# nature climate change

**Brief Communication** 

# A year above 1.5 °C signals that Earth is most probably within the 20-year period that will reach the Paris Agreement limit

Received: 6 August 2	2024
----------------------	------

Accepted: 14 January 2025

Published online: 10 February 2025

Check for updates

Emanuele Bevacqua  $\mathbb{O}^{1}$ , Carl-Friedrich Schleussner  $\mathbb{O}^{2,3}$  & Jakob Zscheischler  $\mathbb{O}^{1,4}$ 

The temperature goals of the Paris Agreement are measured as 20-year averages exceeding a pre-industrial baseline. The calendar year of 2024 was announced as the first above 1.5 °C relative to pre-industrial levels, but the implications for the corresponding temperature goal are unclear. Here we show that, without very stringent climate mitigation, the first year above 1.5 °C occurs within the first 20-year period with an average warming of 1.5 °C.

In 2023, global mean surface air temperature reached 1.43 °C above pre-industrial level (1.32–1.53 °C, likely range)<sup>1</sup>. This exceeded the best estimate for human-induced warming<sup>1</sup> of 1.31 °C (1.1–1.7 °C), indicating that, among other factors, natural variability, including the imprint of an El Niño event, contributed to the observed temperature in 2023<sup>2-4</sup>. The following year, 2024, became the warmest on record globally<sup>5-7</sup>, and it was announced as the first calendar year above 1.5 °C by several international organizations that independently track the global temperature<sup>5,6,8–12</sup>. Although some uncertainty across datasets exists<sup>7</sup>, averaged together, the data indicate a consensus that Earth's surface air temperature reached 1.55 °C warming in 2024<sup>6</sup>.

A single year above 1.5 °C, however, does not mean that the long-term temperature has reached the level referred to in the Paris Agreement, as also highlighted by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)<sup>13</sup>. The long-term global temperature goal under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change refers to human-induced climate change only<sup>14,15</sup>, and the Second Periodic Review of the long-term global goal under the Convention has clarified "that [the goal] is assessed over a period of decades"<sup>16</sup>. Different approaches have been suggested to track progress against the temperature goal<sup>13,17,18</sup>, but uncertainties in these estimates imply that we will only be able to establish in hindsight whether a certain warming level has been reached with confidence<sup>10,19</sup>.

To account for natural variability when determining humaninduced global warming from the observational record, the IPCC, in its Sixth Assessment Report (AR6), assessed global warming over a 20-year period<sup>13</sup>. Furthermore, it established global warming levels as the reference point for the assessment of climate impact drivers and extensively documented the climate risks emerging at a 1.5 °C warming level<sup>13</sup>. Addressing the question of when we will enter a 20-year period with average warming at that level is thus not just an exercise of tracking the global temperature record, but also informs on the onset of a 20-year period where the risks documented in the scientific literature at a 1.5 °C warming level are expected to emerge. This is of direct relevance for climate risk management and adaptation planning.

Here, we investigate how single warm years are related to the onset of the 20-year global warming level period by combining observations and climate model simulations of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6), focusing on models with skill in representing warming trends during 1981–2014<sup>20</sup>. Specifically, we explore the question of whether a single year above 1.5 °C can be seen as an early warning of the world reaching the 1.5 °C long-term warming level.

By analysing the already-reached warming levels, observations reveal that the first single year exceeding 0.6 °C, 0.7 °C, 0.8 °C, 0.9 °C and 1.0 °C global warming thresholds have consistently fallen within the first 20-year period in which average temperature reached the same thresholds (Fig. 1a, points falling in the vertical band). This pattern motivates the hypothesis that a similar behaviour may apply to the 1.5 °C threshold. If true, the occurrence of the first single year at 1.5 °C warming would imply that the 20-year period that reaches the Paris Agreement's lower goal has already started and that the expected impacts at a 1.5 °C warming level will start to emerge. Temperature data from climate models align well with this observed pattern, with the first single year exceeding 0.7–1.0 °C warming typically occurring within the

<sup>1</sup>Department of Compound Environmental Risks, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research–UFZ, Leipzig, Germany. <sup>2</sup>International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria. <sup>3</sup>Geography Department and IRITHESys Institute, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany. <sup>4</sup>Department of Hydro Sciences, TUD Dresden University of Technology, Dresden, Germany. ⊠e-mail: emanuele.bevacqua@ufz.de





**b** Lag of first 1.5 °C year from entry time in 20-year period



Fig. 1|Time lag of the first single year at or above a global warming level from the time of entry in the first 20-year period that reaches the same level. a, The time lag is denoted by  $\Delta t$ , with positive values implying that the first year above a warming level occurred within the 20-year period reaching the same warming level (vertical light orange band). The blue dots indicate different observational datasets. The box plots (showing median and interquartile range) are derived from models under a moderate emissions scenario SSP2-4.5 (black whiskers extend to the most extreme data points within 1.5 times the interquartile range from the box). **b**, The same as the box plot in **a** but for 1.5 °C warming under different emission scenarios (here, grey whiskers display the full range if it exceeds black whiskers). The number of models employed (those compatible with observed recent warming trends<sup>20</sup>) is shown in brackets. The fraction of simulations that fall in the shaded area is also provided.

first 20-year period reaching the same warming level (Fig. 1a, box plots). Furthermore, for all considered warming levels, the observed time lag between the first year surpassing a warming threshold  $(t_{1yr})$  and the time of entry in the 20-year period reaching the same threshold  $(t_{20yr})$ , that is  $\Delta t = t_{1yr} - t_{20yr}$ , falls inside the climate model-based distribution (Fig. 1a). This underscores the skill of climate models in simulating recent global mean temperature dynamics.

