Author(s) + Affiliation (s)

Muhammad Awais, Adriano Vinca, Edward Byers, Oliver Fricko , Stefan Frank, Yusuke Satoh, Volker Krey, Keywan Riahi

Abstract

Combining climate action with sustainable development objectives requires accessing multiple crises simultaneously. Representation of the United Nation's Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) indicators and proxies along with climate impacts have been less explored in the global scenarios. Developing these scenarios requires quantitative coverage of the SDGs and translating complex sectoral interactions using spatial and temporally resolved multi-sectoral systems. This study compares two Integrated Assessment Models; MESSAGEix-GLOBIOM & IMAGE scenarios and compares the results in order to identify challenges and limitations of scenarios in integrating multi-sectoral impacts and SDG dimensions. Moreover, the preliminary results provides insights in identifying model limitations as well as the challenges of these complex synergies.

Scenario Design



(Frieler et al. 2017),Byers et al., 2018, Gernaat et al., 2021 etc.)



Global scenarios of climate impact on sustainable development objectives across water, energy, land sectors

Results

Biophysical Impacts



Figure A: Examples of some of the biophysical impact indicators used within the MESSAGEix-GLOBIOM model. Both model use different assumptions. We found more significang variability of hydrology related parameters. I) Hydrological variability from LPjML model across different climate scenarios for major river basins. II) AC cooling demand gap and how climate impact affects the cooling demand gap (Mastrucci et al. 2021) III)

Impact of climate on Sustainable development objectives*



Figure B: Key SDG indicators from both models are reported. The bars show the percentage difference increase or decrease from a baseline scenario (no SDG & no climate feedbacks) .CF & noCF here represents the difference between only SDG and the combined effect of SDG and climate feedbacks. The percentage difference is averaged from 2030 onwards till the end of century to include short term SDG impacts and long term climate impacts in the results.

*preliminary, please do not cite.

SCENARIOS FORUM SPONSORS AND PARTNERS









Author contact details

Email: awais@iiasa.ac.at Twitter: <u>awaismuhammad</u> LinkedIn: awais307

Key Insights

- 1. Vulnerable regions show more sensitivity to climate impacts
- 2. SDG targets in land sector reduces the overall SDG policy costs and impacts energy & water expenditures.
- 3. Reductions in irrigation withdrawals from land sector helps balancing out the limited water in vulnerable regions.
- 4. Identifying causality between climate impacts and SDGs is complicated due to large number of variables and sectoral dimensions

Take-aways

- 1. Multi-sector climate feedbacks in Integrated Assessment Model scenarios can be included in various sectoral processes.
- 2. It increases complexity, but improves reliability of climate and SDG policy analysis and helps to derive
- 3. It is still to be discussed how biophysical approaches to CI assessment compare to macroeconomic assessments

Acknowledgements/Funding

The authors acknowledge the funding rom the NAVIGATE project of the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program.

Keywords :

WCRP World Climate Research Programme

Across scales, SDGs, Impacts, Vulnerability, Mitigation

