, 1085-1094, (2001).
- 1562 Orr and Turelli's paper provides excellent background on the evolution of reproductive isolation via postzygotic intrinsic 1563 barriers. It considers the dynamics of accumulation of Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibilities in diverging lineages.
- 1564
 1565 Presgraves, D. C. The molecular evolutionary basis of species formation. Nature Reviews Genetics 11, 175-180, (2010).
 1566 Presgraves' review summarizes empirical evidence on the genetic basis of hybrid dysfunction, focusing on work in genetic
 1567 model organisms where key genes have been identified.
- 15681569 Schluter, D. Adaptive radiation along genetic lines of least resistance. Evolution 50, 1766-1774 (1996).
- 1570 A key conceptual paper showing how the structure of genetic variances and covariances among quantitative traits can 1571 influence the direction of evolution and so the progress of adaptive radiation.
- 1573 Seehausen, O. et al. Speciation through sensory drive in cichlid fish. Nature 455, 620-626 (2008).
- This study shows how divergent female preferences, initially with an ecological basis, can impose selection on male signal
 traits and generate reproductive isolation in the face of gene flow, given the right balance between intensity of selection and
 distribution of habitats.
- 15771578 Wu, C. I. The genic view of the process of speciation. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 14, 851-865 (2001).
- 1579 Wu's thought-provoking review discusses the process of speciation from a genetic perspective, by highlighting the difference
- 1580 between thinking of the evolution of reproductive isolation as a whole-genome process, versus understanding the influence
- 1581 of specific loci on reproductive isolation/gene exchange. Wu's point that genes, and not whole genomes, are the unit of
- 1582 species differentiation is a seminal perspective, critical to much of the current work in speciation genetics.

1583 Online key points:

- Speciation is a central process in evolution that is fundamentally about the origin of
 reproductive isolation. The latest generation of genomic approaches provides remarkable
 opportunities to describe speciation and learn about speciation mechanisms.
- Genome scans, now truly genome-wide and at base-pair resolution, reveal substantial
 genomic divergence among incipient species even in the face of gene flow, with extensive
 genomic heterogeneity in the extent of differentiation, especially at early stages of
 speciation, both in sympatry and in allopatry.
- The sources of this heterogeneity remain incompletely understood. Combining genome scans with sophisticated population genetic modeling, QTL, and admixture analysis has the potential to isolate the influence of selection from demographic, historical and structural effects and to link these sources of genomic divergence to phenotypes and to reproductive isolation.
- Available empirical data suggest that differentiation between parapatric populations can be restricted to few genomic islands, whereas incipient species that coexist in sympatry show differentiation widely distributed across the genome. This may suggest that genomically widespread selection is required to permit the maintenance and perhaps the buildup of genetic differentiation in sympatry.
- Recent genomic studies reveal that the genetic basis of reproductive isolation is often
 complex. The effects of pleiotropy, genetic correlations, and patterns of recombination need
 to be considered, alongside effects of ecological and sexual selection as well as genomic
 conflict.
- A surprising recent discovery has been the re-use of ancient gene variants in speciation, acquired from standing genetic variation or by introgressive hybridization.
- We propose a roadmap for the development of speciation genomics towards answering
 classical as well as emerging questions in speciation research.