Moving to the warming level of the Paris Agreement, climate models confirm our hypothesis; that is, the first single year at 1.5 °C global warming is likely ( $\geq$ 66% probability) to virtually certain ( $\geq$ 99%), depending on the emission scenario, to fall within the first 20-year period reaching the 1.5 °C warming level (Fig. 1b). For the Shared Socioeconomic

Pathway (SSP)2-4.5 scenario, which most closely resembles current policy trends<sup>13</sup>, all models indicate that the first single year at  $1.5 \,^{\circ}$ C warming falls within the 20-year period. For scenarios with stringent near-term emission reductions aiming to limit peak warming closer to  $1.5 \,^{\circ}$ C (SSP1-1.9 and SSP1-2.6), the probability still reaches around 75%. Note that in the case of SSP1-1.9, which is designed as a low overshoot scenario that keeps  $1.5 \,^{\circ}$ C within reach<sup>21</sup>, a single year above  $1.5 \,^{\circ}$ C does not imply a very likely probability of ever reaching that warming level. We also note that our model ensemble of opportunity does not represent the actual probability distribution of warming outcomes for different emission scenarios assessed in the AR6 (ref. 22), which means that our likelihood estimates associated with various scenarios need to be interpreted with caution.

Whether the first single year at a given warming level falls into the first 20-year period that reaches the same warming level depends on the interplay between warming trends and variability in the global mean temperature time series. Generally, under small long-term trends, the first warm year often occurs due to natural temperature variability before the onset of the 20-year period with a given warming level (Fig. 2a). Conversely, under a strong warming trend, the single warm year typically falls within the 20-year warming level period (Fig. 2b). An idealized experiment (Methods) illustrates the additional role of the variability (measured as the standard deviation) of the temperature time series, with stronger trends and smaller variability increasing the probability of the warm single year falling within the 20-year warming level period (Fig. 2c, background colours). Climate model simulations under various scenarios and warming levels show the same probability pattern (Fig. 2c, colours of the symbols).

A continuation of the strong warming trends observed over the last decade of 0.026 (0.02, 0.04) °C yr<sup>-1</sup> (ref. 1) would render it virtually certain that the first single year at 1.5 °C falls within the first 1.5 °C 20-year period (Fig. 2d). Owing to the dominance of short-lived climate forcers over decadal timescales<sup>22</sup>, a certain amount of future warming is locked in already. Nevertheless, stringent near-term emission reductions could still bring down warming rates substantially over the coming two decades<sup>23</sup> and thereby lower the probability that the first single year at 1.5 °C implies that we have entered the 1.5 °C 20-year period. Assuming the first year at 1.5 °C occurs under the currently observed temperature trend and variability, our estimates suggest that lowering this probability to about 50% would require reducing temperature trends to about 0.005 °C yr<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2d). This corresponds to reducing temperature trends to about 20% of the trends observed over the past decade, which can only be achieved via stringent mitigation efforts<sup>23</sup>.

On the basis of multiple observational datasets, climate model simulations and an idealized experiment, our analyses demonstrate that, unless ambitious emissions cuts are implemented, the world's first year at 1.5 °C warming is virtually certain (~99% on average; Fig. 1b) to fall within the 20-year period that reaches the 1.5 °C warming level. The main reason for this result is the current strong warming trend, which, combined with the relatively low variability in the temperature time series, makes it very unlikely for the temperature of a single year to largely exceed the long-term average. Our idealized experiment shows that climate model-based probabilities of the first year at 1.5 °C falling within the 20-year period (Fig. 1b) might even be a conservative estimate if the standard deviation of the temperature time series in climate models is overestimated (Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 1). As a caveat of the climate model-based analyses, we note that the projected forcings in the SSP scenarios start in 2015, missing some recent aspects that may affect long-term human-induced warming trends and individual warm years (see the discussion in the Methods).

The calendar year of 2024 was announced as the first above  $1.5 \,^{\circ}$ C warming<sup>5,6</sup> and, therefore, it signals that most probably Earth has already entered a 20-year period at  $1.5 \,^{\circ}$ C warming. We want to stress that the entry time in the 20-year period at  $1.5 \,^{\circ}$ C warming should not be interpreted as the timing of the warming level itself, which would

100

90

80

70

60

50

40

30

20

10

0

0.04

Probability of first 1.5 °C year in

20-year period (%)





