CAPITAL FORMATION, CAPITAL STOCK AND CAPITAL/OUTPUT RATIOS

(Concepts, Definitions, Data, 1850-1975)

C. Doblin

March 1977

WP-77-5

Working Papers are internal publications intended for circulation within the Institute only. Opinions or views contained herein are solely those of the author.

Introductory Remark

The Energy Program of IIASA looks into energy strategies for the transition from today's infrastructure into a future's energy system that permits the supply of very large and practically unlimited amounts of energy. Such supply systems tend to be very capital intensive. A kW at the end use side can easily cost \$3000. In that case it is important to consider more generally the problem of capital formation.

The present compilation of Mrs. Doblin is an attempt by the IIASA Energy Program to review and compile relevant data. The IIASA energy program is primarily science and engineering oriented and does not claim to substitute for professional economic investigations. But nevertheless it is necessary to consider and evaluate the economic data pool as it appears to be available. So this paper is meant to serve as a working paper for the purposes of the IIASA program.

Wolf Lifele

Wolf Häfele

Table of Contents

II.

.

Page

Pre	face	1-2			
Int	roduction: Gross Domestic Product; Historic Growth Rates; UN Projection Targets	3-6			
I.	Capital Formation				
	1. Concepts	7-9			
	 Investment Coefficients, Developed Countries 1850-1975 	8-1 5			
	 Per Capita G.D.P., Economic Activity and Investment Coefficient, Cross Section Analysis 	15-22			
	4. Prices, Interest Rates, Population	22-32			
	5. Observations on what made Capital Formation grow	33-34			
I.	Capital Stock				
	1. History, Concepts, Evaluation	35-39			
	2. The Historical Sweep, 1850-1974 (Germany,				
	FRG and US)	40-46			
	2.1 Sources and Linkage of Series	40-41			
	(a) Germany, FRG				
	(b) United States				
	2.2 Growth of Capital Stock, 1850-1974	42-44			
	(a) Total Capital Stock				
	(b) Per ^C apita Capital Stock				
	2.3 Capital Stock by Broad Economic Sectors, 1850-1974	45-46			
	(a) Limits to Comparability				
	(b) Interpretation of Data				
	3. The more recent Past, 1950-1974	47-53			
	3.1 U.S., F.R.G. and U.K. Capital Stock by Industries, 1950-1974	47-50			
	(a) U.S. Business Capital Stock				
	(b) F.R.G. Capital Stock by 19 Groups of Activities				
	(c) Comparison of 1970 U.S. Business Capital and F.R.G. Capital Stock				

(d) U.K. Gross Capital Stock of Industries at 1970 Replacement Cost, 1964-1974

	3.2	Wor	ld Capital Stock by Regions, 1950-19	70 51-5	3
		(a)	Evaluation of Estimates		
		(Ь)	Extrapolation of the UN data to 197	5	
		(c)	Growth of Global Capital Stock by R	egions	
III	. <u>Cap</u>	ital,	Output Ratios		
	1.	Con	cepts	54-5	5
	2.	Est	imates of Capital/Output Ratios	55-6	1
		(a)	World Average Capital/Output ratios regions, 1950-1970	by	
		(b)	United States Capital/Output Ratios		
		(c)	F.R.G. Capital/Output Ratios		
			Capital Stock/GDP Output Ratio Sectors of the Economy, 1950-19	s, Major 74	
			<pre>ii) Capital Stock/Output Ratios,</pre>		
		(đ)	U.K. Capital Output Ratios, 1964-19	74	
Ref	erenc	es		62	
App	endic	es			
Α.	Note of	on C	ompilation of Long Term GFCF Deflato	rs A1-A	ċ
в.	The UN Future of the World Economy, Comparison of Selected Data (World G.D.P; Capital Formation;B1-B8World Capital Stock, Total and by Regions;B1-B8				3
с.	Table	s			
	I. Capital Formation				
	II. Capital Stock				

III. Capital/Output Ratios

N .

,

Preface

There is considerable concern about a possible general capital shortage, and more specifically the question is raised whether there will be enough capital over the next 30 years to permit the expansion of traditional energy supplies and the transition from conventional to non-conventional fuel sources with appropriate expansion and changes in patterns of transportation. The amounts of future long-term capital required may further be increased by the demands for environmental protection.

The following study is concerned only with the development of capital in the past. The past means going back to the mid 19th century for the presently developed countries (US, UK, FRG, France, Japan) to look at capital formation and capital stock. A more recent past, 1950 to 1974 was studied both because more recent data are more reliable, and for the growth of the more narrowly defined business capital stock and capital output ratios, for the US, F.R.G. and the world.

The purpose of this look into the past was to detect from a series of statistical facts at macro-economic levels any clues on the behavior of capital formation under the impact of innovation industries, that might be of relevance for the future availability of capital stock. While it is fully acknowledged that the past can not be seen as blueprint for the future, and with due reservations on the quality of the statistical evidence, it is hoped that the many data compiled for the study may serve a useful purpose in establishing the range, if not the absolute amounts, of some of the variables used in modelling capital demands by the energy sector.

-1-

At this point, I should like to emphasize that the historical chapter on capital does not attempt to study all aspects of capital formation. No reference is made to the institutional questions, except for long term series of bank and prime rates, the capital market has been ignored, including the question of how much of the capital requirements could be met through self-financing. Likewise, fiscal policy (re-distribution of income, corporate taxation) or monetary theory (i.e. how changes in money supply determine a nation's economic course) that bear on the origin of capital formation, are not considered.

We have looked at Schumpeter's classical example of the "Railroadization" as a basic innovation industry with large scale capital requirements surpassing the capacities of individual entrepreneurs, and an industry where profitability cannot be achieved within the time horizon that most investors care to envisage. The history of the financing of railroads and other industries in the 19th and early 20th century might lend credence to the statement that "the shortage of capital is an optical illusion", especially at macro-economic level in the developed countries. The question is whether towards the end of the 20th century, this statement applies for the developed and developing countries to meet the capital requirements of the innovation industries of the future? This matter may be considered in the light of the thoughts developed by Professor Fritsch on the relationship between "tension factors" and "adjustors".^{1a}

¹See Joseph A. Schumpeter, Business Cycles, McGraw Hill, New York, 1939. See in particular Vol.I., Chapter VII, Historical Outlines.

^{1a}See Bruno Fritsch, Ein Projektorientiertes, Heuristisches Verfahren zur Modellierung von Politisch, Ökonomisch und Ökologisch Relevanten Globalen Zusammenhängen [Paper 76/8]. Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, Zürich, September 1976.

-2-

Introduction: The Growth of GDP. (Historic Growth Rates; Projection Targets).

History is full of the examples by which "to illustrate the nature and <u>modis operandi</u> of innovation, in particular the way in which innovation produces prosperity and depression"². The various Appendix Tables show how population, G.D.P., and capital grew, and how prices and interest rates moved in some of our presently developed countries, from the mid 19th century to date. This period was marked by long-term or secular (i.e. 50 years) business cycles overshadowing medium-and short-term cycles; the transition of main sources of energy supplies through the market penetration of coal, oil and gas, and the beginning of atomic energy; and a succession of innovation industries, in particular the railroadization, electrification, the automobile, etc.

Introduction

Gross Domestic Product

A common way of expressing the economic state of a nation and measuring its growth is by estimation of the Gross Domestic Product (representing the expenditures on all goods and services produced in the country including those for exports and excluding imports) or by the concept favored in recent U.S. statistics of the Gross National Product (which measures the output attributed to the factors of production--labor and property--supplied by the residents of the country). See Appendix Table I.1 Population,

²Joseph A.Schumpeter, Business Cycles, op.cit.Vol. I, p.291

Per Capita GDP and Prices in the Developed Countries, 1850-1975 (Selected Years).

Investments are both a determinant for, and the outcome of the growth of GDP. For this reason, capital formation, and building up of capital stock, depend on the size of the Gross product and its rate of growth. Table I.2 shows the growth rates of per capita GDP in constant prices of a number of presently developed countries (U.K. U.S., Germany/FRG) from the middle of the 19th century to the present. As the system of National Accounts was perfected only in the late 1940's, the historical series evolved mostly from estimates made in retrospect.³ To facilitate presentation, and to eliminate annual fluctuations, we compiled the growth rates as averages <u>within</u> five year periods. The only instance where this was not possible for lack of data, was in the case of the U.S., 1869/72 to 1927/31, where the average

³For publications of Historical series of National Accounts, see: F.R.G., Statistisches Bundesamt. Bevölkerung und Wirtschaft 1872-1972, Wiesbaden, 1972. Waler G. Hoffmann, Grumbach, Hesse. Das Wachstum der Deutschen Wirtschaft seit der Mitte des 19. Jahrhunderts. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1965. Simon Kuznets: Quantitative Aspects of the Economic Growth of Nations; chapter V. Capital Formation Proportions and VI. Long Term Trends in Capital Formation Proportions in: Economic Development and Cultural Change, Vol. VIII No.4, Part II, July 1960 and Vol. IX, No.4, July 1961. B.R.Mtichell with the collaboration of Phyllis Deane. Abstract of British Historical Statistics, Cambridge University Press, 1971. B.R.Mitchell: European Historical Statistics 1750-1970. MacMillan Press, Ltd. London, 1975. H. Rosowsky, Capital Formation in Japan, 1868-1940. Glencoe Press, New York, $196\overline{1}$. U.S. Department of Commerce. Historical Statistics of the United States, Colonial Times to 1970, Washington, D.C. 1975.

-4-

growth rates are compiled as from one-five year's period to the next, i.e. from 1367/71 to 1872/76.

The data on Appendix Tables I.1 and I.2 suggest that the road to development was marked by fairly large fluctuations. In fact, the fluctuations, annual and cyclical, become even more evident, if we look at annual growth rates, and investment coefficients, discussed more fully below. The data further suggest that during the long climb towards increased industrialization, G.D.P. total and per capita grew at fairly low rates in the U.K. and Germany. In the U.K. up to World War I, the average annual growth of total GDP was seldom more, often less than 2%; exceptions were two extraordinary growth periods, 1852/56 (3.7%) and 1867/71 (6.5%). The German performance during the pre-World War I period was not much better, except for the two boom periods, 1892/96 (4.5%) and 1902/06 (4.2%). Compared to these two older industrial countries, the growth rates of total GDP in the U.S.A. from 1869/72 to the first World War, were higher and constantly above In the post World War II period, the picture was reversed, 3%. with US average annual GDP growth rates below UK and FRG levels.

Historic Growth Rates; UN Projection Targets.

The review of the GDP Growth Rates may be useful as a means of checking on the premises on which to build projections. The United Nations in their recent projections for "the demographic, economic and environmental states of the world", in 1980, 1990 and 2,000 envisages the following targets for GDP growth rates in developed and developing countries:

;

-5-

G.D.P. Growth Rates, UN Targets

		Total	Per Capi	ta
	Developed	Developing	Developed	Developing
I. =	4.5%	6.0%	3.5%	3.5%
C =	3.6%	6.9%	3.0%	4.9%

I = indicates scenario based on extrapolation to the year 2000 of IDS*targets for gross product increase in developing countries and extrapolated long-term historical rates in developed countries.

C = indicates scenario based on substantial reduction of gap in gross product per capita between developing and developed countries.

* = IDS = International Development Strategy

Source: Compiled from UN Future of the World Economy, Preliminary, 1976, p.12.

A comparison of the UN targets with historical observations suggests that the UN rates seem to be reasonable as far as developed countries are concerned. The achievement of the targets set for the developing countries does not seem to be realistic, in the light of the history of the developed countries. Moreover, the developing countries' own performance (especially on a per capita level) during the first and second Development Decades (1960's and 1970's) does not warrant the UN optimism.

I. Capital Formation

1. Concepts

The compilation of historical series on capital formation was done by working backwards from the most recent statistics of the UN Yearbook of National Accounts (YNA). The advantage of starting out with the UN (instead of national sources) is that data were made internationally comparable by means of a guestionnaire of the U.N. Statistical Office. Thus, gross fixed capital formation (GFCF) as used by the UN and in this study, represents for all countries the investments, or annual additions of capital goods in all sectors of the economy, government, business and residential or households⁴. The capital goods consist of: construction (residential and non-residential buildings); land improvement; transportation equipment (passenger cars and other); machinery and other equipment, and, where appropriate, breeding stock. In principle, all military goods are excluded. For the purpose of this analysis, we have eliminated inventories, although in current systems of National Accounts (SNA) they are considered as capital goods -- though not as fixed capital goods.

Current SNA do not include under capital formation any services, thus certain activities are automatically excluded, such as ^research and development (unless embodied in material capital goods), education, training activities, health services. On the other hand,

-7-

⁴It may be noted that current US capital formation series are frequently limited to "Gross Fixed Private Domestic Investments" which exclude the government sector, or to "Non-residential Gross Fixed Private Investments" which relate to the business sector only.

passenger cars are considered capital goods, and included under capital formation. We made no adjustments for these matters.

In order to get an idea of what among the above defined capital formation may be relevant for our analysis, we could give a quote from S. Kuznets:

"...The capital goods that are clearly carriers of technological change--producers' equipment and the construction that serves public utilities, dams etc.-account for two thirds, at most, of gross domestic capital formation in recent years; and for a smaller proportion in the earlier decades when the share of producers equipment tended to be lower."

[Source S. Kuznets: Population, Capital and Growth. W.N.Norton Co. New York, 1973, p.127]

2. Investment Coefficients, Developed Countries 1850-1975 For the U.K. the oldest among the industrialized countries, annual capital formation data are available beginning with the year 1830. For Germany, annual data start with the year 1850. French data, for 10 year average, start with 1789. Japanese capital formation and GNP data go back to 1887/96. For the U.S., capital formation and GDP (later GNP) estimates begin with 1869/73. Because most of the US historical data are available only for 5 year averages, we have presented 5 year averages for other countries as well. (See also our per capita GDP presentation). This has the advantage of eliminating annual fluctuations, besides it renders the presentation more manageable. Certain years, which have a special significance, are shown separately, for example 1912 and 1913 (Germany and U.K.); 1937 and 1938; and 1970 to date.

The origin and sources of the historical series of GDP or GNP, of which capital formation is part, were indicated on page 4 above, footnote 3. Because of the uncertainties involved in the estimates, any interpretation must proceed with a great deal of caution. The investment coefficients were compiled from series in current prices of GFCF and GDP; and while we are fairly certain that GFCF covered generally the same type of capital goods in the various countries, we are less certain as regards the GDP or GNP. For instance, in the statistics we found for France, the "GNP" may be closer to "National Income" (which conceptually is lower than GDP) -- and this might explain why our French investment coefficient in the pre-World War I period appear to be at a rather high level. Discomforting as this may be, it is not a deterrent to the observation of the long-term trend; i.e. in France, the stabilization of the investment coefficient in the periods before the First World War, coincided with population stabilization. The attempts to study magnitudes of capital formation in constant prices of a single period and their conversion from national currencies to U.S. dollars, is more hazardous. Unfortunately, we cannot assign margins of error; and this handicap must be considered when making any evaluation of "magnitudes" of capital formation or G.D.P.

-9-



In the long term developments of each nation we saw that from the mid-19th century on or earlier until 1974, the investment coefficients, except during wars and depressions, continued their upward ways in the U.K., Germany, F.R.G., France, Japan, and for a while the U.S. (See Figure 1, based on Appendix Table I.4). Quite relevant may be the fact that during the earlier, long period of their economic growth, in the 19th century until World War I, the presently developed countries saved at most 20% of their GDP. But during the economic growth period, following the second World War, a relatively short period, the investment coefficients rose more rapidly than ever before in history in France (27.9% of GDP at peak in 1969) F.R.G. (26.7% at 1971 peak), and Japan (34.9% at 1970 peak). [If inventories were included in capital formation, the coefficients would be 29% France, 28% F.R.G. and 40% Japan]. Both the F.R.G. and Japan have relatively low defense budgets.

By contrast to these countries, the U.S. share of Gross Domestic Fixed Capital formation in GNP remained rather constant. Appendix Table I.4 shows that U.S. investment coefficient (total, including government, business, farm and non-farm, and residential investments) stopped growing after 1892/96, when the share of capital formation had reached 21.3%, or about one fifth of GNP. The coefficient has since remained constant (except for the depression of the 1930's) or fallen off slightly. The decrease is so small that some analysts view it as the result of statistical discrepancies. Whichever way one might interpret the long-term US trend (stabilization or slight fall off) it does contrast sharply with the recent trend of the other, presently developed countries. A rather slow move, with changes usually less than one

-11-

percentage point, is also seen in the U.S. business sector investment coefficient (see Appendix Table I.4), especially for the past World War II period.

Following are a few observations on investment coefficients in the developed countries, i) mid-19th century to the end of the 1940's); ii) 1950-1975).

i) Mid 19th century to the end of the 1940's: United Kingdom. Reflecting the country's low level of industrialization, the investment coefficient was below 4% in the early 1830's. In twenty years' time, with progress almost linear (except for a minor setback in 1842/46 that coincided with a period of price decline), the investment coefficient reached 8% in 1847/51. In the nearly 100 years following up to 1942/46, the high point of 1847/51 was surpassed, and this by less than one percentage point, only in a few, short periods: 1862/66 and again 1897/01 and 1902/06. The 1862/66 high level of the investment coefficient coincides or rather reflects a period during which investments in U.K. railroads were at their highest, & 22.3 million in current prices and 29.4% of all domestic capital formation. 5,6 The high ratio of the domestic capital coefficient 1897/01 and 1902/06 may have been due to a boom in residential construction (over & 30 million per year) and investments in machinery for domestic use (H 30.5 -30.7 million). 5,6

-12-

⁵See U.K. Domestic Gross Fixed Capital Formation for Residential Construction; mercantile shipbuilding; railways; local authorities' loan expenditures; machinery for domestic use and other for the year 1856-1914 in current and constant prices of 1913 in Abstract of British Historical Statistics, op.cit. p.373/374.

⁶It is interesting to note that during the period 1862/66 gross capital expenditures by the British railroads were at their highest, when, according to Schumpeter the "heroic age of genuine railroad innovation that revolutionized the economic system was entirely over by 1860...and English railroad development from about 1860 on was a consequence of growth in our sense and innovation elsewhere in the system, responding at every step to existing conditions, rather than an active factor of innovation". Schumpeter, op.cit. p.342.

<u>Germany</u>. Investment coefficients becoming available as of mid 19th century, were consistently at a higher level than those of the U.K., except for the one period during the deep depression in 1932/36 when they fell below the U.K. rate. The German investment coefficient which was nearly 9% in 1850/51, rose to a high of 14.6% in 1872/76. This ascent took about 20 years, the progress was straight, except for the decline in 1857/61 (when the U.K. rate also took a dip). The high point of 14.6% reached in 1872/76 was equalled and slightly surpassed in the last years before the outbreak of the first World War (1897/01 to 1913). It was also during that period that the gap between the investment coefficients of Germany and the U.K. widened considerably, as the U.K. coefficient started to decline.

<u>United States.</u> The U.S. data, becoming available with the Kuznets estimates for 1869/7³ of gross private and government investment excluding stocks as percent of gross national product were consistently towering over the U.K. and German coefficients, through boom times and depressions, up until the end of the 1940s⁶. The remarkable feature of the U.S. pre-1947 investment coefficient is that the high point of 1892/96 was never reached again. The development from 1892/96 to 1927/31 shows two modest upward moves, 1902/06 and 1917/21; but on the whole, the trendwas near stagnation and slowly downward. This trend was to be accentuated in the years following the second World War.

ii) 1950-1975

Characteristic for this period is the fact that among the developed countries, the U.S. investment coefficient is no more

-13-

among the highest and the U.K.'s no longer among the lowest. The strongly rising investment coefficients of the European developed countries and Japan, from 1950 to 1973, reflect the re-equipment of industries and the "Wirtschaftswunder" of the post World War II area.

The very latest 1974 and 1975 National Accounts data for Japan and the F.R.G. show a considerable drop in the investment coefficients during the recession. In Japan, the investment coefficient tumbled from its 1970 high of 34.9% to 30.9% in 1975. In the F.R.G. the fall was from a 1971 high of 26.7% to 21.1% in 1975. The U.K.'s investment coefficient continued to rise in 1974, (when it reached 20.0%) with only a very moderate fall off in 1975 (19.8%). A similar movement may have occurred in France, continuous rise of the investment coefficient in 1974, and moderate fall off in 1975 (from 29.8% (?) to 28.9% (?). The U.S. business investment coefficient fell from a peak of 11.4% in 1973 to 10.5% in 1974 and 9.9% in 1975.

It was relatively easy to see that and why the investment coefficients tended to rise in the European developed countries and Japan, under the impact of reconstruction and innovation industries. It might be more difficult to explain why the U.S. investment coefficients are both at a comparatively low level (i.e. below 20%), and relatively stable. The relatively low level of the investment coefficient may be seen in the fact that in countries where per

-14-

capita G.D.P. and annual investments are high in absolute amounts, a fairly high share of the investments go into maintenance. Other countries with comparatively high per capita G.D.P. and relatively low investment coefficients are Sweden and Canada (see also below, cross section analysis on per capita G.D.P. and investment coefficient.)

As regards the levelling off of the U.S. investment coefficient or its tendency to slowly decline, this might be explained by a look at the marginal productivity of investment. In this connection, the reader may be referred to the development of the U.S. capital/output ratios 1950-1970, (Department of Commerce data), or to the F.R.G. 1950-1970 capital/output ratios, discussed in section III. of this paper.

3. Per capita G.D.P., Economic Activity and Investment Coefficient Cross Section Analysis.

Generally, the long-term developments show that the investent coefficients tended to rise, at least for a while, as per capita G.D.P. increased and the role of agriculture decreased in the nation's economies. The assumption that the investment coefficient is largely, though not exclusively, determined by the level of income and economic activity was tested in a cross section analysis encompassing 80 countries ranked in decreasing order of their 1970 per capita G.D.P. in U.S. Dollars. The analysis relates to market economies only, in 1960 and 1970.¹ The ranking of the countries may invite some criticism, because of the inadequacy of official exchange rates used for converting G.D.P. from national currencies to U.S. dollars. A study by the U.N. and the World Bank attempted to compile an "ideal exchange rate" based on price indices for goods according to the weight they held within the respective countries and the U.S. in 1970. The data reveal considerable undervaluation for the U.K.. Japan and F.R.G., and overvaluation for France, when official exchange rates are used instead of the "ideal exchange rates".²

While the ranking of the individual countries might be distorted by official exchange rates, its use seems still to be adequate for the distribution of countries into broad groups as used for the study: 1. 1970 per capita G.D.P. \$1,700 and more; 2. 1970 per capita G.D.P. \$250 to 1,100; and 3. 1970 per capita G.D.P. below \$ 250. No example was found for 1970 per capita GDP between \$1,150 and \$1,700.

¹The data were compiled from the UN <u>Yearbook of National Accounts</u> <u>Statistics</u>, Vol.III, 1973 (latest available at IIASA). The UN source gives per capita GDP expressed in US \$ and percentage share of GFCF in GDP for market economies only (see UN, op.cit., Tables IA and IIA). Per capita product of centrally planned economies are not converted to dollars. Data on net fixed capital formation as percent of net material product, for Bulgaria, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, German Dem. Rep., Hungary, Poland, USSR and Yugoslavia are shown in the UN Yearbook, op.cit. Table 2B.

²See Irving B. Kravis, Z. Kennessey et al. <u>A System of International</u> <u>Comparisons of Gross Product and Purchasing Power</u>. Johns Hopkins <u>University Press, Baltimore 1975; Tables 1.1 and 1.3, pages 6 and 8.</u>

i) Per capita G.D.P. and kind of economic activity.

Because of the paucity of the data, it is best to limit the economic activity groups to "agriculture, forestry and fishery", and "industry". Data for the individual countries falling under each per capita G.D.P. class may be seen on Appendix Table I.7.

a. Per capita G.D.P. \$1700 and more.

In this group, the share of agriculture is very low; in most countries it amounts to no more than 3 to 6 percent of G.D.P.

The industry sector is the source of about 30 percent of G.D.P. in the rich countries. Exceptions are due to special circumstances. For instance, the fact that in the FRG 46% of GDP come from industry may have something to do with the partition of Germany, as more of the industrialized areas became part of the FRG. The fact that Japan's industry sector is the source of nearly 40% of its GDP may be an indication of that country's intent drive for industrialization, especially in the manufacturing sector (36%)--and a certain lack of underdevelopment of services. In some of the super-rich countries the share of services in G.D.P. is relatively small; in others (US, UK, Sweden) it is fairly high--and these are the countries with a relatively low investment coefficient.

b. Countries with 1970 per capita GDP between \$1,100 and \$250.

In this group of countries, one could roughly say that 20-30% of G.D.P. derives from agriculture. Countries where agriculture accounts for much less are those with a pronounced mining for export sector; i.e. Saudi-Arabia, Venezuela, Iran, Iraq, Chile, South Africa.

³Data for the percentage distribution of G.D.P. by kind of economic activity are given in the <u>UN Yearbook of National Accounts Statistics</u>, Vol. III. (op.cit.) Table 3. These data are based on estimates of G.D.P. in current prices. As stated by the UN (Yearbook, op.cit., p.94, general note to table 3), the estiamtes are not fully comparable from country to country in coverage and classification used. In addition, for some countries, the components can not be adequately estimated for lack of information on import duties. For these reasons, we have eliminated from our sample those countries whose statistics did not seem plausible, i.e. where components added up to only 80% of total, or where we found gross inconsistencies. This explains why only 63 countries are included in the analysis.

c) Countries with per capita GDP of less than \$250,--.

In this group of countries, more than 30% of GDP derives from agriculture. Exceptions are those countries that have a pronounced mining export sector, namely Bolivia (agriculture = 16% of GDP), also Zaire (agriculture = 26% of GDP). But generally it is observed that the low level of development is reflected in the high proportion of agriculture in GDP. In fact, at the bottom of the scale, or hard core underdeveloped countries, the agricultural sector accounts for about 50% of GDP, for example in Uganda (49%), Malawi (51%), Ethiopia (51%), Burundi (52%).

The conclusions to be drawn from the above analysis for the capital formation study is that the investment coefficients tend to be low in countries where a high proportion of GDP originates from the agricultural sector. As the structure of any country tends to move slowly, the implication of the above stated conclusion is that there are powerful constraints that should not be overlooked when estimating the developing countries' capacity to absorb major increases in capital and energy consumption.

ii) Per Capita G.D.P and investment coefficients

The individual countries that fall into the three per capita G.D.P. classes and the investment coefficients typical for these classes are shown on Appendix Table I.8. The 1970 data are plotted on a graph (see figure 2); on the left side of the graph are most of the developing countries, poor and with low investment coefficients; the cluster of countries is thinned out towards the right side of the graph, where higher per capita G.D.P. and higher investment coefficients prevail.

a) 1970 per capita G.D.P. of \$1,700 and more.

The share of capital formation ranges mostly between 20 and 27% of G.D.P. Exceptions on the high side are Japan (35% in 1970) and, not shown on the table, Switzerland (28% in 1970). Exceptions at the bottom of the scale are the U.K. (18%) and the U.S. (17%). The low investment coefficient of the U.S. is matched and topped



only by rich, but not developed countries, i.e. Kuweit (14%); also Libya (18%).

With the exception of Japan and France, the investment coefficient hardly changed between 1960 and 1970. Examples are the U.S., where the coefficient remained constant (17%), or dropped slightly as in Canada (22% in 1960 and 21% in 1970) or increased slightly as in Sweden (21% in 1960 and 22% in 1970). The little fall in Canada's investment coefficient was accomplished by a fall in its rank among the super rich below Sweden, while Sweden's little increase in its investment ratio moved her up the ladder of per capita G.D.P. to second after the US in 1970 (and, as stated above, atop the US in more recent years).

The shifts in rank among the super rich and rich may not be so important--especially since data are not adjusted for inflation. What is important is the fact that a relatively low and levelling off investment coefficient coincides with a slower growth of per capita G.D.P.

b) Middle level, 1970 per capita G.D.P. between \$1,100 and \$250. The share of capital formation in G.D.P. varies widely among these countries, but generally one could state that the normal share of capital formation would be between 16 and 22% of G.D.P. For example, 22%--Venezuela, Costa Rica; 21%--Spain; 20%--Argentina, Mexico, Colombia, Ecuador, Tunesia; 19%--Brazil, Iran, Turkey, Honduras, Liberia; 18%--Portugal, Philippines. Exceptions in the case of countries that show a higher or lower share of capital formation can be explained in most cases as being due to special circumstances. For instance, the fact that South Africa shows a 1970 per capita GDP of only \$773 and a capital formation ratio as high as 27%, is a reflection of certain developments in the country.

-20-

The fairly high ratio of Panama, 24% in 1970, it continued to rise to 30% in 1972, may be due to certain activities in the Canal zone. Jamaica's high ratio of 25% in 1970, marking the height of the investment boom for tourist trade expansion, has dropped subsequently to 23% in 1972.

c) Low level, 1970 per capita G.D.P. of less than \$250.

In this group of countries the 1970 share of capital formation is hardly ever more than 15% of G.D.P., as for example in India (15%), Pakistan, Indonesia (14%)--to mention just the largest countries in this block. The exceptions shown by some countries, could be explained as follows: Thailand's fairly high share of capital formation (24% of G.D.P.) seems to reflect certain special features of Thailand's economy that may have been connected with the Vietnam war.

Apart from these exceptions, the general tendency in this group of low per capita GDP is "the lower the GDP per capita the lower the investment coefficient". It is therefore no accident that countries with lowest per capita GDP have also the lowest investment coefficients, i.e. 11% (Sudan, Chad), 10% (Burma), 8% (Upper Volta), 7% (Haiti), 6% (Burundi).

