

1 **Seasonal fluctuations of extracellular enzyme activities are related to the biogeochemical cycling of C, N**
2 **and P in a tropical terra-firme forest.**

3

4 Karst J. Schaap^{1,2} (ORCID 0000-0003-1627-9029)

5 Lucia Fuchslueger³ (ORCID 0000-0002-9615-4439)

6 Carlos Alberto Quesada²

7 Florian Hofhansl⁴ (ORCID 0000-0003-0073-0946)

8 Oscar Valverde-Barrantes⁵ (ORCID 0000-0002-7327-7647)

9 Plinio B. Camargo⁶

10 Marcel R. Hoosbeek¹ (ORCID 0000-0003-0617-0089)

11

12 Corresponding author: Karst J. Schaap, karstsch@gmail.com

13 1: Soil Chemistry, Wageningen University, P.O. Box 47, 6700AA Wageningen, The Netherlands

14 2: CODAM, National Institute of Amazonian Research (INPA), Av. André Araújo 2936, Petrópolis, CEP
15 69067-375, Manaus, Brazil

16 3: Centre of Microbiology and Environmental Systems Science, Div. Terrestrial Ecosystem Research,
17 University of Vienna, Althanstrasse 14, 1090 Vienna, Austria

18 4: Biodiversity and Natural Resources Program, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA),
19 Schlossplatz 1, A-2361 Laxenburg, Austria

20 5: Institute of Environment, International Center of Tropical Botany, Department of Biological Sciences, Florida
21 International University, Miami, FL 33199 USA

22 6: CENA, University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil

23 **Abstract**

24 Extracellular enzymes (EE) play a vital role in soil nutrient cycling and thus affect terrestrial ecosystem
25 functioning. Yet the drivers that regulate microbial activity, and therefore EE activity, remain under debate. In
26 this study we investigate the temporal variation of soil EE in a tropical terra-firme forest. We found that EE
27 activity peaked during the drier season in association with increased leaf litterfall, which was also reflected in
28 negative relationships between EE activities and precipitation. Soil nutrients were weakly related to EE
29 activities, although extractable N was related to EE activities in the top 5 cm of the soil. These results suggest
30 that soil EE activity is synchronized with precipitation-driven substrate inputs and depends on the availability of
31 N. Our results further indicate high investments in P acquisition, with a higher microbial N demand in the month
32 before the onset of the drier season, shifting to higher P demand towards the end of the drier season. These
33 seasonal fluctuations in the potential acquisition of essential resources imply dynamic shifts in microbial activity
34 in coordination with climate seasonality and resource limitation of central-eastern Amazon forests.

35 **Keywords:** Tropical Forest soil, Nutrient stoichiometry, Leaf litter, Enzyme activity vectors, Extracellular
36 enzymes, Soil nutrients

37 **1. Introduction**

38 The activity of soil microbial communities plays a crucial role in the nutrient cycling of tropical lowland forests.
39 Seasonality and subsequent variation in litter input affect soil microbes who are both consumers and suppliers,
40 i.e., sink and source, of available nutrients in soil and ecosystem carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P)
41 cycling (Singh et al. 1989; Cavicchioli et al. 2019). Heterotrophic soil microbes depend on the supply of both
42 labile and complex organic substrates from plants as their main energy (C) source (Soong et al. 2020).
43 Nevertheless, soil microbial communities also depend on N and P for the synthesis of essential components –
44 e.g., N for protein synthesis and P for DNA and energy transport and storage. Both plants and microbes need
45 available forms of nutrients for uptake, which are largely provided through the conversion of more complex
46 organic substrates to bioavailable products by breaking down larger polymers, a process catalyzed by
47 extracellular enzymes (EE) (Skujiņš and Burns 1976; Baldrian 2009; Burns et al. 2013; Luo et al. 2017).
48 Depolymerization of larger molecules by EE has often been considered the rate-limiting step in organic matter
49 decomposition (Sinsabaugh and Follstad Shah 2012), and thus an important determinant of C and nutrient
50 cycling potential in soil. Increased insight into the effects of seasonality on nutrient cycling may inform
51 biogeochemical models.

52 Soil enzyme assays provide potential activities of enzymes, generally acting on the chain ends of
53 polysaccharides, chitin and organic P, each specific substrate responsible for the rate limiting step in C, N and P
54 decomposition. Commonly assayed enzymes include β -glucosidase, N-acetyl glucosamidase and phosphatase
55 (libertying C, N, and P, respectively) (German et al. 2011). EE production depends on nutrient availability, and
56 follows principles of resource or substrate supply and demand (Allison et al. 2011). Plant litter and soil nutrient
57 contents can be used to characterize organic matter quality and to predict its respective turnover, with higher
58 quality material (i.e. higher nutrient contents, lower molecular complexity) being turned over faster compared to
59 more complex or lower quality organic matter (Zechmeister-Boltenstern et al. 2015). Stoichiometry of EE is
60 used to assess nutrient limitations to microbial requirements (Sinsabaugh and Follstad Shah 2012; Moorhead et
61 al. 2016). While N is considered to be the main limiting nutrient at higher latitudes, P-limitation is a prevalent
62 characteristic in highly weathered tropical soils (Camenzind et al. 2018). Consequently, when compared to
63 temperate ecosystems, tropical soil EE stoichiometries show high investments in phosphatases relative to
64 enzymes targeting C and N (Waring et al. 2014).

65 Temperature and soil moisture may affect the activities of enzymes directly through reaction rates of EE
66 (Nottingham et al. 2016) and EE and substrate diffusion within the soil. Temperature and moisture may also
67 indirectly affect EE activities by affecting soil microbial community composition (Malik and Bouskill 2022). As
68 a consequence, seasonal fluctuations in abiotic factors and litter input may affect soil microbial communities
69 and their ability to take up or mineralize nutrients. There is evidence that litterfall and soil microbial biomass
70 vary asynchronously, in association with seasonal shifts in nutrient availability in the wet tropics (Ruan et al.
71 2004). Seasonal fluctuations in various tropical ecosystem processes, such as the production of plant litter (Wu
72 et al. 2016) and fine roots (Cordeiro et al. 2020) indicate seasonality in the cycling of C, N and P. Increasing
73 our understanding of associated EE dynamics may provide insight into essential processes for sustained
74 ecosystem functioning under future climatic conditions.