Trend temperature after first 1.5 °C year (°C yr<sup>-1</sup>) Fig. 2 | Strong warming trends place the first 1.5 °C year within the 1.5 °C **20-year period. a**, **b**, Examples of time series with weak (**a**) or strong (**b**) temperature trends, with the first single year above 1.5 °C falling outside or within the 20-year warming level period (vertical light orange band), respectively. c, The probability of the first year at or above a warming level falling within the 20-year period reaching the same level, derived from an idealized experiment for different trends (vaxis) and standard deviations (xaxis) of the temperature time series. Blue-filled symbols show trends and standard deviations from observational datasets during the 20-year warming level period (colours as in

against the multimodel median of trends and standard deviations (note, the symbols for 0.5 °C in SSP1-2.6 and SSP2-4.5 overlap). d, The dots show the probability of a first 1.5 °C year falling within the 1.5 °C 20-year period as a function of the warming rate, assuming that the first 1.5 °C year is reached under the currently observed trend and standard deviation. Uncertainty ranges (vertical bars) reflect combined uncertainty in the currently observed trend (5-95th percentile range<sup>1</sup>) and standard deviation (range across observational datasets; Methods). The bottom-right bar shows the currently observed trend and its 5-95th percentile range1 (0.026 (0.02, 0.04) °C yr<sup>-1</sup>).

be placed at the midpoint of the 20 year period, 10 years after the entry time. Our findings provide an early warning for anticipating a critical warming level threshold and inform appropriate mitigation and adaptation responses. In particular, the early warning signals the occurred onset of a period where the climate impacts of a 1.5 °C warmer world may start to emerge, underscoring the urgency of adaptation action<sup>24</sup>. Our results also indicate that, by rapidly slowing down the warming rate<sup>23</sup>, stringent near-term mitigation has the potential to substantially reduce risks of exceeding the 1.5 °C warming level soon after the first single year above 1.5 °C has occurred. At the same time. only rapid near-term mitigation can effectively limit peak warming, which is required to hold warming well below 2 °C with high probability<sup>25</sup> in case of exceedance of 1.5 °C. A year above 1.5 °C is not the time for despair, but a call to action.

Fig. 1a). Red-filled symbols denote probabilities from climate models, plotted

# **Online content**

Any methods, additional references, Nature Portfolio reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-025-02246-9.

# References

- 1. Forster, P. M. et al. Indicators of global climate change 2023: annual update of key indicators of the state of the climate system and human influence. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 16, 2625-2658 (2024).
- Surface Air Temperature for January 2024 (Copernicus Climate 2. Change Service, 2024); https://climate.copernicus.eu/surfaceair-temperature-january-2024
- 3. Voosen, P. El Niño fingered as likely culprit in record 2023 temperatures. Science https://doi.org/10.1126/science.zxyiwno (2024).

- 4. Samset, B. H., Lund, M. T., Fuglestvedt, J. S. & Wilcox, L. J. 2023 temperatures reflect steady global warming and internal sea surface temperature variability. Commun. Earth Environ. 5, 460 (2024).
- 5. Copernicus: 2024 Is the First Year to Exceed 1.5 °C above Pre-industrial Level (Copernicus Climate Change Service, 2025); https://climate.copernicus.eu/copernicus-2024-first-year-exceed-15degc-above-pre-industrial-level
- 6. Tollefson, J. Earth breaches 1.5 °C climate limit for the first time: what does it mean? Nature https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-025-00010-9 (2025).
- Global Temperature 2024 (Climatic Research Unit, Univ. East 7. Anglia, 2024); https://crudata.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/t2024/
- 8. Copernicus: 2024 Virtually Certain to Be the Warmest Year and First Year above 1.5 °C (Copernicus Climate Change Service, 2024); https://climate.copernicus.eu/copernicus-2024-virtually-cer tain-be-warmest-year-and-first-year-above-15degc
- 9. 2024 Is on Track To Be Hottest Year on Record as Warming Temporarily Hits 1.5 °C (World Meteorological Organization, 2024); https://wmo.int/news/media-centre/2024-track-be-hottest-yearrecord-warming-temporarily-hits-15degc
- 10. Jackson, L., Schleussner, C.-F., Rosen, D. & Forster, P. An early warning of the risks of crossing global warming thresholds. Preprint at Research Square https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4324420/v1 (2024).
- Rohde, R. August 2024 Temperature Update (Berkeley Earth, 2024); 11. https://berkeleyearth.org/august-2024-temperature-update/
- State of the Climate: 2024 Will Be First Year above 1.5°C of Global 12. Warming (Carbonbrief, 2024); https://www.carbonbrief.org/ state-of-the-climate-2024-will-be-first-year-above-1-5c-ofglobal-warming/
- 13. IPCC Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report (eds Core Writing Team, Lee, H. & and Romero, J.) (IPCC, 2023).

- 14. *The Convention. Tech. Rep.* (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 1992).
- Rogelj, J., Schleussner, C.-F. & Hare, W. Getting it right matters: temperature goal interpretations in geoscience research. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 44, 10,662–10,665 (2017).
- Decision 21/cp.27 Second Periodic Review of the Long-Term Global Goal under the Convention and of Overall Progress towards Achieving It. Technical Report (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 2022).
- Trewin, B. Assessing internal variability of global mean surface temperature from observational data and implications for reaching key thresholds. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 127, e2022JD036747 (2022).
- Betts, R. A. et al. Approaching 1.5 °C: how will we know we've reached this crucial warming mark? *Nature* 624, 33–35 (2023).
- Tokarska, K. B. et al. Uncertainty in carbon budget estimates due to internal climate variability. *Environ. Res. Lett.* https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abaf1b (2020).
- 20. Tokarska, K. B. et al. Past warming trend constrains future warming in CMIP6 models. *Science Adv.* **6**, eaaz9549 (2020).
- Rogelj, J. et al. Scenarios towards limiting global mean temperature increase below 1.5 °C. Nat. Clim. Change 8, 325–332 (2018).
- 22. IPCC Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis (eds Masson-Delmotte, V. et al.) (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2021).