The findings, with all due reservations, could be summarized as follows:

Investment Coefficient and Share of Agriculture in GDP, by size of per capita G.D.P. in 1970

Per Capita G.D.P.	Share of Agriculture in G.D.P.	Investment Coefficient
\$ 1,700 and more	less than 10%	20% and more
\$ 250 to \$ 1,100	10-20%	15-20%
below \$ 250	25-50%	below 15%

Generally, the cross section analysis showed that there seems to be a very direct relationship between low per capita G.D.P., dominance of agriculture as main subistence source, and very low investment coefficient in the groups of very poor, so-called "hard core" underdeveloped countries. The relationship ceases to be less direct among countries that have reached higher levels of development. There, the capability for capital formation is affected by a number of other factors besides rising per capita G.D.P. They include population growth, resource endowment, foreign trade, distribution of income and wealth, and other elements that are hard to quantify, i.e. stage of development or investment absorption capabilities, Governments' fiscal and development policies, social innovations, and R&D, and confidence in countries' economies and politics, etc.

Among the rich and super-rich, the sheer fact that per capita G.D.P. has reached high levels in absolute amounts may by itself be a factor causing the investment coefficient to level off (i.e. Sweden, Canada, U.S.) in view of the high requirements for maintenance.

4. Prices, Interest Rates, Population, 1850-1975

4.1 Prices (GFCF deflators)

i) Concepts

One reason for the compilation of the long term price index numbers is to study the relationship between price movements and the development of the investment coefficient. Another purpose served is the conversion into constant prices of absolute amounts of per capita capital formation and capital stock.

In most cases, the deflators are implicit in the national accounts data, showing G.D.P. and GFCF in current and constant prices.¹

,

ł

-22-

¹For the pre-World War I period, GFCF and GDP deflators are mostly identical.



1



-24-

į

1

.....



-25- *

The compilation method for our long-term price index numbers consisted in shifting the base years of the deflators, if an when the series were overlapping and in linking the price indexes of various periods. For example, the U.K. National Accounts data 1850 to 1913 are given by the source in prices of 1900; next we have National Accounts data 1913 to 1947 in prices of The German national accounts data 1850 to 1913 are 1938, etc. given in 1913 prices, and the data 1913 to 1938 in 1938 prices, The U.S. used 1929 prices for the historic series 1867/73 etc. the basis for the series 1929 to 1974 is 1958; and finally to 1947; (for capital stock) we have series 1925 to 1975 in terms of 1972 prices. Each price series is based on a different basket of goods; therefore the fact that our GFCF deflators cover a century long period does not mean that they are based on a single basket of goods which had never changed over the entire period. A problem arose only in the case of implicit deflators when the time series did not overlap and when a link had to be found between two price series. How this was done in the cases of the F.R.G., U.K. and France, is indicated in Appendix VI, Note on compilation of Long Term GFCF Deflators.

ii) International comparisons.

Our price indicators show considerable agreement as to the trend in the various countries. For the years 1850 till the outbreak of World War I the prices reflect the long term business cycles or waves suggested by Kondratieff². The data on Appendix Table I.5 show prices at a peak in the late 1860's in the U.S.A. (Civil War); 1872-76 in the U.K. and Germany (after Franco-Prussian War). French deflators peaked during the decade 1865-74. This is followed in all four countries by a fall lasting about 20 years and reaching its lowest point in 1892-96. Then comes a slow climb up, taking almost another 20 years, and by the outbreak of World War I the deflators are

²The long term cycles or waves suggested by Kondratieff: 1780/90 to 1844/51; with a peak in 1810/17; second wave from 1844/51 to 1890/96; with a peak in 1870/75; and a rise from 1890/96 to 1914/20 after which "probably" came a decline. (Source: International Encyclopedia of the Social Sciences, Vol. 7-8, p.443) Kondratieff is frequently quoted in A. Schumpeter <u>Business Cycles</u>, New York, 1939.

about back to where they stood around the turn of the 1870's. Only the French deflator index, with 1905/13=100, had not regained the earlier peaks of 1855/64 and 1865/74 when it stood at 127 and 125. (French historic data are available for 10 year periods only). However, while the late 19th and early 20th century may have been periods of generally falling deflators for France, things changed radically with later instability of the Franc.

Next our deflators show the depression (US, UK, Germany with incomplete data also France), and the subsequent recovery in the U.S. and U.K.

Post World War II period.

At the threshold of the 1950's an unprecedented growth period was ushered in. Unfortunately, prices also rose. As indicated above, we have linked the pre-World War I deflators to those of the post World War II area. A comparison of these deflators shows surprising similarity for the FRG, U.K. and U.S. especially in 1952/56. Prices and deflators have risen since, with the U.K. having outpaced both the U.S.A. and F.R.G. Remarkably, both F.R.G. and U.S.A. were in 1974 at almost identical levels vis a vis their 1913, respectively 1912/16 averages.

Post World War II GFCF Deflators

	Index Numbers, 1913=100		
	F.R.G.	U.K.	U.S.
1913	100	100	100 ^{a)}
1952-56	314.0	373.7	350.8
1967-71	473	558	505
1970	528	584	526
1971	566	635	557
1972	5 9 0	695	574
1973 ^p	617	807 ^p	606
1974 ^p	658	970 ^p	657
1975	677	1203	734

p= preliminary data.
a= 1912/16

iii) Comparison of the movements of prices and investment coefficients.

If one were to plot a graph of the GFCF deflators (Appendix Table I.5) and the investment coefficients (Appendix Table I.4) one could easily see in each country how the investment coefficients tend to rise and fall with increasing and decreasing prices, except for World Wars and times of runaway inflation. Thus it seems that the biggest incentive for capital formation are the periods of modest price increases that go with, or lead real economic growth.

4.2 Interest rates

The observations on capital formation would be incomplete if there was no reference to the income on capital, or interest and profits. While considerable light is shed on the profits of U.S. corporations 1948 to 1973, in the study by W.D.Nordhaus "The Falling Share of Profits"¹, there are no long term and internationally comparable data that we found readily available. The following observations are therefore limited to the long term development of interest rates and bond yields in the U.K. and Germany/F.R.G. since about 1850 and the U.S. prime rate since 1890.

Concepts, Sources

The compilation of "interest rates and bond yields" may be justified on the basis of a quote from Schumpeter, as "average of interest rates and bond yields" serve as index of business activity².

Historic statistics of the lending rate charged by the Bank of England start with 1797, giving the year, month and day of changes.³

-28-

W.D.Nordhaus "The Falling Share of Profits" in Brookings Papers on Economic Activity 1/1974, p.169-217.

²Joseph A. Schumpeter. Business Cycles Vol.I. McGraw Hill, New York, 1939, p.23, footnote 1.

³See B.R.Mitchell and Phyllis Deane. Abstract of British Historical Statistics. Cambridge University Press 1971. Monograph 17 and U.K. Annual Abstract of Statistics, 1975. <u>International Monetary Fund</u> (IMF), <u>International Financial Statistics</u> (Monthly).

In order to simplify compilation and presentation, we show the rates as of mid and end of the year (see Appendix Table I.11). Long time series exist also for U.K. Government securities; these are the "yield on consols" (Consolidated Government Obligations shown annually since 1796 in the U.K. statistics⁴. (See Appendix Table I.9)

For Germany readily available historical series on interest rates for government obligations (at communal level) exist for the pre World War I period, 1850-1913⁵. (Appendix Table I.10). The German Central bank's rate or the "Bank Diskont Satz" of the Reichsbank, and subsequently the Bundesbank go back to 1870 (Appendix Table I.11). For 1870 to 1971, the rates are given in terms of annual averages⁶; they were updated by compilations from current publications on changes in the "Diskont" of the Bundesbank^{6a}. Data on the diskont of the Bundesbank at end of the month, are also published by the International Monetary Fund (IMF)^{6b}.

For the U.S.A. an indicator of historical interest rates are the series, starting with 1890 on the "Prime rate on commercial papers for 4-6 months"; this is the interest charged by commercial banks to their prime customers⁷ (see appendix Table I.11).

The bank rates and the "prime rates", while not typical for all lending and borrowing transactions, may be considered as minimum lending rates (for most of the periods under consideration). Moreover, they indicate the trend of the nation's interest rates.

⁶See "Diskont und Geldmarktsätze" in <u>Bevölkerung und Wirtschaft</u> 1872-1970 published by the Statistische Bundesamt, Wiesbaden 19.. p.215.

⁷See the Prime rate, on an annual basis, in "Money Market Rates: 1890-1970" in Historical Statistics of the U.S. Colonial Times to 1970, U.S. Government Printing Office...p.1001, Updated in the U.S. Statistical Abstract, and Survey of Current Business.

^{6a}See Deutsche Bundesbank. <u>Monatsberichte</u>.

^{6b}See International Monetary Fund (IMF) <u>International Financial</u> Statistics (Monthly).

⁴See Yield on Consols, 1756-1956 in B.R. Mitchell and Deane, op.cit., p.455; see also U.K. Annual Abstracts of Statistics, 1975, p.391. Note that in the UN statistical publications, the "Consols" appear as Treasury Bills, i.e. UN Statistical Yearbook, 1975

⁵See Die Verzinsung der Öffentlichen Schulden in W.G.Hoffmann, Grumbach Hesse. Das Wachstum der Deutschen Wirtschaft seit der Mitte des 19. Jahrhunderts, op.cit. p.798.

Observations; Interest rates and GFC deflators.

These tendencise become quite evident with the developments starting about 1900: In the U.K., Germany and U.S.A. the rise in bank and prime rates 1900 to 1929, was paralleled by rising GFCF deflators. The fall in prices, set off by the 1929 crash was accompanied by a steep descent of the U.S. prime rate from 5,85% in 1929 (already below a previous peak of 7.50% in 1921) to the all time low of 0.53% reached in 1941. In the U.K. the bank rate fell from 6 1/2% in September 1929 (that was below a previous peak of 7% on 15 April 1920, and still below the previous all time records of 10% reached on 1 August 1914; 12 May 1866 and 9 November 1857) to a depression level of 2% - which remained in effect throughout World War II until 1950. In Germany, the bank discount fell in 1929 from 7.11% (previous peak 9.15% in 1925) to a low of 4% in 1933, remaining at this level with only little change through 1950, when the annual discount rate stood at 4.36%. Based on these data one could say that all 3 countries (U.K., F.R.G. and U.S.A.) entered the 1950ies with bank, respectively prime rates, that hovered around depression levels of the 1930ies.

By contrast to the bank and prime rates, the price indicators, i.e. deflators of gross fixed capital formation, stood in all 3 countries by 1950 well above their 1929 levels. During the following, unprecedented growth period of G.D.P. and capital formation, prices and interest rates rose sharply. The increase was the steepest in the U.K. with the index of GFCF deflators reaching 206 in 1975 (1970=100) and the bank rate set at 15% on 6 October 1976. The inflation, measured in terms of GFCF deflators with 1970=100 was "milder" in the U.S. (1975=139.6) and FRG (1975=128.2); this was accompanied in the U.S. by a prime rate climbing to an all time

-30-

record of 9.87% in 1974 (it has since come down to 6.32% in 1975 and an estimated 5.5% in 1976). In the F.R.G. the bank discount rate peaked at 7% in 1973 fell to 6% in 1974 and stabilized at 3.5% in 1975 and 1976.

A comparison of inflation and interest rates with capital formation, measured in terms of the investment coefficient, shows these data for the recent recession:

Germany: In 1974 and 1975, inflation continued though less intensely than in the U.S. or U.K. and both the bank discount rate and the investment coefficients dropped, rather steeply. In 1976, inflation continued, the bank discount rate remained at its low level.

U.S.A.: In 1974 and 1975, inflation continued at a higher rate than in the FRG, the prime rate at first continued to climb (1974) but dropped in 1975 and 1976; the investment coefficient decreased in 1974 and 1975.

United Kingdom: In 1974 and 1975, inflation was higher than in the U.S. or FRG; the bank rate fell in 1974 and 1975, but rose sharply. The investment coefficient continued to rise in 1974 and fell off slightly in 1975 to a record level of 15% at the height of the sterling crisis in October 1976. It has since decreased and on 10 March the minimum lending rate (MLR) of the Bank of England was reduced by 1% to 11%, "responding to brighter financial prospects for Britain and falling money-market interest rates".

-31-

4.3 Population Growth

The population growth is not seen as natural growth alone. Instead, the data reflect the changes caused also by migrations and territorial changes.

The outstanding feature of the population growth trends shown on Appendix Table I.6 is the strong, and uninterrupted increase of U.S. population. For the pre-World War I period the index numbers show that by the middle of the 19th century, both Germany and the U.K. had already reached over 50% of their 1913 level, while the U.S. had barely reached 30% of its 1912-16 level. In the late 1930's, Germany (frontiers as of the time) had just come back to the 1913 number of the old empire; the U.K. had surpassed its 1913 level by a good 10%, and in the U.S. a good 30% more people were living than in 1912-16.

In the post World War II period we see that by 1975, the F.R.G.population was still nearly 10% below the empire level of 1913, while the U.K. population had grown to 30% above 1913, and the U.S. had more than doubled its population compared to 1912/16.
5. Observations on what made capital formation grow.

1. Prices.

Capital formation is part of GDP, and it grows when GDP grows and the investment coefficient rises, remains constant, or at least does not fall below a certain level. As our tables indicated, prices seem to have exerted a direct influence on capital formation. Investment coefficients, and per capita capital formation in constant prices rose with rising prices, except for world wars and times of runaway inflation. By the same token, when prices fall, investment coefficients decrease, and per capita capital formation drops. This was shown during the deep depression in Germany and the U.S. On an annual basis, we have such examples as for instance the U.S. recession of 1937, etc.

2. Population, technology.

While prices were important indicators for the growth of per capita capital formation in the past, it seems that for a look into the future we had better study the role of population and technology, and possibly the finite supply of natural resources. As to the role of population in economic growth and hence capital formation, some clarification is called for. This is found, for instance, in the selected essays by Simon Kuznets on Population, Capital and Growth.

In the first place, he finds that the association between the growth of per capita product and population is rather loose. As we have seen on our tables and graphs, high rates of growth of per capita capital formation does not necessarily mean high rates of growth of population (i.e. F.R.G. and U.S. in the post World War II period).

-33-

The present situation in the developed countries is quite different from what they experienced earlier in their history--when rising knowledge and technology permittedgreater control over health and production, leading to an accelerated growth of both population and per capita product. "But today and in areas with conditions quite different from those that characterize the presently developed countries in their past, rapid population growth may be an obstacle to, rather than a condition of, an adequate rise in per capita product" (S. Kuznets: Population, Capital and Growth, op.cit. p.3). The author then asks why is it that (in our times) "a larger number of human being need result in a lower rate of increase in per capita product? More population means more creators and producers,... why should not the larger numbers achieve what the smaller numbers accomplished in the modern past--raise total output to provide not only for the current population increase but also for a rapidly rising supply per capita?" (Kuznets, op.cit. p.3). The answer may be found in capital requirements. "Larger population and labor force mean....additional workers who must be equipped with material capital if their productivity is not to fall below that of those already equipped and engaged. Hence....the higher the rate of increase in population and labor force, the greater the requirement for material capital to equip the additional workers" (Kuznets, op.cit. p.10). The numerical example for this theory and the illustrative calculation on "effects of rise in rate of population growth on capital requirements and per capita consumption" are given in Kuznets, op.cit. p.10-18.

-34-

II. Capital Stock

1. History, Concepts, Evaluation

1.1 History

Formerly capital stock estimates were part of the system of National Wealth statistics. In the late 1950s it was found that the methods to estimate national wealth varied more from country to country than the systems of National Accounts, or the methods of measuring income flows, of which capital formation is a part. For this reason comparisons between countries and over time were even more hazardous for capital stock than for capital formation.¹ We therefore eliminated historical capital stock data for a number of countries, shown in the Income and Wealth series (except for the U.S. series that were taken over by the Commerce Department). With infinitely better data becoming available from modern industrial censuses, and the subsequent development of input output matrices, computerization of census processing, and the development of the perpetual inventory method, capital stock estimates went through a renaissance. The estimates became based on the gross fixed investment flows that are part of the National Accounts Systems. This yielded for the more recent periods of history, 1950-1974, estimates of total gross capital stock, i.e. for the U.S., F.R.G. and the U.K. that seem to be more reliable.²

In our presentation we shall first deal with the period

¹The uncertainties involved in national wealth and capital stock estimates were stated as follows:

"Inter-country comparisons of economic structure derived from the estimates of national wealth, and from the relationships between capital assets and current output, necessarily present many problems. Even when the theoretical concepts and categories, and the methods of estimation, appear to be identical, the resulting estimates may still fail to yield precisely comparable results between one country and another. Differences in valuation methods, in relative prices and in the nature of the basic statistics used can be responsible for wide differences in the resulting estimates--probably even wider differences than those involved in national income estimates."

Source: R.W. Goldsmith et al. The Measurement of National Wealth in Income and Wealth Series VIII, Bowes and Bowes Publishers Ltd., 1959, p.1.

²Better capital stock data may also have been compiled for other countries,; we did not include them into our paper, because they are presently not available at IIASA. 1850-1974, showing total capital stock (structures and equipment) by sectors of the economy, and per capita capital stock in constant prices, in Germany, F.R.G., and the United States. This will be followed by an analysis of the capital stock (business capital in the U.S.) by more narrowly defined activities for the F.R.G., U.S.A. and U.K., plus a distribution of world capital stock by regions.

1.2 Concepts

The data on total capital stock are concerned with "domestic, reproducible, tangible, fixed assets". This includes: structures (building) and equipment (durable goods)

held by the private sector (business and household) and government except military goods. By this definition, the "capital stock" data exclude: land (except for improvements) and natural resources; patents and licenses or works of art (which are considered "Intangibles"); financial claims on other countries; and military goods. The data on <u>business capital stock</u> are limited to the private sector including agriculture, mining, manufacturing, construction, energy sector and services, and excluding government and households.

The relative importance of structures and equipment in the U.S. and German capital stock data may be seen from the estimates for 1960:

	1960	Total	Capital	Stock	(all secto economy)	rs of the	e
	USA	(1958)	prices)		FRG (Brut	to, 1962	prices)
	Bill	ion \$	%		Billion D	-Mark	%
Structures		892.9	71.4		759		72.5
Equipment					288		27.5
Producer	Durables	218.6	17.4		•		•
Consumer	11	139.6	11.2		•		•
Total		1251.1	100.0		1047		100.0

Source: Compiled from U.S. <u>Statistical Abstract</u> 1975, p.411, table 674 and F.R.G. 1975 <u>Statistisches Jahrbuch</u>, p.21, table 26.18. Inventories are excluded from our capital stock data, although they are part of the reproducible, tangible assets. Inventories, which include livestock and, in some instances, "standing timber", may not be unimportant for the capital output ratios of the farm sector. However, we attempted to exclude inventories because they were excluded from the capital stock FRG 1950-1970, compiled by H. Lützel (see Wirtschaft und Statistik, 1971/10), that are continued in the F.R.G. Statistische Jahrbuch 1975. It was not possible, however, to exclude inventories from the German historical series.

1.3 Perpetual inventory method, Gross and Net Capital Stock

The U.S. Department of Commerce estimates of gross capital stock, "are derived by the perpetual inventory method, which starts with investment flows and calculates gross capital stock for any given year by cumulating past investment flows and deducting discards".¹ The discards, or retirements of assets, are based on assumptions of average service lives.²

Likewise the F.R.G. gross capital stock [Brutto Anlage Vermögen] is estimated from cumulative additions [Zugänge] minus retirements [Abgänge] which are goods effectively leaving the process of production. This concept involves only actual retirements, without consideration of depreciations.³

¹<u>Survey of Current Business</u>, April 1976, Vol.56, No.4, p.47

²For details on these assumptions and sources, see "<u>Survey of</u> <u>Current Business</u>, April 1976, op.cit.

³F.R.G. <u>Statistisches Jahrbuch</u>, 1976, p.596.

Cumulation of past net investment flows (Gross investment minus depreciation) yields net capital stock. "The value of net capital stocks" equals the difference between the cumulative value of gross investment and cumulative depreciation. The methods of depreciation used for U.S. compilations of net capital stock is usually the straight-line formula, which assumes equal dollar depreciation each year over the life of the asset".²

Price basis for computation of Capital Stock.

As stated in the Survey of Current Business: "...capital stock measures are computed on three bases of valuation--historical cost, constant cost, and current cost. Historical cost measures are derived by valuing each item in the stock at the price at which it was purchased new...".

"Constant cost measures are derived by valuing all assets at the prices of a given period. For these calculations, the gross investment flows must be expressed in constant prices. This is done by applying appropriate price indexes to the current-dollar investment flows. The constant cost stock is a measure of the physical volume of capital."

Current cost measures are derived by valuing all assets in the stock at any specific period at the prices of that period. This is done by applying price indices to the constant cost stock estimates to convert them to current cost measures. In effect, the current cost stock is a measure of the replacement value of capital."⁴

³Survey of Current Business, op.cit.

⁴Survey of Current Business,

-38-

Evaluation of the estimates.

For an evaluation of the capital stock data presented below, one needs to keep in mind that these can only be approximations because the application of the perpetual inventory method (though preferable to the "Balance Sheet Method") requires:

Long-term series of capital expenditures, capital goods deflators, and estimates of the length of life of capital goods. All 3 elements, but especially long term price series and life time estimates of capital goods involve considerable statistical uncertainties. Moreover, the quality of the world estimates is affected by the conversion of data from national correncies to U.S. dollars, not to mention the difficulties that evolve from differences in national structures of the economy.

Another, minor source of discrepancies between various estimates is whether they relate to the beginning, end or middle of the years under consideration.

In the following, we are concerned only with gross capital stock, just as in the previous chapter we were concerned only with gross capital formation.

2. The historical sweep, 1850-1974 (Germany, FRG and US)

2.1 Sources and linkage of series.

a) Germany, F.R.G.

Gross capital stock data were compiled from two sources: The data 1850-1938 are from W.G.Hoffmann, Grumbach and Hesse, <u>Das Wachstum der Deutschen Wirtschaft seit der Mitte des</u> <u>19. Jahrhunderts</u>. This source shows capital stock by economic sectors in prices of 1913 and in current prices, for the years 1850-1959³. The second source are the current series on gross capital stock [Brutto Anlagevermögen] at prices of acquisition [Neuwert] of 1962, for the period 1950-1971 from <u>Wirtschaft and Statistik</u> 1971/10, p.602, updated in the Statistische Jahrbuch 1975, p.521, table 26.18.

The linkage of the 2 series was possible, because it seems that grosso modo a similar methodology was followed for both historic (Hoffmann Grumbach) and Statistische Jahrbuch series⁴. Moreover, the data from the historical and current series overlap for the years 1950-1959. This permitted us to make a number of checks, establishing the continuity and comparability of the series.

³see W.Hoffmann, Grumbach and Hesse, <u>Das Wachstum der Deutschen</u> <u>Wirtschaft seit der Mittedes 19.Jahhunderts</u>, Springer Verlag; Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1965, pp.253-256, table 39-40.

⁴see method of estimation in H. Lützel "<u>Das reproduzierbare Anlage-</u> <u>vermögen in Preisen von 1962</u>" in Wirtschaft und Statistik 1971/10; Sonderdruck, J.C.B.Mohr, Tübingen 1971). b) United States.

Data on gross capital stock 1850-1968 for all sectors of the economy (business, government, household) were compiled from two series: National Wealth by type of Assets, in current and constant prices, 1850 to 1956 published for selected years in the Historical Statistics of the United States⁵ and National Wealth by type of assets, 1952-1968 (selected years), in current and constant prices, of 1958, given in the U.S. Statistical Abstract⁶.

At the present time, for lack of data on the government sector there are no series of total capital stock after 1968⁷. For the private sector, gross and net capital stock 1925-1970, by type of capital good (structures, equipment and since 1928 inventories) in current and constant prices of 1958 are given in the Historical Statistics of the United States⁸. For the business sector, gross and net capital stock (fixed non-residential business capital) by major industry group and legal form of organization, in current and constant prices of 1972 for the years 1925-1975 are given in the Survey of Current Business.⁹

⁵<u>Historical Statistics of the United States, Colonial Times to</u> <u>1970, pp. 255-260, tables series F.</u>

⁶U.S.<u>Statistical Abstract</u> 1975, page 411, table 674.

⁷"Work on stocks of non-residential government-owned capital has begun..." according to an aritcle by John C.Musgrave in the <u>Survey</u> of <u>Current Business</u>, April 1976, p.47.

⁸Historical Statistics, op.cit. pp.257-258, Table F 470-479

⁹Survey of Current Business, op.cit. May 1976

2.2 Growth of Capital Stock, 1850-1970.

The compilation of the growth of capital stock, F.R.G. and the U.S. was based on the above mentioned sources and methods. For details of the compilations see Appendix Tables II.3 Germany, FRG Gross Capital Stock, Population and Prices, 1850-1974; Appendix Table II.6 U.S. Gross Capital Stock, Population and Prices, 1850-1968; and Appendix Table II.7 U.S. Gross Capital Stock [Business, Governments and Households] by type of asset, 1850-1968. These tables have been summarized in Appendix Table II.1, Capital Stock, total and per capita in constant prices of 1912/13, in Germany, F.R.G. and U.S.A., 1850-1974 (selected years).

a) Total Capital Stock

Appendix Table II.1 indicates that in terms of constant prices of 1913, and at 1913 exchange rates, the 1850 level of total capital stock may have been higher in Germany (\$11.7 billion) than in the U.S. (\$4.6 billion, incomplete data). At that time, the German population 35.3 million was also more numerous than that of the U.S., 23.3 million. In 1880, the U.S. population 50.3 million had risen above Germany's 45.1 million and total capital stock reached the same level, little over \$23 billion in either country. By 1890, the U.S. total capital stock \$45.6 billion had risen above that of Germany's \$30.7 billion. The U.S. <u>total</u> capital stock remained at a higher level above Germany's ever since--although on a <u>per</u> capita basis, the development was different.

-42-

b) Per Capita Capital Stock

From 1850 to 1913, the growth of per capita capital stock was quite similar in the two countries. Not too much attention needs to be devoted to the fact that at certain times in history the per capita capital stock of Germany topped the U.S. (US 1850 data may be incomplete) or vice versa that the US topped Germany (i.e. in 1890) - in the last decades before World War I, the gap was closed.

In 1952 the FRG per capita capital stock at 1913 prices and 1913 exchange rates was \$775 (little higher than what it had been for Germany in 1900), while the U.S. per capita capital stock was \$1369 against \$747 in 1900. As was to be expected, shortly after the war, the FRG per capita capital stock was considerably lower than that of the U.S. But by 1968, the gap had considerably narrowed, with US per capita capital stock at \$1989 and the FRG's at \$1730. Here we are not quite sure whether the data based on 1913 prices can accurately reflect current situations. A comparison in 1968 prices, with FRG data converted to US dollars on the basis of 4D-Mark=1 US-Dollar (official 1968 rate) shows more of a difference in level.

1968 Per Capita Capital Stock in 1968 Prices:

U.S. = \$ 10,702 FRG = \$ 7,849

However, if we would use the "ideal dollar exchange rate" which tends to adjust for different prices and weights, see notes p.16 above, the difference in level would be less acute. Whatever price basis is used, the 1952 gap had definitely narrowed by 1968, and this reflects the FRG's rapidly rising investment coefficient, coinciding with a US investment coefficient that remained nearly constant. At the same time, the G.D.P. growth rates (total and per capita) were higher in the F.R.G. than in the U.S. Official U.S.estimates for total capital stock after 1968 are presently not available.



2.3 Capital stock by broad economic sectors, 1850-1974

a) limits to comparability

The historical capital stock data by economic sectors lack a certain amount of comparability. First of all, for US historical data starting with 1850, the breakdown relates only to structures. The equipment is broken down into "producers goods" and "consumers durables", with no further allocation as to the sector.

Secondly, the groupings within the sectors differ between historical and more current series. For example, in Germany's capital stock data 1850 to 1938 the agricultural sector is overstated because capital stock includes inventories and rural dwellings. The dwelling sector is understated because it excludes rural dwellings. In the FRG 1950 to 1974 capital stock, farm inventories have been eliminated, and rural dwellings were moved out of the farm sector into "dwellings".

Apart from these discrepancies inside national capital stock data, we do not know whether the categories are comparable from one country to the other. For instance, would "dwelling" (German statistics) fully correspond to the US "residential, business and non-business?" More uncertainty exists about the comparability of "government" between the two countries.

b) Interpretation of data.

Nothwithstanding the abovementioned obstacles to comparability the data on Appendix Table II.2 show changes in the capital stock brought on by progressive industrialization. In 1850, more than half of the entire capital stock of the German empire consisted of agricultural structures, equipment and inventories. The share of the agricultural sector may have been somewhat overstated because of the inclusion of inventories and rural housing. Whatever minor distortions due to matters of classification, the historical series show very clearly the relative decrease of agriculture's capital stock to about one fifth of the total in 1913. There was a further, slight decrease in the period between the wars, as the share of agricultural capital stock came down to 18% of total capital stock in 1938. With territorial changes brought on by the establishment of the FRG, agriculture's capital stock fell to 9% of total in 1950, and further to 5% in 1974. In the U.S., the percentage share of agricultural structures decreased steadily from 25.9% of total structures in 1850 to 9.2% in 1922 and a mere 3.6% in 1968¹. In the U.S., the general decrease of the importance of the farm sector coincided with a considerable increase of the share of government structures from under 4% in 1850 to nearly 30% of all structures in 1968. These data seem to underline the well known fact that government has become the biggest business in the U.S. Unfortunately, at the time of this writing, there are no up to date, official U.S. estimates available to show the share of government in total capital stock, comprising structures and equipment. However, such work is now in progress. The data on business capital stock have recently been supplemented by estimates on "residential, non-business capital stock, 1925-1975", and further additions on government capital stock has been planned².

By contrast to the U.S., and keeping in mind the above stated obstacles to comparability, Appendix Table II.2 shows that in Germany and the FRG, the share of the government sector in total capital stock (structures and equipment) has rather diminished. The share of the government sector in total capital stock fell from 24% in 1880 to only 17% in 1974 (FRG). This does not mean that there is less government capital stock now, it simply means that the capital stock created by industry, trade and commercial services has expanded so much faster.

Finally, it should be noted that "dwelling", respectively "residential" are of considerable importance in both US (capital structures) and German (capital structures and equipment) data. This importance has increased over the historic sweep in both countries--it might signify an increase in the standard of living. Whatever the reason, in 1970 in the FRG, one third of the entire capital stock was dwellings (it has since dwindled a bit); in the U.S. residential structures amounted to over 40% of total structures in 1968.