75 In this study we studied the effect of precipitation, temperature, litterfall and soil water content on microbial EE
76 activities over the course of a seasonal cycle. Furthermore, we investigated seasonal dynamics of EE activities
77 associated to C, N and P cycles in a tropical forest soil and used them as a proxy for soil microbial activity and
78 nutrient demand. We hypothesized that: 1) litter inputs are the main driver of enzymatic activities, as opposed
79 to temperature, precipitation, or soil water content; 2) total soil C and available C, N and P are negatively related
80 to BG, NAG and AP activities, respectively (through increased microbial investments driven by low nutrient
81 availability); and, 3) the P-related EE activities are higher than C and N related EE, due to low P availability in
82 tropical soils.

83 **2. Methods**

84 *2.1 Site description and sampling strategy*

85 The study was carried out at the AmazonFACE experimental site (2°35'40"S 60°12'29"W) in Central Amazonia
86 (more info on <https://amazonface.inpa.gov.br/>), approximately 70 km north of Manaus, Brazil, in the “Cuieiras”
87 experimental reserve (Estação Experimental de Silvicultura Tropical – EEST, see also Pereira et al. 2019),
88 which is also the base for the LBA-K34 tower and several experimental observation stations. The area is
89 characterized by pristine old-growth tropical forests locally known as “Terra Firme” forests. These forests are
90 situated on plateaus covered with nutrient poor and clay-rich soils classified as Geric Ferralsols. Soil texture
91 consists on average of 68% clay, 20% sand and 12% silt and soil pH is on average 3.94 (Quesada et al. 2010).
92 The mildly seasonal climate is defined by average annual rainfall of about 2,400 mm, with a relatively drier

93 period from June to November (months with at least 40% of days with <3mm precipitation), while the average
94 temperature fluctuates from 25.8°C in April to 27.9°C in September (Araújo et al. 2002).

95 *2.2 Sample collection and processing*

96 Soils were collected from 18 sampling points. At 6 locations along a 400 m north-south transect (every 80m),
97 we sampled 3 points in the east-west direction, with 10m distance between the 3 sampling points. The sampling
98 scheme was adopted to consistently sample soils close to the AmazonFACE plots (for details, see Lapola and
99 Norby, 2014), without disturbing soil within the plots. Soils were sampled monthly between February 2016 and
100 January 2017, using a custom-made steel soil corer (\varnothing 10 cm). Soils were sampled at 0-5 cm and 5-15 cm depth
101 and transported to the lab for sieving (2 mm), root and detritus removal and further processing.

102 Part of the samples were stored after drying at 65°C for 48 h until further analysis, while fresh soil was used for
103 selected measurements within three days of sampling. Soil enzymes were analyzed monthly for each of the
104 sampling locations in fresh soil. Total soil P, extractable organic carbon, extractable nitrogen, and microbial
105 biomass were analyzed every three months. Total soil C and N contents were determined monthly in composite
106 samples consisting of the three east-west samples collected at each location along the north-south transect. Apart
107 from the total C and N contents, all analyses were performed at the LTSP (Laboratório Temático de Solos e
108 Plantas) laboratory at INPA (Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia) in Manaus, Brazil, nationally
109 certified by Embrapa Soils (2016 Fertility Laboratory Quality Analysis Program, PAQLF,
110 <https://www.embrapa.br/en/solos/paqlf>) and by the PIATV (Esalq/USP) inter-laboratorial program of vegetation
111 tissue analysis (Grade A, <http://piatv.com.br/>). Litterfall was collected biweekly at two of the AmazonFACE
112 plots located along the transect (used in this study) starting in August 2015. Litter traps (0.5 × 0.5 m, n = 24)
113 were installed 1 m above the ground, 12 traps per plot in a circular pattern. The total litter was dried, separated
114 into leaf litter and other litter fractions, and weighed.

115 *2.3 Total C, N and P*

116 Total soil C and N were determined in milled dry aliquots by an EA (IRMS). Total P was determined in dry
117 (unmilled) 0.5 g aliquots with the molybdate blue method (Murphy and Riley 1962) after acid digestion using
118 concentrated sulphuric acid solution (H₂SO₄, 18 M) followed by H₂O₂ (Quesada et al. 2010; see also Schaap et
119 al. 2021).

120 *2.4 Extractable C, N and P*

121 Extractable organic carbon (eoC) and extractable nitrogen (eN) were obtained from extracts of 2 g of fresh soil
122 in 20 ml 1M KCl solution, shaken for one hour and subsequently filtered. The filtered extract was then analyzed
123 in a TOC/TN analyzer (TOC-V CPH E200V/TNM-1 220V; Shimadzu, Vienna, Austria). Extractable P (Olsen P,
124 Olsen et al. 1954) was determined from extractants of 2 g of soil in 20 ml 0.5M bicarbonate solution (NaHCO₃,
125 pH 8.5), shaken for one hour and filtered. Extractant was analyzed following the photometrical Murphy-Riley
126 molybdate blue method (712 nm) (Murphy and Riley 1962). All analyses were accompanied by method blanks
127 (no soil) to account for contamination or background signal, and lab variation was accounted for by analyzing
128 standards during each batch of photometric extract reading.

129 *2.5 Potential soil extracellular enzyme activities*

130 Potential EE activities of three common hydrolytic enzymes relevant to C, N and P cycling were assayed using a
131 fluorescence method based on Marx et al. (2001) and German et al. (2011). 4-Methylumbelliferyl β -D-
132 glucopyranoside (M3633 Sigma, substrate concentration 200 μ M), 4-Methylumbelliferyl N-acetyl- β -D-
133 glucosaminide (M2133, substrate concentration 200 μ M) and 4-methylumbelliferyl phosphate disodium salt
134 (M8168 Sigma, substrate concentration 1 mM) were used as substrates for β -glucosidase (BG), N-acetyl
135 glucosaminidase (NAG) and acid phosphatase (AP), respectively. All are widely used in soil enzyme assays as
136 they can be considered a proxy for microbial demand of C, N and P. Substrate concentrations were established
137 in preliminary experiments to ensure reaction rates at substrate saturation (and thus V_{max}). 4-
138 methylumbelliferone standards (M1381 Sigma) were used and substrate controls, sample controls and blanks
139 were measured to control any background signal. All enzymes were assayed in soil slurries of 0.5 g of fresh soil
140 dissolved in 50 ml sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.5) and vortexed for one minute before pipetting aliquots in a
141 microplate (96 well polystyrene, black flat bottom). 200 μ l soil slurry was used with 50 μ l substrate. Microplates
142 were incubated for 1 hour at 23 °C, then fluorescence measurements were performed with an Infinite F200 Pro
143 plate reader (Tecan Austria GMBH, Grödig, Austria), with fluorescence intensity measured from the top
144 ($\lambda_{\text{excitation}} = 360$ and $\lambda_{\text{emission}} = 440$ nm).