- McKenna, C. M., Maycock, A. C., Forster, P. M., Smith, C. J. & Tokarska, K. B. Stringent mitigation substantially reduces risk of unprecedented near-term warming rates. *Nat. Clim. Change* 11, 126–131 (2021).
- 24. IPCC Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability (eds Pörtner, H.-O. et al.) (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2022).
- 25. Schleussner, C.-F., Ganti, G., Rogelj, J. & Gidden, M. J. An emission pathway classification reflecting the Paris Agreement climate objectives. *Commun. Earth Environ.* **3**, 135 (2022).

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2025

#### Data

Building on IPCC reports<sup>22</sup>, we used the observational dataset from Forster et al.<sup>26</sup>, which includes annual (that is, for the calendar year) global mean surface temperature (GMST) anomalies relative to 1850–1900 for calendar years for the four datasets HadCRUT, NOAA, Berkeley Earth and Kadow, and the associated multidataset mean, referred to as 'consolidated 4-set mean'.

Furthermore, we used CMIP6 climate models<sup>27</sup>, for which we concatenated historical scenario data (1850-2014) with data from different Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSP1-1.9, SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5). For climate models, to estimate annual global warming relative to 1850-1900, following IPCC's procedure and to minimize warming biases in models<sup>22</sup>, we added annual GMST changes from 1850-1900 to 1995-2014 from observations (that is, ~0.86 °C from the 'consolidated 4-set mean'26) to model-based annual global mean surface air temperature<sup>20</sup> (computed based on the spatially weighted average of the variable 'tas') change relative to 1995-2014. In addition to the 'consolidated 4-set mean', we used the annual GMST changes from 1850-1900 to 1995-2014 from the four individual datasets to confirm the robustness of our results to observational uncertainties (Extended Data Fig. 2). We used one ensemble member per climate model. First, for each model, we selected the r1i1p1f1 ensemble member if available with data until the year 2099 (to ensure no warming level remained unreached due to missing data); otherwise, we selected the first available ripf member in alphabetic order with data available until 2099. Secondly, building on Tokarska et al.<sup>20</sup>, we only retained selected model members with warming trends during 1981-2014 that are compatible with observations, that is, with global mean surface air temperature trend between 0.0108 and 0.0263 °C yr<sup>-1</sup> (a  $\pm 2\sigma$  range incorporating internal variability, blending, and structural uncertainties directly derived from Tokarska et al.<sup>20</sup>; Extended Data Fig. 1c). The resulting list of models is in Extended Data Table 1. However, we also note that the selection of the model members has only a very marginal effect on the conclusion of the study (Extended Data Fig. 3).

#### Timing of single-year and 20-year reaches and their lag

Given a dataset or model simulation time series, we estimated the onset of (or time of entry in) the first 20-year period ( $t_{20yr}$ ) at a given warming level by selecting the first year of the first 20-year window whose average global warming is equal to or above the warming level. We obtained the timing of the first single year ( $t_{1yr}$ ) at a given warming level by selecting the first occurrence with an annual temperature anomaly equal to or above the given warming level. If a warming level is not reached for a given time series, we set  $t_{20yr}$  and/or  $t_{1yr}$  to infinity—note that, by construction, if the warming level is not reached in the single-year case ( $t_{1yr} = \ln f$ ), then it also cannot be reached in the 20-year case ( $t_{20yr} = \ln f$ ), thus the case  $t_{1yr} = \ln f$  and  $t_{20yr} = F$ inite is not possible.

For a given warming level, we quantified the lag between  $t_{1yr}$  and  $t_{20yr}$  as  $\Delta t = t_{1yr} - t_{20yr}$ . The lag was defined as negative infinity if the warming level is reached only in the single-year case ( $\Delta t$  = Finite – Inf = –Inf; in observations, this is the case for warming levels above 1.0 °C and for the NOAA dataset at 1.0 °C; Fig. 1a). A model run or observation dataset is disregarded if the warming level is not reached both for the 20-year and single-year cases (Inf – Inf = Not a Number); however, note that, for model simulations for the 1.5 °C warming levels, none of the model runs subselected via the Tokarska et al.<sup>20</sup> constraint were disregarded.

Building on previous work<sup>28</sup> for climate model simulations, we computed the probability of the first single year at 1.5 °C global warming falling within the 1.5 °C 20-year period by counting the simulations in an SSP scenario of interest for which, for the 1.5 °C warming,  $\Delta t \ge 0$ .

#### **Idealized** experiment

We conducted an experiment to assess how the combination of trend and standard deviation in temperature time series affects the

probability that the first single year at a given warming level falls within the 20 years reaching the same level (Fig. 2c). Given a pair of trend and standard deviation values, we computed this probability by counting single years falling in 20-year periods among  $N_{boot} = 20,000$  simulated time series (here, we considered a 1.5 °C warming level, but the results are independent of the considered level; for graphical purposes, we interpolated results in Fig. 2c to a finer trend–standard deviation grid than that used for the experiments). The  $N_{boot}$  time series, each spanning 1850–2200, were generated via a Gaussian distribution with fixed standard deviation and time-varying means reflecting the desired trend. To mimic the analysis of climate models, for each series, we estimated global warming relative to 1850–1900 by adding observed warming from 1850–1900 to 1995–2014 from the 'consolidated 4-set mean'<sup>26</sup> to the simulated temperature change relative to 1995–2014.