¹If we want to look at both structures and equipment, we have to use the "Business Capital Stock" 1925-1975 (published in the Survey of Current Business, April 1976, p.46). These data show that the share of business farm structures and equipment in total business structures and equipment fell from 9.6% in 1925 to 8.6% in 1939, were again 9.6% in 1952, but have fallen since to 7.5% in 1968 and 7.3% in 1975 (current prices).

²See John C. Musgrave. Fixed Non-Residential Business and Residential Capital in the United States, 1925-1975, published in U.S.Dept. of Commerce, Survey of Current Business, April 1976, p.46-52.

3. The More Recent Past, 1950-1974

3.1 U.S., F.R.G. and U.K. Capital Stock by Industries, 1950-1974

a) U.S. Business Capital Stock

i) Definitions, Sources.

The preceding section dealt with the capital stock (structures and equipment) held by the economy as a whole including business (farm and non-farm), government, and household (part of residential). The following observations are limited to the capital stock (structures and equipment) held by the business sector. The "Fixed Non-Residential Business Capital" as it is known in official U.S. statistics, broken down by "Farm", "Manufacturing" and "Non-Farm Non-Manufacturing Industries", in current and constant prices of 1958 for the years 1950-1975 are shown in the U.S. Statistical Abstract, 1975¹. These estimates were backdated to 1925 in an article recently published in the Survey of Current Business, with the constant price basis shifted to 1972². See Appendix Table II.9 which is a xerox of the 1925-1975 Business Capital Stock data by major industry groups. We have checked the "business capital stock" against total capital stock, on the basis of data contained in the 1975 Statistical Abstract of the U.S. For details see Appendix Table II.⁹ U.S. Gross Capital Stock, total and business economy 1960 and 1968. Accordingly, the capital stock (current prices) held by the business economy represented 53.2% of total capital stock in 1960, and 51.7% in 1968.

¹U.S. Statistical Abstract 1975, p.411/412, tables 675 and 676.

²See <u>Survey of Current Business</u>, op.cit. It may be noted that the article contains estimates for Residential Capital (owner and tenant occupied), 1925-1975, and the announcement that work was in progress on Government held capital stock (structures and equipment).

See also notes on sources of U.S. capital stock data in section II.2.1. above.

ii) U.S. Business Capital Stock by Industries.

The 1970 business capital stock, in 1958 prices, at 80 industry level for input output aggregation are shown on Appendix Table III.6. Lata were compiled by the U.S. Department of Commerce³. We have summarized the industries into 20 groups, following the scheme used by the Pestel team, see Appendix Table II.12. This table shows the relative importance of certain industry sectors in total business capital stock. Thus, the energy sector including mining, refining and utilities, accounts for nearly 20% of total business capital in 1970 (at 1958 In fact, the share of the capital stock held by the energy prices). sector would be somewhat higher, if the federally operated power plants had been included in the U.S. Dept. of Commerce BEA study. The manufacturing sector [excluding petroleum refining] accounted for "only" 22.9%. The table also shows that the U.S. economy is highly service oriented, as nearly half (47.4%) of all business capital is held by the services sector, excluding government.

b) F.R.G. Capital Stock by 19 Groups of Activities.

 i) Reconciliation of Pestel team data With Other Sources. The FRG capital stock data at 1962 prices for 19 groups of activities 1950-1972 prepared by the Pestel Team (May 1976) are shown in Appendix Table II.5.

The Pestel data on F.R.G. capital stock (structures and equipment) at 1962 prices for the period 1950 to 1972 are consistently lower than the "Brutto" capital stock (structures and equipment) in 1962 acquisition (Neuwert) prices and consistently higher than the net capital stock (structures and equipment) at 1962 replacement values (Wiederbeschaffungspreis) shown in <u>Wirtschaft und Statistik</u> or the 1975 <u>Statistische Jahrbuch</u>. [We have written to Mr.Moeller in Hannover to enquire about the differences in the other sectors].

³U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, A Study of Fixed Capital Requirements of the U.S. Business Economy, 1971-1980, Washington D.C., December 1975 [Internal Document].

ii) Interpretation of Data

Notwithstanding these differences, the capital stock data compiled by the Pestel team are of great interest for the study of capital requirements, because they are broken down into 19 groups of activity. Of particular interest for the energy group are the energy capital stock data. In constant prices of 1962, they increased from 41.2 billion D-Mark in 1950 to 170.4 billion D-Mark in 1972. During that same period the share of the energy sector in the total capital stock of the F.R.G. hardly moved--it was 9.8% of total in 1950, slipping to 9.4% in 1972.

The sector "dwellings" fell from 33% in 1950 to 27.2% in 1972, this decrease was similar to what we had observed in the capital structures by sectors in the official German Statistics of the Statistische Jahrbuch.

The sector "Government" in the Pestel capital stock data shows an increase from 7.7% of total capital stock in 1950 to 15.8% in 1972. This development is quite different from what we observed in the official government statistics. Unfortunately, we do not have sufficient information on what exactly is included under Government capital stock in either set of statistics, Pestel and official German.

c) Comparison of 1970 U.S.Business Capital and FRG Capital Stock (see Appendix Table II.12).

A comparison of the capital stock by industries between the two countries should be limited to "total capital stock excluding residential and government". This shows the relative importance of various sectors. Of relevance for the capital requirements' study may be the fact, that in the U.S. the total capital stock of the energy sector alone is almost as large as that of the total manufacturing sector (excluding petroleum refining). In the FRG, the capital stock held by the energy sector is also important, but it amounts to only little more than half of the manufacturing sector. U.K.

Data on Gross Capital Stock at 1970 replacement cost by industry, 1964 to 1974 are shown in the U.K. Annual Abstract of Statistics⁹. We have reproduced these data in Appendix Table II. 11. On Appendix Table II.12 we have tried to summarize the 1970 capital stock by categories similar to those used for the USA and FRG. There are difficulties of classification as for instance the U.K. coal and petroleum products capital stock is lumped with the capital stock of chemical industries; also, we do not know for sure whether coal mining is included with the "mining and guarrying" or whether it is included in the group "coal, petroleum products and chemicals".

Despite these handicaps, certain characteristics emerge from the data on Appendix Table II.12. First of all, the share of agriculture in total capital stock is very low, 3.4% of total stock excluding Government and Residential. In the U.S. and FRG the corresponding shares were 7.5, respectively 11.4%. The share of the energy sector was 19.7% in the U.S. and 16.4% in the FRG. The share of the U.K.'s energy sector's capital stock would probably lie somewhere between these two values; it must be less than 19.5%, because of the inclusion of chemicals in the group of coal and petroleum products.

^aSee United Kingdom, Central Statistical Office. <u>Annual Abstract</u> of Statistics 1975, p.328 table 345.

- 3.2 World Capital Stock by Regions, 1950-1970
- a) Evaluation of Estimates

Global estimates of capital stock that include Western industrialized countries, developing countries, and Eastern European countries and China must be considered with a great deal of caution. This is due to the paucity of data, i.e. in the developing countries and the fact that conceptual differences in national accounts and pricing systems between East and West make a summation of the data from these groups highly problematic, not to mention the uncertainties involved in the conversion of data from national currencies to U.S. dollars. We know of two attempts to estimate global capital stock, by regions. There are the W.Ströbele estimates, used for a doctor's dissertation⁵ and the United Nations' global estimates which were recently made available in a study on the future of the world economy^{5a}. The two sources, as regards 1970 G.D.P., gross capital stock total and by regions, in U.S. dollar values (Ströbele at 1963 prices; UN at 1970 prices) are reviewed in Appendix Note VII. According to this review it seems that the Ströbele data for the world Gross capital stock were too high. If we convert the Ströbele 1970 total world capital stock (\$7.5 Billion in 1963 prices) to 1970 prices, we arrive at a total of \$9.4 billion, against the U.N. total of \$5.7 billion (1970 at 1970 prices). The UN gross capital stock for the World, although limited to Private Sector only, seems to be more realistic. We were able to check this out, by comparing the UN and Ströbele data for the North America region.

b) Extrapolation of the UN data to 1975

As stated above, the UN estimate for the world's gross capital stock, in 1970 amounted to \$5.7 billion in 1970 prices. We could assume a growth of 15% between 1970 and 1975; this is a conservative estimate, considering that the US business capital stock (in constant prices) grew by 20% 1970-1975 (See Appendix Table II.10). We could

^{5a}United Nations. Future of the World Economy, Preliminary (mimeographed). New York, 1975. further assume that the deflator rose from 100 in 1970 to 130 in 1975. Thus, a conservative estimate would put the World's total gross capital stock in 1975 at 1975 prices, to \$ 8.5 billion.

c) Growth of Global Capital Stock by Regions.

A comparison of the regional percentage distribution of the 1970 capital stock between the UN Future and the Ströbele data shows remarkable agreement between the two sources. In both estimates for 1970 the developed countries' share in total capital stock amounted to over 70% of world total; North America*held about 40%, Western Europe 26%, Japan 5%, etc. (see Appendix Table II.14). Developing countries held less than 10% with the remainder, about 20%, held by centrally planned economies. More significant than the percentage structure in a given year may be the development over time. For this purpose, we have reproduced the Stöbele data in Appendix Table II.13, although, as stated above, the level of the capital stock is too high. The changes in the percentage structure of world capital stock by regions between 1950 and 1970 reflect to some extent the observations on capital formation and capital stock made in the preceding sections of this chapter, namely the unprecedented growth of capital formation and stock, by Western European countries and Japan, which coincided with relative slower growth in the U.S. At the same time, Eastern European countries rapidly built up their capital stock. Consequently, the share of North America in global capital stock decreased from 58.7% of total in 1950 to 40.6% in 1970 (although in absolute values it nearly The implications of the shift in the percentage distridoubled). bution of global capital stock, North America versus Western Europe and Japan could become even more thought provoking, if one considered the fact that Western Europe and Japan's capital stock are much newer (only a minor portion of their present capital stock predates 1950) than that of North America.

⁵See W. Ströbele, Untersuchungen zum Wachstum der Weltwirtschaft mit Hilfe eines regionalisierten Weltmodells. Dissertation, Technische Universität Hannover, 1975.

*North America is defined as U.S.A. and Canada

Another conclusion to be drawn relates to the slow growth of capital stock in the various regions of developing countries. Progress achieved between 1960 and 1970, during the much heralded UN Development Decade No.1, suggest that projections on the developing countries' energy demand should proceed with a great deal of caution. Perhaps greater attention should be devoted to the question of what is the developing countries' capacity to absorb increased energy consumption than to the question of wishful targets on industrial development reached by "consensus", i.e. at the Sixth Special Session of the UN General Assembly (1974), UN Conference on industrialization (Lima, Peru 1975), UNCTAD IV (at Nairobi, April 1976).

III. Capital/Output Ratios

1. Concepts

Capital output ratios are compiled with the capital stock as numerator and output, represented by value added, as denominator. The ratio simply indicates the number of capital units needed to produce one unit of output, during a given time period, for instance in the course of a year. When compiling capital/output ratios for the nation as a whole, one uses total capital stock (all sectors of the economy) divided by GDP (or GNP as the case may be). For the compilation of sectors of the economy (i.e. private sector, business sector) it is necessary to relate the appropriate capital stock to the particular share of GDP it serves to generate.

The capital/output ratio can be used to measure the efficiency of the use of capital stock in production. With no changes in capacity utilization, a declining capital output ratio over the years (or in space, country to country) means increased efficiency in the use of capital; conversely, if the capital/output ratio rises, the productivitiy of capital declines.

The fact that capacity utilization is not constant, was considered by the US Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA). Their computations of capital/output ratios for the business sector 1947-1974, adjusted and unadjusted for capacity utilization, as well as their estimates of capacity utilization rates, are reproduced on Appendix Table III.3. If not specifically stated otherwise, the capital/output ratios used in this paper are unadjusted for capacity utilization.

Gross and net capital/output ratios

For the purpose of estimating additional capital stock required to generate additional GDP, capital/output ratios may be compiled as net capital stock over GDP. On the other hand, if the purpose is to estimate <u>total</u> capital requirements for a given output, it is useful to compile the ratio from Gross capital stock over GDP. The U.S. Department of Commerce (BEA) in their projections of 1980 capital requirements used 1970 capital output ratios (for the business sectors) derived from gross capital stock (adjusted and non-adjusted for capacity utilization). Throughout this paper, unless specifically stated otherwise, the capital/output ratios derive from gross capital stock.

In order to get an idea of the order of magnitudes, involved, see the following examples which show the difference in U.S. capital/output ratios, whether derived from gross or net capital stock and for the various sectors of the economy.

U.S. Capital Output Ratios

		Total Economy	Private* Economy	Business Sector
1968	Gross Capital Stock G N P	2.735	2.77	1.447
	Net Capital Stock G N P	1.799 ^a	1.77	
1970	Gross Capital Stock G N P	•	2.92	1.536
	Net Capital Stock G N P	1.883 ^a	1.86	•

a) = Morris Norman estimates

* = Total economy excluding Government

2. Estimates of Capital/Output Ratios

a) World average capital/output ratios by regions, 1950-1970 Capital output ratios, derived from broad aggregates of national accounts data were compiled by W. Subbele for the world (including China) and by regions, for the period 1950-1970. As stated above, we found the Ströbele capital stock data to be too high; consequently nis capital/output ratios are also too high. We tested this in the case of the U.S. 1968 capital/output ratio as 2.7 against the Ströbele 1968 capital output ratio for North America as 3.45. Still, we have reproduced the Ströbele capital output ratios for the world and by regions 1950-1970 (Appendix Table III.1) to show the trend.

Between 1950 and 1970, capital/output ratios showed rising trends, though at different intensity, in the various regions.

¹W.Ströbele, op.cit.

The strongest increases were observed in the capital/output ratios in Western Europe, Japan and the developing countries. The slowest increases occurred in the Latin America region, where the coefficient remained almost constant. In the North America region, the trend was mixed. An upward swing, 1951 to 1958, was followed by a downward swing, 1959-1969, with some increase again in 1970. Most of the North America region consists of the U.S., and the above described movement is reflected in the U.S. business capital, output ratios discussed below.

b) United States

Total capital/output ratios for the economy as a whole can easily be compiled from the series on gross capital stock and GDP (See Appendix Table III.²)

The U.S.Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, has compiled capital/output ratios for the business economy 1947-1974 (see Appendix Table III.3), as well as capital/output ratios at 80 industry levels for input-output aggregation, for 1963 and 1967-1970 based on unscaled capital stock data (see Appendix Table III.4), and based on capital stock data scaled by capacity utilization (see Appendix Table III.5). For an interpretation of these data, reference may be made to the following two paragraphs, taken from the Commerce Department Study:



"...capital/output ratios for the total private economy indicate a mixed picture as regards trends during the post-war period. Moreover, this picture is somewhat different for the adjusted vs the unadjusted ratios. During the period 1947-1961, there was a clear-cut downward trend in the adjusted ratios, while the period 1962-1969 showed a reversal in the direction of this trend. Data for the more recent time period indicate no clear-cut trend with the 1973 ratio about equal to the 1969 ratio. However, given the impact of shifting industrial mix (due to both cyclical and more long-run factors) on the observed overall capital/output ratio for the total private economy, it would be inadvisable to assume the absence of clear-cut trends for the recent period in the capital/output ratios for individual industries.

The industry data on capital/output ratios for 1963 and 1967-70 were examined to determine if there were any clear-cut trends evident for recent years. For industries where such trends were evident, a continuation of these trends to 1980 was assumed. For other industries, the 1970 ratio or an average of the ratios for the 1967-70 period was used for 1980.

Since the historical capital/output ratios only extend to 1970, both their levels and trends do not reflect, to any considerable extent, the impact of recent developments related to energy and environmental concerns. This is fortunate since for the present study, as far as possible, we wish to examine separately the capital requirements of production and those of environment and energy."⁵

We have looked into the capital/output ratios of the industries comprising the energy sector, in 1963 and 1967-1970 (see Table below).

⁵ U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis. A study of Fixed Capital Requirements of the U.S. Business Economy, 1971-1980, p.4.

	Industry Classification	1963	1967	1968	1969	1970
7.	Coal Mining	.934	1.224	1.266	1.251	1.295
8.	Crude Petroleum and Natural Gas	5.630	5.002	4.947	4.882	4.732
31.	Petroleum Refining	.585	.541	.522	.522	.511
68a	Electr.Utilities*	4.846	4.659	4.642	4.709	4.714
68b	Gas Utilities	2.083	1.974	2.016	2.023	1.994

Text Table . U.S.A. Capital/Output Ratios Energy Sector, 1963-1970 (Data not adjusted for capacity utilization)

Source: Compiled from Appendex Table III.4.

*Excl. Federal Power Plants

The table shows that capital/output ratios increased markedly in coal mining, whereas in other industries of the energy sector, the ratios decreased mildly. However, it would seem that the period of observation is too short for meaningful interpretation of data.

- c) F.R.G. Capital/Output Ratios
 - i) Capital Stock/GDP output ratios, major sectors of the economy, 1950-1974.

Capital stock/GDP output ratios are compiled by the Statistische Bundesamt for the total economy and various economic sectors (see Appendix Table III.⁸). The estimates shown in the 1975 Statistische Jahrbuch were initially prepared by H.Lützel⁶. (See Appendix Table III.8). In his analysis of the data, he points to the development in 3 stages: From 1950 to 1956, the capital coefficients fell from 4.3 to 3.3. This was due to the availability of additional labor and the fact that the rehabilitation and expansion of capital stock required rather low investments. From 1956 to about 1961, the capital coefficient remained constant, while from 1962 onwards the capital coefficient started to rise slowly.



ii) Capital stock/output ratios, 19 activities, 1950-1972 The capital coefficients, calculated by the Pestel Team (see Appendix Table III.9) show a diversity of trends for the various activities. Significant for the energy capital requirements study may be the fact that in the energy sector, the "capital coefficient" was rising from 4.22 in 1950 to 5.21 in 1972. Rising capital coefficients are shown also for agriculture, and a few individual industries, i.e. metal processing, as well as construction, trade, and total activities.

d) U.K. Capital/Output Ratios, 1964-1974.

Capital output/ratios for the total economy (all sectors) can be compiled from the "Gross capital stock at 1970 replacement cost (discussed above) and the "gross domestic product" at 1970 prices. (See Appendix Table III.10. There is a considerable difference in the level of GDP at 1970 prices, whether estimated at factor cost ($b \ 10^9 \ 47.8$ in 1974 or at market price ($b \ 10^9 \ 56.7$). We compiled capital/output ratios for both GDP concepts. [For the other GDP series, shown in this paper, we have used "GDP at factor cost", this is the concept selected by the UN for compilations of total and per capita GNP in U.S. Dollars, shown in the U.N. Yearbook of National Account Statistics, Vol.III.]

The level of the U.K. capital/output ratios (Appendix Table III.10) is amazingly close to the level observed for the FRG, all economic sectors.

For example, in 1974 the FRG capital/output ratio was 4.0, while that of the U.K. ranged between 3.66 and 4.35. In 1968, the ratios were FRG 4.8, U.K. 3.38 and 3.96. Both FRG and UK capital output ratios are considerably higher than the U.S. capital output ratio 1968=2.7 (See Appendix Table III.2).

U.K. capital/output ratios for sectors of the economy could be compiled from the detailed national accounts statistics that show GDP by industries (i.e. 1975 Annual Abstract of Statistics, p.319) and the gross capital stock by industries (see Appendix Table II.8a).

.-62-References

Deutsche Bundesbank Monatsberichte.

Federal Rep. Germany. Statistisches Bundesamt. Bevölkerung und Wirtschaft 1872-1972. Wiesbaden, 1972.

Federal Rep. Germany. Statistisches Bundesamt. Statistisches Jahrbuch 1975.

Fritsch, B. Ein projektorientiertes, Heuristisches Verfahren zur Modellierung von Politisch, Ökonomisch und Ökologisch Relevanten Globalen Zusammenhängen [Paper 76/8]. Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule, Zürich, September 1976.

Goldsmith, Raymond W. et al. The measurement of National Wealth in <u>Income and Wealth, Series VIII</u>. Bowes and Bowes Publishers Ltd., 1959.

Hoffmann, Walter G., Grumbach, Hesse. <u>Das Wachstum der Deutschen</u> Wirtschaft seit der Mitte des 19. Jahrhunderts. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1965.

International Monetary Fund. International Financial Statistics. (Monthly Publication) Washington D.C.

International Encyclopedia of the Social Sciences, [Vol.7-8] Cullier Macmillan.

Kravis, Irving B., Kennessey Z. et al. <u>A System of International</u> <u>Comparisons of Gross Product and Purchasing Power</u>. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore 1975.

Kuznets, S. <u>Population</u>, <u>Capital and Growth</u>. W.N. Norton Co. New York, 1973.

Lützel, H. "Das Reproduzierbare Anlagevermögen in Preisen von 1962" in <u>Wirtschaft und Statistik 1971/10.</u> Sonderdruck, J.C.B. Mohr, Tübingen 1971.

Mitchell B.R. and Deane, Phyllis. Abstract of British Historical Statistics. Cambridge University Press, 1971.

Mitchell, B.R. <u>European Historical Statistics 1750-1950.</u> MacMillan Press, Ltd. London, 1975.

Musgrave, John C. "Fixed Non-Residential Business and Residential Capital in the United States 1925-1975." in U.S. Department of Commerce. Survey of Current Business, April 1976.

Nordhaus, W.D. "The Falling Share of Profits" in <u>Brookings Papers</u> on Economic Activity 1/1974.

Rosowsky, H. <u>Capital Formation in Japan 1868-1940</u>. Glencoe Press, New York, 1961.

Schumpeter, Joseph A. <u>Business Cycles, Vols. I-II</u>, McGraw Hill, New York, 1939.

Ströbele, W. <u>Untersuchungen zum Wachstum der Weltwirtschaft mit</u> <u>Hilfe eines regionalisierten Weltmodelles</u>. Dissertation, Technische Universität, Hannover, 1975.

United Kingdom. Central Statistical Office. Annual Abstract of Statistics, 1975,

United Nations. W. Leontieff et al Report: <u>Future of the World</u> <u>Economy</u>. Preliminary. New York 1975 [To be published spring 1977, UN Sales Number E. 76.II.A-6]

United Nations. Yearbook of National Accounts Statistics, Vols.I-III, 1973. UN Sales Number E.75. XVII.2

United Nations. Statistical Yearbook 1975.

U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. <u>Historical</u> <u>Statistics of the Untied States Colonial Times to 1970</u>. U.S. Government Printing Office, 1976.

U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, <u>Statistical</u> Abstract of the United States 1975. United States Department of Commerce, BEA. <u>A Study of Fixed</u> Capital Requirements of the U.S. Business Economy 1971-1980. December 1975 (Mimeographed).

United States Department of Commerce, BEA. <u>Survey of Current</u> Business (Monthly publication).

٢

Appendix A.

Note on Compilation of Long-Term GFCF Deflators.

Germany and F.R.G.

For the period 1850 to 1938, the deflator is implicit in the capital formation, incl. stocks, at market prices, current and constant of 1913, shown in B.R.Mitchell <u>European Historical</u> <u>Statistics</u>, 1750-1970.

The question is how to link the capital formation price index, 1913=100 with the deflators of the post World War II years? According to the Statistische Bundesamt, backtracking of current price indices to pre World War I years is possible only for the cost of living; basic materials,; and residential construction prices. (<u>Bevölkerung und Wirtschaft 1872-1972</u>, p.245). The cost of living index is not applicable for prices of capital formation; we also decided against the "basic materials" index, because it includes agriculture, forestry, and imports. We did select the residential construction price index, because construction is an important item in capital formation. Here the assumption is that residential construction prices moved in the same way as nonresidential construction.

Germany and F.	R.G. Long	Term H	Price	Index	Numbers
----------------	-----------	--------	-------	-------	---------

Cost of living	Basic materials	Residential Construction Prices	Deflator Capital Formation
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
•	100	100	100
100.0	102.5	103.5	Í
141.8	139	171	160.2
1	1	1	1
125.1	96	135	121.3
125.6	95	136	117.7
195.7	182	252	•
211.0	218	291	•
	Cost of living (1) 100.0 141.8 125.1 125.6 195.7 211.0	Cost of living Basic materials (1) (2) . 100 100.0 102.5 1 141.8 139 1 125.1 96 125.6 95 195.7 182 211.0 218	Cost of living Basic materials Residential Construction Prices (1) (2) (3) . 100 100 100.0 102.5 103.5 1 1 1 141.8 139 171 125.1 96 135 125.6 95 136 195.7 182 252 211.0 218 291

Sources: Col.1,2, and 3 compiled from Statistische Bundesamt. <u>Bevölkerung und Wirtschaft</u>, 1872-1972, chapter IX, Prices. Col.4 = deflator implicit in German capital formation data compiled from B.R.Mitchell, <u>European Historical</u> Statistics 1750-1970.

We then took the capital formation deflator, implicit in current statistics, with 1962=100 and shifted the base to 1950=100. The next step is to take the residential construction price index in the F.R.G. for theyear 1950 as 252 (1913 Reich = 100) and to extrapolate it with the above mentioned capital formation deflator, 1950=100.

The result of these calculations is that the F.R.G. 1974 capital formation deflator rose to 659 of 1913 (Reich) = 100; at the same time, the U.S.A. 1974 capital formation deflator stood at 657.3 of 1912-16 = 100.

ł

-66-

U.K. Deflators

For the period 1830-1913, the deflator is implicit in the capital formation data in current and 1900 prices compiled from B.R. Mitchell <u>European Historical Statistics</u> (op.cit). We shifted the price basis for this period from 1900 to 1913. Next we have a series of capital formation data for the period 1913 to 1947, in current and constant prices of 1938, also from B.R.Mitchell. Again we shifted the price basis to 1913 = 100 and extrapolated the above mentioned deflator.

Current series of capital formation are contained in the U.K. Central Statistical Office Economic Trends annual supplement, 1975. This source gives capital formation in current prices 1946 to 1974, and in constant prices of 1970, for the period 1948 to 1974.

The question is therefore how to link the two deflators 1830-1946 and 1947-1974, when there is no deflator for 1947. We bridged this gap by assuming that the four years' average (1948-1951) was similar to the 5 year average (1947-1951) which we could not get.

[We could have refined our estimates by assuming that the GFCF deflators movement was similar to that of the wholesale prices (WPI).

U.K. Wholesale Price Index, $1947 = 100^{a}$

1946 91

1947 100

1948 114

a) Compiled from B. Mitchell, European Historical Statistics, op.cit. p. 739

However, the adjustment did not seem to warrant the effort-because of the various uncertainties involed in our long term series].

France

The deflators are implicit in the gross fixed capital formation data in current and constant prices, shown in the <u>European Historical</u> <u>Statistics</u> (op.cit.), as follows: 1825/1834 to 1935/38: see GFCF in 1905/13 prices 1938 and 1949 to 1959: " 1959 prices 1959 to 1971 : " 1963 prices The only missing link are 1938 GFCF in 1905/13 prices. We overcame this handicap by assuming that the 1938 deflator was the same as the 1935/38 average. We looked at the French wholesale price index, 1900 to 1972 shown in the <u>Annuaire Statistique</u> <u>de la France 1974</u>, p.612 and found that the 1938 prices were somewhat above the 1935/38 average, as France by 1938 was recovering from the depression. However, considering the very long time span covered, it would not have been worthwhile to make an adjustment. For a comparison of the French wholesale price index with base shifted to 1905/13=100 see data below:

France:	Wholesale Price Index	Gross Fixed Capital Formation Deflator
	1905/13=100	1905/13=100
1 9 05/13	100	100
1920/24	497	442
1925/34	622	525
1935/38	569	515
1938	746	
19 50	14 925	9 090
1951	19 067	11 050
1952/56	19 201	13 110
1967/61	23 5 37	16 510
1962/66	27 231	20 320
1967/71	31 149	24 350
1972	36 463	•

These data show that the GFCF deflators though trailing the WPI, are within the same (astronomic) order of magnitudes.
United States

The historical series on gross domestic capital formation 1869/1873 to 1927/31 are given in current and constant prices of 1929 (<u>Historical Statistics</u>, Tables F 104-130 and F 131-157). The deflator, implicit in these series is extrapolated to 1942/46 on the basis of the deflator implicit in the gross domestic government investments in current and constant prices of 1958, shown for the period 1929 to 1971 in the <u>Survey of Current Business</u>, Feb. 1973, p.9. Here, the assumption is that the domestic government and private investment price movement was the same as that of domestic government investment.

The 1947/51 deflator is then extrapolated to 1974 on the basis of the deflator implicit in the series on gross domestic private investments in current and 1958 prices, given in the <u>Survey of</u> <u>Current Business</u> (1973 Supplement and Monthly issues). Here the assumption is that government and private gross domestic investment prices rose by the same rate as gross domestic private investment.

The extrapolations indicated above, and the shifting of base periods from 1929 and 1958 to 1912/16 may account for minor inaccuracies in the data.

Appendix B.

The <u>UN Future of the World Economy</u>, comparison of selected data with other sources.

The following comparisons do not relate to the UN projections; they are limited to some of the statistics of the year 1970 serving as basis for the UN projections. These data are:

1. World G.D.P.

2. Capital formation (annual investments)

3. World Capital Stock by regions

1. Gross Domestic Product

As a rule, the UN Yearbook of National Accounts Statistics (Latest issue checked: 1973, Vol.III) would not include the countries of Eastern Europe, the Soviet Union, and China People's Republic into the Standard Table on "Estimates of Total and Per Capita GDP expressed in US Dollars". However, the UN Future of the World Economy includes these countries' National Accounts and other input/output data in US dollar values. The question is what was the adjustment, if any, to make the "Net Material Product" commensurate with GDP? Next question, what exchange rates were used to convert the CPE data to US dollars?

We have checked the GDP data from the UN <u>Future of the World</u> <u>Economy</u> against the W.Ströbele data and find considerable agreement between the two sources, both as regards absolute values, and percentage distribution by regions, etc. For details see Table 1.

