# Systemic Risk Management in Financial Networks with Credit Default Swaps

#### Matt V. Leduc, Sebastian Poledna and Stefan Thurner

#### January 13, 2015







#### Introduction

#### • Systemic Risk (SR):

• Property of systems of interconnected components:

Failure of a single entity (or small set of entities) can result in a cascade of failures jeopardizing the whole system.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

#### Introduction

#### • Systemic Risk (SR):

• Property of systems of interconnected components:

Failure of a single entity (or small set of entities) can result in a cascade of failures jeopardizing the whole system.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

This happens in financial (i.e. interbank) systems:
 ⇒ Failure to manage systemic risk (SR) can be extremely costly for society (e.g. financial crisis of 2007-2008)

#### Introduction

#### • Systemic Risk (SR):

• Property of systems of interconnected components:

Failure of a single entity (or small set of entities) can result in a cascade of failures jeopardizing the whole system.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

- This happens in financial (i.e. interbank) systems:
  ⇒ Failure to manage systemic risk (SR) can be extremely costly for society (e.g. financial crisis of 2007-2008)
- Regulations proposed fail to address the fact that SR is a network property (BASEL III. e.g. Tobin taxes, capital requirements)

• A financial system is really a network of exposures.



• A financial system is really a network of exposures.



<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

æ

• A financial network is really a network of exposures.



(日) (월) (분) (분)

æ

• A financial network is really a network of exposures.



<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

æ

• A financial network is really a network of exposures.



<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

æ

• A financial network is really a network of exposures.



<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

æ

• A financial network is really a network of exposures.



<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

æ

• A financial network is really a network of exposures.



<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

æ

- Different topologies have different effects on size of insolvency cascades (e.g. Boss et al. (2004), Gai & Kapadia (2010), Amini et al. (2013), Poledna et al. (2015))
- Systemic risk can be quantified by DebtRank (Battiston et al. (2012))

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- Different topologies have different effects on size of insolvency cascades (e.g. Boss et al. (2004), Gai & Kapadia (2010), Amini et al. (2013), Poledna et al. (2015))
- Systemic risk can be quantified by DebtRank (Battiston et al. (2012))
- Similar to PageRank:



source Wikipedia cc-license

 $\Rightarrow$  A page is important if many important pages point to it

< □ > < @ > < 注 > < 注 > ... 注

## Systemic Risk: DebtRank

• DebtRank: An institution is *Systemically Risky* if many *Systemically Risky* institutions are exposed to it

## Systemic Risk: DebtRank

- DebtRank: An institution is *Systemically Risky* if many *Systemically Risky* institutions are exposed to it
- DebtRank  $R_i$  of bank i: fraction of economic value in the financial network that is lost following i's default

#### DebtRank Austria Sept 2009



## Systemic Risk: DebtRank

• A meaningful measure of a network's systemic risk:

$$EL^{\text{syst}} = \sum_{i} p_{default}(i) \cdot R_i$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

• A meaningful measure of a network's systemic risk:

$$EL^{\text{syst}} = \sum_{i} p_{default}(i) \cdot R_i$$

<ロ> (四) (四) (四) (日) (日)

æ



• A meaningful measure of a network's systemic risk:

$$EL^{\text{syst}} = \sum_{i} p_{default}(i) \cdot R_i$$

<ロ> (四) (四) (四) (日) (日)

æ



• A meaningful measure of a network's systemic risk:

$$EL^{\text{syst}} = \sum_{i} p_{default}(i) \cdot R_i$$

(日) (월) (분) (분)

æ



• A meaningful measure of a network's systemic risk:

$$EL^{\text{syst}} = \sum_{i} p_{default}(i) \cdot R_i$$





• A meaningful measure of a network's systemic risk:

$$EL^{\text{syst}} = \sum_{i} p_{default}(i) \cdot R_i$$





• A meaningful measure of a network's systemic risk:

$$EL^{\text{syst}} = \sum_{i} p_{default}(i) \cdot R_i$$



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ 三国 - のへで

- **Observation**: different loans (directed edges) have different incremental effects on systemic risk
- Question: how can we reorganize the network of exposures?



- **Observation**: different loans have different effects on systemic risk
- Question: how can we reorganize the network of exposures?
- **Answer**: We can transfer an exposure from one bank to another using a Credit Default Swap (CDS)





• A Credit Default Swap (CDS) is a form of insurance against default risk

• A Credit Default Swap (CDS) is a form of insurance against default risk

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > = □

CDS (without default of reference entity m)



 A Credit Default Swap (CDS) is a form of insurance against default risk



 A Credit Default Swap (CDS) is a form of insurance against default risk



A CDS transfers an exposure from one bank to another
 ⇒ it effectively rewires the network

크

# Multi-Layer Representation of Inter-Bank System

We need a multi-layer representation of interbank system



- First layer represent net loan exposures
- Second layer represent net CDS contracts between buyers and sellers

 $\Rightarrow$  interplay between different layers non-trivial.

## Multilayer Network Mapped into a Single Layer

We can map the two layers into a single layer of *effective* exposures



Layer 1 (loans)

Layer 2 (CDS's)

- **Question**: Can a regulator use CDS market to rewire the financial network and reduce systemic risk?
- **Answer**: Yes, by penalizing CDS transactions that increase SR and encouraging those that decrease it

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- **Question**: Can a regulator use CDS market to rewire the financial network and reduce systemic risk?
- **Answer**: Yes, by penalizing CDS transactions that increase SR and encouraging those that decrease it
- A bank normally pays an insurance premium (a 'spread')  $s_m$  to buy protection against default of bank m

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

- **Question**: Can a regulator use CDS market to rewire the financial network and reduce systemic risk?
- **Answer**: Yes, by penalizing CDS transactions that increase SR and encouraging those that decrease it
- A bank normally pays an insurance premium (a 'spread')  $s_m$  to buy protection against default of bank m

• Now it pays 
$$s_{ij} = s_m + \tau_{ij}$$

 $\tau_{ij}$  is a systemic surcharge (i.e. a tax):

$$\tau_{ij} = \zeta \cdot \max\left[0, \Delta E L^{\text{syst}}\right]$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > □ Ξ

## Simulation with an ABM

We study a simple model:

- Banks extend interbank loans to each other
- They insure these loans with CDSs sold by other banks

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

• Regulator imposes a surcharge  $au_{ij}$  on CDSs

# Simulation with ABM

CRISIS agent-based model.



Modified with an interbank system for loans and derivatives







without a CDS market

with a regulated CDS market



( = ) (



without a CDS market

#### with a regulated CDS market

	R <sub>i</sub> <	1
-	R <sub>i</sub> <	.75
	R <sub>i</sub> <	.5
	R; <	.25

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > □ Ξ



with a Tobin tax



with a Tobin tax

with an unregulated CDS market

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□ ● のへの



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

Paper:

Systemic Risk Management in Financial Networks with Credit Default Swaps. Leduc, M.V., S. Poledna and S. Thurner. (2016)

Available online on SSRN and ArXiV.

# Thank you







▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