145 *2.6 Quantitative analyses*

146 Extracellular enzymatic stoichiometry (EES) and vectors were calculated according to Moorhead et al. (2016).
147 Enzyme activity ratios and proportional activities were calculated using the natural logarithm. The enzyme and
148 nutrient ratios for C:N, C:P and N:P were calculated in each sample as with ln transformed ratios (e.g., ln(C:N),
149 ln(BG:NAG), etc.), while proportional ratios were calculated as

$$C:N_{proportional} = \ln \frac{BG}{BG + NAG}$$

150 and

$$C:P_{proportional} = \ln \frac{BG}{BG + AP}$$

151 Vectors were calculated using both of those proportional ratios, their length as

$$Vector\ length = \sqrt{C:P_{proportional}^2 + C:N_{proportional}^2}$$

152 and their angle in degrees as

$$Vector\ angle = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{C:N_{proportional}}{C:P_{proportional}} \right)$$

153 Means were calculated per sampling date (n=18, at two depths) according to the stoichiometric mean
154 recommended by Isles (2020) as the mean of each natural logarithm, all values are reported \pm their standard
155 error. Data processing and statistical tests were performed in R 4.2.1 (R Core Team 2022).

156 We used linear regression models to assess direct relations between precipitation, temperature and litterfall.
157 Linear mixed-effect models were applied to assess relations between enzymes and the other variables using the
158 “lme” function from the “nlme” package (version 3.1-157, Pinheiro et al. 2022); sampling location was included
159 as random effect, with data ln transformed for normality where indicated. For the linear mixed-effect models
160 shown in the graphs, only one fixed effect was included per model, for the reported mixed-effect models in the
161 table the depth was included as a fixed effect as well. Additionally, for the models shown in the table the
162 “varIdent” variance structure was used to allow for different variances per stratum (sampling depth) and
163 additionally the model residuals were checked for autocorrelation (no significant temporal autocorrelation was
164 found). All models’ residuals were checked for homogeneity and normality. Conditional R^2 values for the linear
165 mixed-effect models shown in graphs were obtained with the “r.squaredGLMM” function from the “MuMIn”
166 package (version 1.46.0, Bartoń 2022).

167 ((Fig. 1))

168 **3. Results**

169 Precipitation showed a distinct drier period (at least 40% of days with < 3 mm, Fig. 1b) between July and
170 November, during which it was also a few degrees warmer, but average temperature varied little and stayed
171 within a 24.5-27.5°C range (daily average) (Fig. 1a, Fig. S1a). . Annual leaf litterfall amounted to 5565 ± 55 kg
172 $\text{ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$, with a distinct peak during the drier months (Fig 2a). Leaf litterfall was significantly correlated to the
173 average monthly temperature ($F_{(1;9)} = 5.3$, $p = 0.047$, Fig. S1b) and showed a negative relation with the average
174 rainfall ($F_{(1;9)} = 42$, $p < 0.001$, Fig. 2b) indicating higher leaf litterfall during the drier months. Soil water content
175 showed limited variation (Fig. S2), and had no significant relation to either precipitation, temperature or
176 litterfall.

177 ((Fig. 2))

178 Total soil C at 0-5 cm was on average 5.53 ± 0.02 %, with the lowest value of 4.19 ± 0.09 % in January to
179 highest value of 7.28 ± 0.27 % in May (Fig. S3). Total soil N was 0.35 ± 0.00 % on average, following roughly
180 the same pattern as total C. Total P averaged 156.39 ± 0.69 mg kg^{-1} , ranging from 141.8 ± 2.13 mg kg^{-1} in
181 August to 204.52 ± 3.46 mg kg^{-1} in February, with the note that the measurement frequency of total P was lower
182 than for C and N (Fig. S3). For 5-15 cm, the average total C (2.84 ± 0.01 %), total N (0.21 ± 0.00 %), and P
183 contents (118.22 ± 0.52 mg kg^{-1}) were lower as compared to the top 5 cm but followed the same temporal trend
184 as in the top 5 cm (see Fig. S3). The eoC, eN and Olsen P in the top 5 cm of soil were 1034.0 ± 6.2 $\mu\text{g C g}^{-1}$ dry
185 soil, 101.41 ± 0.34 $\mu\text{g N g}^{-1}$ dry soil and 2.08 ± 0.01 $\mu\text{g P g}^{-1}$ dry soil. At 5-15 cm those values were lower with
186 916.86 ± 6.41 mg C kg^{-1} soil, 76.78 ± 0.24 mg N kg^{-1} and 1.19 ± 0.00 mg P kg^{-1} dry soil, respectively (Fig. S4).
187 At both soil depths, we found the highest average values for eoC in May (1806.6 ± 31.3 mg kg^{-1} and $1727.6 \pm$
188 31.6 mg kg^{-1} respectively), while the lowest average was measured in August (594.7 ± 6.0 mg kg^{-1} and $455.7 \pm$
189 2.8 mg kg^{-1} respectively). In contrast, the eN values were lowest in February at both depths (82.1 ± 1.54 mg kg^{-1}
190 and 58.24 ± 0.74 mg kg^{-1} respectively), while reaching their highest values in August (135.3 ± 1.19 mg kg^{-1} and
191 95.92 ± 0.58 mg kg^{-1} respectively). Olsen P peaked in March at both depths, while in the top 5 cm showed the
192 lowest concentration in April (1.16 ± 0.02 mg kg^{-1}), while in the lower soil increment the lowest value was
193 reached in January (0.52 ± 0.02 mg kg^{-1}).

194 ((Fig. 3))

195 Average EE activities (as expressed per gram soil C; for values per dry soil see Fig. S5) were 0.21 ± 0.00 μmol
196 $\text{g C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ for BG, 0.87 ± 0.00 $\mu\text{mol g C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ for NAG and 20.21 ± 0.04 $\mu\text{mol g C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ for AP, while in 5-
197 15 cm those activities were 0.23 ± 0.00 , 0.63 ± 0.00 , and 26.26 ± 0.08 $\mu\text{mol g soil C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ for BG, NAG and

198 AP respectively (Fig. 3 a, c, e). In the top 5 cm EE activity rates peaked just before and during drier season and
199 were lowest in the wetter season, with BG showing highest rates in August ($0.34 \pm 0.02 \mu\text{mol g C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$), and
200 NAG and AP peaking in September ($1.22 \pm 0.06 \mu\text{mol g C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ and $44.61 \pm 0.90 \mu\text{mol g C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$
201 respectively) in January for BG and NAG ($0.12 \pm 0.00 \mu\text{mol g C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ and $0.20 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{mol g C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$
202 respectively), and in June for AP ($15.52 \pm 0.35 \mu\text{mol g C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$). This pattern was reflected at 5-15 cm, but BG
203 and NAG peaked just before the drier season (in June, $0.31 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{mol g C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ and $1.37 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{mol g C}^{-1}$
204 day^{-1} respectively) while AP peaked in September ($31.91 \pm 0.57 \mu\text{mol g C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$). The lowest EE activities at 5-
205 15 cm depth were all in January (BG $0.13 \pm 0.00 \mu\text{mol g C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$, NAG $0.40 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{mol g C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ and AP
206 $12.80 \pm 0.19 \mu\text{mol g C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$).