2. Capital Formation

a) Coverage

As stated above in Chapter I, we included under the "annual gross fixed domestic capital formation" the additions in "Equipment and Structures from all sectors of the economy (Government, business and residential or households). UN <u>Future</u> data are not given with sufficient detail to check on their coverage. We tried to check it out for Japan, because this seemed to be the only case where data for an individual country were shown. See table 2 below. It seems that <u>UN Future</u> data, like ours, exclude inventories from capital formation. However, UN Future capital formation data seem

-70-

to be limited to certain sectors of the economy; they exclude Government and possibly the owner occupied residential building and a number of services.

b) Investment Coefficients

The IIASA working paper devotes considerable attention to the "investment coefficient;" this is defined in the IIASA paper as the percentage share in total GDP of gross fixed capital formation (structures and equipment) from all sectors of the economy (government, business, residential). This definition checks with the one used by Simon Kuznets, who had observed that before World War II, developed countries saved at most 20% of their GDP, whereas in the 1950s, the rate had increased to 25%. This statement was corroborated in the IIASA paper.

It may be noted that the UN <u>Future</u> uses an other concept, stating that "the ratio of gross fixed investment to total final internal use (sum of investment, private and public consumption) is expected to increase from 20 per cent on the average in 1970, to 41 per cent in 2000 in the Middle East and African oil countries, from 17-20 to 31-33 per cent in Latin America, and from 15 to 23-25 per cent in non-oil Asia and Africa." (UN Future . . . , p. 31)

As stated above, it would be useful to find out how the UN <u>Future</u> defines "gross investment" (plants and equipment), which sectors of the economy are included, and what is the source of the data (Input/Output Tables or National Accounts). Likewise, as regards consumption, may we assume that this relates to all sectors of the economy, and what is the source of the data (National Accounts?).

-71-

- 3. World Capital Stock by Regions
 - a) Absolute Values

The 1970 capital stock data in the UN <u>Future</u> are broken down into "Equipment and Plant;" we have compared the North America region against U.S. data for the total economy and for the business economy; and against the Stroebele data for the North America Region. We also compared the Japan capital stock data in the UN Future against the Stroebele data.

For the North America Region, the 1970 capital stock data in the UN Future of the World Economy, which include Canada and Puerto Rico, are about the same as the US Capital stock, all sectors, for 1968. This means that, in fact, the UN Future data are lower than the US Statistical Abstract data, a matter which can be explained by the fact that UN Future limits the capital stock data to certain sectors of the economy. For the world as a whole (and for Japan where we could easily test it), the UN Future capital stock data are much lower than the W. Stroebele data shown in the IIASA "Capital" paper. Thus, in 1970, according to the UN Future the Planet Earth costs "only" \$5.7 Trillion (current 1970 prices) against Ströbele's \$7.5 Trillion (1963 prices) or \$9.4 Trillion (1970 prices) assuming that the deflator has increased from 100 in 1963, to 123 in 1970. (See Table 3)

b) Regional Distribution of World Capital Stock

The percentage distribution of the World Capital Stock by regions in the UN <u>Future of the World Economy</u> is quite similar to that of the Stroebele data. In both compilations, the North American Region accounts for about 40% of 1970 World Capital and total developed countries with market economies account for about 73% of the World Capital stock.

As regards the rest of the world there are some, perhaps minor, discrepancies given the uncertainty of the data involved. Thus, in 1970, the share of the Centrally Planned Economies (Eastern Europe, Soviet Union, China) amounts to 20.7% of World Capital Stock in the UN <u>Future</u>, and to somewhat less, namely 17.3% in the Stroebele data. For details, see Table 4. Table 1. G.D.P. Selected Countries and Regions, 1970

UN Future of the	W.Ströbele
World Economy	(In prices of 1963)
(Current Prices)	\$ Billion 😑 🐔
\$ Billion 🛚 🐔	-

Region:		
North America (incl. U.S., Canada, Puerto Rico)	1059.5 = 32.9	841.1 = 33.3
Japan	199.8 = 6.2	143.1 = 5.7
Soviet Union	434.9 = 13.5	473.1 = 18.7 ^a
Eastern Europe	164.4 = 5.1	_a/
China, Peoples Republic	$134.8 = 4.1^{b}$	90.7 = 3.5
World	3 220 100.0	2 525.6 100.0

a) Eastern Europe included with Soviet Union

b) Korea, Mongolia included.

.

Note: Assuming that the GDP deflator rose from 1963=100 to 1970 = 125, the Ströbele World GDP could be estimated as \$ 3 157 Billion in 1970, which is quite similar to the UN Future of the World Economy \$3 220 Billion

-74-

Table 2. Capital Format	ion, Japan 1	970				
	UN Future o World Econo	f the my	IIASA compil UN Yea Nation Statia	Data Led from arbook of nal Accoun [:] stics	ts	
	<pre>\$ Billions</pre>		Y	en Billion	s =	\$ Billions
Investments:						
Equipment	20.9	Equipm	ent			
Plant	23.7	Constr	uction			
[Selected Sectors]	44.6	All Se	ctors	24843.6	=	69.47
G.D.P.	199.8			71 167 .0	=	199.7
Investment as Percent						-
of G.D.P.	22.3%			34.9%	÷	34.7%

-75-

Table 3. Gross Capital Stock (Structures and Equipment) in North America and the World, 1970

,

	UN Future of the World Economy	US Stat Abst	istical ract	W. Ströb	ele
		All Sec- tors	Busi- ness Sector	All Sect of the E	ors Conomy
	1970 Prices \$ 10 ⁹	1968 \$10 ⁹	Prices \$10 ⁹	1963 \$10 ⁹	\$ 01: 1970 ^a \$10 ⁹
North America	2252	2148 ^b	1339 ^b	3047	3809
World	5693	•	•	7511	9389

a) = Estimated Deflators

1963 = 100

1970 = 125

b) = United States

t

Table 4. Capital Stock by Regions, 1970

.

.

UN Future of the World Econom	ny		W. Stroebele		
	1970 Capital Stock			1970 Capital Stock	
	Current Prices		, ,	1963 Prices	
Bi	illion \$ =	x		Billion \$	= %
Developed Market Economies					
North America:	2 [:] 251.7 =	39.5	North America	3047.1	= 40.6
W. Europe, High Income W. Europe, Medium Income	1345.7 = 115.7.=	23.6 2.0	W. Europe	1937.6	= 25.8
Japan (and Ryukyu Islands)	313.2 =	5.5	Japan	361.2	= 4.8
Oceania South Africa	79.3 = 27.0 =	1.4 0.5	Other developed	191.0	= 2.5
	=	72.5%			= 73.7%
Developing Market Economies Latin America Medium Income Low Income Middle East/Africa oil Asia, Low Income Africa, arid tropical	155.3 == 48.5 == 26.7 == 110.1 == 26.6 == 21.2 ==	2.7 0.8 0.5 1.9 0.5 0.4 6.8	Latin America % Middle East S. E. Asia Middle Africa	298.5 77.1 251.2 68.4	= 4.0 = = $5.2=9.2$
<u>C. P. E.</u> Eastern Europe Soviet Union China	288.4 = 737.9 = 147.1 =	5.1 13.0 2.6	USSR/East. Euro China	pe 941.6 343.9	= 12.5 = 4.6
		20.7			17.1
World	5693.0 =	100.0	World	7511.5	=100.0
	(5694.4)		1	(7517.6)	

APPENDIX C. TABLES

Table of Contents.

- I. Capital Formation and G.D.P.
 - Population, Per Capita G.D.P. and Prices in the Developed Countries, 1850-1975
 - Average Annual Growth Rates of Per Capita GDP in Constant Prices, Developed Countries, 1847/51-1975
 - 3. GDP Total in Constant Prices, Developed Countries, 1847/51-1975
 - 4. Investment Coefficients (Share of GFCF in GDP), 1830-1975
 - 5. Deflators, Gross Fixed Capital Formation, 1830-1975
 - Population Growth, Developed Countries, 1830-1975 (Index Numbers)
 - 7. GDP by Kind of Economic Activity in 1970 (Cross Section Analysis)
 - 8. Per Capita GDP and Investment Coefficient, 1960 and 1970 (Cross Section Analysis)
 - 9. U.K. Yield on Consols (Consolidated Government Obligations) 1850-1975
 - 10. Germany. Interest Paid on Government Obligations (Communal)
 1850-1913
 - 11. Bank and Prime Rates; U.K; Germany/F.R.G. U.S.A., 1870-1975

II. Capital Stock

- The Growth of Capital Stock, total and per capita, in constant prices of 1912/13 in Germany/FRG and U.S.A. 1850-1974 (selected years)
- Capital Stock by Broad Economic Sectors, Germany/FRG and U.S.A., 1850-1974 (selected years)
- 3. Germany and F.R.G. Gross Capital Stock Population and Prices, 1850-1974
- 4. Germany and F.R.G. Gross Capital Stock by Major Sectors of the Economy, 1850-1975
- 5. F.R.G. Capital Stock by 19 Activities, 1950-1972
- U.S. Gross Capital Stock, Population and Prices, 1850-1968
- U.S. Gross Capital Stock (Business, Government and Households), by type of assets, 1850-1968

- II. Capital Stock continued
 - 8. U.S. Gross Capital Stock, Total and Business Economy, 1960 and 1968
 - 9. U.S. Gross Fixed Non-residential Business Capital Stock by major groups, 1925-1975 (Current prices)
 - 10. U.S. Gross Fixed Non-residential Business Capital Stock by major groups, 1925-1975 (Prices of 1972)
 - 11. United Kingdom Gross Capital Stock at 1970 Replacement Cost, by Industries, 1964-1974
 - 12. Distribution of U.S., F.R.G. and U.K. Gross Capital Stock, by Industries, 1970.
 - 13. World Gross Capital Stock, Percentage Distribution by regions, 1950-1970 (Ströbele Data)
 - 14. World Gross Capital Stock by Regions, 1950 and 1970 (Ströbele and UN data)
- III. Capital Output Ratios
 - World, Average Capital/Output Ratios by Regions, 1950-1970
 - 2. U.S. Capital/Output Ratios, Selected Concepts
 - 3. U.S. Capital Stock and Capital/GNP Ratios for the Private Business Economy, 1947-1974.
 - U.S. Capital/Output Ratios at 80 Industry Level for Input-Output Aggregation, 1963 and 1967-1970.
 - 5. U.S. Capital/Output Ratios 1963 and 1967-1970, for Selected Industries, Adjusted for Capacity Utilization.
 - 6. U.S. Required Level of 1980 Capital Stock for Production of Full Employment GNP.
 - 7. U.S. Industry Classification.
 - F.R.G. Capital Stock/GNP Output Ratios by Major Sectors of the Economy, 1950-1974.
 - F.R.G. Capital/Output Ratios for 19 Activities, 1950-1972.
 - 10. U.K. Capital/Output Ratios, 1964-1974

C.I. CAPITAL FORMATION AND GDP

.

.

Appendix Table I.l.

Population, Per Capita G.D.P. and Prices in the Developed Countries, 1850-1975 (selected years)

(G.D.P. In constant prices and dollars of 1970*)

inu	ted Kingo	dom	G.D.P.	Germany/	'F.R.G.	G.D.P.		U.S.A.	dNb	1
	Populat	ion Per capita G.D.P.	Deflators 1970=100	Population	Per capita G.D.P. 1970 prices	Deflators 1970=100	Population	Per capita G.N.P. 1970 prices	Deflators 1970=100	
	10 ⁶	જી	ж	10 ⁶	\$	%	10 ⁶	ŧĄ	R	
1852/56	21.4	500	15.2	36.2	362	16.5	•	•	•	
1867/71	25.2	572	16.0	40.5	461	18.5	40.9 ^a	404	18.2	-
1887/91	32.1	780	14.4	48.6	825	14.9	61.8	547	14.1	81-
1907/11	41.3	883	15.4	63.7	1087	17.7	90.7	647	16.8	
1927/31	44.6	850	30.5	64.6	1176	27.0	121.7	2347	30.6	
1932/36	45.5	891	27.8	66.0	1203	22.3	126.4	•	24.3	
1952/56	50.8	1302**	58.1	51.9	1402	61.9	163.1	3466	66.2	
1962/66	53.5	2076**	82.4	58.0	2424	82.2	191.7	4158	80.8	
1967/71	55.2	1848**	95.4	60.2	2910	96.0	202.8	4767	95.4	
1970	55.4	1867**	100.0	60.7	3096	100.0	204.9	4769	100.0	
1975	56.0	2006**	195.5	61.8	3300	139.8	213.4	5142	136.6	
*Exchange	Rate: 1	L\$ = DM 3.648 L 5 = \$ 2.394	a = 186	59/73						

**GDP at Factor Cost

-81-

Appendix Table I.2.

Average Annual Growth Rates of Per Capita G.D.P. in Constant Prices, Developed Countries, 1847/51 - 1975

	United Kingdom	Germany/F.R.G.	U.S.A.
Years	Ж	ж	%
1847/51	0.0		•
1852/56	2.5	1.6	•
1857/61	1.4	1.6	•
1862/66	-0.2	2.2	•
1867/71	4.3	1.0	•
1872/76	0.7	2.9	3.0*
1877/81	-0.2	-1.3	5.6*
1882/86	0.5	2.5	2.9*
1887/91	1.2	1.1	0.7*
1892/96	1.0	3.3	1.3
1897/01	0.8	0.2	2.9*
1902/06	-0.1	2.7	3.1*
1907/11	0.4	1.0	1.2*
1912	•	•	•
1913	•	•	•
1912/16	•	•	0.8*
1917/21	•	•	1.3*
1922/26	1.8	•	3.0*
1927/31	0.7	•	0.7*
1932/36	2.8	•	2.3
1937	•	•	•
1938	•	•	•
1937/41	5.2	•	•
1942/46	•	•	•
1947/51	•	FRG .	2.9
1952/56	-0,3	7.7	1.3
1957/61	4.4	6.3	0.5
1962/66	2.4	3.5	4.4
1967/71	1.9	4.1	1.7
1970	-0.8	4.7	-1.5
1971	1.9	2.0	2.2
1972	1.4	2.7	4.7
1973	4.8	4.7	6.6
1974	0.9	0.3	-3,1
1975	-1.8	-3.1	-2.5

*:Represents the average growth from one five years period to the other, (not average growth for each year within the five years period).

Sources: Compiled from National Historic and Current Statistics.

Appendix Table 1.3.

Gross Domestic Product, Total, in constant prices, Developed Countries, 1847/51-1975

(Data in National Currencies)

	United Kingdom	Germany/FRG	U.S.A.
Years	10 ⁶ њ	10 ⁹ Mark	10 ⁹ Dollars
T	10 2 	To universe 1	
ln	prices of 1900	In prices of 1	913 In prices of 1929
1847/51	599	•	
1852/56	680	10.9	•
1857/61	784	12.5	•
1862/66	855	14.6	•
1867/71	993	16.3	9.1a)
1872/76	1234	20.4	11.2
1877/81	1328	21.0	16.1
1882/86	1445	22.5	20.7
1887/91	1595	26.3	24.0
1892/96	1728	30.9	28.3
1897/01	2013	36.2	35.4
1902/06	2163	41.4	45.0
1907/11	2278	47.4	52.5
1912	2388	51.9	•
1913	2514	52.4	•
Tr	prices of 1938		
1912/16	prices or 1958		59 7
1917/21	4619	•	67 7
1922/26	4342	". "	8/L /L
1927/31	4342	50 /L	
1932/36	5010	53 5	97.0 9/1 7
1937/11	6233	JJ•J	116 6
1942/46	0233	•	171 2
1942/40	•	•	171.2
		In prices of 1	970
1947/51	24 /00*	FRG.	446.8
1952/56	2/400*	265.9	567.6
1957/61	31600*	382.8	638.3
1962/66	37100*	513.2	792.7
1967/71	42700*	639.4	967.0
1970	43300*	685 6	977 1
1971	44200*	706 1	1009 1
1972	44900*	730.2	1066 1
1973	47300*	750.2	11/// 9
1974	47300*	707.5	1117 5
1975	47000*	744 0	1097 3
	.,	· · · · · ·	
a) = 1869/73	b) = 1925/26	c) = 1948/5	1
Sources: National	Historic and Cu	urrent Statisti	cs
* = GDP at factor	cost (UK GDP 1	947/51-1975)	

Year	Germany, FRG	U.K.	U.S Government Business Residential	.A.* Business only	France	Japan
1832/36		3.9	•		16 2 0)	
1837/41	•	5,9	•	•	10.2 0)	•
1842/46	•	5.5	•	7	17 7 F)	
1847/51	8.6 a)	8.0	•	•	1/./ 1/	•
1852/56	9.5	6.4	•	•	17.5 cm	
1857/61	8.8	5.6	•		1/10 9/	•
1862/66	11.9	8.3	•	•	20.5 h)	
1867/71	12.2	6.8	14.8 d)	•	•	•
1872/76	14.6	7.8	15.9	•	19.2 i)	
1877/81	9.0	7.7	15.5	•	•	•
1882/86	10.1	6.3	16.6	•	19.8 j)	•
1887/91	11.9	5.4	19.9	•	•	12.3 ^r
1092/90	12.0	6.2	21.3	•	19.3 k)	•
1902/06	15.3	8.9	20.4	•	•	12.4 ^s
1907/11	14.5	8.7	20.5		21.3 1)	11.9 ^t
1913	14.0	5.8	19.4	•	21.0 m)	13.6u
1912/16	13.0	5.9	10.0	•	• .	•
1917/21	•	4.8	19.0	•	•	13.8V
1922/26	9 7 h)	2.3 0 1	19./	•	•	16.7
1927/31	6.8	0.1 0.0	19.0	• 8 9	24.1 n)	18.5×
1932/36	5.7	89	10./	5.4	19.6 0)	18.49
1937/41		8.4	15 9	7.3	19.2 p)	18.12
1942/46	•	4.7	9.8	4.6	• .	•
1947/51	•	12/2	18.6	10.2	•	•
	FRG				•	•
1952/56	19.1 c)	14.4	18.1	9.6	19 4 (7)	20.1ª
1957/61	21.8	15.9	18.1	9.5	22.7	30.2
1962/66	26.0	17.5	18.1	9.9	25.7	31.8
1967/71	24.7	18.5	17.5	10.3	26.4	34.4
L970	26.4	18.8	17.1	10.3	25.6	34.9
	26.7	18.6	17.5	9.9	24.3	34.2
	26.2	18.9	18.3	10.2	24.4	<i>"</i> 34.4
L973	24.7	19.3	18.5	11.4	24.4	36.6
975	22.5 21 1	19 8	٥, ١	10.5	•	34.3
			TO DE LE COLUMN TO A COLUMN	9.9	•	30.9
a) = $1850/51$ b) = $1025/26$	i)	= 1865/74	r)=1887	-1896	*=Share of in GNP	GFCF
D = 1925/26	j)	= 1875/84	s)=1892	-1901		
C) = 1950/51	K)	= 1885/94	t)=1897	-1906		
(1) = 1009/72	T)	= 1895/04	u = 1902	-1911		
$c_1 = 1020/34$. m)	= 1905/13	$v_{1} = 1907$	-1910 1001		
α = 1845/54	n)	- 1920/24	w/~1912 v/~1017	-1321		
$h = 1855/6\mu$	(O	= 1925/34	$x_1 = 121/2$ $y_1 = 1022$	-1920 -1921	•	
, - 1000/04	(P)	- 1055 - 1055	(x) = 1922	-1936		
ourgos and mo	thode coo	- 1900			- turing Trans C	
roconte Croce	Domostic	text.	No.	ote <u>US</u> Bu	siness inv.C	ರಲ್ ಕಿಗಳು ನಾಗು
	LINDOST 1C	FILVATE PI	YPO NON-r	scidentia	i investment	, ec.

Appendix Table I.4.Investment Coefficients (Share of GFCF in GDP) in Selected Developed Countries, 1830-1975. Compiled from Data in Current Prices.

.

.

Appendix	Table	I. 5

: Deflators Gross Fixed Capital Formation, 1830-1975. Index Numbers, 1970=100

Year	Germany, F.R.G.	U.K.	U.S.A.	F:ran c 1905/13=100;	e 1970=100
1832/36		14 6		114 0)	
1837/41	•	15.3	•		•
1842/46		14.4	•	115 f)	•
1847/51	14.1 a)	14.0			•
1852/56	16.5	15.2	•	119 g)	•
1857/61	15.7	15.1	•	2	
	14.3	15.6	•	127 h)	•
100///1	18.5	16.0	18.3 d)		
1877/81	19.1	18.5	17.7	125 i)	•
1882/86	14.2	16.2	14.8		
1887/91	13.6	15.2	14.9	116 j)	•
1892/96	14.9	14.4	14.1	00 1-)	
1897/ 01	15.0	13.9		99 K)	•
1902/06	16.8	15.4	13.0	95 1)	
1907/11	17.7	15.4	16.8	100 m)	•
1912	18.9	16.6	10.0		•
191 3	18.9	17.1	•	•	
191 2/16	•	19.7	19.0	•	•
191 7/21	•	38.9	32.9	•	•
1922/26	28.1 b)	32.1	31.2	441 n)	•
1927/31	27.0	27.9	30.6	525 o)	•
1932/36	22.3	26.0	24.3	514 p)	•
1937	23.0	29.1	•	•	•
1938	22.3	29.6	••••	•	•
1937/41	•	32.1	27.8	•	•
1947/51	51 - 6 - 7	44.0 51 C	3/.2	•	
1952/56	50.5		50.8 66 7		39.0 C)
1957/61	66.9	72.1			51.2
1962/66	79.2	80.2	81.7		793
1967/71	89.6	95.4	95.7		95.0
197 0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0
1971	107.2	108.6	105.8		104.7
1972	111.8	118.9	109.1	•	109.6
1973	116.9	138.1	115.1	•	117.2
1974	124.6	166.0	124.9	•	135.2
1975	128.2	205.9	139.6	•	149.5
a) = 1850	/51	i) = 18	65/74		
b) = 1925/	/26	j) = 18	75/84		
c) = 1950/	/51	k) = 18	85/94		
d) = 1869/	72	1) = 18	95/04		
e) = 1825/	/34	m) = 19	05/13		
f) = 1839/	44	n) = 19	20/24		
g) = 1855/	64	0) = 19	25/34		
		p) = 19	35/38		