We repeated this process and calculated associated probabilities across a realistic range of trends and standard deviations (background colours in Fig. 2c) derived from pooled observations and climate model simulations. Such range was derived by analysing 20-year periods that reached a wide range of 20-year warming levels. In Fig. 2c, the coloured points show the probabilities derived from climate models for 0.5 °C, 1.0 °C and 1.5 °C warming levels and different SSP scenarios (alongside the associated multimodel median of trends (y axis) and standard deviations (x axis) simulated by models during the 20-year period that reached these warming levels). The similarity of the points' inner colours and background colours shows the fidelity of the idealized experiments in representing the behaviour seen in climate models. Note that all trends and standard deviations associated with warming levels were obtained by analysing time series during the 20-year period that reached a 20-year warming level, specifically by first computing the trend and then the standard deviation of the detrended data (Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 1).

In Fig. 2d, we explore how decreasing the observed temperature trend can reduce the probability that, once the first single year at 1.5 °C occurs, it will fall within the 1.5 °C 20-year period. In line with the temperature above 1.5 °C in 2024, we assume that the first single year at 1.5 °C is reached with the currently observed temperature trend and standard deviation. To calculate these probabilities, we followed the procedure described above, but in these simulations, we altered the trend of the time series immediately after the first single year at 1.5 °C (using a range of trend values shown on the x axis in Fig. 2d). For each of these trends, we derived uncertainties in the probability due to uncertainty in the currently observed trend (considered until the first single year at or above 1.5 °C is met) and standard deviation. Specifically, we derived uncertainties by repeating the procedure based on  $3 \times 3$  possible trend-standard deviation combinations from the values defined below, obtaining nine probability estimates and reporting the maximum, minimum and median in Fig. 2d. We used the best estimate of the trend and its likely (5-95th percentile) range (0.026 (0.02, 0.04) °C yr<sup>-1</sup>), derived from Forster et al.<sup>1</sup> for the period 2014-2023. We derived the standard deviation from the past 20 years of the 'consolidated 4-set mean'<sup>26</sup>, including the range of values from all considered observational datasets (0.084 (0.081, 0.087) °C).

**Discussion on recent temperature dynamics in climate models** We note that the projected forcings in the SSP scenarios start in 2015, thereby missing some aspects of more recent trends, both of anthropogenic and natural origin. This includes the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on temperature<sup>29</sup>, warming from reduced pollution and lower-sulfur marine fuels<sup>3,30-32</sup> and associated enhanced sunlight due to reduced cloud cover and surface reflectivity<sup>3,30</sup>, and emissions from large volcanic eruptions<sup>33,34</sup>. The effects of these factors on the long-term human-induced warming trend are probably minor<sup>3,30,31,33,35</sup>, and an underestimation of warming trends would even make climate model-based probabilities of the first 1.5 °C year falling in the 20-year period conservative. However, these factors may affect the occurrence of individual years above a temperature threshold, whereas our approach assumes that such occurrences are predominantly driven by natural variability superimposed on long-term trend. The fact that changes in short-lived climate forcers not represented in SSP scenarios contributed to the 2023 temperature anomaly, albeit the strength of the effect remains unclear<sup>31,36</sup>, is a caveat to our results. Yet we note that the high temperature observed in 2023/2024 is also consistent with internal variability, via a strong El Niño event following a prolonged La Niña<sup>3,4,37</sup>. Furthermore, for the scenario most closely resembling current emissions (SSP2-4.5), we find that the first year above 1.5 °C lies well within the 20 years at 1.5 °C average warming (Fig. 1b); this implies that, even if unrepresented forcing contributes to the first year at 1.5 °C, our general conclusion of the first single year at 1.5 °C most probably falling within the 20-year period reaching the Paris Agreement limit still holds.

# Data availability

Temperature data from Forster et al.<sup>26</sup> are available via GitHub at https:// github.com/ClimateIndicator. CMIP6 data can be retrieved at https:// esgf-data.dkrz.de/projects/esgf-dkrz/.

# **Code availability**

All codes are direct implementations of standard methods and techniques described in detail in Methods and executed via R<sup>38</sup> and Bash scripts. Data preprocessing was performed using standard Climate Data Operators (CDO) functions<sup>39</sup>. Scripts are available via Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14637944 (ref. 40).