207 We applied linear mixed effect models to assess relationships between climatic factors (temperature, moisture),
208 leaf litter inputs and soil enzyme activities, (Fig. 3). We found that BG activities at both soil depths were
209 significantly positively related to litterfall inputs (Fig. 3b), but not to temperature (Fig. S6a), and to precipitation
210 only in the top 5 cm (Fig. S6b), while potential NAG activity rates were significantly related to only the litterfall
211 (Fig. 3d, Fig. S6c, d). In contrast, potential AP activity was significantly related to all studied drivers, with litter
212 and temperature having a positive, and precipitation a negative relationship (Fig. 3f, Fig. S6e, f). Based on linear
213 mixed effect models including sampling depth as a fixed factor, we identified leaf litterfall as the strongest
214 driver for potential EE rates while we found no significant effects of precipitation, temperature, and soil water
215 content (except for NAG), respectively (Table 1).

216 ((Table 1))

217 Although the EE activities were higher overall in the drier months from July to November, total soil nutrient
218 contents did not seem to show a clear seasonal pattern (Fig. S3). To assess if nutrients provided ecological
219 constraints on the microbial activity, we investigated relations between nutrients and enzymes. Although the
220 total soil C, N, and P contents provided limited significant relations with EE (Fig. S7), the relations of enzymes
221 with the extractable C, N, and P were dominated by the significant positive relations that eN and the enzyme
222 activities showed overall (especially in the top 5 cm), while also showing significant negative relations between
223 the AP activity and eoC and Olsen P (Fig. 4).

224 ((Fig. 4))

225 While the EE activities showed an observable drier-season effect when considered separately (Fig. 3), their
226 activity ratios and proportional activity ratios showed less distinct patterns (Table S1). From these proportional

227 activity ratios we calculated enzyme vectors, useful for distinguishing relative nutrient demand (Fig. 5).
228 Although at a first glance the vectors indicate a persistent high demand of P, the vector angles decreased after
229 the drier months, indicating a relative shift from P acquisition towards N acquisition enzymes during the rainy
230 season; especially in June, just before the onset of the drier season, the relative N-demand was high. Moreover,
231 the vector lengths peaked in June, indicating an increased C demand. The angles and the lengths of the vectors
232 showed a weak yet significant negative relationship (Fig. S7), indicating a weak relation between relative C and
233 N demand.

234 ((Fig. 5))

235 **4. Discussion**

236 In this study, we report on the dynamics of EE in tropical soils, which highlights seasonal fluctuations in soil
237 microbial nutrient demand and relative investments in respective EE. We found that EE activities follow the
238 seasonal signal of leaf litterfall. Furthermore, we found a significant positive relationship between eN and most
239 enzyme activities. BG and NAG activities were relatively low compared to AP, which may indicate a strong
240 demand for P in these highly weathered tropical forest soils. Our results suggest that microbial resource
241 limitation shifts from relatively more N-demand at the end of the rainy season, to increased P-demand during
242 the drier season, indicating seasonal changes in the relative microbial investment in EE.

243 The reported leaf litterfall seems to be comparable to earlier studies in the area (Lucas et al. 1993; Luizao et al.
244 2004; Wu et al. 2016), with slightly lower annual litter production. Possibly, the lower observed litterfall was a
245 consequence of relatively higher litterfall in association with an El Niño event observed in the preceding year
246 (e.g. Hilker et al. 2014). Aboveground phenology and litterfall is well established to be seasonal in the tropics
247 (Chave et al. 2010; Wu et al. 2017), and evidence is emerging that these patterns are reflected also in soil
248 microbial communities, with more decomposers and anaerobic saprophytes present in the wetter season
249 (Buscardo et al. 2018). The positive relationship between the enzyme activity and litter inputs therefore also
250 indicates a synchronization and a link between new substrate, microbial community changes and changing
251 investments of microbes in enzymes.

252 The pattern of increased potential EE activity during the drier season was evident for all studied enzymes, and
253 we hypothesized this was mainly driven by increases in litter inputs in these months. Litterfall showed
254 significant (positive) relations to all enzyme activities, while precipitation showed weaker or insignificant
255 (negative) relations towards EE, and temperature only showed consistent (positive) relations with AP activity.

256 The pattern of increased potential EE activity in drier months has been observed by others as well; Smith et al.
257 (2015) found increased EE activity during the dry season in a Puerto Rican subtropical forest, as did Singh et al.
258 (2020) in a dry tropical ecosystem. They attributed these dry season increases in EE to reduced access of
259 microbes to resources – reducing microbial assimilation and triggering enzyme production – and decreased
260 enzyme turnover and clay-mineral interactions causing immobilization, all attributed to reduced soil moisture.
261 We reported a similar pattern, yet soil water content did not seem to be a big constraint in our studied soil
262 system, even in the drier season. Similarly, the observed temperature range was limited at our site, which could
263 explain why we observed relations between temperature and AP activity, but not consistently to the other EE
264 activities.

265 Even though our hypothesis of litter driving EE investments is supported by our analysis, it remains a challenge
266 to untangle the effects of litter, precipitation, and to a lesser extent, temperature. Precipitation was strongly
267 related to litterfall, indicating that indirectly or directly, precipitation drives changes in belowground
268 biochemistry. Most likely, they are both determining soil EE expression through different mechanisms – with
269 decreases in precipitation stimulating litterfall and thus substrate (mainly C), while soil moisture limits
270 enzymatic mobility to some extent. Both could be reflected in increased potential activity; increased labile
271 substrate would stimulate microbial enzyme production through increased return of investments from produced
272 enzymes, yet decreased moisture would increase immobilization – the last one being more of a methodological
273 artefact than something which would be reflected in in-situ turnover.