Index NumbersYear1913=1001912/16=1001905/13=1001832/3645.739.312.783 e)1832/3645.783.8e)1832/3645.783.8e)1832/3653.631.11822/5654.150.426.991 g)1852/5654.150.426.991 g)1852/5654.150.426.991 g)1852/5654.156.691 g)1852/5654.156.691 g)1852/5655.631.11867/1166.861.883.298187561.887.788.892.783.2981907/1195.51912/16100.01912/16100.2100.01912/16100.2100.01912/16100.21		Germany, F.R.G.	U.K.	U.S.A.	France	
Year1913=1001913=1001912/16=1001905/13=1001832/3645.739.312.783 e)1837/4147.242.116.91842/4650.744.519.887 f)1842/4650.744.519.887 f)1842/4650.744.519.887 f)1842/4650.744.519.887 f)1847/5153.6 a)47.822.91857/6155.653.631.11862/7662.863.144.692 i)1877/7662.863.144.692 i)1877/78166.667.349.81882/8669.871.556.095 j)1887/9172.675.562.51892/9676.880.569.197 k)1897/0182.586.875.71902/0688.892.783.298 l)1912/16100.0100.01912/16100.2100.01912/16100.2100.01912/16102.8106.4.1927/3195.5107.1123.1103 o)1932/3698.5109.9127.9105 p)1937101.3111.31932/3698.5109.9127.9105 p)1933102.2117.9151.5106 c)1932/3698.5109.9122.9.193710		I	ndex	Numbers		
1832/36 45.7 39.3 12.7 83 e) 1837/41 47.2 42.1 16.9 1842/46 50.7 44.5 19.8 87 f) 1842/46 50.7 44.5 19.8 87 f) 1842/46 50.7 44.5 19.8 87 f) 1852/56 54.1 50.4 26.9 91 g) 1857/61 55.6 53.6 31.1 1 1867/71 60.5 59.3 41.5 d) 1 1872/76 62.8 63.1 44.6 92 i) 1877/81 66.6 67.3 49.8 1 1882/86 69.8 71.5 56.0 95 j) 1887/91 72.6 75.5 62.5 1 1897/01 82.5 86.8 75.7 1 1992/06 88.8 92.7 83.2 98 l) 1902/06 88.8 92.7 83.2 98 l) 1912 98.8 196.5 100.0 . . 1912 98.5 107.1 123.1	Year	1913=100	1913=100	1912/16=100	1905/13=100	
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$.832/36	45.7	39.3	12.7	83 e)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$.837/41	47.2	42.1	16.9		
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$.842/46	50.7	44.5	19.8	87 £)	
1857/60 54.1 50.4 26.9 91 g) 1857/61 55.6 53.6 31.1 1862/66 58.4 55.8 35.3 95 h) 1872/76 62.8 63.1 44.6 92 i) 1887/91 72.6 75.5 62.5 7 1892/96 76.8 80.5 69.1 97 k) 1897/01 82.5 86.8 75.7 98 l) 1907/11 95.1 97.2 91.6 100 m) 1912/16 . 100.0 . . 1912/16 . 102.8 106.4 . 1932/36 98.5 109.9 127.9	.84//51	53.6 a)	47.8	22.9	01 ~)	
1862/66 58.4 55.8 35.3 95 h) 1862/66 58.4 55.8 35.3 95 h) 1872/76 62.8 63.1 44.6 92 i) 1877/81 66.6 67.3 49.8 1 1882/86 69.8 71.5 56.0 95 j) 1887/91 72.6 75.5 62.5 1 1892/96 76.8 80.5 69.1 97 k) 1892/96 76.8 80.5 69.1 97 k) 1892/96 76.8 80.5 69.1 97 k) 1897/01 82.5 86.8 75.7 100.0 100 m) 1907/11 95.1 97.2 91.6 100 m) 1912 98.8 99.5 . . . 1917/21 . 102.2 100.0 . . 1917/21 . 102.2 103.0 . . 1932/36 98.5 109.9 127.9 105 p) . 1937 101.3 111.3 . . .	857/61	54.1 55 6	53 6	20.9	91 g)	
101/100 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/11 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/11 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/11 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/11 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/11 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/11 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/11 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 10/1 10/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 101/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 101/11 101/1 101/1 101/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 10/1 10/1	862/66	58 4	55.8	35.3	95 b)	
1872/76 62.8 63.1 44.6 92 i) 1872/76 62.8 63.1 44.6 92 i) 1877/81 66.6 67.3 49.8 95 j) 1882/96 76.8 80.5 69.1 97 k) 1887/01 82.5 86.8 75.7 98 1) 1907/01 82.5 86.8 75.7 98 1) 1907/11 95.1 97.2 91.6 100 m) 1912/16 . 100.2 100.0 . 1912/16 . 102.8 106.4 . 1917/21 . 102.8 106.4 . 1922/26 93.5 b) 104.9 115.2 99 n) 1922/36 98.5 109.9 127.9 105 p) 1937 101.3 111.3 . . 1938 102.2 111.8 . . 937/41 . 115.1 136.3 . 947/51 75.2 c) 117.9 151.5 106 c) 957/61 82.0 122.5 179.9 </td <td>867/71</td> <td>60.5</td> <td>59.3</td> <td>41.5 d)</td> <td>95 m)</td>	867/71	60.5	59.3	41.5 d)	9 5 m)	
1877/81 66.6 67.3 49.8 1882/86 69.8 71.5 56.0 95 j) 1882/86 69.8 71.5 56.0 95 j) 1882/86 69.8 71.5 56.0 95 j) 1882/96 76.8 80.5 69.1 97 k) 1892/96 76.8 80.5 69.1 97 k) 1907/11 95.1 97.2 91.6 100 m) 1912 98.8 99.5 . . 1913 100.0 100.0 . . . 912/16 . 100.2 100.0 . . 917/21 . 102.8 106.4 . . 922/26 93.5 107.1 123.1 103 o) . 922/36 98.5 109.9 127.9 105 p) . 937 101.3 111.3 . . . 938 102.2 111.8 . . . 947/51 75.2 c) 177.9 155 106 c)	872/76	62.8	63.1	44.6	92 i)	
1882/86 69.8 71.5 56.0 95 j) 1887/91 72.6 75.5 62.5 97 k) 1897/01 82.5 86.8 75.7 98 l) 1902/06 88.8 92.7 83.2 98 l) 1907/11 95.1 97.2 91.6 100 m) 912 98.8 99.5 . . 913 100.0 100.0 . . 914 100.0 100.0 . . 912 98.8 99.5 . . 913 100.0 100.2 100.0 . 917/21 . 102.8 106.4 . 922/26 93.5 107.1 123.1 103 o) 937 101.3 111.3 . . 938 102.2 111.8 . . 942/46 . 115.1 136.3 . 947/51 75.2 c) 117.9 15.5 106 c) 952/56 77.5 119.5 165.0 109	877/81	66.6	67.3	49.8	32 _/	
1887/91 72.6 75.5 62.5 62.5 $1892/96$ 76.8 80.5 69.1 97 $1897/01$ 82.5 86.8 75.7 $1902/06$ 88.8 92.7 83.2 98 $1902/11$ 95.1 97.2 91.6 100 $1902/12$ 98.8 99.5 1912 98.8 99.5 1912 98.8 99.5 1912 98.8 99.5 $1912/16$. 100.0 $1912/16$. 100.2 100.0 . $1917/21$. 102.8 106.4 . $1922/26$ 93.5 107.1 123.1 103 $1932/36$ 98.5 109.9 127.9 105 1937 101.3 111.3 1938 102.2 111.8 $1947/51$ 75.2 c 117.9 151.5 106 $1947/51$ 75.2 c 117.9 155.5 106 $1952/56$ 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 $1957/61$ 82.0 122.5 179.9 115 $1962/66$ 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 1970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 1971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 1972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 1973 92.4 131.6	882/86	69.8	71.5	56.0	95 j)	
1892/96 76.8 80.5 69.1 97 k) 1897/01 82.5 86.8 75.7 1902/06 88.8 92.7 83.2 98 l) 1907/11 95.1 97.2 91.6 100 m) 1912 98.8 99.5 . . 1913 100.0 100.0 . . 1912/16 . 100.2 100.0 . . 1912/26 93.5 b) 104.9 115.2 99 n) 1922/26 93.5 b) 104.9 115.2 99 n) 1922/36 98.5 109.9 127.9 105 p) 1932/36 98.5 109.9 127.9 105 p) 1937 101.3 111.3 . . 1938 102.2 111.8 . . 1942/46 . 115.1 136.3 . 1947/51 75.2 c) 117.9 151.5 106 c) 1952/56 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 1967/61 82.0 122.5 179.9 <td>887/91</td> <td>72.6</td> <td>75.5</td> <td>62.5</td> <td>٠ ر</td>	887/91	72.6	75.5	62.5	٠ ر	
1897/01 82.5 86.8 75.7 1902/06 88.8 92.7 83.2 98 1) 1907/11 95.1 97.2 91.6 100 m) 1912 98.8 99.5 . . 1913 100.0 100.0 . . 1912/16 . 100.2 100.0 . 1917/21 . 102.8 106.4 . 1922/26 93.5 b) 104.9 115.2 99 n) 1937/31 95.5 107.1 123.1 103 o) 1932/36 98.5 109.9 127.9 105 p) 1937 101.3 111.3 . . 1938 102.2 111.8 . . 1947/51 75.2 c) 117.9 151.5 106 c) 1957/61 82.0 122.5 179.9 115 1962/66 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 967/711 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129	892/96	76.8	80.5	69.1	97 k)	
1902/06 88.8 92.7 83.2 $98 1$ $1907/11$ 95.1 97.2 91.6 $100 m$ 1912 98.8 99.5 1913 100.0 100.0 $1912/16$. 100.2 100.0 . $1912/26$ $93.5 b$ 104.9 115.2 $99 n$ $1922/26$ $93.5 b$ 104.9 115.2 $99 n$ $1927/31$ 95.5 107.1 123.1 $103 o$ $1932/36$ 98.5 109.9 127.9 $105 p$ 1937 101.3 111.3 1938 102.2 111.8 $1937/41$. 112.5 132.5 . $1942/46$. 115.1 136.3 . $1947/51$ $75.2 c$ 117.9 151.5 $106 c$ $1952/56$ 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 $1957/61$ 82.0 122.5 179.9 115 $1962/66$ 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 $1967/71$ 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 1970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 1971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 1972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 1973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 1974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 1975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7 <td>897/01</td> <td>82.5</td> <td>86.8</td> <td>75.7</td> <td></td>	897/01	82.5	86.8	75.7		
1907/11 95.1 97.2 91.6 $100 m$) 1912 98.8 99.5 1913 100.0 100.0 $1912/16$ 100.2 100.0 . $1912/16$ 102.8 106.4 . $1922/26$ 93.5 b) 104.9 115.2 99 $1927/31$ 95.5 107.1 123.1 103 o) $1932/36$ 98.5 109.9 127.9 105 p) 1937 101.3 111.3 1938 102.2 111.8 $1942/46$. 115.1 136.3 $1947/51$ 75.2 c) 117.9 151.5 106 c) $1952/56$ 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 . $1947/51$ 75.2 c) 117.9 151.5 106 c) $1952/56$ 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 . $1967/71$ 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 . 1970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 . 1971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 1972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 1973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 1975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 1975 92.3 13	902/06	88.8	92.7	83.2	98 1)	
191298.899.5.1913100.0100.0.1912/16.100.2100.01917/21.102.8106.41922/2693.5b)104.9115.299 n)1927/3195.5107.1123.1103 o)1932/3698.5109.9127.9105 p)1937101.3111.31938102.2111.81942/46.115.1136.3.1947/5175.2 c)117.9151.5106 c)1952/5677.5119.5165.01091957/6182.0122.5179.91151962/6686.6126.8194.0122967/7189.9129.9205.212897090.6130.4207.312997191.5130.8209.513097292.1131.3211.313197392.4131.6212.913297492.6131.7214.4134197592.3131.9216.1135I nM i 1 1 i o n s	907/11	95.1	97.2	91.6	100 m)	
1913100.0100.0.1912/16100.2100.0.1917/21102.8106.4.1922/2693.5 b)104.9115.299 n)1927/3195.5107.1123.1103 o)1932/3698.5109.9127.9105 p)1937101.3111.31938102.2111.81937/41112.5132.5.1942/46.115.1136.3.1947/5175.2 c)117.9151.5106 c)1952/5677.5119.5165.01091957/6182.0122.5179.91151962/6686.6126.8194.0122967/7189.9129.9205.212897090.6130.4207.312997191.5130.8209.513097392.4131.6212.913297492.6131.7214.4134197592.3131.9216.1135I nM i 1 1 i o n sI nM i 1 1 i o n s	912	98.8	99.5	•	•	
1912/16 100.2 100.0 $.$ $1917/21$ 102.8 106.4 $.$ $1922/26$ 93.5 $b)$ 104.9 115.2 99 $1927/31$ 95.5 107.1 123.1 103 $0)$ $1932/36$ 98.5 109.9 127.9 105 $p)$ 1937 101.3 111.3 $.$ $.$ 1938 102.2 111.8 $.$ $.$ $1937/41$ 112.5 132.5 $.$ $1942/46$ $.$ 115.1 136.3 $1947/51$ 75.2 $c)$ 117.9 151.5 $1942/46$ $.$ 112.5 136.3 $1947/51$ 75.2 $c)$ 117.9 151.5 $1952/56$ 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 $1957/61$ 82.0 122.5 179.9 115 $1962/66$ 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 $967/71$ 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 1975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 In M i 1 1 i o n s 1975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	913	100.0	100.0	•	•	
1917/21 102.8 106.4 $.$ $1922/26$ 93.5 b) 104.9 115.2 99 n) $1927/31$ 95.5 107.1 123.1 103 o) $1932/36$ 98.5 109.9 127.9 105 p) 1937 101.3 111.3 $.$ $.$ 938 102.2 111.8 $.$ $.$ $.937/41$ $.$ 112.5 132.5 $.$ $.942/46$ $.$ 115.1 136.3 $.$ $.947/51$ 75.2 c) 117.9 151.5 106 c) $.952/56$ 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 $.957/61$ 82.0 122.5 179.9 115 $.962/66$ 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 $.970$ 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 $.971$ 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 $.972$ 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 $.973$ 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 $.974$ 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 $.975$ 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 I <n< td="">M i 1 1 i o n s97597597597597597597597597597597597592.6<td col<="" td=""><td>912/16</td><td>•</td><td>100.2</td><td>100.0</td><td>•</td></td></n<>	<td>912/16</td> <td>•</td> <td>100.2</td> <td>100.0</td> <td>•</td>	912/16	•	100.2	100.0	•
922/26 93.5 b) 104.9 115.2 99 n) 927/31 95.5 107.1 123.1 103 o) 932/36 98.5 109.9 127.9 105 p) 937 101.3 111.3 . . 938 102.2 111.8 . . 937/41 . 112.5 132.5 . 942/46 . 115.1 136.3 . 947/51 75.2 c) 117.9 151.5 106 c) 952/56 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 957/61 82.0 122.5 179.9 115 962/66 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 967/71 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 972 92.1 131.3 131 131 973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 </td <td>917/21</td> <td>• • • • • •</td> <td>102.8</td> <td>106.4</td> <td>•</td>	917/21	• • • • • •	102.8	106.4	•	
927/31 95.5 107.1 123.1 103 6) 932/36 98.5 109.9 127.9 105 p) 937 101.3 111.3 . . 938 102.2 111.8 . . 937/41 . 112.5 132.5 . 942/46 . 115.1 136.3 . 947/51 75.2 c) 117.9 151.5 106 c) 952/56 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 957/61 82.0 122.5 179.9 115 962/66 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 967/71 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135	922/26	93.5 b)	104.9	115.2	99 n)	
1032/36 98.5 109.9 127.9 105 p) 1937 101.3 111.3 . . 938 102.2 111.8 . . 937/41 . 112.5 132.5 . 942/46 . 115.1 136.3 . 947/51 75.2 c) 117.9 151.5 106 c) 952/56 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 957/61 82.0 122.5 179.9 115 962/66 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 967/71 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 972 92.1 131.3 131 131 973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 I n Millions 975	927/31	95.5	107.1	123.1	103 0	
1937 101.3 111.3 . . 1938 102.2 111.8 . . 1937/41 . 112.5 132.5 . 1942/46 . 115.1 136.3 . 947/51 75.2 c) 117.9 151.5 106 c) 952/56 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 957/61 82.0 122.5 179.9 115 962/66 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 967/71 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 I n Millin ons 975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	932/30	98.5	109.9	127.9	105 p)	
937/41 . 111.6 . . 942/46 . 115.1 136.3 . .947/51 75.2 c) 117.9 151.5 106 c) .952/56 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 .957/61 82.0 122.5 179.9 115 .962/66 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 .967/71 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 .970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 .971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 .972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 .973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 .974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 .975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 .975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	937	101.3	111 0	•	•	
942/46 115.1 136.3 . 947/51 75.2 c) 117.9 151.5 106 c) 952/56 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 957/61 82.0 122.5 179.9 115 962/66 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 967/71 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 972 92.1 131.3 131 973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 In M i l l i o n s 975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	930 937//11	102.2	112 5	122 5	•	
1947/51 75.2 c) 117.9 151.5 106 c) 1952/56 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 957/61 82.0 122.5 179.9 115 962/66 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 967/71 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 I n Millions 975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	942/46	•	115 1	136 3	•	
1952/56 77.5 119.5 165.0 109 957/61 82.0 122.5 179.9 115 962/66 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 967/71 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 In Millions 975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	947/51	75 ² c)	117 9	151 5	106 c)	
957/61 82.0 122.5 179.9 115 962/66 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 967/71 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 In Millions 975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	952/56	77.5	119.5	165.0	109	
962/66 86.6 126.8 194.0 122 967/71 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 In Million s 975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	957/61	82.0	122.5	179.9	115	
.967/71 89.9 129.9 205.2 128 .970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 .971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 .972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 .973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 .974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 .975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 .975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	962/66	86.6	126.8	194.0	122	
970 90.6 130.4 207.3 129 971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 In Millions 975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	967/71	89.9	129.9	205.2	128	
1971 91.5 130.8 209.5 130 1972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 1973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 1974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 1975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 In Millions 1975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	970 [°]	90.6	130.4	207.3	129	
1972 92.1 131.3 211.3 131 1973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 1974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 1975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 In Millions 1975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	971	91.5	130.8	209.5	. 130	
1973 92.4 131.6 212.9 132 1974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 1975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 In Millions 1975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	972	92.1	131.3	211.3	131	
.974 92.6 131.7 214.4 134 1975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 In Millions 13.6 52.7 1975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	973	92.4	131.6	212.9	132	
975 92.3 131.9 216.1 135 In Millions 1975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	974	92.6	131.7	214.4	134	
In Millions 975 61.8 56.0 213.6 52.7	975	92.3	131.9	216.1	135	
<u>کر جان کا کا مانی کر جا</u>	075	I n 61 9	Mill 560	ions 2126	50 7	
	<i>כו כ</i>	01.0	50.0	213.0	52.7	
	= 1925/	26	j) = 1875	/84 /0/		
$j = \frac{1925}{26}$ $j = \frac{1875}{84}$	= 1950/	51	K) = 1885	/ 94 / 0/1		
$ \begin{array}{ll} j = 1925/26 \\ j = 1875/84 \\ j = 1950/51 \\ k = 1885/94 \\ j = 1000 (72) \\ k = 1000 (72)$	= 1869/	12	$\pm 1) = 1895$	/04		
j = 1925/26 j) = 1875/84) = 1950/51 k) = 1885/94) = 1869/72 1) = 1895/04) = 1825/24 = 1805/12	= 1872	34 11 11	$m_{1} = 1905$	(13 /21)		
$ \begin{array}{ll} j = 1925/26 \\ j = 1950/51 \\ k = 1885/94 \\ j = 1869/72 \\ l = 1825/34 \\ m = 1905/13 \\ m = 1905/13 \\ m = 1905/13 \\ m = 1905/21 \\ m = 1000/21 \\ m = 100$		LL LL	$n_1 = 197()$	′ ∠ 4		
= 1925/26 j) = 1875/84 = 1950/51 k) = 1885/94 = 1869/72 1) = 1895/04 = 1825/34 m) = 1905/13 = 1839/44 n) = 1920/24 = 1845/54 n) = 1925/34 = 1845/54 n) = 1925/34 = 1925/34 n) = 1925/34 = 1925/34 n) = 1925/34 = 1925/34 n) = 1925/34	= 1839/	5/1	(1) - 1025	/3/1		

Appendix Table I.6. Population Growth, Developed Countries, 1830-1975

Appendix Table I.7.

Components of GDP by kind of economic activity in 1970 (Cross Section Analysis) - Market Economies

Countries	GDP 7	lotal	Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries	Industry:Mining Manufactures, Electricity,Gas	(Manufacturing)	Construction	Trade,Wholesale and Retail	Transportation	Other	Total
	Mill.	.US\$	Per	cen	tage	e Di	str	i b	uti	o n
a) Rich and per cap	d su ita (per-r GDP \$	ich, m 51,700	and mo	develop re	ed, wi	th 197	0		
U.S.A.	983	240	3	30	(26)	5	17	6	39	100
Sweden	32	913	4	28	(25)	8	10	6	44	100
Kuweit	3	036	0	6/	(4)	3	1	3	20	100
Canada	82	823	4	26	(20)	5	11	8	46	100
Denmark*	15	5/3	1	29	(27)	9	14	9	32	100
F.R.G.	187	694	3	46	(43)	8	14	6	23	100
Australia*	30	209	b C	30	(24)	8	12	8	30	100
Norway	1/1/1	111	o c	20	(22)	8	12	10	32	100
Polgium	144	734	0	20	(22)	10	1 2	• 48	<u> </u>	100
Notherl	20	131	4	20	(32)	7	13	/	34	100
Recherr.	10	270	12	20	(29)	/	10	o c	34	100
	110	011	12	20	(27)	0 E	10	07	34	100
Duerto P	5	162	2	22	(20)	0	9 01	ć	44	100
Austria	1/1	277	נ ר	20	(23)	0	21	0 2	30 22	100
Janan	107	696	י ד	30	(36)	צ ר	17	07	∠ <i>3</i> 22	100
Ttalv	92	704	, 9	35	(30)	8	1/L	6	23	100

*The relatively high shares, i.e. 6% and more of agriculture in total GDP reflect special circumstances, i.e. Denmark (7%), Australia (6%) who are exporters of agricultural products; Finland (12%) who has important forestry and fisheries, etc.

-87-

Appendix Table I.7 cont'd

Countries	GDP '	Total	Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries	Industry:Mining Manufactures, Electricity,Gas and Water Supply	(Manufacturing)	Construction	Trade,Wholesale and Retail	Transportation	Other ·	Total
	Mi11	.US\$	Per	cen	tage	Di	[•] str	i b	uti	o n
b) 1970 pe	er ca	pita	GDP be	tween \$	\$1,100 a	and \$2	.50.			
	10				(17)	<i>u</i>	11	11	20	100
S Africa*	17	357	o Q	37	(17)	4	1/1	11	29	100
Panama	1	046	23	17	(24)	4	0	9 //	27 // 1	100
Chile*	6	691	23	ц, Ц, 1	(13)	Ц Ц	20	-7	23	100
Jamaica	1	284	9	28	(12)	11	15	7	30	100
Mexico	33	496	11	29	(23)	5	33	3	19	100
S.Arabia*	5	094	4	65	(-3)	ŭ	5	6	16	100
C.Rica	_	946	23	21	(2)	5	17	ŭ	30	100
Nicaragua		845	24	21	(19)	3	20	5	27	100
Iran*	11	671	18	35	•	5	7	5	30	100
Colombia	8	463	27	22	(19)	5	17	7	22	100
Iraq*	3	637	17	39	(9)	3	8	5	28	100
Malaysia	3	239	32	22	(14)	4	14	4	24	100
Guatemala	1	904	29	16	(15)	2	28	4	21	100
Dom.Rep.	1	472	23	21	(19)	5	16	8	27	100
Turkey	12	721	28	22	(19)	7	11	8	24	100
Peru	4	514	19	30	(20)	5	<u> </u>	46	<u> </u>	100
El Salv.	1	716	28	21	(19)	3	23	5	20	100
Liberia		417	19	33	(5)	4	17	6	21	100
Syria	1	684	21	20	(16)	3	18	10	28	100
Korea, Rep.	8	281	28	25	(22)	6	16	6	19	100
Philipp.	9	538	30	18	(16)	2	8	2	40	100
Ghana	2	214	48	14	(11)	4	12	4	18	100
Paraguay		595	32	18	(17)	3	24	4	19	100

*The relatively low shares of agriculture and the relatively high shares of industry are explained by the fact that the latter includes mining. Appendix Table I.7 cont'd

Countries	GDP Total	Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries	Industry:Mining Manufactures, Electricity,Gas and Water Supply	(Manufacturing)	Construction	Trade,Wholesale and Retail	Transportation	Other .	Total
	Mill.US\$	Per	cent	tage	Di	str	i b	uti	. o n
c) 1970 pe:	r capita	GDP be	low \$250).					
Egypt	7211	25	21	(18)			- 54		- 10 ⁰
Bolivia¥	1017	16	30	(14)	4	13	8		100
Pakistan	10485	33	17	(15)	4	14	6	26	100
Thailand	6536	29	19	(16)	6	23	6	17	100
Sri Lanka	2172	33	10	(9)	6	16	9	26	100
Kenya	1611	31	13	(11)	5	10	7	34	100
Nigeria	7711	44	16	(7)	5	12	3	20	100
Uganda	1323	49	11	(8)	2	10	3	25	100
10g0	264	43	19	(11)	3	19	7	9	100
Cont Af Por	2030	20	27	(12)	3	24	7	13	100
Sudan	1032	25	18	(13)	4	20	3	24	100
IndOnesia	1222	55	12	(10)	4	18	8	23	100
Haiti	500	50	13	(9)	っ っ	19	3	13	100
Tanzania	1284	37	11	(10)	2	10	2	23	100
India	53117	44	14	(12)	5	9	5	20	100
Burma	2267	34	10	(9)	2	29	7	23 18	100
Niger	298	51	7	(6)	3	15	, ,	21	100
Malawi	326	51	13	(12)	ŭ	10	ŭ	18	100
Ethiopia	1777	52	9	(8)	4	8	4	23	100
Upper Volta	a <u>3</u> 47	44	10	(10)	2	16	5	23	100

*The relatively low shares of agriculture and the relatively high shares of industry are explained by the fact that the latter includes mining.

•--

Appendix Table I.8

Per Capita GDP and Investment Coefficient, 1970 and 1960* (Cross Section Analysis) Market Economies

Co si	untries ranked by ze of GDP	GDP pe	r capita 1960	Share of	GFCF in	GDP
		\$	\$		 %	
a)	Rich and super rich,	with 1	970 per cap	ita GDP \$	1,700 an	d more
	U.S.A.	4799	2817	17	17	
	Sweden	4094	1861	22	21	
	Kuweit	3995	•	14	12	
	Canada	3885	2229	21	22	
	Denmark	3159	1299	22	19	
	F.R.G.	3095	1323	26	24	
	Australia	2923	1586	27	25	
	Norway	2864	1272	27	28	
	France	2851	1336	26	20	
	Belgium	2664	1232	22	19	
	Netherlands	2431	971	26	24	
	Finland	2251	1116	26	25	
	New Zealand	2213	1559	23	23	
	U.K.	2163	1368	19	16	
	Austria	1932	891	26	25	
	Lybia	1919	472	18	•	
	Japan	1895	458	35	30	
	Israel	1859	939	26	25	
	Italy	1731	633	21	22	

All data in current prices.

*The Investment Coefficient is defined as Share of Gross Fixed Capital Formation in GDP. Appendix Table I.8 cont'd

Countries ranked by size of GDP	GDP per 1970	capita 1960	Share of 1970	GFCF ^{DP} 1960
	\$	\$	%	Ж
b) 1970 Per Capita GDP	between \$1,	100 and \$	250	
Argentina Venezuela Spain Uruguay South Africa Panama Portugal Chile Jamaica Mexico Saudi Arabia Costa Rica Nicaragua Iran Colombia Brazil Iraq Malaysia Guatemala Dom.Republic Turkey Peru El Salvador Rhodesia Ecuador Tunesia Honduras Liberia	$ \begin{array}{r} 1078 \\ 1056 \\ 957 \\ 837 \\ 773 \\ 731 \\ 706 \\ 689 \\ 687 \\ 682 \\ 658 \\ 544 \\ 455 \\ 407 \\ 401 \\ 400 \\ 385 \\ 369 \\ 367 \\ 363 \\ 361 \\ 332 \\ 300 \\ 285 \\ 282 \\ 277 \\ 276 \\ 275 \\ \end{array} $	623 1043 341 620 449 392 281 294 410 334 323 254 203 253 208 245 278 258 274 238 259 208 231 217 216 205 203 223	20 22 21 11 27 * 24 a) 18 15 25 a) 20 13 22 15 19 20 19 ^c) 14 16 13 17 19 12 12 12 16 20 20 19 19	21 19 15 20 15 18 15 21 17 14 19 12 17 18 17 10 10 15 17 14 22 13 18 13
Syria Korea, Republic Philippines Ghana Paraguay	269 267 259 256 249	209 152 164 198 159	15 25 ^b) 18 12 15	13 11 12 20

* = Reflects investments in mining sector, etc.

a) = Reflects spreading of U.S. investments

b) = Reflects Japan model of development

c) = National Accounts Summary, World Bank Report, March 1973

1

Appendix	Table	I.8	cont'd	
----------	-------	-----	--------	--

Cor	untries ranked by	GDP per	capita	Share of G	CF in GDP	
эт.		1970	1960	1970	1960	_
		\$	\$	%	%	
c)	Low Level Developing	g Countrie	es, 1970	per capita GDP	less than	\$250
	Morocco	229	154	15	10	
	Senegal	217	190	10	•	
	Egypt	216	129	11	15	
	Bolivia	206	<u> </u>	14	14	
	Pakistan	196	83	14 、	12	
	Thailand	190	97	24a)	14	
	Cameroun	187	127	14	11	
	Sierra Leone	177	140	16	•	
	Sri Lanka	174	142	19	15	
	Kenya	143	101	20	12	
	Nigeria	140	79	16	13	
	Uganda	135	94	12	15	
	Тодо	134	84	13	9	
	Zaire	132	91	16	12	
	Cent.African Rep.	127	•	14	•	
	Sudan	117	94	11	11	
	Indonesia	107	71	14	8	
	Haiti	103	73	7	14	
	Tanzania	100	56	20	11	
	India	98	74	15	13,	
	Burma	82	66	10**	9`	
	Niger	. 74 .	. 69	6*	•	
	Chad	74	67	11***		
	Malawi	73	42	18	9	
	Ethiopia	72	49	12	12	
	Burundi	71	47	6***	6	
	Upper Volta	65	42	8**	Ŭ,	
	Mali	53	67	13*	•	
				. 🗸	•	

,

* = 1969 ** = 1968 *** = 1965 **** = 1963

a) = reflects U.S. investments

Average Yield %	9.16 9.05 9.05 9.11 10.85 14.95 14.66 14.66 14.66 14.95 14.66 14.95 14.66 14.95 14.66 14.95 14.9	
Үеаг	1970 1970 2 3 4 5 Sources: B.R.M and Phyllis De Abstract of Br Douversity Pre p.456 and U.K.	
Average Yield %	8999023884-1-128884-158884 899902384-1-128884 899902384-1-12888 89990237 89990237 89990237 89990237 89990237 89990237 89990237 8999023 8999023 8999023 8999023 8999023 899003 899702 899002 899002 899002 899002 899002 89900 89900 89900 89900 89900 89900 89900 89000 80000 80000 80000 80000 80000 80000 80000 800000 800000 800000 80000 800000 8000000	9.16
Year	о алал тал ала са	1970
Average Yield %	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	3 • 4
Year		1940
Average Yield %	мимищищи по в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в в	3.1
Year	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1910
Average Yield %		3.1
Year	2 3 3 4 5 6 6 6 7 7 8 7 7 8 7 9 8 7 9 8 7 9 8 7 9 8 7 9 8 7 9 8 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	1880

Appendix Table I.9. U.K.Yield on Consols [Consolidated Government Obligations], 1850-1975

O. Germany: Interest Paid on Government Obligations (Communal) 1850-1913

Year	Yield	Year	Yield %	Year	Yield %	
	_	_				
1850	3.80	1880	3.89	1910	3.98	
1	3.82	1	3.84	1	4.03	
2	3.75	2	3.83	2	4.04	
3	3.72	3	3.88	3	4.07	
4	3.74	4	3.90			
5	3.82	5	3.93			
6	3.88	6	4.00	:		
7	3.93	7	3.98			
8	4.09	8	3.93			
9	4.19	9	3.91			
1860	4.23	1890	3.89			
1	4.25	1	3.86			
2	4.31	2	3.91			
3	4.26	3	3.92	Source:		
4	4.16	4	3.92	Germany. In	nterest paid	on
5	4.10	5	3.90	Government	Obligations sung der Öff	,see: entlichen
6	4.09	6	3.85	Schulden 1	850-1913; in	0
7	4.09	7	3.79	W.Hoffmann Das Wachstu	, Grumbach, 1 um der Deuts	Hesse. chen
8	4.03	8	3.77	Wirtschaft	seit der Mi	tte des
9	3.94	9	3.72	19. Jahrhu 1965, p.798	nderts, Heid 3.	elberg,
1870	4.00	1900	3.69	· -		
1	4.11	1	3.69			
2	4.15	2	3.71			
3	4.20	3	3.70			
4	4.31	4	3.71			
5	4.27	5	3.75			
6	4.14	6	3.79			
7	4.05	7	3.82			
8	3.96	8	3.88			
9	3.94	9	3.95			
1880	3.89	1910	3.98			

	1870-1975
	, U.S.A.,
I.11	ermany/F.R.G.
dix Table	s, U.K., Ge
Apper	Y Rates
	Primar
	and
	Bank

.

| | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |

 | | | · | |
 | | |
 | | |
 | | | |
 | | | |
|-----|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---
--
--|---|---
---|---|---|---|---
--
--|---|---|---
---|---|---|---|---
--|---|
| 26 | 5.71 | 5.40 | 5.81 | 6.10 | 5.14 | 5.18 | 6.25 | 6 . 66 | 2.00 | 4.67 | 5.72 | 4.75 | 5.41 | 6.30

 | 5.47 | 4.01 | 3.84 | 5.07 | 6.02
 | 5.37 | 7.50 | 6.62
 | 4.53 | 5.07 | 3.98
 | 4.02 | 4.34 | 4.11 | 4.85
 | 5.85 | 3.59 | |
| z | 5.33 | 4.10 | 3.32 | 3.84 | 4.22 | 3.82 | 5.15 | 6.03 | 4.76 | 3.93 | 4.35 | 4.40 | 4.95 | 5.89

 | • | • | • | • | •
 | • | • | •
 | • | • | •
 | 9.15 | 6.74 | 5.83 | 7.00
 | 7.11 | 4.93 | |
| ĸ | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | о . с | 4.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | r.0 | 0.0 | ۍ
٥

 | 5.0* | 5.0 | 6.0 | 2.0
.0 | 5.0
 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 5.0
 | 0.
 | 4.0 | 5.0
 | 5.0 | 2.0 | د .5 | 4.5
 | 5.0 | 3.0 | |
| ie. | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.5

 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0
 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 6.0
 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0
 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.5
 | 5.5 | 3.0 | |
| | 1900 | - | 2 | m | 4 | 1905 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 1910 | - | 2 | m

 | 4 | 1915 | 9 | 2 | 8
 | 6 | 1920 | ~
 | 5 | m | 7
 | 1925 | 9 | 7 | ω
 | 6 | 1930 | |
| 1 | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |

 | | | | _ |
 | | |
 | | |
 | _ | | |
 | | | 10 |
| 86 | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | •

 | • | • | • | • | •
 | • | 6.91 | 6.48
 | 5.40 | 7.64 | 5.22
 | 5.80 | 7.02 | 4.72 | 5.34
 | 5.50 | 5.71 | rose to 10. |
| 82 | | • | • | • | • | • | 4.16 | 4.42 | 4.34 | 3.70 | 4.24 | 4.42 | 4.52 | 4.05

 | 4.00 | 4.12 | 3.28 | 3.41 | 3.32
 | 3.68 | 4.52 | 3.78
 | 3.20 | 4.07 | 3.12
 | 3.14 | 3.66 | .3.81 | 4.27
 | 5.04 | 5.33 | K Bank Rate |
| 88 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.0

 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.0
 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 3.5
 | 3.0 | 3°0 | 2.0
 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | ц.О
 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 14 the UI |
| ЪС | 3.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | - 2.5 | 3.0 | 4.0

 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.5
 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 3.0
 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0
 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5
 | 3.0 | 3.0 | August 19 |
| | 1 | | | | _ | | | | _ | | _ | | _ |

 | _ | _ | _ | _ | -
 | _ | | |
 | | _ |
 | | | |
 | | | 1 ~ |
| | 52
52
52
52
52
52
52
52
52
52
52
52
52
5 | % % % 3.0 2.5 % 1900 3.0 4.0 | % % % % 3.0 2.5 % 1900 3.0 4.0 5.33 5.71 1 3.0 4.0 5.33 | % % % % 3.0 2.5 % % 3.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 5.33 5.71 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.10 3.0 4.10 3.32 5.40 | 3.0 3.0 5.33 5.71 3.0 3.0 2.5 3.0 4.0 5.33 3.1 1 3.0 4.0 5.33 3.1 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.1 3.3 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.1 3.0 4.10 3.3 3.3 3.10 4.10 3.3 3.3 3.2 5.10 3.3 5.10 | 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 1900 3.0 4.0 5.33 3.1 1900 3.0 4.0 5.33 3.1 1 3.0 4.0 5.33 3.1 1 3.0 4.0 5.33 3.1 1 3.0 4.0 5.33 3.1 1 3.0 4.0 3.32 5.40 1 3.0 4.0 3.33 5.14 1 3.0 4.0 3.32 5.14 1 3.0 4.0 3.32 5.14 1 3.0 4.0 3.32 5.14 1 3.0 4.0 3.32 5.14 | 3.0 5.33 3.0 3.0 1900 3.0 4.0 3.3 3.1 1905 5.55 3.0 4.0 3.1 1905 5.55 3.0 4.0 3.1 1905 5.55 3.0 4.0 3.1 1905 5.55 3.0 4.0 3.1 1905 5.55 5.10 3.3 3.1 1905 5.55 4.0 3.3 3.3 1905 5.55 5.11 3.3 3.3 1905 5.55 5.11 5.11 3.3 1905 5.55 5.11 5.11 5.11 1905 5.55 5.55 5.51 3.5 1905 5.55 5.55 5.51 3.5 1905 5.55 5.51 5.51 3.5 1905 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 114 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.5 | 3.0 2.5 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.1 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.1 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.1 3.0 4.0 3.1 3 | 3.0 2.5 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.1 3 | 30 5.15 5.13 5.14 31 32.5 33.0 4.0 5.33 31 32.5 33.0 4.0 5.33 31 32.5 33.0 4.0 5.33 32.5 55.5 33.0 4.0 5.33 32.5 55.5 33.0 4.10 5.33 31 32.0 44.0 33.32 5.14 32.0 51.0 33.32 5.14 33.0 44.0 33.32 5.14 32.0 44.0 33.32 5.14 33.0 44.0 33.0 44.0 33.0 44.0 33.32 5.14 33.0 44.0 33.0 44.0 33.0 44.0 33.0 5.15 4 44.0 5.15 5.14 55.5 55.15 55.15 55.14 56.666 37.0 37.0 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.14 56.55 57.5 57.5 57.14 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.14 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 | 30 3.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 5.1 4.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.1 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5. | 3 3 | 33.00 4.10 3.00 3.00 4.10 3.00 3.00 4.10 3.00 3.00 4.10 3.00 3.00 4.10 3.00 4.10 3.00 4.10 3.00 4.10 3.00 3.00 4.10 4.10 4.10 4.10 4.10 4.10 | 33.00 33.00 <td< td=""><td>x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
 x <</td><td>x x x x x x</td><td>33.00 3.00</td><td>x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x</td></td<> <td>3 3</td> <td>x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x</td> <td>x x</td> <td>X X X X X X X X X X X X X<td>% %</td><td>3 3</td><td>33 33 33 33 34 35 <td< td=""><td>% %
% %</td><td>% % % % % %<td>x x</td><td>x x</td><td>x x</td><td>x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x<td>x x</td></td></td></td<></td></td> | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x < | x x x x x x | 33.00 3.00 | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x | 3
3 3 | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x | x x | X X X X X X X X X X X X X <td>% %</td> <td>3 3</td> <td>33 33 33 33 34 35 <td< td=""><td>% %</td><td>% % % % % %<td>x x</td><td>x x
 x x x x x x x x</td><td>x x</td><td>x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x<td>x x</td></td></td></td<></td> | % % | 3 3 | 33 33 33 33 34 35 <td< td=""><td>% %</td><td>% % % % % %<td>x x</td><td>x x</td><td>x x
x x</td><td>x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x<td>x x</td></td></td></td<> | % % | % % % % % % <td>x x</td> <td>x x</td> <td>x x</td> <td>x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x<td>x x</td></td> | x x | x
x x | x x | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x <td>x x</td> | x x |

,

•

•

Appendix Table I.11 (continued) Bank and Primary Rates, U.K., Germany/F.R.G., U.S.A., 1870-1975

4-6 months Comm. Paper USA Prime 5.37 5.67 5.45 5.22 Rate ĸ . Germuny, Bank Discount Average Annual ĸ End Year 1976 (selected months) U.K.Bank Rate ĸ 9.00 11.50 13.00 15.00 14.75 11.00 Mid Year ષ્ટ March July Sept. Oct. March Year 1965 1960 1970 1975 Nov. Dec. Þ 90 80 1977 4-6 months Comn. Paper USA Prime Rate ж Bank Discount Germany, Average Annual R End Year U.K.Bank Rate к Mid Year ĸ Year 1935 1940 1 1944 1944 1047 8 9 1950 1955 1960 1930 9 ωo 90 ωo

Appendix Table I.11. Sources

<u>United Kingdom</u>. Rate of the Bank of England. For 1870-1939, compiled from "Changes in bank rate, 1797-1939" in R.Mitchell and Phyllis Deane <u>Abstract of British Historical Statistics</u>, op.cit.p.546; updated with U.K.Statistical Abstract and IMF International Financial Statistics.

