Too few nutrients and too many calories: Climate change and the double burden of malnutrition in Asia
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Given the estimate of 151 million children (22%) under 5 year of age (of which 84 million residing in Asia) suffering from undernutrition – measured as growth stunting in 2017 (UNICEF, WHO, & World Bank, 2018) – the United Nations has set ending hunger as one key priority of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Meanwhile, the problem of overweight and obesity has also become alarming with 38 million children under 5 (17.5 million in Asia) classified as overweight in 2017 (UNICEF et al., 2018). Both under- and over-nutrition can have long lasting impacts on health and wellbeing. Under-nutrition in children increases mortality risks and impedes physical and mental capability, consequently leading to poor schooling outcomes and reduced earnings in adulthood (Bhutta, 2013; Hoddinott et al., 2013; Martins et al., 2011). Likewise, over-nutrition – a form of malnutrition from excessive intake of nutrients manifested by obesity – is associated with many risk factors for chronic disorders including type 2 diabetes, hypertension and coronary heart disease as well as increased risk of all-cause and cause-specific mortality (Abdullah et al., 2011; Park, Falconer, Viner, & Kinra, 2012). Both under- and over-nutrition may undermine progress in sustainable development.

What is more challenging to sustainable development is the dual burden of malnutrition – defined as a concurrence of under- and overnutrition. This can coexist within the same individual, household, community, region and country. One of the most common forms of this malnutrition paradox is maternal overweight with child underweight or stunting. Particularly for low- and middle-income countries undergoing nutritional transition, rapid urbanisation and integration to global markets lead to a shift towards more energy-dense yet nutrient-poor diets and sedentary lifestyles resulting in overnutrition (Popkin, 1994). At the same time, whilst improved socioeconomic conditions help bring down the number of undernourished children, disparities in economic development result in persistence in undernutrition (Bredenkamp, Buisman, & Van de Poel, 2014). Indeed, income inequality at the regional or country level explains the coexistence of under- and over-nutrition as shown in the study on India (Mazumdar, 2010; Subramanian & Kawachi, 2007) and Indonesia (Hanandita & Tampubolon, 2015).

As shown in Figure 1, for many Asian countries, especially those in South and Southeast Asia, childhood undernutrition remains a serious public health problem. The prevalence of children aged under 5 being stunted in these regions ranges from 10.5% in Thailand (2016) to 20.7% in Malaysia (2016), 32.4% in Cambodia (2014), 37.9% in India (2015) and 45% in Pakistan (2012). Meanwhile, the share of female adults aged 18 years and over with obesity is particularly high in Central and Western Asia: 29.3% in Iran (2011), 34.3% in Iraq (2011), 41% in Jordan (2012) and 45% in Kuwait (2015). At the country level, some higher middle income countries such as Thailand, Malaysia, Lebanon, Oman and Qatar clearly manifest the dual burden of malnutrition with the prevalence of both childhood stunting and female adult obesity being over 10% for the former and mainly over 20% for the latter. The dual burden of malnutrition in small-island states in the Pacific is notably alarming. Papua New Guinea (2010) and Solomon Islands (2015), for instance, suffer from 49.5% and 31.6% of undernutrition in children, respectively but at the
same time experience 22.2% and 26.5% of obesity in female adults, respectively. No doubt, this dual burden of malnutrition presents important challenges for public health policy and systems which need to balance between increasing food availability and reducing the energy density of the diet.

At the household level, the dual burden of malnutrition – a household where at least one member is undernourished and at least one member is overweight – is particularly prominent in countries in the middle income range (Doak, Adair, Bentley, Monteiro, & Popkin, 2005). That members of the same household displaying both under- and over-nutrition is highly paradoxical, especially because socioeconomic determinants (at least at the household level) are essentially the same for all household members. It is explained that the dual burden in the same household is possibly due to age differentials in physiology and nutrition needs (e.g. children need higher per kilogram nutrient than adults), differential susceptibility and potential differences in resources allocation within the household (Tzioumis & Adair, 2014).

Sources: Prevalence of obesity among female adults ages 18 and over was obtained from Global Health Observatory. Percentage of under-fives being stunted was obtained from UNICEF/WHO/World Bank Joint Child Malnutrition Estimates Expanded Database: Stunting, May 2018.

Note: The latest available data for each country were used (1985-2016). The majority of countries (63.3%) have available data for 2010 or after.

Figure 1: Stunting prevalence among children aged 5 years and under and obesity prevalence among female adults aged 18 years and over in Asia
Providing that household food security is closely linked to malnutrition, this raises questions about the implications of climate change on the dual burden of malnutrition. Given robust evidence on the negative impacts of climate change on crop yields, especially wheat, rice and maize (Challinor et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016), climate variability is likely to increase undernutrition directly through reducing food availability and indirectly through reduced income from agriculture. Primarily relying on rain-fed agriculture, smallholder farmers are particularly vulnerable to rainfall variability. Experiencing a drier than average rainfall is directly associated with food insecurity for small farming households (Niles & Brown, 2017). Deprived of nutritional and caloric needs owing to food insecurity, the natural growth trajectory among children may be jeopardised and may lead to negative health consequences. Indeed, despite methodological limitations and heterogeneities in study designs, a review of 15 studies by Phalkey et al. (2015) pointed to a negative impact of climate (e.g. temperature and rainfall) variability and extreme weather events on childhood stunting at the household level. The climate impacts on children’s nutritional status can be long lasting, with evidence suggests that rainfall shocks in utero, for instance, result in lower height when measured during childhood (Thai & Falaris, 2014) and in adults (Maccini & Yang, 2009).