274 We hypothesized total soil nutrient contents to be negatively related to EE, however, we found weak relations
275 between total nutrients and enzymes. . Moreover, we expected the relation between the available nutrient
276 contents and the related enzyme activity to be negative, since low nutrient availability would stimulate
277 investments in acquisition, yet relations between eoC, eN, Olsen P, respectively and EE activities in the soil
278 were not always significant. BG and eoC were not related, while eN and NAG even showed a positive relation
279 in the top 5 cm. Only Olsen P and AP activity showed the expected relation, albeit weak, suggesting some
280 degree of demand driven AP investments by microbes (Sinsabaugh and Follstad Shah 2012) with possible
281 contributions from roots (Guilbeault-Mayers et al. 2020). However, as an alternative driver of EE activity, we
282 found significant positive relations of eN with most enzyme activities, which suggests that soil microbial
283 communities depend on a supply of eN to maintain EE production.

284 Fertilization studies in tropical areas indicate that N addition can stimulate organic matter turnover and EE
285 activities (Marklein and Houlton 2012, Wang et al. 2018), although others did not find such effect (Turner and
286 Wright 2014). Nitrogen fixation can be linked to the acquisition of P by AP production (Allison et al. 2006,
287 Nasto et al. 2014). Our study indicates that this stimulation of EE by available N can be observed in short
288 timespans as well, suggesting the production of enzymes is dependent on the available N-supply. This is of
289 interest to the functioning of P-limited tropical forests, i.e. the link between N availability and P-acquisition
290 would imply N limitation on AP production. This suggests further investigation into the seasonal dynamics of
291 the tropical N-cycle, especially in relation to precipitation, which would be important to improve our
292 understanding of microbial functioning and the P-cycle.

293 The EE activity rates at our site were in the same range as compared to enzyme activities measured in a tropical
294 mountain rainforest (Tischer et al. 2014) and as reported along an altitudinal gradient in the Andes, albeit lower
295 than on lowest altitudes reported (Nottingham et al. 2016). BG activity, liberating glucose (available C) as last
296 step in the breakdown of cellulose, was low overall, yet with an observable increase during the drier season
297 synchronized with litterfall. NAG and AP activity, used as a proxy for N and P demand, also showed increases
298 during the drier season and synchronization with litterfall. Notably, NAG activity, breaking down chitin and
299 possibly indicative of microbial turnover, increased just before the drier season (June); in contrast, AP activity
300 showed a relative decrease in the same month.

301 The measured enzyme activities may shed light on microbial nutrient demand or allocation to resource
302 acquisition. Our results suggest a relatively higher investment of AP compared to BG and NAG; which
303 according to our third hypothesis would indicate that central-eastern Amazon forest soils are limited by P
304 availability. Using EE activity vector analysis, as conceptualized by Moorhead (2016), we identified temporal
305 changes in microbial nutrient demand that are likely related to the phenology of soil microbial biomass and
306 activity. We found an increased N-at the end of the wet season (lower vector angle), which decreased during the
307 drier season, in favor of the P-demand (larger vector angle). This was mainly driven by the different pattern of
308 NAG activity compared to the other enzymes. NAG catalyzes the breakdown of chitin present in for example
309 fungal cell walls, and a relatively higher activity could also indicate higher microbial turnover (Zeglin et al.
310 2013). This has been observed by Mori (2020; see also Mori et al. 2021) as well, who challenged the idea that
311 low enzymatic C:N activity ratios (proportional activities in our case) reflect microbial N limitation if the
312 dominant substrate is not cellulose. An alternative to the microbial limitation-hypothesis could be changes in
313 substrate, such as a switch from more plant derived substrate to higher turnover of the microbial biomass,

314 driving NAG-dynamics. Indeed, inputs of C-rich plant material to subtropical soils can shift the fungal
315 community to N-limitation, while the bacterial community shifts towards a co-limitation by C and N (Rosinger
316 et al. 2019).

317 During the drier season, P demand was relatively more pronounced (larger vector angle). Once leaf litter reaches
318 the soil, there are different pathways for the incorporation of organic matter (SOM) into the soil, where the
319 labile components are released first, and particulate recalcitrant matter is incorporated in later stages (Cotrufo et
320 al. 2013; Cotrufo et al. 2015). This time lag is a possible explanation for the trend indicated by the vectors
321 towards more P-acquisition towards the end of the drier season; P-loss from litter does not occur immediately
322 (Martins et al. 2021), which might cause a delay in enzymatic response (Schaap et al. 2021). However, we found
323 no evidence of a significant lag effect between litter inputs and AP dynamics in our data (no significant
324 autocorrelation of model residuals).

325 Vectors of proportional enzyme activities showed a relative increase in microbial P demand towards the end of
326 the drier season and seem to indicate an increase of relative N-demand at the end of the rainy season – before
327 litterfall increases. Changes in microbial biomass size a month prior to litter inputs have been reported for
328 tropical forests, which were attributed to plant mediated shifts in belowground C and nutrient inputs and
329 decreased nutrient uptake related to seasonal leaf senescence (Ruan et al. 2004). An equal mechanism could be
330 at play here. A possible link that might explain our findings is that the litterfall is driven by the seasonality of
331 precipitation, and consequently, N - required for enzyme production - is mobilized (into an accessible form,
332 measured here as eN) rather quickly from substrate through increased activity of NAG, allowing for increased
333 EE production in the drier season for all enzymes. This might also explain the comparably low AP activity
334 before the drier season (June), and the relative dip in the vector angles – and thus a stronger N-demand. This
335 suggests enzyme dynamics are mainly controlled by microbial access to available N, which is also in line with
336 the observed relations between eN and EE activities.

337 In summary, our study shows that microbial activity is synchronized with litter seasonality, as shown by the
338 relation between leaf litter and EE activities. Moreover, our results suggest available forms of nutrients
339 (measured here as eoC, eN and Olsen P) in the mineral soil were taken up quickly (hours-days, e.g., Menge et
340 al. 2009; Helfenstein et al. 2018) and did therefore not show strong relations to the corresponding enzymes in
341 the monthly measurement intervals, yet available N facilitates enzymatic activity. Microbial activity showed a
342 permanent high demand for P, although before the drier season, an increased N-demand was observed. We

343 conclude that in the studied tropical forest ecosystem, soil nutrient availability is an important determinant of
344 dynamic changes in EE mediated nutrient acquisition capacity, which is in turn related to plant phenology and
345 climate seasonality. Our results suggest a supply of available N is paramount to EE activity to maintain
346 microbial enzyme production, yet also demonstrate a persistently high P demand. Future research should
347 untangle the temporal dependencies between nutrient cycles – such as the N and P cycle - to address the timing
348 of constraints and limitations to microbial functioning under different biotic and abiotic conditions. This may
349 increase insight into the response of nutritional cycles in tropical forests to shifts in seasonality, such as more
350 prolonged and more severe dry seasons through climate change.