<u>Germany/FRG.</u> Bank discount [Diskont] of the Germany Central bank later Reichsbank and Bundesbank. For 1870-1971, annual averages from Statistische Bundesamt <u>Bevölkerung und Wirtschaft</u> <u>1872-1972</u>, Chapter XV Geld und Kredit, table 7, p.215; updated by weighted annual averages compiled from changes in the "Diskont" of the Bundesbank published in the Deutsche Bundesbank Monatsberichte.

<u>U.S.A</u>. Open market rate in New York City for prime commercial paper, 4-6 months. 1870-1969 from <u>Historical Statistics of the</u> <u>United States from Colonial Times to 1970</u>; updated with U.S. <u>Statistical Abstract</u> and Survey of Current Business.

C.II. CAPITAL STOCK

Appendix Table II.1.

The Growth of Capital Stock, total and per capita in constant prices of 1912/13, in Germany/FRG and U.S.A., 1850-1974 (selected years)

	Total Capital Stock in constant prices of		Per Capita Capital Stock in constant prices of		Population	
Years	1913	1912	1913	1912	0	
	Dillion (a)	USA Dillion ¢	a)	USA ¢	Germany	USA
<u></u>	BIIIION \$	BIIIION \$	₽	ې	MIIIION	
Ge	rmany:					
1850	11.7	4.6 ¹⁾	331	197 ¹⁾	35.3	23.3
1860	13.9	•	371	•	37.6	•
1870	17.8	•	436	•	40.8	•
1880	23.5	23.3	521	463	45.1	50.3
1890	30.7	45.6	623	723	49.2	63.1
1900	42.3	56.9	756	747	56.0	76.1
1910	57.8	•	896	•	64.6	•
1912	•	89.8		942		95.3
1913	64.0	•	955	•	67.0	٠
1922		111 0		1009		110 1
1922	• 58 0	111.0	020	1008	62 11	110.1
1925	58.0	•	930	•	02.4	•
1929	•	143.2	•	1225	· ·	121.0
1930	04.2	•	355	•	04.5	•
1933	•	147.0	•	1175		123.0
1935	00.J	•	995	•	66.1	•
1930	/4.1	• 1 // 0 - 0		•	00.4	120.0
1939	•	149.3	•	1141	•	130.9
۰ 1950	37.5	199.2	740	1308	50.6	152.3
1952	40.0	215.7	775	1369	51.6	157.6
1960	64.8	288.3	1166	1595	55.6	180.7
1965	88.0	350.9	1490	1806	59.0	194.3
1968	104.3	399.1	1734	1989	60.2	200.7
1970	115.3		1901		60.7	204.9
1971	122.0	•	1990	•	61.3	207.0
1972	128.8	-	2088	•	61.7	208.8
1973	135.8	•	2191	•	62.0	210.4
1974	-	•	· ·	•	62.1	211.9

Source and methods see Appendix Tables II.3 and II.6.

-99-

Capital Stock by Broad Economic Sectors, 1850-1974 (selected years) Appendix Table II.2.

Total 1000 100011000 ••• 100 100 100 100 • . • • Govern-25.2 27.0 29.3 29.9 5.7 9.5 1.5 12.3 16.4 17.9 ment • ٠ 3.7 • Institutional о. В 3.0 з.о . 2.9 3.2 3.1 • • • • • • Residen-S e *Rural dwellings included with agriculture و. 36.8 43.2 44.9 40.7 47.2 43.7 45.7 47.0 46.8 45.6 44.4 only 42.1 σ . 29. ർ А 4 Structures Services c Indust. Trade e S 37.6 40.7 43.6 41.2 36.8 31.0 20.1 19.0 18.2 18.8 34.2 28.7 31.4 . . • • υ . ч þ ø Agri-cul-ture Д 25.9 15.0 10.8 9.4 9.0 5.1 4.2 3.5 3.6 9.2 6.4 5.5 4.8 • • • • current prices Billion \$ Values Germany, F.R.G. and U.S.A. **Total** 576.3 924.5 1233.6 1536.9 13.3 25.0 35.0 2.7 134.5 62.4 189.8 159.4 188.6 ъ. Total · 001 •0 •00 00 . Govern-ment Equipment 20. 20 S 222419 20. 50. 512. 0000000000 ð σ Dwelling U ർ Prices) * * * * * * * 0 0 t 5 0 0 0 0 23* ы. 26* 28* 27. 26. 27* ч 22990-1332 Sources, notes see Appendix Tables and Prices) ц c Ø Structures Services Indust. (1913 υ ч Ч Trade 33 40 0 0 M 200870 • ස 33 34 (1962 Я ۵ đ .. Mark 17* E р Agri-cul-ture н * * * * * * * - 10 - 1 t 6 5 5 5 m m t t 1 50007805X 19• 18* • * 6 • * 5 Ð FRG Billion 173.89 1 1 Billion C Values -in prices of 1913 Billion 46.77 55.73 71.17 93.97 122.68 169.37 231.30 266.13 296.56 232.19 Total 255.94 256.96 Mark FRG 612 653 1047 1435 698 2103 2333 1881 1922 1925 1930 1933 1938 1950 1952 1965 1968 1972 1972 1972 1850 1860 1880 1890 1910 1912 1913 Year 1950

-100-
Germany and F.R.G. Gross Capital Stock, Population and Prices, 1850-1975 ٣ F Annendiv Table

.

.

b) *										<u> </u>			T																									
scock rices, and ange Rate Per Capit	\$	331	371	436	521	623	756	896	955	930	666	995 1116		•	741	758	775	795	822	852	906	950	666	1036	1166	9171	1349	1415	1490	1567	1659	1734	1796	1901	1990	2088	2191	•
Capital · in 1913 Pi 1913 Exché Total	Billion \$	11.69	13.93	17.79	23.49	30.67	42.34	57.83	63.99	58.05	64.24	66.53 74.13			37.5	38.8	40.0	41.5	43.3	45.3	48.0	51.0	54.0	57.0	64.8	08°. 7200	0.71	82.5	88.0	93.5	99.3	104.3	109.3	115.3	122.0	128.8	135,0	•
а to r	1962=100	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			65.6	74.7	79.8	77.8	77.3	80.1	82.7	84.9	86.9	89.8	91.9	96.1		105.1	109.7	113.7	115.0	116.8	120.8	129.5	138.7	144.4	1.1. 	161.3
Defl	1913=100	69.3	78.4	79.8	72.2	83.3	92.8	92.3	100.0	156.1	157.1	123.1 125 8		268	268	305	325	317	315	327	337	346	354	366	372	265		100	447	11911	469	476	493	528	366	590	C1 3	659
Popula- tion	1000	35303	37609	40804	45093	49239	56046	64568	66978	62411	64294	66871 66424			50601	51194	51603	52196	52685	53174	53008	53656	54373	55015	55577	56173	+/ 60C		59041	59676	59872	60165	60842	60651 c	61302	61672	61976	62054
o c k 1962 ' prices		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•			612	632	653	676	706	740	784	832	881	931	1048	1114		2021	1435	1527	1619	1698	1784	1881	1950	21C3	2213	2333
al St 1913 Prices	Billion Mark	46.77	55.73	71.17	93.97	122.68	169.37	231.30	255.94	232.19	256.96	266.13		150 150	150	155	160	166	173	181	192	204	216	228	259	273	- 24	- 0	350	374	397	417	437	461	.488	515	543	•
C a p i t current prices	Billion Mark	32.40	43.70	56.80	67.80	102.20	157.20	213.60	255.94	362.40	403.70	327.50			401.4	472.1	521.1	525.9	545.7	592.7	648.3	706.3	765.0	836.0	963.1	1070.1	1189.0	1400.0	1574.0	1736.0	1861.8	1983.2	2155.0	2435.9	2760.1	3036.7	3353.6	3771.2
	Years	Germany: 1850	1860	1870	1880	1890	1900	1910	1913	1925	1930	1935		r.K.G.: Tict Corior 1050	Dirrent Ser.1950	1951	1952	1953	1954	1955	1956	1957	1958	1959	1960	1961	1962	1961	1001	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1571	1972	1973	1974

,

.

-

۰.

,

.....

4 T | F

i

*4 Mark = 1 US-Dollar; c = Census data

Appendix Table II.3. Germany and F.R.G. Gross Capital Stock, Population and Prices, 1850-1974

Capital stock [Brutto Anlagevermögen, Neuwert]. <u>1850-1950 Historical Series</u>. Data include structures, equipment and inventories, compiled from: W.G.Hoffmann, Grumbach et al, op.cit., p.225, table 40 (current prices) and p.253, table 39 (constant prices of 1913). Data relate to Germany, Reich.

Note: Data are available at source for all individual years 1850-1913; 1925-1938.

<u>1950-1974 Current series</u>. Data include only structures and equipment, compiled from:Wirtschaft und Statistik 1971/10, p.608 and Statistisches Jahrbuch 1975, p.521. Data relate to F.R.G. including Saar and W.Berlin from 1960 on.

Deflators.

<u>Historical Series, 1850-1938</u>: The deflators are implicit in the Grumbach Hesse series on capital stock in current and 1913 prices. <u>Current series 1950-1974</u>: The deflators are for capital formation, see IIASA Working Paper WP-76-19, pp.9-11 and p.22.

Appendix	Table	II.4.

Germany and F.R.G. Gross Capital Stock by Major Sectors of the Economy, 1850-1975

.

YearsIndustry, Agricul- Dwellings Govern- ServicesTotalIndustry, Agricul- Dwellings Govern- mentTotalIndustry, Agricul- Dwellings Govern- mentBillion Marks (1913 Prices)IIPeccnnn						
Ballion Marks (1913 Prices) In Percent s 1850 46.77 7.16 24.49* 6.98* 8.14 100 15 52* 15* 18 1860 55.73 8.65 27.52* 8.88* 10.66 100 16 49* 16* 19 1870 71.17 11.70 31.42* 13.40* 14.65 100 16 44* 19* 21 1880 93.97 16.05 34.63* 20.91* 22.31 100 17 77* 22* 24 1990 169.37 49.60* 42.86* 42.31* 34.33 100 29 25* 25* 21* 26* 21 1910 231.30 74.30 49.61* 61.2* 44.12 100 33 19* 28* 20 1930 256.96 86.70 44.5* 60.6* 100 34 19* 27* 21 1935 266.13 86.62 50.6* <						
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
F.R.G.Billion 173.89 Marks (1913 Prices) 69.54 F.R.G. 30.40^* F.R.G. 100 40 17^* 23^* 20Aurent Ser. 1950 612 244 244 54 199 115 100 100 40 9 9 32 19 1951 1951 632 253 253 55 263 263 263 55 214 1100 40 40 9 32 9 32 1952 1952 653 263 263 263 55 214 121 100 40 40 8 33 19 19 1954 706 1954 285 58 235 235 128 100 40 40 8 8 33 19 19 1955 740 302 59 295 247 132 100 11 8 100 411 8 33 318 33 18 1957 832 349 63 276 144 100 411 8 33 18 33 18 1957 881 390 398 68 307 157 100 413 43 7 33 17 17 1958 881 374 653 127 1115 100 43 7 33 17 1961 1115 1115 491 75 365 184 100 43 100 43 7 33 17 33 17 1961 1115 1115 491 75 365 184 100 43 100 43 7 33 17 1961 1115 1115 115 115 1161 1155 128 128 128 129 17 116 128 128 128 129						
HarksHarksHarksHarksHarks173.8869.5430.70* 34.251004017* 23* 20F.R.G.Billion D-Marks(1962 Prices)19516122445430.70* 34.251004017* 23* 201951612244551004093219195161224452100409321919516122441004093219195163225352610040833191955740302592471321004183319195574030259247133181955740302 <th <="" colspan="6" td=""></th>						
Autrent Ser.195.0101.000.1.34100.0101.01100100101.01100100101.01100100101.01100100101.01100100101.01100100100101.01100<						
F.R.G.Billion D-Marks (1962 prices) (1962 prices) Durrent Ser.195061224454199115100409321919516322535520611810040833191952653263552141211004083319195367727257224124100408331919547062855823512810040833191955740302592471321004183318195678432461261138100418331819578323496327614410042833171958881374652911511004373317195993039868307157100437331719601047453723481741004373317196111154917938419510045732161963126757483403207100457321619641346616864222221004663117						
Durrent Ser. 1950 612 244 54 199 115 100 40 9 32 19 1951 632 253 55 206 118 100 40 9 32 19 1952 653 263 55 214 121 100 40 8 33 19 1953 677 272 57 224 124 100 40 8 33 19 1954 706 285 58 235 128 100 41 8 33 19 1955 740 302 59 247 132 100 41 8 33 18 1956 784 324 61 261 138 100 41 8 33 17 1958 881 374 65 291 151 100 42 8 33 17 1958 881 374 65 291 151 100 43 7 33 17 1960 1047 453 72 348 174 100 43 7 33 17 1962 1189 531 79 384 195 100 45 7 32 16 1963 1267 574 83 403 207 100 46 6 31 17 1964 1346 616 86 422 222 100 46 <						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
1965 1435 664 90 443 238 100 46 6 31 17 1966 1527 711 94 466 256 100 47 6 31 16 1967 1619 759 98 489 273 100 47 6 30 17 1968 1698 798 100 511 289 100 47 6 30 17 1969 1784 843 102 533 306 100 47 6 30 17 1969 1784 843 102 533 306 100 47 6 30 17 1970 1881 898 105 554 324 100 48 6 29 17 1971 1990 962 108 576 344 100 49 5 29 17						
1966152771194466256100476311619671619759984892731004763017196816987981005112891004763017196917848431025333061004763017197018818981055543241004862917197119909621085763441004952917						
19671619759984892731004763017196816987981005112891004763017196917848431025333061004763017197018818981055543241004862917197119909621085763441004952917						
1968 1698 798 100 511 289 100 47 6 30 17 1969 1784 843 102 533 306 100 47 6 30 17 1970 1881 898 105 554 324 100 48 6 29 17 1971 1990 962 108 576 344 100 49 5 29 17						
1969 1784 843 102 533 306 100 47 6 30 17 1970 1881 898 105 554 324 100 48 6 29 17 1970 1881 898 105 554 324 100 48 6 29 17 1971 1990 962 108 576 344 100 49 5 29 17						
1970 1881 898 105 554 324 100 48 6 29 17 1971 1990 962 108 576 344 100 49 5 29 17						
1971 1990 962 108 576 344 100 49 5 29 17						
1973 2219 1000 110 000 364 100 49 5 29 17						
1973 2210 1098 110 628 382 100 50 5 28 17						
1077 2333 1164 112 657 400 100 50 5 28 17						
1975 2431 1218 113 680 420 $\frac{1}{100}$ 50 5 50 17						

Appendix Table II.4. Germany and F.R.G. Gross Capital Stock, by Sectors of the Economy

1850-1950 Historical series

* = Rural dwellings included with agriculture, and not with dwelling

Sources, etc. See Appendix Table 1.

1950-1975 Current series

.

Industry, Trade and Services includes private, nonprofit making enterprises, amounting to little over 1% of total capital stock.

•.

2

Railroads and other public, government operated transportation seem to be included with services.

		······	
L	19. Other		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
	8. Government		20000000000000000000000000000000000000
	priling .7		333.2 333.2 333.2 333.2 333.2 333.2 333.2 333.2 333.2 333.2 333.2 333.2 333.2 333.2 333.2 333.2 2 2 2
	noitetroqenerT 81		21212222222222222222222222222222222222
	5. Trade		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	4. Construction		11 100000000 100000 100000000000000000
	13. Масһілегу	и 0 і	
	2. Vehicles	4	<i></i>
р ц	11. Metal Products	i b (0000
ਾਜ ਸ	eletal sizes .01	н ц	0000
t t	9. Chemicals	ี 	
บ ต	8. Wood, Paper		и
ч л	7. Clothing	υ	<u></u>
a n	6. Textiles	а а	
M	5. Food	4 2	00011222222222222222222222222222222222
	4. Quarrying	U U	
τ	3. Mining, excl.Fue	0 0	
	2. Energy		<u></u>
	1. Agriculture		7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
	Total	Billion D-Mark	421.4 423.4 460.9 487.8 487.8 520.2 562.1 608.0 653.9 652.1 701.0 817.8 817.8 817.8 817.8 817.8 817.8 817.8 817.8 1111.6 11195.4 11276.7 1345.5 11276.7 1276.7 1276.7 1276.7 1276.7 1276.7 1276.7 1276.7 1276.7 1276.7 1707.1 1707.1
		Year	1950 1955 1955 1955 1955 1955 1966 1966 1966

Appendix Table II.5: F.R.G. Capital Stock by 19 Activities, 1950-1972, in 1962 Prices

.

.

.

.

-105-

•

-

Source: Data compiled by Pestel Team, Hannover, May 1976

Appendix Table II.6: U.S. Gross Capital Stock, Population and Prices, 1850-1968

Years	Tota (Structu	l Capital s res and Equ in	Stock uipment)	Popula- tion	Deflators	Capital in 1912	Stock Prices
	Current Prices	Constant Prices				Total	Per Capita
	Billion \$	Billion 1929 Prices	\$ S	Million	1912=100	Billion \$	\$
1850	3.21	8.3	•	23.3	69.9	4.6	197
1880	18.7	42.3	•	50.3	80.1	23.2	463
1890	35.3	82.7	•	63.1	77.4	45.6	723
1900	50.3	118.0		76.1	77.2	65.2	857
1000	// O E	102 0	7-49 Prices	76.1	0.2 5	50.2	770
1012	49.5	162.0	202 0		100 0	59.5	0/12
1912	196 2	102.0	255 1	95.5	180.7	108 6	986
1929	270 4	}	501 0	121 8	176 5	153 2	1285
1933	214.3		485.5	125.6	144.1	148.7	1184
1939	255.3		490.4	130.9	170.3	149.9	1145
1945	366.4		494.2	132.5	242.2	151.3	1142
)					
1946	463.2		543.1	140.0	279.1	166.0	1186
1947	561.8		566.1	144.7	324.2	173.3	1198
1948	622.3		593.5	147.2	342.8	181.5	1233
1949	634.2		618.0	149.8	335.3	189.1	1262
1950	728.6		651.0	152.3	365.7	199.2	1308
1951	791.5		679.1	154.9	381.0	207.7	1341
1952	838.3		705.1	157.6	388.6	215.7	1369
		1958 Prices	5				
1952	805.1	899.2	•	157.6	388.6	207.2	1315
1953	844.8	942.6	•	160.2	389.0	217.2	1356
1954	886.5	984.2		163.0	391.3	225.5	1383
1955	900.0 1059 8	1030.0	•	165.9	404.9	230.0	1/1/77
1957		1126 8	•	172 0	424.4 434.1	259 8	1510
1958	1178.5	1161.2	•	174.9	434.1	271.5	1552
1959	1241.5	1204.1	•	177.8	447.7	277.3	1560
1960	1292.6	1251.1		180.7	448.4	288.3	1595
1961	1346.3	1291.4	•	183.7	452.7	297.4	1619
1962	1417.8	1340.1	•	186.5	459.3	308.7	1655
1963	1498.2	1393.5	•	189.2	466.7	321.0	1697
1964	1589.8	1453.5	•	191.9	474.9	334.8	1745
1965	1702.0	1523.7	•	194.3	485.0	350.9	1806
1966	1840.6	15 9 8.0	•	196.6	500.1	368.0	1872
1967	1962.0	1660.9	•	1,98.7	513.0	382.5	1925
1968	2147.8	1731.9	•	200.7	538.2	399.1	1989

1 = Incomplete data;

Sources: Capital Stock data current and in prices of 1929; 1947-49 and 1958 compiled from Historical Statistics of the United States, from Colonial Times to 1970. Tables: F349-364; 365-376; 422-445; 446-469; pages 252-256 Deflators: Implicit in above mentioned capital stock. Appendix Table II.⁷. U.S. Gross Capital Stock (Business, Government and Households), by Type of Assets, 1850-1968