#### References

- Forster, P. M. et al. Indicators of global climate change 2022: annual update of large-scale indicators of the state of the climate system and human influence. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 15, 2295–2327 (2023).
- Eyring, V. et al. Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 9, 1937–1958 (2016).
- 28. WMO Global Annual to Decadal Climate Update (Target Years: 2023–2027) (World Meteorological Organization, 2023).
- 29. Forster, P. M. et al. Current and future global climate impacts resulting from COVID-19. *Nat. Clim. Change* **10**, 913–919 (2020).
- Voosen, P. We're changing the clouds: an unintended test of geoengineering is fueling record ocean warmth. Science 381, 467–468 (2023).
- Gettelman, A. et al. Has reducing ship emissions brought forward global warming? *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 51, e2024GL109077 (2024).
- Yuan, T. et al. Observational evidence of strong forcing from aerosol effect on low cloud coverage. Sci. Adv. 9, eadh7716 (2023).
- Jenkins, S., Smith, C., Allen, M. & Grainger, R. Tonga eruption increases chance of temporary surface temperature anomaly above 1.5 °C. Nat. Clim. Change 13, 127–129 (2023).
- Schoeberl, M. R. et al. The estimated climate impact of the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai eruption plume. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 50, e2023GL104634 (2023).
- Five Factors to Explain the Record Heat in 2023 (NASA Earth Observatory, 2023); https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/images/ 152313/five-factors-to-explain-the-record-heat-in-2023
- Quaglia, I. & Visioni, D. Modeling 2020 regulatory changes in international shipping emissions helps explain anomalous 2023 warming. *Earth Syst. Dyn.* 15, 1527–1541 (2024).

- Raghuraman, S. P. et al. The 2023 global warming spike was driven by the El Niño–Southern Oscillation. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 24, 11275–11283 (2024).
- 38. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2013).
- 39. Schulzweida, U. CDO user guide. Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.10020800 (2023).
- Bevacqua, E. et al. Code for paper: Bevacqua et al. (2025), Nature Climate Change. Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.14637944 (2025).

#### Acknowledgements

E.B. received funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG. German Research Foundation) via the Emmy Noether Programme (grant ID 524780515). C.-F.S. acknowledges support from European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programmes under grant agreement no. 101003687 (PROVIDE). J.Z. acknowledges the Helmholtz Initiative and Networking Fund (Young Investigator Group COMPOUNDX, grant agreement VH-NG-1537). This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement no. 101003469. The authors acknowledge the World Climate Research Programme, which, through its Working Group on Coupled Modelling, coordinated and promoted CMIP6, and the authors thank the climate modelling groups for producing and making available their model output, the Earth System Grid Federation for archiving the data and providing access, and the multiple funding agencies who support CMIP and the Earth System Grid Federation. Analyses were carried out on the High-Performance Computing Cluster EVE, a joint effort of both the Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research-UFZ and the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig.

#### **Author contributions**

E.B. conceived the study and carried out the analyses. All authors participated in the design of the study. E.B. and C.-F.S. wrote the paper. All authors discussed the results and reviewed the paper.

#### Funding

Open access funding provided by Helmholtz-Zentrum für Umweltforschung GmbH - UFZ.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

# **Additional information**

Extended data is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-025-02246-9.

**Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to Emanuele Bevacqua.

**Peer review information** *Nature Climate Change* thanks Andrew King and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

(b) Temperature standard deviations over 20-yr period



(c) Temperature trends during 1981-2014



Extended Data Fig. 1 | Comparison between observations and climate models with respect to trend and standard deviation of temperature time series. a, For various warming levels (x-axis), temperature trends during the 20-year period that reached the warming level, shown for different observational datasets via points and for the distribution from climate models under moderate emission scenario SSP2-4.5 via boxplots (restricted to models selected based on their skills in representing recent warming<sup>16</sup>; Methods). Boxplots show the interquartile range and median, with black whiskers extending to the most extreme data points within 1.5 times the interquartile range from the box. **b**, The same as panel a, but for the standard deviation. **c**, The first boxplot shows

Constrained

the distribution of the temperature trends during 1981-2014 from all available climate models under moderate emission scenario SSP2-4.5 (45 models), while the second boxplot shows the distribution restricted to models selected based on their skills in representing recent warming<sup>16</sup> (26 models; grey whiskers additionally display the full range if it exceeds black whiskers). Shading in the background displays the observation-based uncertainty range  $(\pm \sigma \text{ and } \pm 2\sigma \text{ range})$  directly derived from Tokarska et al.<sup>16</sup> that is used to select well-performing models (note that this uncertainty incorporates internal variability, structural uncertainties, and blending effects<sup>16</sup>). Blue points show the trends for different observational datasets.





(c) Lag of first 1.5°C year from entry time in 20-yr period (NOAA)



(b) Lag of first  $1.5^{\circ}$ C year from entry time in 20-yr period (HadCRUT)



(d) Lag of first 1.5°C year from entry time in 20-yr period (Berkeley)



**Extended Data Fig. 2** | **Sensitivity of the time-lag of the first 1.5 °C year from the 1.5 °C 20-year period to observational uncertainties. a**, The same as Fig. 1b, which is obtained by combining observed global warming from 1850–1900 to 1995–2014 derived from the 'consolidated 4-set mean' observational

(e) Lag of first 1.5°C year from entry time in 20-yr period (Kadow)



dataset<sup>32</sup> with simulated global warming (see Methods). **b-e**, The same as panel **a**, but based on HadCRUT (**b**), NOAA (**c**), Berkeley Earth (**d**), and Kadow (**e**) observational datasets.



(a) Lag of first warm year from entry time in 20-yr period

(b) Lag of first 1.5°C year from entry time in 20-yr period





**Extended Data Fig. 3** | **Sensitivity of the time-lag of the first 1.5 'C year from the 1.5 'C 20-year period to the selection of the model members. a, b**, The same as Fig. 1a,b, but without restricting the analysis only to models with skills in representing recent warming trends<sup>16</sup>. Here, in panel b, the numbers of models employed in brackets indicate the models in Extended Data Table 1 for which the  $lag \Delta t$  is not NaN (one model for which 1.5 °C is not reached both for the 20-year and single-year cases is disregarded for SSP119; see Methods).