351 **Funding and acknowledgements**

352 We would like to acknowledge the AmazonFACE program of the National Institute of Amazonian Research
353 (INPA), which was funded by the Inter-American Development Bank (IADB) through a technical cooperation
354 agreement with the MCTI Grant BR-T1284, CAPES Grant 23038.007722/2014-77, CAPES-INPA Grant
355 88881.154644/2017-01, and by FAPEAM Grant 2649/2014. Additional funding was provided by the CNPq
356 Grant CNPq/LBA 68/2013. KS was supported by a CNPq/LBA 68/2013 PCI grant and by the AmazonFACE
357 program with a CAPES scholarship Finance Code 001. LF was supported by the European Union's Horizon
358 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 847693
359 (REWIRE).

360 The authors thank Luciano Castilho for logistic support, Erison Gomes and Adriana Grandis for assistance with
361 lab work and Alessandro Araújo and Mariana Gonçalves dos Reis for preparing and providing meteorological
362 data. We are thankful to the AmazonFACE-team, the crew at the ZF2 field site, and the LTSP laboratory for
363 general support. We are grateful to the editor (Samantha Weintraub-Leff) and two anonymous reviewers for
364 insightful recommendations which improved the manuscript substantially.

365 **Competing Interests**

366 The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

367 **Data availability statement**

368 The soil data is publicly available on <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7239239>

369 **Author Contributions**

370 KJS, MRH, LF, and CAQ conceptualized and designed the research. Experiments were conducted by KS and
371 LF, with field support from FH and OVB. PBC's laboratory performed total C and N analyses. Statistical
372 analyses were performed by KJS, with support from MRH, LF and FH. KJS prepared the manuscript, with
373 contributions from LF, CAQ, FH, OVB and MRH.

374 **References**

- 375 Allison SD, Nielsen C, Hughes RF (2006) Elevated enzyme activities in soils under the invasive nitrogen-fixing
376 tree *Falcataria moluccana*. *Soil Biol Biochem* 38:1537–1544. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.11.008>
- 377 Allison SD, Weintraub MN, Gartner TB, Waldrop MP (2011) Evolutionary-Economic Principles as Regulators
378 of Soil Enzyme Production and Ecosystem Function. In: Shukla G, Varma A (eds) *Soil Enzymology*. pp
379 229–243
- 380 Araújo AC, Nobre AD, Kruijt B, Elbers JA, Dallarosa R, Stefani P, Von Randow C, Manzi AO, Culf AD, Gash
381 JHC, Valentini R, Kabat P (2002) Comparative measurements of carbon dioxide fluxes from two nearby
382 towers in a central Amazonian rainforest: The Manaus LBA site. *J Geophys Res* 107:1–20 .
383 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000676>
- 384 Baldrian P (2009) Microbial enzyme-catalyzed processes in soils and their analysis. *Plant, Soil Environ* 55:370–
385 378 . <https://doi.org/10.17221/134/2009-pse>
- 386 Bartoń K (2022) MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference. <https://cran.r-project.org/package=MuMIn>
- 387 Burns RG, DeForest JL, Marxsen J, Sinsabaugh RL, Stromberger ME, Wallenstein MD, Weintraub MN,
388 Zoppini A (2013) Soil enzymes in a changing environment: Current knowledge and future directions. *Soil*
389 *Biol Biochem* 58:216–234 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.11.009>
- 390 Buscardo E, Geml J, Schmidt SK, Freitas H, Da Cunha HB, Nagy L (2018) Spatio-temporal dynamics of soil
391 bacterial communities as a function of Amazon forest phenology. *Sci Rep* 8:1–13 .
392 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-22380-z>
- 393 Camenzind T, Hättenschwiler S, Treseder KK, Lehmann A, Rillig MC (2018) Nutrient limitation of soil
394 microbial processes in tropical forests. *Ecol Monogr* 88:4–21 . <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1279>
- 395 Cavicchioli R, Ripple WJ, Timmis KN, Azam F, Bakken LR, Baylis M, Behrenfeld MJ, Boetius A, Boyd PW,
396 Classen AT, Crowther TW, Danovaro R, Foreman CM, Huisman J, Hutchins DA, Jansson JK, Karl DM,
397 Koskella B, Mark Welch DB, Martiny JBH, Moran MA, Orphan VJ, Reay DS, Remais J V., Rich VI,
398 Singh BK, Stein LY, Stewart FJ, Sullivan MB, van Oppen MJH, Weaver SC, Webb EA, Webster NS
399 (2019) Scientists’ warning to humanity: microorganisms and climate change. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 17:569–
400 586 . <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0222-5>

401 Chave J, Navarrete D, Almeida S, Álvarez E, Aragão LEOC, Bonal D, Châtelet P, Silva-Espejo JE, Goret J-Y,
402 von Hildebrand P, Jiménez E, Patiño S, Peñuela MC, Phillips OL, Stevenson P, Malhi Y (2010) Regional
403 and seasonal patterns of litterfall in tropical South America. *Biogeosciences* 7:43–55 .
404 <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-43-2010>

405 Cordeiro, A. L., Norby, R. J., Andersen, K. M., Valverde Barrantes, O., Fuchslueger, L., Oblitas, E., Hartley, I.
406 P., Iversen, C. M., Gonçalves, N. B., Takeshi, B., Lapola, D. M., & Quesada, C. A. (2020). Fine root
407 dynamics vary with soil depth and precipitation in a low nutrient tropical forest in the Central Amazonia.
408 *Plant-Environment Interactions*, 1(1), 3–16. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pei3.10010>

409 Cotrufo MF, Soong JL, Horton AJ, Campbell EE, Haddix ML, Wall DH, Parton WJ (2015) Formation of soil
410 organic matter via biochemical and physical pathways of litter mass loss. *Nat Geosci* 8:776–779 .
411 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2520>

412 Cotrufo MF, Wallenstein MD, Boot CM, Deneff K, Paul E (2013) The Microbial Efficiency-Matrix Stabilization
413 (MEMS) framework integrates plant litter decomposition with soil organic matter stabilization: Do labile
414 plant inputs form stable soil organic matter? *Glob Chang Biol* 19:988–995 .
415 <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12113>

416 German DP, Weintraub MN, Grandy a. S, Lauber CL, Rinkes ZL, Allison SD (2011) Optimization of
417 hydrolytic and oxidative enzyme methods for ecosystem studies. *Soil Biol Biochem* 43:1387–1397 .
418 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.03.017>

419 Guilbeault-Mayers X, Turner BL, Laliberté E (2020) Greater root phosphatase activity of tropical trees at low
420 phosphorus despite strong variation among species. *Ecology* 101:1–9 . <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3090>

421 Helfenstein J, Jegminat J, McLaren TI, Frossard E (2018) Soil solution phosphorus turnover: Derivation,
422 interpretation, and insights from a global compilation of isotope exchange kinetic studies. *Biogeosciences*
423 15:105–114 . <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-105-2018>

424 Hilker T, Lyapustin AI, Tucker CJ, Hall FG, Myneni RB, Wang Y, Bi J, Mendes de Moura Y, Sellers PJ (2014)
425 Vegetation dynamics and rainfall sensitivity of the Amazon. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 111:16041–16046 .
426 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1404870111>

427 Isles PDF (2020) The misuse of ratios in ecological stoichiometry. *Ecology*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3153>

428 Lapola DM, Norby RJ (2014) AmazonFACE: Assessing the effects of increased atmospheric CO₂ on the
429 ecology and resilience of the Amazon forest. Science plan & implementation strategy.