Voor	Total	Total	Equipment					
Iear	Structures	Structures	Producer	Consumer				
	and Equipment		Durables	Durables				
	Billion \$		pillion \$					
1850	$3.2^{(1)}$	2.7)	0.2	0.3				
1880	18.7	13.3	3.0	2.4				
1890	35.3	25.0	5.8	4.5				
1900	50.3	35.0	9.3	6.0				
~~~								
1912	89.8	62.4	13.8	13.6				
1922	196.2	134.5	30.8	30.9				
1929	270.4	189.8	38.4	42.2				
1933	214.3	159.4	29.2	25.7				
1939	255.3	188.6	34.2	32.5				
4. 	-							
1952	805,1	576.3	138.5	90.3				
1956	1058.1	752.4	189.1	117.3				
1960	1292.7	924.5	227.4	140.8				
1965	1701.9	1233.6	285.1	183.2				
1968	2147.7	1536.9	377.0	233.8				
	,	,						

Data in Current Prices

Sources: 1850-1945: Historical Statistics of the United States, Colonial Times to 1957, p.151, Table F-197-221 1952-1968: Historical Statistics of the United States, Colonial Times to 1970, Table F349-376, p.252.

----- = Change of Estimate

1) = Incomplete Data

.

	19 Total Economy	6 O Business Economy	1 9 Total Economy	6 8 Business Economy
	current \$ Bil	prices lion	current p \$ Billi	rices on
Structures:				
Non-Farm				
Government (Public- Non-Residential) Institutional	249.2 27.2	-	459.8 55.7	-
Private Manufacturing Other Private, Non-Resid Residential	a. <b>3</b> 176.1 433.1	70.0 268.5(a) -	<b>3</b> ^{288.7} 682.7	108.3 442.7(a) -
Farm Structures	38.9	21.4	50.0	27.2
	924.5	359.9	1536.9	578.2
Equipment				
Producer Durables Farm Manufacturing Non-Farm,Non-Mfg.	227.4	41.3 95.7 190.3	377.0	55.4 154.8 321.3
		327.3		531.5
Consumer Durables Total Equipment	140.8 368.2	•	233.8 610.8	•
Total All Sectors	1292.7	•	2147.7	•
Business Sector	•	687.2	•	1109.7
Business Sector as percent of total	•	53.2%	•	51.7%

Appendix Table II.⁸. U.S. Gross Capital Stock - Total and Business Economy, 1960 and 1968

(a) = Includes business residential

Sources: Compiled from 1975 U.S. Statistical Abstract, p.411, Table 674 (Total Economy) and Table 675 (Fixed Non-Residential, Business Capital).

## Appendix Table II.⁹.: U.S. Gross Fixed Nonresidential Business Capital Stock, by Major Industry Group Current Prices 1925-75

(Billions of Dollars)

				By major industry group									
End of year		Total			Farm		Ma	nufacturi	ing	Nonfarm nonmanu- facturing			
	Equip- ment and struc- tures	Equip- ment	Struc- tures	Equip- rnent and struc- tures	Equip- ment	Struc- tures	Equip- ment and struc- tures	Equip- ment	Struc- tures	Equip- ment and struc- tures	Equip- ment	Struc- tures	
1525 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933 1934	155.7 159.4 162.5 165.9 166.4 159.4 145.6 134.6 134.5 136.7	<b>54.5</b> 56.5 60.0 61.5 59.4 55.7 52.0 51.1 51.4	101. 2 103. 0 104. 6 105. 9 104. 9 100. 0 90. 0 82. 6 83. 4 85. 3	14.9 15.0 15.2 15.1 14.2 13.9 11.8 11.7 11.6	6.57 6.62 7.4 7.4 7.9 6.6 6.3	4370687013 88887655555	32.1 33.0 33.7 35.3 35.3 32.8 28.9 26.8 28.0	11.6 13.1 12.4 13.5 12.8 11.8 11.0 11.1 11.4	30.5 20.9 21.2 31.7 31.8 20.0 17.1 15.1 15.7 16.6	108.7 111.5 113.8 115.8 116.1 112.4 103.9 96.7 96.0 97.2	36.4 37.7 38.6 39.7 40.6 39.1 36.7 34.2 33.5 33.8	72.3 73.8 75.2 76.1 75.5 73.2 67.2 67.2 62.3 62.6 63.4	
1935 1936 1937 1938 1939 1939 1940 1941 1942 1944	137.0 144.1 150.7 148.0 148.3 156.3 173.3 187.0 190.7 193.6	50.8 52.3 55.0 54.7 55.0 55.1 55.1 55.1 55.1 55.1 55.1 55.1	86.2 91.8 95.7 93.3 93.3 98.1 108.5 118.9 131.6 122.4	11.5 13.2 12.8 12.7 13.8 13.4 15.3 16.6 16.9 17.4	6.1 6.3 6.8 7.0 7.3 8.6 9.4 9.5 10.0	5.4 5.8 6.0 5.8 6.1 7.3 7.4	28, 1 30, 4 32, 3 31, 7 32, 0 34, 4 38, 7 41, 6 43, 0 42, 4	11.2 11.8 12.6 12.5 12.7 13.7 15.0 15.5 15.8 16.4	16, 9 18, 6 19, 7 19, 3 20, 8 23, 7 26, 1 26, 3 26, 0	97.4 101.6 105.6 103.5 103.5 168.4 119.4 128.8 131.7 133.9	33.5 34.2 35.6 35.3 35.3 37.1 41.2 43.3 43.8 44.8	63.9 67.4 69.9 68.2 71.3 78.2 85.5 87.9 89.0	
1945 1946 1947 1948 1949 1949 1950 1951 1953 1953 1954	208.6 245.9 294.6 325.4 341.4 376.5 416.0 440.6 461.9 482.5	76, 6 89, 6 111, 7 130, 2 143, 7 163, 6 154, 2 198, 4 213, 2 226, 9	132.0 156.3 182.9 195.2 197.7 312.9 231.7 243.2 245.7 255.6	18.3 21.2 35.5 29.1 31.8 35.8 40.1 42.5 44.2 45.5	10. 2 11. 0 13. 3 16. 1 18. 6 21. 5 34. 4 26. 2 27. 8 28. 9	8. 1 10. 2 13. 3 13. 1 14. 3 15. 7 16. 3 16. 4 16. 6	47.4 60.0 74.1 83.3 85.8 95.0 106.3 112.4 117.0 133.6	18.4 23.1 27.6 33.5 36.3 42.0 48.3 52.8 57.3 62.5	29.0 37.9 46.5 49.7 49.5 53.0 58.0 59.6 59.6 60.1	142.9 164.7 195.0 314.0 223.8 245.7 269.6 285.7 300.7 314.3	48.0 56.5 70.9 81.6 88.7 100.1 111.5 119.4 128.1 135.5	94. 9 108. 2 134. 1 135. 1 145. 6 158. 1 166. 3 172. 7 178. 9	
1955 1956 1956 1958 1958 1958 1960 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1964	525.1 578.9 617.5 639.1 661.3 630.6 678.1 721.1 747.3 782.7	249, 2 276, 9 300, 6 314, 3 326, 9 336, 6 	275.9 302.1 316.9 324.7 334.3 343.9 354.1 367.6 382.1 400.0	48. 2 51. 1 52. 9 54. 2 55. 5 55. 9 56. 8 58. 3 60. 5 62. 7	30, 5 32, 0 33, 4 34, 8 35, 7 36, 1 36, 6 37, 8 36, 9	17. 7 19. 1 19. 5 19. 4 10. 8 20. 2 20. 8 31. 7 23. 7 23. 8	134. 6 150. 1 159. 9 163. 9 167. 4 171. 0 174. 5 179. 0 184. 8 193. 6	69.8 79.8 87.6 91.5 94.9 97.7 99.6 101.7 104.7 109.8	64.8 70.4 72.3 73.4 71.5 73.4 74.9 77.3 80.1 82.8	342. 2 377. 7 404. 7 420. 9 438. 3 453. 6 466. 8 483. 7 502. 1 537. 5	148. 9 165. 1 179. 6 188. 0 196. 3 203. 3 208. 4 215. 1 232. 8 234. 0	193. 4 212. 5 225. 0 233. 9 242. 0 250. 3 258. 4 268. 6 270. 3 293. 5	
1965 1966 1967 1969 1969 1970 1971 1973 1973 1974 1975	837.7 910.7 987.9 1,087.1 1,313.4 1,339.0 1,452.5 1,582.7 1,771.3 2,021.8 2,276.7	408. 4 444. 3 487. 0 515. 5 578. 7 632. 4 678 7 726. 4 812. 1 930. 1 1, 668. 9	429.4 466.5 505.9 561.6 634.7 706.6 773.7 856.4 959.2 1,091.7 1,207.8	66. 0 70. 5 75. 7 83. 0 89. 7 97. 6 104. 4 113. 0 136. 0 146. 7 165. 7	40. 7 43. 3 46. 3 49. 4 53. 0 57. 1 60. 5 64. 0 72. 1 85. 3 90. 5	<b>25.3</b> 27.3 29.4 33.6 36.7 40.6 41.0 48.0 53.8 61.4 75.3	205. 9 234. 8 244. 3 266. 6 295. 3 331. 6 341. 5 364. 0 402. 6 456. 8 511. 2	118.2 129.8 141.8 153.4 167.6 181.7 192.3 203.4 236.1 259.4 294.0	87. \$ 94. 9 102. 5 113. 3 127. 7 150. 9 149. 3 160. 6 176. 5 197. 4 217. 2	565.8 615.4 667.9 738.5 828.4 919.8 1,006.5 1,106.7 1,242.7 1,418.3 1,599.8	249.5 271.2 294.0 322.7 358.1 393.6 426.0 459.0 513.9 555.4 684.3	<b>316.3</b> <b>344.3</b> <b>373.9</b> <b>415.8</b> <b>470.3</b> <b>526.3</b> <b>526.5</b> <b>647.8</b> <b>734.8</b> <b>833.0</b> <b>915.5</b>	

Source: U.S. Survey of Current Business, April 1976, p.46 Note: Data on Business Capital by Legal Form of Organization (Corporate, Non-Corporate) are also given in the Survey of Current Business, April 1976.

## Appendix Table II.10.

## U.S. Gross Fixed Nonresidential Business Capital Stock by Major Industry Group, 1925-75 (Billions of 1972 Dollars)

			By major industry group											
End of		Total			Farm		Ма	nufactur	in <b>g</b>	Nonfarm nonmanu- facturing				
year	Equip- ment and struc- tures	Equip- ment	Strue- tures	Equip- ment and struc- tures	Equip- ment	Struc- tures	Equip- ment and struc- tures	Equip- ment	Struc- tures	Equip- ment and struc- tures	Equip- ment	Struc- tures		
1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1929 1929 1931 1932 1934	$\begin{array}{c} 564.\ 7\\ 580.\ 5\\ 594.\ 5\\ 608.\ 0\\ 023.\ 8\\ 633.\ 2\\ 631.\ 9\\ 621.\ 7\\ 609.\ 5\\ 599.\ 1 \end{array}$	169. 3 174. 8 178. 3 182. 2 187. 1 188. 8 186. 5 180. 6 174. 3 169. 3	<b>395.</b> 4 405. 7 416. 1 425. 8 436. 7 441. 4 445. 4 445. 4 441. 0 435. 2 420. 8	52. 4 53. 0 53. 2 53. 6 54. 0 53. 0 53. 0 51. 3 49. 5 48. 2	16.3 16.9 17.3 18.0 18.7 19.2 19.1 18.4 17.4 16.9	36. 1 36. 0 35. 9 35. 6 35. 3 34. 7 33. 9 33. 0 32. 1 31. 3	128. 9 133. 0 136. 6 141. 0 146. 6 148. 5 147. 3 143. 9 141. 5 139. 3	$\begin{array}{c} 41.1\\ 42.5\\ 43.5\\ 44.7\\ 46.0\\ 46.3\\ 45.8\\ 44.2\\ 42.7\\ 41.4 \end{array}$	87. 8 90. 5 93. 1 96. 3 100. 6 102. 2 101. 5 99. 6 58. 8 97. 9	$\begin{array}{c} 383.4\\ 394.5\\ 404.7\\ 413.4\\ 423.2\\ 430.9\\ 431.6\\ 426.5\\ 418.5\\ 411.6\end{array}$	111. 9 115. 3 117. 5 119. 5 122. 4 123. 3 121. 7 118. 0 114. 3 111. 0	271. 6 279, 2 287, 2 293, 9 300, 8 307, 5 309, 8 307, 5 309, 9 308, 4 304, 2 300, 6		
P 935 P 937 P 937 P 937 P 937 P 937 P 940 P 941 P 941 P 943 P 941	590, 5 587, 0 587, 7 581, 6 577, 0 576, 5 580, 3 573, 7 562, 9 557, 2	$\begin{array}{c} 166, 1 \\ 166, 1 \\ 167, 7 \\ 165, 1 \\ 163, 7 \\ 164, 9 \\ 169, 1 \\ 168, 1 \\ 165, 6 \\ 166, 9 \end{array}$	424. 4 421. 0 420. 0 416. 5 413. 3 411. 5 411. 2 405. 6 397. 3 390. 3	$\begin{array}{c} 47.\ 4\\ 47.\ 2\\ 47.\ 5\\ 47.\ 0\\ 46.\ 8\\ 46.\ 8\\ 45.\ 8\\ 46.\ 0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.8\\ 17.2\\ 18.0\\ 18.2\\ 18.4\\ 18.6\\ 20.0\\ 20.4\\ 19.9\\ 20.6\\ 19.9\end{array}$	<b>30</b> , 6 <b>30</b> , 0 29, 5 28, 9 28, 3 27, 6 27, 0 26, 4 25, 9 25, 4	$\begin{array}{c} 137.3\\ 136.9\\ 138.1\\ 136.3\\ 135.2\\ 136.0\\ 138.0\\ 137.7\\ 135.4\\ 134.3 \end{array}$	40.8 41.0 41.6 41.9 40.8 41.6 43.0 43.6 43.6 43.6 44.9	96, 6 96, 0 96, 5 95, 3 94, 4 94, 4 94, 4 95, 9 94, 4 91, 7 89, 4	405.8 402.8 402.2 398.2 395.1 394.2 394.3 389.2 381.7 377.0	108. 6 107. 9 108. 1 106. 0 104. 5 104. 7 106. 1 104. 3 102. 0 101. 4	297. 3 294. 9 294. 0 292. 3 290, 6 289, 5 288, 2 284, 8 279, 7 275, 5		
1945 1946 1947 1947 1943 1944 1952 1952 1954	$\begin{array}{c} 561.2\\ 583.9\\ 618.1\\ 647.4\\ 958.6\\ 693.6\\ 721.4\\ 747.0\\ 775.2\\ 800.6\\ \end{array}$	174. 9 189. 9 217. 8 240. 3 255. 8 274. 0 294. 2 312. 5 331. 8 347. 0	$\begin{array}{c} 386.\ 3\\ 393.\ 9\\ 400.\ 3\\ 407.\ 1\\ 412.\ 8\\ 419.\ 6\\ 427.\ 2\\ 434.\ 5\\ 413.\ 4\\ 453.\ 6\end{array}$	46. 2 47. 6 50, 7 54, 7 63. 2 66. 8 69. 7 72. 5 74. 5	21. 3 21. 8 24. 1 27. 5 31. 1 34. 7 37. 8 40. 0 42. 3 43. 7	24, 9 25, 8 26, 6 27, 2 27, 9 28, 5 29, 1 29, 7 30, 2 30, 8	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{137.7}\\ \textbf{150.7}\\ \textbf{164.6}\\ \textbf{174.6}\\ \textbf{180.5}\\ \textbf{180.5}\\ \textbf{185.9}\\ \textbf{194.2}\\ \textbf{202.0}\\ \textbf{209.4}\\ \textbf{216.9} \end{array}$	48. 4 54. 5 63. 8 70. 9 75. 5 80. 3 86. 9 93. 3 99. 5 105. 6	89.3 96.2 103.7 103.7 105.0 105.7 107.3 108.6 109.9 111.3	$\begin{array}{c} 377.4\\ 385.5\\ 402.9\\ 418.0\\ 429.2\\ 444.5\\ 460.4\\ 475.3\\ 493.3\\ 509.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 105.\ 2\\ 113.\ 6\\ 129.\ 9\\ 141.\ 9\\ 149.\ 2\\ 159.\ 1\\ 169.\ 5\\ 179.\ 2\\ 190.\ 0\\ 197.\ 7\end{array}$	272. 2 271. 9 273. 0 276. 1 279. 9 285. 4 290. 8 296. 2 303. 3 311. 5		
1955 1956 1957 1957 1958 1959 1961 1961 1962 1963 1953 1954	\$30, 2 861, 1 591, 5 912, 8 937, 1 663, 9 958, 9 1, 018, 2 1, 048, 8 1, 085, 5	$\begin{array}{c} 363.\ 4\\ 379.\ 5\\ 395.\ 2\\ 493.\ 7\\ 414.\ 6\\ 426.\ 0\\ 431.\ 9\\ 446.\ 1\\ 459.\ 1\\ 476.\ 6\end{array}$	466. 8 481. 6 496. 3 509. 1 522. 5 537. 9 553. 9 572. 1 589. 7 608. 9	$\begin{array}{c} 76.1 \\ 76.8 \\ 77.2 \\ 78.1 \\ 79.3 \\ 70.6 \\ 80.4 \\ 81.5 \\ 83.3 \\ 85.1 \end{array}$	44.9 45.1 45.5 45.8 45.8 45.5 45.5 45.7 46.7 46.7 47.5	31. 2 31. 7 32. 2 32. 6 33. 4 31. 1 34. 9 35. 8 36. 7 37. 6	224.7 233.9 242.6 247.3 250.5 254.4 257.8 261.6 266.1 271.9	$\begin{array}{c} 110.5\\ 117.2\\ 123.3\\ 125.8\\ 128.0\\ 130.5\\ 132.1\\ 134.1\\ 136.8\\ 141.1 \end{array}$	114, 2 116, 7 119, <b>3</b> 121, 5 122, 5 123, 9 125, 6 127, 5 129, 3 130, 9	$\begin{array}{c} 529.3\\ 559.4\\ 571.6\\ 587.4\\ 607.4\\ 629.9\\ 650.7\\ 675.1\\ 699.4\\ 728.5 \end{array}$	207. 9 217. 2 226. 8 232. 4 240. 8 250. 0 257. 3 266. 3 275. 7 288. 0	321. 4 333. 2 344. 8 355. 0 366. 6 379. 9 393. 4 408. 8 423. 7 440. 5		
1165 1266 1265 1265 1269 1270 1271 1271 1273 1273 1275	$\begin{matrix} 1, 135, 2\\ 1, 193, 1\\ 1, 246, 8\\ 1, 304, 0\\ 1, 366, 0\\ 1, 421, 6\\ 1, 421, 6\\ 1, 471, 7\\ 1, 527, 6\\ 1, 594, 3\\ 1, 658, 1\\ 1, 706, 9 \end{matrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 500.\ 6\\ 530.\ 7\\ 558.\ 1\\ 586.\ 4\\ 622.\ 4\\ 651.\ 4\\ 677.\ 2\\ 707.\ 5\\ 747.\ 1\\ 783.\ 8\\ 812.\ 2\\ \end{array}$	634. 6 662. 4 683. 7 715. 6 743. 6 770. 1 704. 5 820. 1 847. 2 874. 2 894. 7	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{87.4}\\ \textbf{90.4}\\ \textbf{93.7}\\ \textbf{90.3}\\ \textbf{90.3}\\ \textbf{98.9}\\ \textbf{101.7}\\ \textbf{103.7}\\ \textbf{106.2}\\ \textbf{110.5}\\ \textbf{115.5}\\ \textbf{115.5}\\ \textbf{119.2} \end{array}$	48. 9 50, 8 52, 8 54, 4 56, 0 57, 7 58, 7 60, 3 63, 4 66, 7 68, 8	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{38.5}\\\textbf{39.6}\\\textbf{40.9}\\\textbf{41.9}\\\textbf{42.9}\\\textbf{42.9}\\\textbf{44.0}\\\textbf{45.1}\\\textbf{45.9}\\\textbf{45.1}\\\textbf{45.8}\\\textbf{50.4} \end{array}$	281. 6 294. 8 308. 5 319. 7 331. 4 340. 8 346. 8 353. 5 363. 8 375. 5 383. 8	147. 9 157. 0 166 0 173. 8 182. 0 188. 9 193. 9 200. 1 200. 1 218. 6 223. 4	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{133.7} \\ \textbf{137.9} \\ \textbf{142.5} \\ \textbf{145.9} \\ \textbf{145.9} \\ \textbf{151.9} \\ \textbf{152.9} \\ \textbf{152.9} \\ \textbf{153.4} \\ \textbf{154.7} \\ \textbf{156.9} \\ \textbf{160.4} \end{array}$	766, 2 807, 9 844, 7 883, 0 935, 6 979, 0 1, 021, 2 1, 068, 0 1, 120, 0 1, 167, 1 1, 203, 9	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{303.9}\\ \textbf{322.9}\\ \textbf{339.3}\\ \textbf{360.2}\\ \textbf{384.3}\\ \textbf{404.8}\\ \textbf{424.7}\\ \textbf{417.1}\\ \textbf{474.7}\\ \textbf{474.7}\\ \textbf{495.6}\\ \textbf{520.0} \end{array}$	462, 3 484, 9 505, 4 527, 8 551, 3 574, 2 596, 5 615, 3 645, 3 688, 5 683, 9		

Source: U.S. Survey of Current Business, April 1976, p.48 Note: Data on Business Capital by Legal Form of Organization (Corporate, Non-Corporate) are also given in the Survey of Current Business, April 1976.

#### Appendix Table II.11.

# United Kingdom. Gross Capital Stock at 1970 Replacement Cost, by Industries, 1964-1974¹

,	1964	1965	196 <b>6</b>	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974
Agriculture Forestry and Fishing Mining and quarrying	2.6 0.3 2.1	2.7 0.3 2.1	2.8 0.3 2.2	2.9 0.3 2.2	3.1 0.3 2.3	3.2 0.3 2.3	3.3 0.3 2.3	3.4 0.3 2.4	3.5 0.3 2.4	3.7 0.3 2.5	3.9 0.3 2.8
Manufacturing: Food, drink and tobacco Coal, petroleum products.	3.4	3.6	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.9	5.2	5.4
chemicals and allied industries fron and steel Other metals, engineering and	5.3 3.7	5.6 3.8	5.9 3.8	6.2 3.9	6.6 3.9	6.9 4.0	7.3 4.1	7.7 4.3	8.0 4.4	8.1 4.5	8.4 4.7
allied industries Bricks, pottery, glass, cement, etc Timber, furniture, etc.	11.7 1.2 0.5	12.1 1.3 0.5	12.6 1.4 0.5	13.0 1.4 0.5	13.3 1.5 0.6	13.7 1.6 0.6	14.2 1.7 0.6	14.5 1.7 0.6	14.7 1.8 0.7	15.1 1.9 0.7	15.5 1.9 0.7
Paper, printing and publishing Textiles, leather, clothing and other manufacturing	2.2 4.4	2.3 4.5	2.3 4.7	· 2.4 4.9	2.5 5.0	2.6 5.3	2.7 5.4	2.8 5.6	2.9 5.7	. [.] 2.9 5.9	3.1 6.1
Total	32.4	33.7	35.0	36.3	37.6	39.0	40.5	41.9	43.1	44.3	45.8
Construction	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.6
Gas Electricity Water .	1.7 8.3 2.9	1.7 9.0 2.9	1.9 9.8 3.0	2.2 10.5 3.0	2.4 11.0 3.0	2.5 11.4 3.1	2.7 11.7 3.1	2.8 12.0 3.2	2.9 12.2 3.3	·2.9 12.4 3.3	3.0 12.5 3.4
Railways Road passenger transport Road haulage and storage Shipping	8.0 0.6 0.8 3.1	8.0 0.6 0.9 3.1	8.0 0.6 0.9 3.1	8.0 0.6 1.0 3.1	7.9 0.7 1.1 3.1	7.8 0.7 1.2 3.3	7.8 0.7 1.2 3.5	7.7 0.7 1.3 3.6	7.7 0.8 1.4 3.9	7.6 0.8 1.4 4.2	7.6 0.8 1.5 4.4
Harbours, docks and canals Air transport Postal, telephone and radio communications	1.5 0.7 3.5	1.5 0.8 3.6	1.5 0.8 3.8	1.5 0.8 4.1	1.6 0.9 4.4	1.6 0.9 4.7	1.6 1.0 5.1	1.6 1.1 5.5	1.6 1.1 5.8	1./ 1.2	1.5 1.2 6.7
Distributive trades and other service Industries	12.9	13.8	14.7	⁻ 15.5	16.5	· 17.6	18.8	20.0	21.4	22.9	24.3
Private dweltings Public dweltings	28.5 13.7	29.2 14.4	29.9 15.1	30.6 16.0	31.4 16.9	32.2 17.8	32.8 18.6	33.7 19.3	24.6 20.0	35.5 20.8	36.2 21.6
Roads [2]	3.2 12.7	3.4 13.3	<b>3.6</b> 13.9	3.9 14.7	4.2 15.5	4.5 16.3	5.0 17.2	5.4 18.1	5.7 19.2	6.1 20.2	6.4 21.1
Total gross capital stock	140.9	146.6	152.6	159.1	165.9	172.6	179.5	186.4	193.4	<b>20</b> 0.6	207.7

Data in b thousand million.

[1] For an account of the principles of valuation, see National Accounts Statistics: Sources and Methods, pages 383-7. Figures relate to and of year. [2] Excluding the non-renewable element more than 75 years old. -

.

Source: Central Statistical Office. Annual Abstract of Statistics, 1975 p. 328.

Appendix Table II.12. Distribution of U.S., F.R.G. and U.K. Gross Capital Stock by Industries, 1970

Industries	U.S.A Business Capi in 1953 Pr	tal Stock ices	F.R.G. Capital Stock, A of the Economy,	11 Sectors 1962 Prices	United Kingdom Capital Stock at 1970 Replacement	t t Cost
	Billion \$	%	Billion D-Mar	k %	Billion b	ñ
Agriculture	70.5	7.5	105.1	11.4	4.2	3.4
Energy: Coal Mining Crude Pctr.& Nat.Gas Petr. Refining Electric Utilities Gas Utilities Total Energy Sector	4.2 58.5 15.0 82.7 ^a 25.3 185.7 ^a	19.7		- 16.4	8.4 ^b 12.5 3.0 23.9 ^b	19.5b
Mining and Rusle	C II	0.7	n n	0.5	2.8	2.3
Mining, excl.fuels Stone Quarrying Manufacturing: Food and Tobacco	6.4 11.1 22.8	1.1	4.4 16.3	1.8		•
Textiles Clothing Wood,Paper,Printings Chemicals Metals-Dasic Industries Metals-Processing Vehicles Machinery	7.3 5.0 31.7 35.5 36.3 13.2 17.1 34.8		18.5 6.3 24.7 49.5 53.0 26.8 14.3 53.4			
Armaments Total Manufacturing	205.3	21.8	278.6	30.1	37.40-	30.5°
Construction	17.1	1.8	43.1	4.7	2.6	2.1
Transportation	129.3	13.7	118.1	12.8	17.1	14.0
Trade	85.2	9.0	96.8	10.5	24.3d	19.9d
Other Services	232.7	24.7	108.4	11.8	10.1	8.3
Total, excl.Residentia and Government	1 943.3	= 100	922.4 =	100.0	122.4 =	100.0
Residential: Business Non-Business Total Residential	40.8		443.8		• • 57.8	
Government	-		241.9		21.1b	_
Grand Total	~		1608.1		207.7	•
Sources: U.S. data su industries, Fixed Capita F.R.G, data from totals	ummarized fro in Departme 1 Requiremen supplied by given in 197	m capita nt of Con ts, op.c Pestel t 5 Statis	l stock data fo mmerce, BEA stu it., p.53-54 eam. (The tota tisches Jahrbud	or 80 ady of al differs ch).	U.K. data comp U.K.Annual Abst Statistics, p.	iled from tract of 328.
<pre>a = excludes Federal Po b = Coal, Petroleum and c = excludes Chemicals d = includes other serv e = Roads and other pul</pre>	ower Plants d Chemicals vices olic services	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- <b>1</b>	

•

.

Percentage Distribution by Regions, Appendix Table II.13. World Capital Stock

1970

ı

1950

(Mainland) China 66.4888890PH к East. Europe USSR 6.4 6.6 6.6 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 Ŀ٩ Others ৮ৎ Developing America Latin ы თ ជ 0 Developed • – 1 59 Other 000000FFFF σ U ഷ Japan 987654 ъ к ð **Q**4 ο 18.6 19.0 19.3 20.0 20.4 21.3 22.0 22.0 22.0 Europe Ч 53 West. e > Ð North** America ρ P6 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 0.001 00.00 Ж World Total Prices Billion*\$ 1963 (2926.1) (3064.7) (2654.8) (2788.3) (3211.2) (3370.0) (3549.6) (3743.8) (3943.0) (4145.2) (4367.6) (4604.5) (4853.1) (5117.9) (5394.5) (5699.5) 6022.6) 6368.4) (6720.1) (7101.5) (7511.5) Year 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970

Compiled from W. Ströbele, Untersuchungen zum Wachstum der Weltwirtschaft, 1975 op.cit. p.170-172. Source:

- estimated by Ströbele were too high; however, his percentage distribution within the regions checks with other sources. When checked against other sources, it was found that the dollar values ¥
  - ** North America defined as United States and Canada.

Appendix Table II.14.	World Gross Capita 1950 and 1970 (Percentage Distri	al Stock by .bution)	Regions,
	W.St	röbele	UN Future of the World Economy 1970
Region:	1950 %	1970 %	1970
Developed			
North America	58.7	40.6	39.5
Western Europe	18.6	25.8	25.6
Japan	1.0	4.8	5.5
Other	2.4	2.5	1.9
Developing			
Latin America	3.9	4.0	3.5
Other	3.8	5.2	3.3
USSR, Eastern Europe	6.4	12.5	18.1
China, Peoples Rep.	5.2	4.6	2.6
Total In Percent	100.0	100.0	100.0
In Billion \$	2655 ^a	7512 ^a	5693 ^b

a = 1963 Prices; b = 1970 Prices.

## C.III. CAPITAL OUTPUT RATIOS

	Total		R	e g	i o	n s			
Year	World	North America	West. Europe	Japan	Total Western Industri- alized	Latin America	Total Develo- ping Countr.	USSR East. Europe	China (Main- land)
1950	2.23	3.88	2.09	1.06	3.14	2.45	1.75	1.65	3.78
1951	2.18	3.58	2.16	1.19	3.07	2.45	1.77	1.60	3.38
1952	2.14	3.71	2.19	1.31	3.09	2.49	1.80	1.61	2.99
<b>19</b> 53	2.15	3.67	2.22	1.42	3.08	2.54	1.81	1.63	3.10
1954	2.15	3.85	2.26	1.49	3.18	2.47	1.82	1.58	3.09
1955	2.18	3.67	2.28	1.54	3.09	2.45	1.84	1.54	3.10
1956	2.16	3.76	2.32	1.59	3.14	2.48	1.86	1.57	2.92
1957	2.22	3.80	2.39	1.67	3.19	2.47	1.92	1.57	2.98
1958	2.20	3.98	2.53	1.79	3.34	2.44	1.94	1.58	2.83
1959	1.19	3.86	2.57	1.85	3.29	2.51	1.99	1.60	3.06
		-							
1960	2.33	3.89	2.56	1.84	3.28	2.49	1.99	1.65	3.29
1961	2.47	3.93	2.60	1.81	3.30	2.46	2.01	1.71	4.02
1962	2.51	3.79	2.68	2.00	3.27	2.49	2.05	1.80	4.13
1963	2.51	3.75	2.77	2.07	3.28	2.55	2.09	1.90	3.94
1964	2.46	3.69	2.80	2.08	3.25	2.48	2.07	1.89	3.75
1965	2.46	3.57	2.87	2.30	3.23	2.48	2.12	1.95	3.50
1966	2.48	3.45	2.97	2.37	3.21	2.49	2.16	1.94	3.46
1967	2.56	3.50	3.07	2.35	3.27	2.52	2.17	1.94	3.85
1968	2.58	3.45	3.11	2.35	3.25	2.49	2.18	1.96	3.94
1969	2.56	3.47	3.12 -	2.41	3.25	2.47	2.17	2.01	3.92
1970	2.54	3.62	3.19	2.52	3.36	2.47	2.19	1.99	3.79

## Appendix Table III.1. World Average Capital/Output Ratios, by Regions, 1950-1970

Source: Compiled from W. Ströbele. Untersuchungen zum Wachstum der Weltwirtschaft, op.cit. p.174/175, tables 1.23 and 1.24

Note: As stated in the note to Appendix Table II.13 the gross capital stock levels, estimated by Ströbele, are too high. Consequently, the capital output ratios are also too high. However, the table may serve to indicate regional differences and trends.

#### Appendix Table III.2.

U.S.	Capital/Output	Ratios,	Selected	Concepts*
	1947-19	74		

	ſ	Fotal Economy	1)	Busi	lness ²⁾
Year	Total Capital Stock	GDP	Capital/ Output Ratio	Capital ( Adjusted f Capacity	Output Ratios For Not Adjusted
		current prices	S		58 prices
	Bill.\$	Bill. \$			
1947	NA	231.3	NA	1.553	1.631
1948	NA	157.6	NA	1.516	1.633
1949	NA	256.5	NA	1.387	1.696
1950	NA	284.8	NA	1.436	1.599
1951	NA	328.4	NA	1.425	1.563
1952	916.0	345.5	2.651	1.404	1.573
1953	958.8	364.6	2.630	1.433	1.547
1954	1001.2	364.8	2.745	1.348	1.618
1955	1090.1	398.0	2.739	1.405	1.542
1956	1188.8	419.2	2.836	1.421	1.572
1957	1263.0	441.1	2.863	1.399	1.601
1958	1319.1	447.3	2.949	1.283	1.659
1959	1384.3	483.7	2.862	1.318	1.584
1960	1439.6	503.7	2.858	1.299	1.588
1961	1495.3	520.1	2.875	1.263	1.598
1962	1573.6	560.3	2.808	1.264	1.537
1963	1658.9	590.5	2.809	1,268	1.514
1964	1755.1	632.4	2.775	1.279	1.479
1965	1880.5	684.9	2.746	1.308	1.444
1966	1035.0	749.9	2.714	1.364	1.421
1967	2192.8	793.9	2.762	1.353	1.452
1968	2364.0	864.2	2.735	1.375	1.447
1969	NA	930.3	NA	1.412	1.471
1970	NA	977.1	NA	1.364	1.536
1971	NA	1054.9	NA	1.318	1.533
1972	NA	1158.0	NA	1.336	1.487
1973	NA	1294.9	NA	1.392	1.457
1974	NA	1397.3	NA	1.420	1.555

* see also Appendix Tables III.4. for Industry Capital/Output Ratios

- Total Capital Stock represents Total Reproducible Assets (Equipment structures and Inventories, compiled from: Historical Statistics of the US Colonial Times to 1970, p.252 Table F 349-376 and 1975 Statistical Abstract of the U.S.