#### Extended Data Table 1 | Employed models and ensemble members

SSP119	SSP126	SSP245	SSP370	SSP585
CAMS-CSM1-0 (r1i1p1f1)	ACCESS-CM2 (r1i1p1f1)	ACCESS-CM2 (r1i1p1f1)	ACCESS-CM2 (r1i1p1f1)	ACCESS-CM2 (r1i1p1f1)
CanESM5 (r1i1p1f1)	ACCESS-ESM1-5 (rli1p1f1)	ACCESS-ESM1-5 (r1i1p1f1)	ACCESS-ESM1-5 (rli1p1f1)	ACCESS-ESM1-5 (r1i1p1f1)
CNRM-ESM2-1 (r1i1p1f2)	AWI-CM-1-1-MR (r1i1p1f1)	AWI-CM-1-1-MR (r1i1p1f1)	AWI-CM-1-1-MR (rli1p1f1)	AWI-CM-1-1-MR (r1i1p1f1)
EC-Earth3 (r101i1p1f1)	BCC-CSM2-MR (r1i1p1f1)	BCC-CSM2-MR (r1i1p1f1)	BCC-CSM2-MR (r1i1p1f1)	BCC-CSM2-MR (r1i1p1f1)
EC-Earth3-Veg (r1i1p1f1)	CAMS-CSM1-0 (r1i1p1f1)	CAMS-CSM1-0 (r1i1p1f1)	CAMS-CSM1-0 (r1i1p1f1)	CAMS-CSM1-0 (r1i1p1f1)
EC-Earth3-Veg-LR (r1i1p1f1)	CanESM5 (r1i1p1f1)	CanESM5 (r1i1p1f1)	CanESM5 (r1i1p1f1)	CanESM5 (r1i1p1f1)
FGOALS-g3 (r1i1p1f1)	CanESM5-CanOE (r1i1p2f1)	CanESM5-CanOE (r1i1p2f1)	CanESM5-CanOE (r1i1p2f1)	CanESM5-CanOE (r1i1p2f1)
GFDL-ESM4 (r1i1p1f1)	CAS-ESM2-0 (r1i1p1f1)	CAS-ESM2-0 (rli1p1f1)	CAS-ESM2-0 (r1i1p1f1)	CAS-ESM2-0 (rli1p1f1)
GISS-E2-1-G (r1i1p1f2)	CESM2 (rli1p1f1)	CESM2 (rli1p1f1)	CESM2 (rli1p1f1)	CESM2 (rli1p1f1)
GISS-E2-1-H (r1i1p1f2)	CESM2-WACCM (rli1p1f1)	CESM2-WACCM (r1i1p1f1)	CESM2-WACCM (r1i1p1f1)	CESM2-WACCM (r1i1p1f1)
IPSL-CM6A-LR (r1i1p1f1)	CIESM (r1i1p1f1)	CIESM (r1i1p1f1)	CMCC-CM2-SR5 (r1i1p1f1)	CIESM (r1i1p1f1)
MIROC-ES2L (r10i1p1f2)	CMCC-CM2-SR5 (rli1p1f1)	CMCC-CM2-SR5 (r1i1p1f1)	CMCC-ESM2 (r1i1p1f1)	CMCC-CM2-SR5 (r1i1p1f1)
MIROC6 (r1i1p1f1)	CMCC-ESM2 (r1i1p1f1)	CMCC-ESM2 (r1i1p1f1)	CNRM-CM6-1 (r1i1p1f2)	CMCC-ESM2 (r1i1p1f1)
MPI-ESM1-2-LR (r1i1p1f1)	CNRM-CM6-1 (r1i1p1f2)	CNRM-CM6-1 (r1i1p1f2)	CNRM-CM6-1-HR (r1i1p1f2)	CNRM-CM6-1 (r1i1p1f2)
MRI-ESM2-0 (r1i1p1f1)	CNRM-CM6-1-HR (r1i1p1f2)	CNRM-CM6-1-HR (r1i1p1f2)	CNRM-ESM2-1 (r1i1p1f2)	CNRM-CM6-1-HR (r1i1p1f2)
UKESM1-0-LL (rli1p1f2)	CNRM-ESM2-1 (r1i1p1f2)	CNRM-ESM2-1 (r10i1p1f2)	EC-Earth3 (r1i1p1f1)	CNRM-ESM2-1 (r1i1p1f2)
	EC-Earth3 (r1i1p1f1)	EC-Earth3 (r1i1p1f1)	EC-Earth3-AerChem (r1i1p1f1)	E3SM-1-1 (r1i1p1f1)
	EC-Earth3-Veg (r1i1p1f1)	EC-Earth3-CC (r1i1p1f1)	EC-Earth3-Veg (r1i1p1f1)	EC-Earth3 (r1i1p1f1)
	EC-Earth3-Veg-LR (r1i1p1f1)	EC-Earth3-Veg (r1i1p1f1)	EC-Earth3-Veg-LR (r1i1p1f1)	EC-Earth3-CC (r1i1p1f1)
	FGOALS-f3-L (r1i1p1f1)	EC-Earth3-Veg-LR (r1i1p1f1)	FGOALS-f3-L (r1i1p1f1)	EC-Earth3-Veg (r1i1p1f1)
	FGOALS-g3 (rli1p1f1)	FGOALS-f3-L (r1i1p1f1)	FGOALS-g3 (r1i1p1f1)	EC-Earth3-Veg-LR (r1i1p1f1)