430 Lucas Y, Luizao FJ, Chauvel A, Rouiller J, Nahon D (1993) The Relation Between Biological Activity of the
431 Rain Forest and Mineral Composition of Soils. Science (80-) 260:521–523 .
432 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.260.5107.521>

433 Luizao RCC, Luizao FJ, Paiva RQ, Monteiro TF, Sousa LSLS, Kruijt B, Luizão RCC, Luizão FJ, Paiva RQ,
434 Monteiro TF, Sousa LSLS, Kruijt B (2004) Variation of carbon and nitrogen cycling processes along a
435 topographic gradient in a central Amazonian forest. Glob Chang Biol 10:592–600 .
436 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2003.00757.x>

437 Luo L, Meng H, Gu J-D (2017) Microbial extracellular enzymes in biogeochemical cycling of ecosystems. J
438 Environ Manage 197:539–549 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.04.023>

439 Marklein AR, Houlton BZ (2012) Nitrogen inputs accelerate phosphorus cycling rates across a wide variety of
440 terrestrial ecosystems. New Phytol 193:696–704. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03967.x>

441 Malik AA, Bouskill NJ (2022) Drought impacts on microbial trait distribution and feedback to soil carbon
442 cycling. Funct Ecol 1442–1456 . <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.14010>

443 Martins NP, Fuchslueger L, Fleischer K, Andersen KM, Assis RL, Baccaro FB, Camargo PB, Cordeiro AL,
444 Grandis A, Hartley IP, Hofhansl F, Lugli LF, Lapola DM, Menezes JG, Norby RJ, Rammig A, Rosa JS,
445 Schaap KJ, Takeshi B, Valverde-Barrantes OJ, Quesada CA (2021) Fine roots stimulate nutrient release
446 during early stages of leaf litter decomposition in a Central Amazon rainforest. Plant Soil.
447 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-05148-9>

448 Marx MC, Wood M, Jarvis SC (2001) A microplate fluorimetric assay for the study of enzyme diversity in soils.
449 Soil Biol Biochem 33:1633–1640 . [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(01\)00079-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00079-7)

450 Menge DNL, Pacala SW, Hedin LO (2009) Emergence and maintenance of nutrient limitation over multiple
451 timescales in terrestrial ecosystems. Am Nat 173:164–175 . <https://doi.org/10.1086/595749>

452 Moorhead DL, Sinsabaugh RL, Hill BH, Weintraub MN (2016) Vector analysis of coenzyme activities reveal
453 constraints on coupled C, N and P dynamics. Soil Biol Biochem 93:1–7 .
454 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.10.019>

455 Mori T (2020) Does ecoenzymatic stoichiometry really determine microbial nutrient limitations? *Soil Biol*
456 *Biochem* 146:107816 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107816>

457 Mori T, Aoyagi R, Kitayama K, Mo J (2021) Does the ratio of β -1,4-glucosidase to β -1,4-N-
458 acetylglucosaminidase indicate the relative resource allocation of soil microbes to C and N acquisition?
459 *Soil Biol Biochem* 160:108363 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108363>

460 Murphy J, Riley JP (1962) A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural
461 waters. *Anal Chim Acta* 27:31–36 . [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670\(00\)88444-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(00)88444-5)

462 Nasto MK, Alvarez-Clare S, Lekberg Y, Sullivan BW, Townsend AR, Cleveland CC (2014) Interactions among
463 nitrogen fixation and soil phosphorus acquisition strategies in lowland tropical rain forests. *Ecol Lett*
464 17:1282–1289. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12335>

465 Nottingham AT, Turner BL, Whitaker J, Ostle N, Bardgett RD, McNamara NP, Salinas N, Meir P (2016)
466 Temperature sensitivity of soil enzymes along an elevation gradient in the Peruvian Andes.
467 *Biogeochemistry* 127:217–230 . <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-015-0176-2>

468 Olsen SR, Cole C V., Watanabe FS, Dean LA (1954) Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction
469 with sodium bicarbonate. *United States Dep Agric Circ* 939:1–19

470 Pereira IS, do Nascimento HEM, Vicari MB, Disney M, DeLucia EH, Domingues T, Kruijt B, Lapola D, Meir
471 P, Norby RJ, Ometto JPHB, Quesada CA, Rammig A, Hofhansl F (2019) Performance of laser-based
472 electronic devices for structural analysis of Amazonian terra-firme forests. *Remote Sens* 11: .
473 <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11050510>

474 Pinheiro J, Bates D, R Core Team (2022) nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. [https://CRAN.R-](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme)
475 [project.org/package=nlme](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme)

476 Quesada CA, Lloyd J, Schwarz M, Patiño S, Baker TR, Czimczik C, Fyllas NM, Martinelli L, Nardoto GB,
477 Schmerler J, Santos a. JB, Hodnett MG, Herrera R, Luizão FJ, Arneith A, Lloyd G, Dezzeo N, Hilke I,
478 Kuhlmann I, Raessler M, Brand W a., Geilmann H, Filho JOM, Carvalho FP, Filho RNA, Chaves JE,
479 Cruz OF, Pimentel TP, Paiva R (2010) Variations in chemical and physical properties of Amazon forest
480 soils in relation to their genesis. *Biogeosciences* 7:1515–1541 . <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-1515-2010>

481 R Core Team (2022) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical

482 Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>

483 Rosinger C, Rousk J, Sandén H (2019) Can enzymatic stoichiometry be used to determine growth-limiting
484 nutrients for microorganisms? - A critical assessment in two subtropical soils. *Soil Biol Biochem*
485 128:115–126 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.10.011>

486 Ruan HH, Zou XM, Scatena FN, Zimmerman JK (2004) Asynchronous fluctuation of soil microbial biomass
487 and plant litterfall in a tropical wet forest. *Plant Soil* 260:147–154 .
488 <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:PLSO.0000030177.20951.94>