- 2) Dept. of Commerce, BEA: A Study of Fixed Capital Requirements

### Appendix Table III.3.

.

÷.

for the Business Economy, 1947-1974.

U.S. Capital Stock and Capital/GNP Ratios

(1) $(2)$ $(3)$ $(4)$	(5)	(5)
		(6)
Total GrossCapacityUtilized CapitalCapitalUtilizationStockStock 1/Rates 2/GNP 3/ (Col. 1 x Col. 2)	Capital/ Output Ratios (Adjusted) (col. 3 + col. 4)	Capital/ Output Ratios (Unadj.) (Col. 1 + Col. 4)
347 $445.0$ $.952$ $423.6$ $272.8$ $3+8$ $467.1$ $.928$ $433.5$ $286.0$ $3+9$ $482.8$ $.818$ $394.9$ $284.7$ $350$ $502.4$ $.898$ $451.2$ $314.2$ $351$ $522.8$ $.912$ $476.8$ $334.5$ $352$ $540.0$ $.892$ $481.7$ $343.2$ $353$ $558.0$ $.926$ $516.7$ $360.7$ $354$ $574.9$ $.833$ $478.9$ $355.4$ $355$ $594.3$ $.911$ $541.4$ $385.4$ $356$ $616.6$ $.904$ $557.4$ $392.2$ $357$ $636.4$ $.874$ $556.2$ $397.5$ $359$ $650.0$ $.773$ $502.5$ $391.7$ $359$ $664.5$ $.832$ $552.9$ $419.4$ $156$ $682.1$ $.818$ $558.0$ $429.5$ $351$ $698.3$ $.790$ $551.7$ $436.9$ $352$ $717.4$ $.822$ $589.7$ $466.7$ $153$ $736.9$ $.837$ $616.8$ $486.6$ $153$ $792.6$ $.906$ $718.1$ $548.9$ $156$ $831.2$ $.960$ $798.0$ $584.9$ $157$ $867.9$ $.932$ $808.9$ $597.8$ $153$ $906.4$ $.950$ $861.1$ $626.5$ $153$ $906.4$ $.950$ $861.1$ $626.5$ $152$ $948.2$ $.960$ $910.3$ $644.6$ $157$ $1.017.3$ $.860$ $874$	1.553 1.516 1.387 1.436 1.425 1.404 1.433 1.348 1.405 1.421 1.399 1.283 1.318 1.299 1.263 1.264 1.263 1.279 1.308 1.264 1.263 1.279 1.308 1.353 1.375 1.412 1.364 1.318 1.336 1.392 1.420	1.631 1.633 1.696 1.599 1.563 1.573 1.547 1.618 1.542 1.572 - 1.601 1.659 1.584 1.588 1.598 1.588 1.598 1.537 1.514 1.479 1.444 1.421 1.452 - 1.447 1.452 1.536 1.533 1.487 1.555

(Billions of 1958 dollars where applicable)

e Estimate from John Musgrave of BEA.

1/ BEA series - Constant cost 1, purchases of Government surplus assets at marginal acquisition prices, service lives: 85% of Bulletin F, pattern from the March 1974 Survey of Current Business Winfrey S-3

2/ Wharton series which covers mining, manufacturing and utilities.

3/ From Survey of Current Business, Table 1.8

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, December 1975, p. 11.

U.S. Capital/Output Ratios at 80 Industry Level for Input-Output Aggregation, 1963 and 1967-1970.

CR Industry Kumber	1963	1967	1968	1969	1970	Average 1967-70	Method used to derive 1980 capital/ output ratio
1-4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11-12 13 14 15 16 17 18a 18b 19 20-21 22 23 24 25 26a 26b 27a 27b 28 29 30 31 32 33-34 35 36a 36b 37a 37b 38a 36b 37a 37b 38a 38b 38c 39 40 41 42	1.240 .651 .731 .934 5.630 .671 .673 .124 .140 .232 .091 .352 .304 .423 .087 .160 .380 .201 .260 .720 .374 .374 .374 .374 .374 .374 .374 .374 .374 .374 .374 .379 .621 .270 .191 .585 .363 .137 .639 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .363 .374 .387 .284 .396 .291	1.271 .743 1.097 1.224 5.002 .853 .807 .143 .129 .222 .100 .364 .276 .322 .085 .138 .359 .205 .138 .359 .205 .138 .359 .205 .218 .843 .426 .322 .358 .710 .455 .703 .253 .227 .541 .443 .124 .545 .703 .253 .227 .541 .445 .703 .253 .227 .541 .445 .703 .253 .276 .459 .952 .376 .431 .379 .271 .310 .305	1.293 .934 1.195 1.265 4.947 .856 .887 .141 .122 .226 .101 .367 .262 .311 .092 .143 .367 .204 .325 .355 .694 .429 .325 .355 .694 .468 .619 .247 .235 .522 .414 .125 .522 .414 .125 .522 .414 .125 .522 .414 .125 .522 .414 .125 .522 .414 .125 .522 .414 .125 .522 .414 .125 .522 .414 .125 .522 .414 .125 .522 .414 .125 .522 .414 .125 .522 .414 .125 .522 .414 .125 .527 .247 .388 .389 .389 .380 .357 .274 .301 .304	1.304 1.037 1.177 1.251 4.882 .806 1.062 .145 .134 .228 .106 .365 .255 .284 .093 .134 .376 .211 .224 .832 .444 .318 .360 .689 .504 .631 .252 .522 .522 .400 .128 .647 .793 .462 .965 .400 .369 .390 .391 .382 .280 .333 .317	1.307 1.128 1.176 1.295 4.732 .803 .906 .146 .178 .232 .107 .271 .269 .100 .141 .360 .212 .235 .864 .451 .340 .379 .536 .671 .249 .259 .511 .4012 .454 .454 .451 .454 .451 .454 .451 .454 .342 .536 .671 .249 .536 .671 .249 .536 .671 .249 .536 .671 .249 .536 .671 .249 .536 .671 .249 .536 .671 .249 .536 .671 .249 .536 .671 .249 .536 .671 .382 .382 .382 .335	$\begin{array}{c} 1.295 \\ .961 \\ 1.161 \\ 1.259 \\ 4.891 \\ .832 \\ .916 \\ .144 \\ .141 \\ .227 \\ .104 \\ .363 \\ .266 \\ .297 \\ .093 \\ .139 \\ .366 \\ .208 \\ .228 \\ .844 \\ .438 \\ .329 \\ .363 \\ .208 \\ .228 \\ .844 \\ .438 \\ .329 \\ .363 \\ .208 \\ .243 \\ .524 \\ .415 \\ .128 \\ .646 \\ .787 \\ .468 \\ .970 \\ .405 \\ .403 \\ .396 \\ .390 \\ .373 \\ .278 \\ .332 \\ .315 \end{array}$	trend 1969-70 trend 1963-70 1970 average* special* 1970 average 1970 trend 1963-70 average 1970 trend 1963-70 1970 average 1970 average trend 1967-70 average 1970 average 1970 trend 1967-70 average 1970 trend 1963-70 trend 1963-70 trend 1963-70 trend 1963-70 trend 1963-70 trend 1963-70 average trend 1967-70 average trend 1967-70 average

r

Appendix Table III.4. (c

(	con	ti	nu	ed)
---	-----	----	----	-----

Industry Number **	1963	1967	1968	1969	1970	Average 1967-70	Method used to derive 1980 capital/ output ratio
$\begin{array}{c} 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 7\\ 89\\ 60\\ 61\\ 62\\ 63\\ 65\\ 65\\ 65\\ 65\\ 65\\ 65\\ 65\\ 65\\ 65\\ 65$	.289 .252 .248 .178 .329 .222 .247 .253 .219 .197 .256 .222 .218 .165 .260 .194 .191 .189 n.a. .225 .324 .203 5.255 2.300 .880 3.092 1.318 4.403 2.720 .596 4.846 2.083 .965 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595 .311 .595	$\begin{array}{c} .331 \\ .288 \\ .319 \\ .207 \\ .377 \\ .292 \\ .336 \\ .304 \\ .250 \\ .211 \\ .236 \\ .224 \\ .235 \\ .178 \\ .205 \\ .225 \\ .165 \\ .227 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .205 \\ .2$	.323 .305 .322 .211 .407 .301 .361 .348 .240 .207 .249 .212 .235 .178 .280 .209 .200 .198 .149 .227 .274 .211 5.063 2.601 .892 2.558 1.153 4.018 2.504 .729 4.642 2.016 .956 .319 .635 .493 .414 1.226 .311 .729 1.473 1.652	.308 .333 .322 .200 .408 .301 .357 .369 .228 .188 .252 .213 .234 .191 .285 .214 .197 .217 .152 .225 .207 5.033 2.566 .952 2.595 1.155 3.875 2.429 .782 4.709 2.023 1.008 .313 .529 .419 1.241 .337 .749 1.672 1.652	.328 .333 .326 .211 .441 .322 .376 .384 .237 .199 .261 .214 .243 .209 .304 .220 .206 .230 .154 .233 .266 .212 4.876 2.658 1.045 2.176 1.267 3.850 2.458 .791 4.714 1.994 1.020 .314 .628 .791 4.714 1.994 1.020 .314 .547 .420 1.174 1.995 1.727 1.655	.323 .315 .322 .207 .408 .304 .358 .351 .239 .201 .250 .216 .237 .189 .206 .213 .206 .213 .206 .213 .206 .213 .205 .209 5.005 2.597 .947 2.489 1.174 3.924 2.471 .763 4.681 2.002 .991 .315 .631 .517 .416 1.212 .317 .736 1.588 1.655	1970 1970 1970 trend 1967-70 trend 1967-70 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 average trend 1967-70 1970 trend 1967-70 1970 average 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970* average* 1970* average* 1970* average* 1970* average* 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970

*See Dept. of Commerce Study, methodological appendix on major producing and consuming industries.

¹To compute the capital/output ratios for this industry, the output of government enterpirses was excluded since the stock figures did not include government-owned capital.

**See Appendix Table III.7. U.S. Industry Classification

U.S. Depart. of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Source: December 1975, p. 48-49.

#### -120 -

Appendix Table III.5.	U.S. Capital/Output Ratios, 1963 and 1967-1970
	for Selected Industries*, adjusted for capacity utilization.

Industry Number**	1963	1967	1968	1969	1970	Growth Rate	Trend Period
1-4 5 15 18a 25 27b 30 31 37b 38b 38c 47 48 50 56 58	1.022 .489 .085 .388 .347 .351 .179 .588 .319 .289 .289 .289 .289 .292 .200 .221 .154	1.051 .626 .090 .288 .381 .408 .208 .532 .338 .332 .319 .332 .260 .267 .162 .175	1.077 .788 .090 .276 .382 .418 .215 .509 .347 .338 .326 .357 .268 .305 .162	1.086 .873 .095 .252 .395 .450 .230 .507 .357 .357 .347 .355 .358 .268 .325 .173	1.094 .949 .096 .238 .400 .477 .235 .494 .405 .391 .418 .387 .286 .338 .189	0.7 9.9 1.8 -6.7 1.6 5.3 4.0 -2.5 6.2 4.4 9.4 5.2 3.2 6.3 5.3	1969-1970 1963-1970 1963-1970 1963-1970 1967-1970 1963-1970 1963-1970 1963-1970 1963-1970 1967-1970 1967-1970 1967-1970 1963-1970 1963-1970
80 73 75 8 <u>1</u> /	.201 .493 4.054	.173 .257 .613 3.877	.181 .307 .668 3.824	.128 .334 .687 3.767	.191 .354 .730 3.633	5.0 6.0 6.0 -2.1	1967-1970 1969-1970 1967-1970 1967-1970

*Industries for which a trend was used to project 1980 C/O ratios. **See appendix Table III.6. U.S. Industry Classification 1/Because of "special energy" considerations, the negative trend for this industry was not projected to 1980.

.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, December 1975, p.51. Appendix Table III.6.

U.S.Required Level of 1980 Capital Stock for Production of Full Employment GNP (Fixed 1970 Capital/Output Ratios)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(A)	(5)	(6)
,			Poquimod	<u></u>		(0)
CR	Proj. 1930	Fixed 1970 Camital/	1980 Canital	1970	Canital Stock	Ratic
Industry	Uutputs	Output	Stock	Capital Stock	1970-1980	1980 Capital Stock
: **	1201 \$	Ratio	(Col.1 x Col.2)	(Scaled)	(Col.3 - Col.4)	1970 Capital Stock
**			(58 \$)	(58 \$)	(58 5)	(CO1.3 + CO1.4)
1-4	77 776	1 094	85 087	70 525	14 562	1 200
5	1.554	.949	1,475	1.257	218	1.200
6	2,795	1.022	2,856	2.085	771	1.370
7	4,934	1.174	5,793	4,211	1,582	1.376
8.	13,059	3.633	. 47,443	58,514	-11,071	.811
1 9	· 3,188	./4/	2,381	2,121	260	1.123
11-12	134.942	.835	18 828	901	J J ZJ J	1.358
13	7.451	.156	1,162	1,594	-432	720
14	115,158	.210	24,183	21.818	2.365	1,108
15	8,960	.096	860	843	17	1.020
16	23,372	316	7,386	5,928	1,458	1.246
18a	9,518	.242	2,303	1,440	863	1.599
185	28 167	.230 089	2,233	1,525	541	1.3/3
19	8,708	.127	1,106	742	- 364	1.275
20-21	20,402	.319	6,508	4,818	1,690	1.351
22	8,406	.194	1,631	1,322	309	1.234
23	4,284	.216	925	761	164	1.216
24	20,517	,./55	20,020	14,109	-5,911	1.419
25a	18,763	.400	5 779	4 368	988	1 322
26b	16,033	.333	5,339	3.882	1,457	1.325
27a	35,056	.621	21,770	16,197	5,573	1.344
27Ь .	3,791	.477	1,808	1,470	338	1.230
28	21,271	.585	,12,444	6,767	5,677	<u>1.</u> 839
30		.220	b,109	3,829	2,280	1.595
31	35 368	.233	1,007	103	204	1.524
32	35,247	.494	12,336	1 15,027	5 819	1.103
33-34	4,402	.123	541	707	-166	.765
35	6,054	.603	3,651	2,821	830	1.294
·36a	10,013	.712	7,129	6,266	863、	1.138
360.	4,823	.441	2,127	2,120	7	-1.003
37h ·	8 876	.920	25,355	24,850	495	1.020
38a	13,343	.374	4,990	3.046	1,944	1.638
<b>3</b> 8b	15,633	.391	6,113	4,245	1,868	1.440
38c	3,060	.418	1,279	1,011	268	1.265
39 10	5,532	.309	1,709	1,463	. 246	1.168.
41	9,403	•252	3 0/1	3,855	1,055	1.2/4
42	19.790	. 296	5,858	4.355	1.503	1.345
43	8,307	.285	2,367	1.448	919	1.635
<b>4</b> 4 ·	7,653	.294	2,253	1,293	960	1.742
45. Ac	11,384	.288	3,279	1,973	1,306	1.662
40	4,34/	.194	· 843	563	280	1.49/
48	7.631	.286	2,182	1 5,149	1,230 4.1. 563	1.392
49	14,300	.333	4,762	2.683	2.079	1.775
- 50	5,754	.338	1,945	1,304	641	1.492
5]	15,956	.210	3,351	2,008:	1,343	1.669
52 53	14,100	, ,179	2,524	1,250	1,274	2.019
54	11,122	.230	3,002 2135	2,805	790	1.284
55	8,030	.214	1.718	1.131	587 -	1.519
56	26,445	.189	4,998	3,771	1,227	1.325
57 50	16,816	.269	4,524	2,676	1,848	1.691
50	6,536	.191	1,248	752	496	1.660

Appendix Table	III.6	continued.
----------------	-------	------------

	(1)	(2)	(3)	. (4)	(5)	(6)
CR Industry Number	Proj. 1930 Outputs 1967 \$	Fixed 1970 Capital/ Output Ratio	Required 1980 Capital Stock (Col.1 x Col.2) (58 \$)	1970 Capital Stock (Scaled) (58 \$)	Diff. in Capital Stock 1970-1920 (Col.3 - Col.4) (58 \$)	Ratio 1920 Capital Stock 1970 Capital Stock (Col.3 + Col.4)
59 60 61 62 63 64 65a 65b 65c 65d 65c 65f 65f 68a 68b 68c 69b 70 71 72 73	80,004 21,912 18,167 10,289 10,617 15,998 15,511 5,181 26,257 6,233 21,075 3,353 46,296 3,853 48,666 16,150 5,172 121,363 166,057 86,906 180,623 23,117 87,061	.182 .204 .140 .212 .241 .161 4.262 2.178 .969 2.208 1.279 3.189 2.207 .698 3.946 1.515 .769 .274 .549 .438 .325 .922 .354	14,561 4,470 2,543 2,181 2,559 2,576 66,108 11,284 25,443 13,762 26,955 10,693 102,175 2,689 192,036 24,467 3,977 33,253 91,165 38,065 58,702 21,314 30,820	10,165 5,191 1,652 1,597 1,603 1,872 58,759 9,433 20,285 15,301 17,492 8,011 54,159 2,502 82,727 25,304 3,048 21,036 64,230 22,668 40,831 31,482 21,059	4,396 -721 891 584 951 704 7,349 1,851 5,158 -1,539 9,463 2,682 48,016 187 109,309 837 929 12,217 26,935 15,397 17,871 -10,168 9,761	1.433 .861 1.539 1.366 1.591 1.376 1.125 1.196 1.254 .899 1.541 1.335 1.827 1.075 2.321 .967 1.305 1.581 1.419 1.679 1.438 .677
75 76 77 77 Total	21,653 13,184 94,266 2,199,043	.730 1.451 1.272	15,807 19,130 119,906 1,376,942	11.765 14,278 71,773 984,768	4,042 4,852 48,133 392,174	1.344 1.340 1.671

* = Adjusted for Capacity Utilization

** = See Appendix Table III.7. U.S. Industry Classification

Source: U.S.Department of Commerce Bureau of Economic Analysis December 1975 Appendix Table III.7: U.S.Industry Classification

Industry Classification for Capital Requirements Study

Capital Requirement Industry <u>1</u> / Number	Title
$ \begin{array}{c} 1-4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11-12\\ \underline{13}\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18a\\ 18b \end{array} $	Agriculture, Forestry and fishery Iron and ferroalloy ores mining Nonferrous metal ores mining Coal mining Crude petroleum and natural gas Stone and clay mining and quarrying Chemicals and fertilizer mineral mining New and maintenance construction Ordnance and accessories Food and Kindred products Tobacco manufactures Broad and narrow fabrics, yarn and thread mills Miscellaneous textile goods and floor coverings Hosiery and knit goods Apparel
19 20-21 22 23 24 25 26a 26b 27a 27b 28 29 30	Miscellaneous fabricated textile products Lumber, wood products and wooden containers Household furniture Other furniture and fixtures Paper and allied products except containers and boxes. Paperboard containers and boxes Newspapers, periodicals and book publishing Commercial printing Industrial chemicals Fertilizers and agricultural chemicals Plastics and synthetic materials Drugs, cleaning and toilet preparations Paints and allied products
•	

Appendix Table III.7 continued

٠

.

Capital Requirement Industry Number	Title
31_	Petrolcum refining and related industries
32 ;	Rubber and miscellaneous plastics products
33-34	Leather, footwear and leather products
35	Glass and glass products
36a	Cement, clay and concrete products
.36b	Miscellaneous stone and clay products
37a	Blast furnaces and basic steel products
37Ь	Iron and steel foundries and forgings
38a	Primary nonferrous metals
38b j	Nonferrous rolling and drawing
38 <b>c</b>	Miscellaneous nonferrous metal products
39	Metal containers
40	Heating, plumbing, and fabricated structural metal products
41	Screw machine products, bolts, nuts, etc
42	Other fabricated metal products
43	Engines and turbines
44	Farm machinery
45	equipment
46	Materials handling machinery and equipment
47	Netalworking machinery and equipment
48 .	Special industry machinery and equipment
· 49 .	Machina shon products
50	Office computing and accounting machines
52	Service industry machines
53	Electric transmission and distribution equipment

· . ·

-125-

Appendix Table III.⁷ continued

•

Capital Requirement Industry <u>1</u> / Number <u>1</u> /	Title
54	Household appliances
55	Electric lighting and wiring equipment
56	Radio, television and communication equipment
57	Electronic components and accessories
58	and supplies
59	Motor vehicles and equipment
60	Aircraft and parts
61	Other transportation equipment
62	Professional, scientific and controlling instruments, and supplies
63	Optical, ophthalmic and photographic equipment and supplies
64	Miscellaneous manufacturing
65a	Railroad transportation
655	Local transit and intercity bus
65c	Truck transportation and warehousing
65d	Water transportation
65e	Air transportation
65f	Pipeline and other transportation services
66	Communications, except radio and television
67	Radio and television broadcasting
68a	Electric utilities
686	Gas utilities
68c	Water and sanitary services
69a	Wholesale trade
695	Retail trade

· ,

Industry Classification for Capital Requirements Study

Appendix Table III.7 continued

Capital Requirement Industry <u>1</u> Number	Title
· . 70	Finance and insurance
71	Real estate and rental
72	Hotels and lodging places, personal and repair services, except automobile repair
73	Business services
75	Automobile repair and services
76	Amusements
77	Medical, educational services, and nonprofit organizations
1	
'Does not industri for priv	include industries 78-87 because these es do not generate any direct requirements ate fixed capital.
Source: U B	S.Department of Commerce areau of Economic Analysis acember 1975

Industry Classification for Capital Requirements Study

• ;

Appendix Table III.8

F.R.G. Capital Stock/GDP output ratios, by major sectors of the Economy, 1950-1974 (Based on Capital Stock and GDP in constant Prices of 1962)

Year	The All Economic Sectors	reof: Enterprises, including Agriculture, Forestry, Fishery, Dwelling	Enterprises, excluding Agriculture Forestry, Fishery, Dwelling	Agriculture, Forestry, Fishery
1950* 1951* 1952* 1953* 1954* 1955* 1956* 1956* 1957* 1958* 1959* 1960* 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971	$\begin{array}{c} 4.3\\ 4.0\\ 3.9\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.4\\ 3.4\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.4\\ 3.5\\ 3.5\\ 3.5\\ 3.5\\ 3.5\\ 3.6\\ 3.8\\ 3.8\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.6\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.6\\ 3.6\\ 3.7\\ 3.6\\ 3.6\\ 3.6\\ 3.6\\ 3.6\\ 3.6\\ 3.6\\ 3.6$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.0\\ 3.7\\ 3.5\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.1\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.1\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.1\\ 3.2\\ 3.1\\ 3.2\\ 3.1\\ 3.2\\ 3.1\\ 3.2\\ 3.1\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.2\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.4\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.4\\ 3.3\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 3.4\\ 3.4\\ 3.5\\ 3.4\\ 3.4\\ 3.5\\ 3.4\\ 3.4\\ 3.5\\ 3.4\\ 3.5\\ 3.4\\ 3.5\\ 3.4\\ 3.5\\ 3.4\\ 3.5\\ 3.4\\ 3.5\\ 3.4\\ 3.5\\ 3.4\\ 3.5\\ 3.5\\ 3.5\\ 3.5\\ 3.5\\ 3.5\\ 3.5\\ 3.5$	2.3 $2.1$ $2.0$ $1.9$ $1.8$ $1.7$ $1.7$ $1.7$ $1.7$ $1.7$ $1.7$ $1.7$ $1.7$ $1.9$ $1.9$ $1.9$ $1.9$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$ $2.0$ $2.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.2\\ 3.7\\ 3.7\\ 3.8\\ 3.8\\ 3.9\\ 4.1\\ 4.1\\ 4.0\\ 4.0\\ 4.0\\ 4.0\\ 4.0\\ 4.0\\ 4.0\\ 4.0$
1972p 1973p 1974p	3.8 3.8 4.0	3.4 3.4 3.5	2.1 2.2 2.3	5.2 5.1 4.8

#### * = excluding Saar and Berlin

p = preliminary

Source: Compiled from H.Lützel, "Das Reproduzierbare Anlagevermögen" in Wirtschaft und Statistik 1971/10, Tables 5 and 6, p.10 and 1975 <u>Statistisches Jahrbuch</u> p.521.

F.R.G. Capital Output Ratios for 19 Activities, 1950-1972 Appendix Table III.9.

20	2.76	2.61	2.51	2.45	2 * 4 3	2.35	2.37	2 • 4 1	2.50	2.50	10 10 10	2.56	2.65	2.76	2.79	2 - 84	2.95	21.5	3.17	3.01	20.5	년 년 (17)	4.2.
64	1.00	1.02	1.00	1.03	1.01	.96	85°	1.02	1.55	0 न न	1.15	1.25	1.35	1.45		1.72	1.55	1.98	2.13	2.24	2.32	2.45	2.57
18	2.33	2.45	2.54	2.73	2.89	2.96	3.05	3.11	3.27	3.52	3.64	3.77	4.03	4.34	4.76	5.36	5.33	5.53	5.36	6.23	6.45	6.72	7.10
17	31.78	34.09	23.40	33.25	33.74	33.13	33.32	32.29	31.67	31.35	29.42	27.52	23.11	22.54	29.50	29.51	23.38	27.52	27.53	27.69	27.59	23.51	28.ō6
16	4.75	4 - 41	4.31	4.29	4.23	3. 20	3.76	3.82	3.90	3.83	3.71	4.18	4.23	4.35	4.37	40.4	4.57	4.48	4.23	3.58	U. E.U	3.83	3.82
15	• 85	• 85	• ġ 5	•84	.83	.83	• e •	• 85	- 92	.96	10.1	1.02	1.08	42.4	1.19	1.22	1.28	1.35	1.38	1.36	1.38	1.43	4.48
14	• 4 0	.40	. 4 0	.37	07.	0 5 •	トロー	•50	• 55	. 55	.61	•64	.70	.79	• 8.2	. A 9	.92	1.02	1.06	1.08	1.09	1.14	1.18
13	1• N i	1.16	1.05	1.04	10.1	. A 9	4.39	.95	1.13	1.07	•96•	.92	.98	1.05	1.06	<b>ь</b> 6 • ,	1.08	1.21	1.19	1.17	1.18	1.15	2 C • T
12	.87	.78	• 0 8	.63	.62	-56	.60	• 6 4	.62	•62	.53	•59	• 62	•60	. É O	•62	£9.	.76	.67	• <del>6</del> 4	.61	.63	•64
7	.83	1.02	<b>7</b> 5°	<b>1</b> 6.	. R 4	.89	. 50	• 7 •	1.11	. 83	1.05	1.06	. 1.14	1.24	1.24	1.30	1.37	1.47	1.39	1.23	1.23	1.36	4.59
10	3.20	2.23	2.18	2.39	2.22	2 • 2 4	2.21	2.62	2.00	2.51	2.13	2.22	2.40	2.65	2.45	. 2.65	2.85	2.86	2.57	2.17	2.13	2.28	2.85
6	1.73	1.63	1.45	1.35	1.33	1.35	1-41	1.42	1.55	1.52	1.56	1.61	1.63	1.62	1.56	1.45	1.49	1.56	1.38	1.25	1.26	1.31	1.0
<b>æ</b> )	1.55	1.50	142	1.29	0.1.0	1.19	1.17	1.20	1.21	1.16	1.13	1.16	1.17	1.23	1:00	1.13	1.25	1.28	1.25	1.18	1.21	1.24	1.26
~	.37	5 M P	• 36	.33	• 33	.32	.33	<b>7</b> ℃•	.36	65.	Ū † °	•42	<b>44</b>	- 47	• 4 9	67.	.55	.63	• 9 •	•65	•70	÷ 7 •	.77
Q	1.74	1.79	1.41	1.30	4.19	1.25	1.26	40.4	1-32	1.61	1.38	1.62	1.63	2.72	1.73	1.71	1.79	2.02	1.9.	1.83	1.92	1.95	1.95
ŝ	4.05	1.13	1.08	<b>1</b> 6°	<b>7</b> 6 <b>°</b>	5	16.	ເກ ອ	90.	<b>00</b> .	85°	55.	56°	79.	16.	.97	.99	1.60	56.	1.00	1.02	40.4	1-04
4	1.75	1.46	17 17 17	1.31	1.26	1.10	1.21	4.23	1.31	1.24	1.23	1.31	1.52	1.53	1.001	1.33	5 7 7 7 7 7	1.52	- 45	1.38	1.33	1.33	1.34
ы	1.43	50.1	1.47	2.12	2.17	2.59	1.97	1.71	1.60	1.43	4.02	1.49	1.31	2:21	2.47	2.64	2.83	G.33	3.63	4.26	40.4	4.64	4.76
~	17. 1. 1.	3.60	3.75	N. R5	3.77	1. 1.	3.72	10.0	4.16	4.29	よい。よ	4.76	t: • 67	0 e • - 1	L.76	4.37	1- 1- 1-	5.33	5.25	5.16	85.4	5.11	5.21
¥1	2.63	2.38	2.42	2.46	2.45	2.43	2.57	2.00	2.75	2.67	2.59	3.59	3.93	3.52	3.].	65.4	4.71	4.39	4.15	4.85	50°.0	5.20	5.30
	0 3 S T	1001	1952	1553	165 T	1955	535 T	1957	1953	1959	1960	1901	1952	1303	けいのけ	10 10 10 11	5301	1957	1 55.3	1969	1575	1571	1972

Activities:

11 - Motol II	11 - MELAIS II	12 - Vehicles	13 - Machinery	14 - Construction	15 - Trade	16 - Transport	17 - Dwellings	18 - Government	19 - Other	20 - Total
1 - Arricilture		z = Energy	3 - Mining, excl.coal, gas, oil	4 - Quarrying	5 - Food	6 - Textiles	7 - Clothing	8 - Wood, paper, printing	9 - Chemicals	lo - Metals I

Source: Data supplied by Pestel Team, May 1976

-130-

-

Appendix Table III.10.

United Kingdom. Capital Output Ratios, 1964-1974

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Year	Gross Capital Stock at Re-	G. D. Factor Cost M	. P. Market Price	Capital/Outp	out Ratio
	placement Cost of 1970 10 H	1970 prices 10 ⁹ њ	of 1970 10 ⁹ £	Factor cost	Market price
1964	140.5	37.7	44.4	3.74	3.17
1965	146.6	38.6	45.4	3.80	3.23
1966	152.6	39.4	46.3	3.87	3.29
1967	159.1	40.4	47.5	3.94	3.35
1968	165.9	41.9	49.1	3.96	3.38
1969	172.6	42.5	49.7	4.06	3.47
1970	179.5	43.3	50.8	4.15	3.53
1971	186.4	44.2	52.0	4.22	3.58
1972	193.4	45.0	53.4	4.30	3.62
1973	200.6	47.3	56.3	4.24	3.56
1974	207.7	47.8	56.7	4.35	3.66
1975	•	47.0 ^p	•	•	•

Sources: Compiled from United Kingdom, Central Statistical Office, <u>Annual Abstract of Statistics 1975</u>, table 345, p.328 for col.(1) and table 337 p/320 for columns (2) and (3) (4) = (1) : (2); (5) = (1) : (3)

p = preliminary