	FIO-ESM-2-0 (r1i1p1f1)	FGOALS-g3 (r1i1p1f1)	GFDL-ESM4 (r1i1p1f1)	FGOALS-f3-L (r1i1p1f1)
	GFDL-ESM4 (r1i1p1f1)	FIO-ESM-2-0 (r1i1p1f1)	GISS-E2-1-G (r10i1p1f2)	FGOALS-g3 (r1i1p1f1)
	GISS-E2-1-G (r101i1p1f1)	GFDL-CM4 (r1i1p1f1)	GISS-E2-1-H (r1i1p1f2)	FIO-ESM-2-0 (r1i1p1f1)
	GISS-E2-1-H (r1i1p1f2)	GFDL-ESM4 (r1i1p1f1)	IITM-ESM (r1i1p1f1)	GFDL-CM4 (rlilp1f1)
	HadGEM3-GC31-LL (r1i1p1f3)	GISS-E2-1-G (r101i1p1f1)	INM-CM4-8 (r1i1p1f1)	GFDL-ESM4 (r1i1p1f1)
	HadGEM3-GC31-MM (r1i1p1f3)	GISS-E2-1-H (r1i1p1f2)	INM-CM5-0 (r1i1p1f1)	GISS-E2-1-G (r1i1p1f2)
	IITM-ESM (r1i1p1f1)	HadGEM3-GC31-LL (r1i1p1f3)	IPSL-CM5A2-INCA (r1i1p1f1)	HadGEM3-GC31-LL (r1i1p1f3)
	INM-CM4-8 (r1i1p1f1)	IITM-ESM (r1i1p1f1)	IPSL-CM6A-LR (r1i1p1f1)	HadGEM3-GC31-MM (r1i1p1f3)
	INM-CM5-0 (r1i1p1f1)	INM-CM4-8 (r1i1p1f1)	KACE-1-0-G (r1i1p1f1)	IITM-ESM (r1i1p1f1)
	IPSL-CM5A2-INCA (r1i1p1f1)	INM-CM5-0 (r1i1p1f1)	MCM-UA-1-0 (r1i1p1f2)	INM-CM4-8 (r1i1p1f1)
	IPSL-CM6A-LR (r1i1p1f1)	IPSL-CM6A-LR (r1i1p1f1)	MIROC-ES2L (r10i1p1f2)	INM-CM5-0 (r1i1p1f1)
	KACE-1-0-G (r1i1p1f1)	KACE-1-0-G (r1i1p1f1)	MIROC6 (r1i1p1f1)	IPSL-CM6A-LR (r1i1p1f1)
	KIOST-ESM (rli1p1f1)	KIOST-ESM (r1i1p1f1)	MPI-ESM1-2-HR (r1i1p1f1)	KACE-1-0-G (r1i1p1f1)
	MCM-UA-1-0 (r1i1p1f2)	MCM-UA-1-0 (r1i1p1f2)	MPI-ESM1-2-LR (r1i1p1f1)	KIOST-ESM (r1i1p1f1)
	MIROC-ES2L (r10i1p1f2)	MIROC-ES2L (r10i1p1f2)	MRI-ESM2-0 (r1i1p1f1)	MCM-UA-1-0 (r1i1p1f2)
	MIROC6 (r1i1p1f1)	MIROC6 (r1i1p1f1)	NorESM2-LM (r1i1p1f1)	MIROC-ES2L (r10i1p1f2)
	MPI-ESM1-2-HR (r1i1p1f1)	MPI-ESM1-2-HR (r1i1p1f1)	NorESM2-MM (r1i1p1f1)	MIROC6 (r1i1p1f1)
	MPI-ESM1-2-LR (r1i1p1f1)	MPI-ESM1-2-LR (r1i1p1f1)	TaiESM1 (r1i1p1f1)	MPI-ESM1-2-HR (r1i1p1f1)
	MRI-ESM2-0 (r1i1p1f1)	MRI-ESM2-0 (r1i1p1f1)	UKESM1-0-LL (r10i1p1f2)	MPI-ESM1-2-LR (r1i1p1f1)
	NESM3 (r1i1p1f1)	NESM3 (r1i1p1f1)		MRI-ESM2-0 (r1i1p1f1)
	NorESM2-LM (r1i1p1f1)	NorESM2-LM (r1i1p1f1)		NESM3 (r1i1p1f1)
	NorESM2-MM (r1i1p1f1)	NorESM2-MM (r1i1p1f1)		NorESM2-LM (r1i1p1f1)
	TaiESM1 (r1i1p1f1)	TaiESM1 (r1i1p1f1)		NorESM2-MM (r1i1p1f1)
	UKESM1-0-LL (r10i1p1f2)	UKESM1-0-LL (r10i1p1f2)		TaiESM1 (r1i1p1f1)
				UKESM1-0-LL (r1i1p1f2)

List of considered models and ensemble members for the five SSP scenarios. Models in bold are those that were selected based on Tokarska et al.<sup>16</sup> as showing warming trends during 1981-2014 that are compatible with observations (see Methods).