Not only does climate change affect undernutrition, recent literature also link climate change with obesity in two important ways: first, in terms of the contribution of the obesity epidemic to climate change through overconsumption; and second, in the form of impacts of climate change on obesity (An, Ji, & Zhang, 2017). Whilst the former is worth discussing (such as the co-benefits of changing individual behaviour for tackling obesity and mitigating climate change), the latter is more relevant to our focus on the dual burden of malnutrition and climate change. Similar to how climate change affects under-nutrition, a reduction of agricultural productivity, and consequently food supply, due to weather variability can translate into a price shock. As a result, prices of healthy food such as fresh fruits and vegetables become more expensive, prompting households to turn to low-cost, high-calorie foods such as processed foods (Husband, 2013). Although there is no consensus on how obesity is associated with socioeconomic status and dietary choice in developing nations (Dinsa, Goryakin, Fumagalli, & Suhrcke, 2012), low- and middle-income countries have been undergoing nutritional transition where calorie foods and beverages have become cheaper than healthier foods (Popkin, Adair, & Ng, 2012). This implies that less wealthy and less educated households may have more difficulty accessing nutrient-rich foods, especially in time of weather and income shocks. Hence, as a result of climate change, the obesity epidemic may be exacerbated due to the rising cost of maintaining healthy diets.

That climate change may contribute both to under- and over-nutrition is highly paradoxical. It is also fairly complex to explain the dual burden of malnutrition, especially within households. The coexistence of undernourished and overweight or obese individuals within the same household is partially due to differentials in household food allocation. For many countries in South and Southeast Asia such as Indonesia, Bangladesh and India, girls generally receive less energy, carbohydrates and protein than boys (Behrman, 1988; Chen, Huq, & D’Souza, 1981; Wibowo et al., 2015). A similar pattern is reported in China where gender gap in the prevalence in underweight persists through transition from childhood to adulthood (Fu & George, 2015). Under climate change, unequal allocation of food may exacerbate as evidence that households experiencing severe food or unexpected insecurity is more likely to distribute food unevenly across household members (Harris-Fry, Shrestha, Costello, & Saville, 2017). Intra-household inequality in resource allocations may also result in maternal overweight and obesity and child under-nutrition. Higher prices of fibre-rich sources can lead to mothers consuming cheaper energy-
dense foods which are high in calorie but poor in nutrients – insufficient to meet linear growth potential in children. How climate change affects household food allocation will depend on the stage of nutrition transition and how prices of healthy food vary with climatic shocks.

Continuing population and consumption growth coupled with increases in temperature and changes in rainfall patterns pose significant challenges for agricultural production and food security. Projections of climate-induced productivity changes for South Asian countries, for instance, points to a decline of rice, wheat and cereal grains by 4%, 11% and 7%, respectively as of 2030 (Bandara & Cai, 2014). Not only does a decline in agriculture production affect food availability, agriculture itself is also a major source of income for many households (Schmidhuber & Tubiello, 2007). The challenges of the dual burden of malnutrition posed by climate change hence require carefully thought-out policy plans. Improving nutrition through promotion of animal-based protein rich food, for instance, will increase CO2 emissions which in turn exacerbates climate change. Therefore, not only do the interventions need to simultaneously tackle both under- and over-nutrition, consequences of any policy strategies on anthropogenic climate change should also be considered. This reflects the sustainable development agenda which focuses on addressing multiple challenges to human wellbeing, economic prosperity and environmental sustainability. Considering the synergies and trade-offs between the SDGs thus are central to any policy interventions aiming to tackle the dual burden of malnutrition in the context of climate change.

Recently, co-benefits approaches to health promotion and climate change mitigation have been put forward by the World Health Organization (WHO) and in academia (Haines, 2017; WHO, 2015). Achieving the SDG education targets can significantly support progress towards other targets including health and climate change mitigation and adaptation. There is robust evidence showing that parental education, especially maternal education protects against under------nutrition (Kumar & Kumari, 2014; Phalkey et al., 2015; Vollmer, Bommer, Krishna, Harttgen, & Subramanian, 2017) and in many cases also over-nutrition (Lakshman et al., 2013; Ruiz et al., 2016). Likewise, recent evidence shows that public investment in universal education has positive externalities in both climate change mitigation and adaptation (Bengtsson, Barakat, & Muttarak, 2018; Chankrajang & Muttarak, 2017; Lutz, Muttarak, & Striessnig, 2014). In confronting the challenges of climate change, prioritising SDG4 on inclusive and equitable quality education may indeed mitigate the impacts of climate change on the dual burden of malnutrition in developing Asian nations.
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