489 Schaap KJ, Fuchslueger L, Hoosbeek MR, Hofhansl F, Martins NP, Valverde-Barrantes OJ, Hartley IP, Lugli
490 LF, Quesada CA (2021) Litter inputs and phosphatase activity affect the temporal variability of organic
491 phosphorus in a tropical forest soil in the Central Amazon. *Plant Soil* 469:423–441 .
492 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-05146-x>

493 Singh AK, Jiang XJ, Yang B, Wu J, Rai A, Chen C, Ahirwal J, Wang P, Liu W, Singh N (2020) Biological
494 indicators affected by land use change, soil resource availability and seasonality in dry tropics. *Ecol Indic*
495 115:106369 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106369>

496 Singh JS, Raghubanshi AS, Singh RS, Srivastava SC (1989) Microbial biomass acts as a source of plant
497 nutrients in dry tropical forest and savanna. *Nature* 338:499–500. <https://doi.org/10.1038/338499a0>

498 Sinsabaugh RL, Follstad Shah JJ (2012) Ecoenzymatic Stoichiometry and Ecological Theory. *Annu Rev Ecol*
499 *Evol Syst* 43:120913143848009 . <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-071112-124414>

500 Skujinš J, Burns RG (1976) Extracellular enzymes in soil. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 4:383–421 .
501 <https://doi.org/10.3109/10408417609102304>

502 Smith AP, Marín-Spiotta E, Balser T (2015) Successional and seasonal variations in soil and litter microbial
503 community structure and function during tropical postagricultural forest regeneration: A multiyear study.
504 *Glob Chang Biol* 21:3532–3547. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12947>

505 Soong JL, Fuchslueger L, Marañon-Jimenez S, Torn MS, Janssens IA, Penuelas J, Richter A (2020) Microbial
506 carbon limitation: The need for integrating microorganisms into our understanding of ecosystem carbon
507 cycling. *Glob Chang Biol* 26:1953–1961. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14962>

508 Tischer A, Blagodatskaya E, Hamer U (2014) Extracellular enzyme activities in a tropical mountain rainforest

509 region of southern Ecuador affected by low soil P status and land-use change. *Appl Soil Ecol* 74:1–11 .
510 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2013.09.007>

511 Turner BL, Wright SJ (2014) The response of microbial biomass and hydrolytic enzymes to a decade of
512 nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium addition in a lowland tropical rain forest. *Biogeochemistry* 117:115–
513 130. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-013-9848-y>

514 Wang C, Lu X, Mori T, Mao Q, Zhou K, Zhou G, Nie Y, Mo J (2018) Responses of soil microbial community
515 to continuous experimental nitrogen additions for 13 years in a nitrogen-rich tropical forest. *Soil Biol
516 Biochem* 121:103–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.03.009>

517 Waring BG, Weintraub SR, Sinsabaugh RL (2014) Ecoenzymatic stoichiometry of microbial nutrient
518 acquisition in tropical soils. *Biogeochemistry* 117:101–113 . <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-013-9849-x>

519 Wu J, Albert LP, Lopes AP, Restrepo-Coupe N, Hayek M, Wiedemann KT, Guan K, Stark SC, Christoffersen
520 B, Prohaska N, Tavares J V, Marostica S, Kobayashi H, Ferreira ML, Campos KS, da Silva R, Brando
521 PM, Dye DG, Huxman TE, Huete AR, Nelson BW, Saleska SR (2016) Leaf development and
522 demography explain photosynthetic seasonality in Amazon evergreen forests. *Science* (80-) 351:972–976
523 . <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad5068>

524 Wu J, Serbin SP, Xu X, Albert LP, Chen M, Meng R, Saleska SR, Rogers A (2017) The phenology of leaf
525 quality and its within-canopy variation are essential for accurate modeling of photosynthesis in tropical
526 evergreen forests. *Glob Chang Biol* 38:42–49 . <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13725>

527 Zechmeister-Boltenstern S, Keiblinger KM, Mooshammer M, Penuelas J, Richter A, Sardans J, Wanek W
528 (2015) The application of ecological stoichiometry to plant-microbial-soil organic matter transformation.
529 *Ecol Monogr* 85:133–155 . <https://doi.org/10.1890/14-0777.1>

530 Zeglin LH, Kluber LA, Myrold DD (2013) The importance of amino sugar turnover to C and N cycling in
531 organic horizons of old-growth Douglas-fir forest soils colonized by ectomycorrhizal mats.
532 *Biogeochemistry* 112:679–693. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-012-9746-8>

533

534 Fig. 1: a) daily average air temperature (at 34.6 m, above forest canopy), and b) rainfall at the AmazonFACE
535 plots, daily average (line and dots \pm SE) and the monthly sum (bars). Both temperature and precipitation
536 were measured every 30 minutes ($n = 48$ per day) and calculated per day. The “Drier season” bracket
537 indicates which months are treated as the drier months of the year in the manuscript, defined as the
538 months with at least 40% of days with <3 mm precipitation.

539 Fig. 2: a) leaf litter collected (biweekly) at the AmazonFACE plots, recalculated for average daily litter quantity
540 (average per month, each observation represents a different littertrap, $n = 24$. Boxplot shows median and
541 quartiles), and b) the relation between average daily leaf litterfall and average daily precipitation (natural
542 logarithm) per month. Drier season indicates the drier months as established in Fig. 1.

543 Fig. 3: C, N and P related extracellular enzyme activities (BG, NAG and AP per soil C) from February 2016 till
544 January 2017, and their relation to the average monthly leaf litterfall. Boxplots are showing the median,
545 the lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles (plots a, c and e). The text in plots b,
546 d and f shows the relation between enzymes and litterfall established with linear mixed effects model,
547 with the sampling location as a random effect.

548 Fig. 4: Relations between EE and extractable soil nutrients at 0-5 cm and 5-15 cm. Conditional R^2 , F and p
549 values for linear mixed effect models with sampling location as a random effect. a), b), and c) β -
550 glucosidase as related to eoC (natural logarithm), eN and Olsen P (natural logarithm); d), e) and f) N-
551 acetyl glucosamidase as related to eoC (natural logarithm), eN and Olsen P (natural logarithm); g), h), and
552 i) Phosphatase as related to eoC (natural logarithm), eN and Olsen P (natural logarithm).

553 Fig. 5: Average monthly vectors of proportional enzyme activities at a) 0-5 cm and b) 5-15 cm, and average
554 vector properties c) length (unitless), and d) angle (in degrees) of the monthly average vectors. The error
555 bar in c) and d) represents the standard error).